Quest Rubric No: 4	12		
Objective criteria (0/1, 1=met)	Rating	Max	Comments
Fob performs IR NFC data exchange of vote to another fob (LED indication)	1	1	
Receiving fob communicates vote to Poll Leader (LED indication) via network communication	1	1	
Poll leader (LED indication) is replaced if fails	1	1	
Poll Leader reports votes to server database.	1	1	mongodb
Portal allows query to database to show actual vote counts per candidate	1	1	
Operates over mutiple sites or with all available fobs (up to 9)	1	1	3 fobs 2 sites.
Investigative question response	1	1	
			7
Total objective criteria	7	7	
	7 Rating	7 Max	Comments
Qualitative criteria	-	-	Comments  All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lact of audio in the demo video)
Qualitative criteria Quality of solution	Rating	Max	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac
Qualitative criteria Quality of solution Quality of report.md including use of graphics	Rating 4	<b>Max</b> 5	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac of audio in the demo video)
Qualitative criteria Quality of solution Quality of report.md including use of graphics Quality of code reporting	Rating 4	<b>Max</b> 5	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac of audio in the demo video)
Qualitative criteria  Quality of solution  Quality of report.md including use of graphics  Quality of code reporting  Quality of video presentation	Rating 4 3 3 2	Max 5 3 3 3 3	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac of audio in the demo video)  Nice reporting of flow
Qualitative criteria Quality of solution Quality of report.md including use of graphics Quality of code reporting Quality of video presentation  Total qualitative criteria	Rating 4	<b>Max</b> 5 3 3	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac of audio in the demo video)  Nice reporting of flow
Qualitative criteria  Quality of solution  Quality of report.md including use of graphics  Quality of code reporting  Quality of video presentation  Total qualitative criteria	Rating   4   3   3   2	Max 5 3 3 3 14	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac of audio in the demo video)  Nice reporting of flow
Qualitative criteria  Quality of solution  Quality of report.md including use of graphics  Quality of code reporting  Quality of video presentation  Total qualitative criteria  Quant Weight (75)	Rating 4  3 3 2	Max 5 3 3 3 3 14	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac of audio in the demo video)  Nice reporting of flow
Qualitative criteria Quality of solution  Quality of report.md including use of graphics Quality of code reporting Quality of video presentation  Total qualitative criteria  Quant Weight (75) Qual Weight (25)	Rating 4 3 3 2 12 75.0 21.4	Max 5 3 3 3 3 14 4 75 25	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac of audio in the demo video)  Nice reporting of flow
Qualitative criteria  Quality of solution  Quality of report.md including use of graphics  Quality of code reporting  Quality of video presentation  Total qualitative criteria	Rating 4  3 3 2	Max 5 3 3 3 3 14	All of the evaluation criteria were not confidently met (due to lac of audio in the demo video)  Nice reporting of flow

The report and presentation are good and the solution looks techncially solid. But the video demo without audio does not convey confidence that the objective

Comments

criteria were entirely met.