

# Bird Meertens Formalism

## – Homomorphism –

Zhenjiang Hu, Wei Zhang

Department of Computer Science and Technology  
Peking University

December 15, 2021

## Longest Even Segment Problem

Given is a predicate  $p$  and a sequence  $x$ . Required is an efficient algorithm for computing some longest segment of  $x$ , all of whose elements satisfy  $p$ .

$$lsp\ even\ [3, 1, 4, 1, 5, 9, 2, 6, 5] = [2, 6]$$

# Homomorphisms

A **homomorphism** from a monoid  $(\alpha, \oplus, id_{\oplus})$  to a monoid  $(\beta, \otimes, id_{\otimes})$  is a function  $h$  satisfying the two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} h \, id_{\oplus} &= id_{\otimes} \\ h \, (x \oplus y) &= h \, x \otimes h \, y \end{aligned}$$

# Map: a Homomorphism

$$f * [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = [f\ a_1, f\ a_2, \dots, f\ a_n]$$

$$\begin{aligned} f * [] &= [] \\ f * [a] &= [f\ a] \\ f * (x ++ y) &= (f * x) ++ (f * y) \end{aligned}$$

# Reduce: a Homomorphism

$$\oplus/[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] = a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$$

$$\oplus/[] = id_{\oplus}$$

$$\oplus/[a] = a$$

$$\oplus/(x ++ y) = (\oplus/x) \oplus (\oplus/y)$$

### Lemma (Promotion)

*$h$  is a homomorphism from  $(\alpha, \oplus, id_{\oplus})$  to  $(\beta, \otimes, id_{\otimes})$  if and only if the following holds.*

$$h \cdot \oplus / = \otimes / \cdot h^*$$

## Lemma (Promotion)

*$h$  is a homomorphism from  $(\alpha, \oplus, id_{\oplus})$  to  $(\beta, \otimes, id_{\otimes})$  if and only if the following holds.*

$$h \cdot \oplus / = \otimes / \cdot h^*$$

*Proof Sketch.*

- $\Leftarrow$ : *simple.*
- $\Rightarrow$ : *by induction.*

## Lemma (Promotion)

$h$  is a homomorphism from  $(\alpha, \oplus, id_{\oplus})$  to  $(\beta, \otimes, id_{\otimes})$  if and only if the following holds.

$$h \cdot \oplus / = \otimes / \cdot h^*$$

*Proof Sketch.*

- $\Leftarrow$ : simple.
- $\Rightarrow$ : by induction.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \cdot ++ / &= ++ / \cdot f^* * \\ \oplus / \cdot ++ / &= \oplus / \cdot (\oplus /)^* \end{aligned}$$



# Characterization of Homomorphisms

## Lemma (Identity)

$$id = ++ \ / \cdot [\cdot]^*$$

# Characterization of Homomorphisms

## Lemma (Identity)

$$id = ++ \ / \cdot [\cdot]^*$$

## Lemma

*$h$  is a homomorphism from the list monoid if and only if there exist  $f$  and  $\oplus$  such that*

$$h = \oplus / \cdot f^*$$

$\Rightarrow$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } id \} \\
 & h \cdot id \\
 = & \{ \text{identity lemma} \} \\
 & h \cdot ++ \ / \cdot [\cdot]^* \\
 = & \{ h \text{ is a homomorphism} \} \\
 & \oplus / \cdot h * \cdot [\cdot]^* \\
 = & \{ \text{map distributivity} \} \\
 & \oplus / \cdot (h \cdot [\cdot])^* \\
 = & \{ \text{definition of } h \text{ on singleton} \} \\
 & \oplus / \cdot f^*
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Leftarrow$ : We reason that  $h = \oplus / \cdot f^*$  is a homomorphism by calculating

$$\begin{aligned} & h \cdot ++ / \\ = & \{ \text{given form for } h \} \\ & \oplus / \cdot f^* \cdot ++ / \\ = & \{ \text{map and reduce promotion} \} \\ & \oplus / \cdot (\oplus / \cdot f^*)^* \\ = & \{ \text{hypothesis} \} \\ & \oplus / \cdot h^* \end{aligned}$$

# Examples of Homomorphisms

- $\#$ : compute the length of a list.

$$\# = + / \cdot K_1^*$$

# Examples of Homomorphisms

- $\#$ : compute the length of a list.

$$\# = + / \cdot K_1^*$$

- *reverse*: reverses the order of the elements in a list.

$$\text{reverse} = \tilde{+} / \cdot [\cdot]^*$$

Here,  $x \tilde{\oplus} y = y \oplus x$ .

- *sort*: reorders the elements of a list into ascending order.

$$\text{sort} = \wedge\wedge / \cdot [\cdot]^*$$

Here,  $\wedge\wedge$  (pronounced **merge**) is defined by the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge\wedge [] &= x \\ [] \wedge\wedge y &= y \\ ([a] ++ x) \wedge\wedge ([b] ++ y) &= [a] ++ (x \wedge\wedge ([b] ++ y)), \quad \text{if } a \leq b \\ &= [b] ++ (([a] ++ x) \wedge\wedge y), \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

- *all p*: returns True if every element of the input list satisfies the predicate *p*.

$$\textit{all } p = \wedge / \cdot p^*$$



- *all p*: returns True if every element of the input list satisfies the predicate *p*.

$$\textit{all } p = \wedge / \cdot p^*$$

- *some p*: returns True if at least one element of the input list satisfies the predicate *p*.

$$\textit{some } p = \vee / \cdot p^*$$

## Homework BMF 2-1

- 1 Show that function *split* that splits a non-empty list into its last element and the remainder is a homomorphism.

$$\textit{split} [1, 2, 3, 4] = ([1, 2, 3], 4)$$

- 2 Let  $\textit{init} = \pi_1 \cdot \textit{split}$ , where  $\pi_1 (a, b) = a$ . Show that *init* is not a homomorphism.

# All applied to

The operator  $^{\circ}$  (pronounced **all applied to**) takes a sequence of functions and a value and returns the result of applying each function to the value.

$$[f, g, \dots, h]^{\circ} a = [f\ a, g\ a, \dots, h\ a]$$

Formally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} []^{\circ} a &= [] \\ [f]^{\circ} a &= [f\ a] \\ (fs\ ++\ gs)^{\circ} a &= (fs^{\circ} a) ++ (gs^{\circ} a) \end{aligned}$$

so,  $(^{\circ} a)$  is a homomorphism.

**Exercise:** Show that  $[\cdot] = [id]^{\circ}$ .

# Conditional Expressions

The conditional notation

$$h\ x = \text{if } p\ x \text{ then } f\ x \text{ else } g\ x$$

will be written by the McCarthy conditional form:

$$h = (p \rightarrow f, g)$$

# Conditional Expressions

The conditional notation

$$h\ x = \text{if } p\ x \text{ then } f\ x \text{ else } g\ x$$

will be written by the McCarthy conditional form:

$$h = (p \rightarrow f, g)$$

## Laws on Conditional Forms

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (p \rightarrow f, g) &= (p \rightarrow h \cdot f, h \cdot g) \\ (p \rightarrow f, g) \cdot h &= (p \cdot h \rightarrow f \cdot h, g \cdot h) \\ (p \rightarrow f, f) &= f \end{aligned}$$

(Note: all functions are assumed to be total.)

The operator  $\triangleleft$  (pronounced **filter**) takes a predicate  $p$  and a list  $x$  and returns the sublist of  $x$  consisting, in order, of all those elements of  $x$  that satisfy  $p$ .

$$p\triangleleft = ++ \ / \cdot (p \rightarrow [id]^o, []^o)^*$$

The operator  $\triangleleft$  (pronounced **filter**) takes a predicate  $p$  and a list  $x$  and returns the sublist of  $x$  consisting, in order, of all those elements of  $x$  that satisfy  $p$ .

$$p\triangleleft = ++ \ / \cdot (p \rightarrow [id]^o, []^o)*$$

**Exercise:** Prove that the filter satisfies the **filter promotion** property:

$$(p\triangleleft) \cdot ++ \ / = ++ \ / \cdot (p\triangleleft)*$$

The operator  $\triangleleft$  (pronounced **filter**) takes a predicate  $p$  and a list  $x$  and returns the sublist of  $x$  consisting, in order, of all those elements of  $x$  that satisfy  $p$ .

$$p\triangleleft = ++ \ / \cdot (p \rightarrow [id]^o, []^o)^*$$

**Exercise:** Prove that the filter satisfies the **filter promotion** property:

$$(p\triangleleft) \cdot ++ \ / = ++ \ / \cdot (p\triangleleft)^*$$

**Exercise:** Prove that the filter satisfies the **map-filter swap** property:

$$(p\triangleleft) \cdot f^* = f^* \cdot (p \cdot f)\triangleleft$$



# Cross-product

$X_{\oplus}$  is a binary operator that takes two lists  $x$  and  $y$  and returns a list of values of the form  $a \oplus b$  for all  $a$  in  $x$  and  $b$  in  $y$ .

$$[a, b]X_{\oplus}[c, d, e] = [a \oplus c, b \oplus c, a \oplus d, b \oplus d, a \oplus e, b \oplus e]$$

Formally, we define  $X_{\oplus}$  by three equations:

# Cross-product

$X_{\oplus}$  is a binary operator that takes two lists  $x$  and  $y$  and returns a list of values of the form  $a \oplus b$  for all  $a$  in  $x$  and  $b$  in  $y$ .

$$[a, b]X_{\oplus}[c, d, e] = [a \oplus c, b \oplus c, a \oplus d, b \oplus d, a \oplus e, b \oplus e]$$

Formally, we define  $X_{\oplus}$  by three equations:

$$\begin{aligned} xX_{\oplus}[] &= [] \\ xX_{\oplus}[a] &= (\oplus a) * x \\ xX_{\oplus}(y ++ z) &= (xX_{\oplus}y) ++ (xX_{\oplus}z) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $xX_{\oplus}$  is a homomorphism.

$[]$  is the **zero element** of  $X_{\oplus}$ :

$$[]X_{\oplus}x = xX_{\oplus}[] = []$$

We have **cross promotion** rules:

$$\begin{aligned} f** \cdot X_{++} / &= X_{++} / \cdot f** \\ (\oplus /) * \cdot X_{++} / &= X_{\oplus} / \cdot (\oplus /) ** \end{aligned}$$

# Example Uses of Cross-product

- *cp*: takes a list of lists and returns a list of lists of elements, one from each component.

$$cp \ [[a, b], [c], [d, e]] = [[a, c, d], [b, c, d], [a, c, e], [b, c, e]]$$

# Example Uses of Cross-product

- $cp$ : takes a list of lists and returns a list of lists of elements, one from each component.

$$cp \ [[a, b], [c], [d, e]] = [[a, c, d], [b, c, d], [a, c, e], [b, c, e]]$$

$$cp = X_{++} / \cdot ([id]^o *) *$$

- *subs*: computes all subsequences of a list.

$$\text{subs } [a, b, c] = [[], [a], [b], [a, b], [c], [a, c], [b, c], [a, b, c]]$$

- *subs*: computes all subsequences of a list.

$$\text{subs } [a, b, c] = [[], [a], [b], [a, b], [c], [a, c], [b, c], [a, b, c]]$$

$$\text{subs} = X_{++} / \cdot [[\ ]^{\circ}, [id]^{\circ}]^{\circ*}$$

- *subs*: computes all subsequences of a list.

$$\text{subs } [a, b, c] = [[], [a], [b], [a, b], [c], [a, c], [b, c], [a, b, c]]$$

$$\text{subs} = X_{++} / \cdot [[\ ]^{\circ}, [id]^{\circ}]^{\circ*}$$

Exercise: Define *subs* in terms of *cp*.



- $(all\ p) \triangleleft$ :

$$(all\ even) \triangleleft [[1, 3], [2, 4], [1, 2, 3]] = [[2, 4]]$$

- $(all\ p) \triangleleft$ :

$$(all\ even) \triangleleft [[1, 3], [2, 4], [1, 2, 3]] = [[2, 4]]$$

$$(all\ p) \triangleleft = ++ \ / \cdot (X_{++} \ / \cdot (p \rightarrow [[id]^{\circ}]^{\circ}, []^{\circ})^*)^*$$

- $(all\ p) \triangleleft$ :

$$(all\ even) \triangleleft [[1, 3], [2, 4], [1, 2, 3]] = [[2, 4]]$$

$$(all\ p) \triangleleft = ++ \ / \cdot (X_{++} \ / \cdot (p \rightarrow [[id]^{\circ}]^{\circ}, []^{\circ})^*)^*$$

**Exercise:** Compute the value of the expression  
 $(all\ even) \triangleleft [[1, 3], [2, 4], [1, 2, 3]]$ .

# Selection Operators

Suppose  $f$  is a numeric valued function. We want to define an operator  $\uparrow_f$  such that

- ①  $\uparrow_f$  is associative, commutative and idempotent;
- ②  $\uparrow_f$  is **selective** in that

$$x \uparrow_f y = x \quad \text{or} \quad x \uparrow_f y = y$$

- ③  $\uparrow_f$  is **maximizing** in that

$$f(x \uparrow_f y) = f x \uparrow f y$$

# Selection Operators

Suppose  $f$  is a numeric valued function. We want to define an operator  $\uparrow_f$  such that

- ①  $\uparrow_f$  is associative, commutative and idempotent;
- ②  $\uparrow_f$  is **selective** in that

$$x \uparrow_f y = x \quad \text{or} \quad x \uparrow_f y = y$$

- ③  $\uparrow_f$  is **maximizing** in that

$$f(x \uparrow_f y) = f x \uparrow f y$$

**Condition:**  $f$  should be injective.

## An Example: $\uparrow_{\#}$

But if  $f$  is not injective, then  $x \uparrow_f y$  is not specified when  $x \neq y$  but  $f x = f y$ .

$$[1, 2] \uparrow_{\#} [3, 4]$$

## An Example: $\uparrow_{\#}$

But if  $f$  is not injective, then  $x \uparrow_f y$  is not specified when  $x \neq y$  but  $f x = f y$ .

$$[1, 2] \uparrow_{\#} [3, 4]$$

To solve this problem, we may *refine*  $f$  to an injective function  $f'$  such that

$$f x < f y \Rightarrow f' x < f' y.$$

## An Example: $\uparrow_{\#}$

But if  $f$  is not injective, then  $x \uparrow_f y$  is not specified when  $x \neq y$  but  $f x = f y$ .

$$[1, 2] \uparrow_{\#} [3, 4]$$

To solve this problem, we may *refine*  $f$  to an injective function  $f'$  such that

$$f x < f y \Rightarrow f' x < f' y.$$

So we may select the *lexicographically* least sequence as the value of  $x \uparrow_{\#} y$  when  $\#x = \#y$ .



In this case,  $++$  distributes through  $\uparrow_{\#}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}x ++ (y \uparrow_{\#} z) &= (x ++ y) \uparrow_{\#} (x ++ z) \\(x \uparrow_{\#} y) ++ z &= (x ++ z) \uparrow_{\#} (y ++ z)\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}(x ++ ) \cdot \uparrow_{\#} / &= \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (x ++ ) * \\(++ x) \cdot \uparrow_{\#} / &= \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (++ x) * .\end{aligned}$$

We assume  $\omega = \uparrow_{\#} / []$ , satisfying  $\# \omega = -\infty$ . ( $\omega$  is the zero element of  $++$ )

# A short calculation

Show that  $\uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (all\ p)_{\triangleleft}$  is a homomorphism.

# A short calculation

Show that  $\uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (all\ p)_{\triangleleft}$  is a homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (all\ p)_{\triangleleft} \\ = & \quad \{ \text{definition before} \} \\ & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot ++ / \cdot (X_{++} / \cdot (p \rightarrow [[id]^{\circ}]^{\circ}, []^{\circ}) *) * \\ = & \quad \{ \text{reduce promotion} \} \\ & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (\uparrow_{\#} / \cdot X_{++} / \cdot (p \rightarrow [[id]^{\circ}]^{\circ}, []^{\circ}) *) * \\ = & \quad \{ ++ \text{ distributes over } \uparrow_{\#} \} \\ & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot ( ++ / \cdot (\uparrow_{\#} / \cdot) * \cdot (p \rightarrow [[id]^{\circ}]^{\circ}, []^{\circ}) *) * \\ = & \quad \{ \text{many steps ...} \} \\ & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot ( ++ / \cdot (p \rightarrow [id]^{\circ}, K_{\omega}) *) * \end{aligned}$$

# Specification of the Problem

Recall the problem of computing the longest segment of a list, all of whose elements satisfied some given property  $p$ .

$$lsp = \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (all\ p) \triangleleft \cdot segs$$

# Specification of the Problem

Recall the problem of computing the longest segment of a list, all of whose elements satisfied some given property  $p$ .

$$lsp = \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (all\ p) \triangleleft \cdot segs$$

Property:  $lsp$  is not a homomorphism.

# Specification of the Problem

Recall the problem of computing the longest segment of a list, all of whose elements satisfied some given property  $p$ .

$$lsp = \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (all\ p) \triangleleft \cdot segs$$

Property:  $lsp$  is not a homomorphism.

This is because:

$$\begin{array}{lll} lsp\ [2, 1] & =\ lsp\ [2] & =\ [2] \\ lsp\ [4] & =\ lsp[4] & =\ [4] \end{array}$$

does not imply

$$lsp\ ([2, 1] ++ [4]) = lsp\ ([2] ++ [4]).$$

# Calculating a Solution to the Problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (all\ p) \triangleleft \cdot segs \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{segment decomposition} \} \\
 & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (\uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (all\ p) \triangleleft \cdot tails) * \cdot inits \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{result before} \} \\
 & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot (\uparrow_{\#} / \cdot ( ++ / \cdot (p \rightarrow [id]^o, K_{\omega}) *) * \cdot tails) * \cdot inits \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{Horner's rule with } x \odot a = (x ++ (p\ a \rightarrow [a], \omega) \uparrow_{\#} []) \} \\
 & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot \odot \not\rightarrow [] * \cdot inits \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{accumulation lemma} \} \\
 & \uparrow_{\#} / \cdot \odot \not\rightarrow []
 \end{aligned}$$

## Homework BMF 2-2

Show the final program for  $lsp$  is linear in the number of calculation of  $p$ , and code it in Haskell.