Note on Zenoh

Hsin-Jui, Chen

December 13, 2024

Contents

1	Trac	ring Zenoh	2
2	Cas	e study: The z_pub example	2
3	On io/zenoh-transport		5
	3.1	Basic Ideas of Transmission Pipeline	5
	3.2	Backoff Mechanism in Transmission Pipeline	8
4 Profiling Zenoh		filing Zenoh	11
	4.1	Official Implementation of the stats Feature	11
	4.2	Documentation for the qstats Feature	12
	4.3	Documentation for the Link Scheduler	15

1 Tracing Zenoh

There are multiple ways to efficiently trace the Zenoh program using uftrace, one may follow these steps:

To successfully compile the program and enable the program to traced in instruction-level. One may need to find out the right environment variables given to the compiler. I solve my problem with the comment in this reference, which provides some useful guidance for identifying the correct compile flag.

Another way to trace Zenoh programs is using the macros tracing::info!() and tracing::debug!() provided in crate tracing. These macros provide the same functionality as the println!() macro, however, it can separate the output into multiple levels of information. The following command is an example of running Zenoh program with tracing crate utilities.

```
1 $ RUST_LOG=debug cargo run --example example_name
2 /* You may also build and run */
3 $ cargo build --example
4 $ RUST_LOG=debug ./target/release/examples/example_name
```

To whom interested in how it works, you may check out the comment of the function zenoh::try_init_log_from_env() and its implementation. ¹

2 Case study: The z_pub example

```
0 # main() in examples/examples/z_pub.rs
30 let session = zenoh::open(config).await.unwrap();
```

The function zenoh::open(config) 2 returns an OpenBuilder<TryIntoConfig> instance, a structure that implements the IntoFuture trait. Therefore, the .await operator asynchronously waits for the wait() 3 function to complete.

¹ Line 31 in the file commons/zenoh-util/src/log.rs.

² Line 2900 in zenoh/src/api/session.rs

³ Line 2954 in zenoh/src/api/session.rs

Then wait() function attempts to extract the config and passes it to the function Session::new(config).wait()⁴. This method first collects the topics it suscribes to and publishes. It then initializes a new runtime by

```
0 # Session::new() in zenoh/src/api/session.rs
964 let mut runtime = RuntimeBuilder::new(config);
969 let mut runtime = runtime.build().await?;
```

Let's dive into the details of runtime and RuntimeBuilder::build()⁵. This function first sets up a router (responsible for managing the routing table), a transport manager (handling network protocols), and a notifier (notifying when subscribed topics are received). It then initializes the runtime state with the router, transport manager, and notifier. For reference, a brief snippet of the code is shown below:

After that, it makes the process listen for incoming messages by starting an asynchronous notifier that listens for "connect/endpoints" with the runtime and updates peers as needed. However, I do not fully understand this part of the code, so I am only sketching the main ideas here. Once the runtime is built, the session is then initialized with the runtime.

```
0 # Session::new() in zenoh/src/api/session.rs
971 let mut session = Self::init(
972    runtime.clone(),
973    aggregated_subscribers,
974    aggregated_publishers,
975 )
976 .await;
```

It appears that each session must be associated with a runtime; however, multiple sessions can share the same runtime. Within Session::new(), the Session::init()

⁴ Line 955 in zenoh/src/api/session.rs

⁵ Line 126 in zenoh/src/net/runtime/mod.rs

function is invoked, which subsequently calls router.new_primitives()⁶. This function adds a new FaceState entry to the routing table (tables.faces) and generates a unique fid. For reference, the relevant code snippet is shown below:

```
78 let fid = tables.face_counter;
79 tables.face_counter += 1;
80 let newface = tables
      .faces
      .entry(fid)
      .or_insert_with(|| {
           FaceState::new(
               fid,
               zid,
               WhatAmI::Client,
               #[cfg(feature = "stats")]
               primitives.clone(),
90
               None,
               None,
               ctrl_lock.new_face(),
93
94
      })
       .clone();
97 tracing::debug!("New {}", newface);
98 for (key, val) in tables.faces.iter() {
      tracing::debug!("key: {key} val: {val}");
100 }
```

From this, we can observe that the face ID (fid) is determined by a counter. Therefore, the observation mentioned in the meetings on 11/1 and 11/8, that fids are inconsistent across Zenoh processes, is now clear and understandable.

After the faces is constructed, it is cloned using Arc::clone() and inserted into a Face structure, which is then returned. Subsequently, admin::init(&session) is called within Self::init(). However, the functionality of this method remains unclear to me. Once this step is complete, the session is successfully initialized and returned from Self::init() (Session::init()).

Next, the Runtime::start() function is invoked 7 . This function determines the appropriate method to call based on the value of runtime.WhatAmI and starts the runtime accordingly. In the z_pub example, the value of WhatAmI is set to Peer, resulting in the invocation of the Runtime::start_peer() method⁸. In the Runtime method Runtime::start_peer(), the following operations are performed:

⁶ Implemented at line 70 in zenoh/src/net/routing/router.rs

⁷ Line 119 in zenoh/src/net/runtime/orchestrator.rs

⁸ Line 171 in zenoh/src/net/runtime/orchestrator.rs

- 1. Bind listeners (self.bind_listeners(&listeners).await?;): This step appears to create multiple listener threads to handle connections on different threads.
- **2.** Connect to peers (self.connect_peers(&peers, false).await?;): Establishes connections to other peers.
- **3.** Enable scouting (if configured): If scouting is enabled in the configuration, the runtime will start the scouting process.

When binding listeners, the critical functions in the call tree are as follows:

```
Runtime::bind_listeners(&listeners)
Runtime::bind_listeners_impl(listeners)
Runtime::add_listener(&self, listener: EndPoint)
Runtime::manager().add_listener(endpoint)
TransportManager::add_listener_unicast(endpoint)
TransportManager::new_link_manager_unicast(...)
LinkManagerUnicastTcp::new_listener(endpoint)
LinkManagerUnicastTcp::new_link_inner(...)
```

Then the TCP stream socket is returned.

3 On io/zenoh-transport

3.1 Basic Ideas of Transmission Pipeline

After some seniors advised me to switch my focus to studying the zenoh trasport, I start reading the codes in io/zenoh-transport, and I realized that this part might be more useful for understanding how Zenoh handles message transport.

I began by examining the code in io/zenoh-transport/src/common/pipeline.rs, which implements the pipeline transmission mechanism in Zenoh. The following note is written with respect to the earlier 1.0.0 beta version, it might have some differences now. This code establishes a MPSC (**Multiple Producer**, **Single Consumer**) pipeline. Consequently, the pipeline is divided into two stages: StageIn and StageOut.

For a data producer, it must insert data into a WBatch (short for write batch). The WBatch structure is defined in io/zenoh-transport/src/common/batch.rs as follows:

Essentially, the WBatch structure serves as an in-memory buffer for storing serialized data. To implement the MPSC pipeline, multiple WBatch structures are organized

into a RingBuffer. This design allows multiple producers to insert data into different batches concurrently. However, the implementation is more complex than it appears.

Next, we explain the key ideas behind the push_network_message() function in the StageIn implementation.

- 1. The function first attempts to obtain a WBatch by following these steps:
- (a) Acquire the lock associated with the StageIn.
 - The thread acquires a lock from StageInMutex to check if a WBatch is available.
 - If an available WBatch is found in the StageInMutex, the buffer is successfully obtained. The WBatch is then moved out of the Option<WBatch>, signaling to other threads that this WBatch needs to be refilled. 9
- (b) Refill Check.
 - If no WBatch is available, the function attempts to refill by checking for remaining WBatch structures in the ring buffer. If successful, the thread obtains a buffer from the ring buffer.
- (c) Conditional Wait.
 - If the ring buffer also lacks an available WBatch, the thread must wait for a consumer to process a batch and notify the thread. This involves a conditional wait mechanism. Additionally, certain scenarios may require further considerations. For instance, if some messages are allowed to be dropped after a deadline, the thread may wait only until the deadline expires.

The following piece of code implements this idea:

⁹ Initially, I thought that moving the WBatch out of theOption<T> was to prevent other threads from writing to the same WBatch. Thanks to those seniors for pointing out my misunderstanding.

```
Some(deadline) if !$fragment => {
                    if !self.s_ref.wait_deadline(deadline) {
                      $restore sn;
19
                      return false
23
                    if !self.s_ref.wait() {
                      $restore_sn;
                      return false;
28
29
                c_guard = self.mutex.current();
30
31
           },
33
34
    };
36 }
```

- 2. After obtaining the buffer, the function attempts to write the message into it. However, the acquired WBatch may not be empty, and the message might not fit into the remaining space. In such cases, the function releases the original WBatch and tries to obtain an empty WBatch using the same procedure described above.
- **3.** If an empty WBatch still cannot accommodate the entire message, the function will iteratively obtain additional WBatch structures and fragment the message until it is fully processed.

Note that there is a distinction between NetworkMessage and TransportMessage: the former represents the data being transported, while the latter is used for protocol and flow control. As a result, a network message may be larger and may not fit into a single WBatch. However, in push_transport_message(), fragmentation handling is unnecessary. To implement the concepts described above, the following structures are designed to manage the StageIn and StageOut pipelines.

```
1 /* StageIn */
2 struct StageInRefill {
3     n_ref_r: Waiter,
4     s_ref_r: RingBufferReader < WBatch, RBLEN >,
5 }
6 struct StageInOut {
7     n_out_w: Notifier,
8     s_out_w: RingBufferWriter < WBatch, RBLEN >,
9     atomic_backoff: Arc < AtomicBackoff >,
10 }
```

```
11 struct StageInMutex {
12     current: Arc<Mutex<Option<WBatch>>>,
13     priority: TransportPriorityTx,
14 }
15 /* StageOut */
16 struct StageOutIn {
17     s_out_r: RingBufferReader<WBatch, RBLEN>,
18     current: Arc<Mutex<Option<WBatch>>>,
19     backoff: Backoff,
20 }
21 struct StageOutRefill {
22     n_ref_w: Notifier,
23     s_ref_w: RingBufferWriter<WBatch, RBLEN>,
24 }
```

We can observe that the StageInRefill structure holds the information, methods, and implementations necessary for waiting on a WBatch to be consumed. On the other hand, the StateInOut structure stores the information required to notify the consumer threads.

3.2 Backoff Mechanism in Transmission Pipeline

As I mentioned in the previous meeting, there are certain backoff mechanisms in the transmission pipeline. Initially, I believed these mechanisms were designed for congestion control. However, after further study, I realized this assumption was incorrect.

The actual idea is to avoid consuming a WBatch if it contains only a small amount of data. Backoff mechanisms are adopted to prevent the constant writing of small batches. Specifically, if only a few bytes are written to a WBatch, there is no immediate need to consume it. Waiting for additional bytes to be written can make the transmission more efficient by reducing communication overhead, such as headers.

Let me elaborate further. Recall that both the consumer and producer maintain their own queues for available WBatch instances. For the consumer, this queue (a ring buffer) holds the WBatch instances that are ready to be sent out. For the producer, its queue contains WBatch instances that are empty (but may not necessarily be clean). The use of these two queues offers an advantage: it limits the maximum number of pending WBatch instances and serves as a form of resource control.

For the consumer, if there is a WBatch in its queue, it simply pulls the batch and sends it out over the link. If a WBatch is present in the consumer's queue, it indicates that the batch is either full or needs to be sent immediately. In such cases, there is no need to wait. However, if the consumer queue is empty, the consumer might consider consuming the currently active WBatch in StageIn. To avoid frequently sending out WBatch instances with only a small amount of data, the consumer waits until one of the following conditions is met:

- **1.** A WBatch is added to the consumer queue (this will be notified by producers).
- **2.** The WBatch in StageInMutex contains data exceeding a predefined threshold (this will be notified by producers as well).
- **3.** The backoff timeout is reached.

In the first case, a WBatch becomes ready while the consumer is waiting. In the second case, the WBatch is nearly full and can be sent out efficiently. In the third case, no new data is written to the current WBatch, indicating that waiting further is unnecessary. Next, we will examine the code to provide additional explanations.

```
struct AtomicBackoff {
   active: CachePadded<AtomicBool>,
   bytes: CachePadded<AtomicBatchSize>,
   first_write: CachePadded<AtomicMicroSeconds>,
}
```

This structure is shared by both the producer and consumer. The three fields in the structure have the following meanings:

- 1. active: Indicates whether the consumer is actively in backoff state.
- 2. bytes: Stores the number of bytes written to the current WBatch.
- **3.** first_write: Stores the timestamp of the first message written to the current WBatch. This field is used to ensure that no message waits for too long.

The following code demonstrates how these ideas are implemented on the producer side.

```
impl StageInOut {
    #[inline]
    fn notify(&self, bytes: BatchSize) {
        self.atomic_backoff.bytes.store(bytes, Ordering::Relaxed);
        if !self.atomic_backoff.active.load(Ordering::Relaxed) {
            let _ = self.n_out_w.notify();
        }
    }
}

#[inline]
fn move_batch(&mut self, batch: WBatch) {
        let _ = self.s_out_w.push(batch);
        self.atomic_backoff.bytes.store(0, Ordering::Relaxed);
        let _ = self.n_out_w.notify();
    }
}
```

In the function notify(), the number of bytes written is first stored. If the consumer is in a backoff state (namely, the active field is true), the function notifies the consumer, waking it up.

For the method move_batch(), it pushes the current WBatch to the consumer queue. Since the current WBatch is being moved out, the number of bytes must be reset to 0. After this, the function notifies the consumer.

On the consumer side, the structure StageOutIn has two methods, try_pull() and try_pull_deep(), which implement the functionality for pulling messages either from the queue or from the current WBatch. The following pseudocode demonstrates the workflow for pulling a WBatch on the consumer side.

Algorithm 1 The implementations of try_pull() and try_pull_deep() functions

```
1: function TryPull()
 2:
       if the consumer queue is not empty then
           set the backoff state to inactive
                                                           // it might be backoffing previously.
 3:
           return The WBatch in the queue
 4:
       else
 5:
           TryPullDeep()
                                                                // Try to pull current WBatch.
 6:
 7:
 8: function TryPullDeep()
 9:
       pull \leftarrow (backoff is active) // Check whether it was already in backoff since last pull
       backoffTime \leftarrow 0
10:
                                        // The consumer is already backoffed in previous pulls
       if not pull then
11:
           pull \leftarrow (\text{new\_bytes} == \text{old\_Bytes})
                                                   // Check whether some new bytes are added
12:
       if not pull then
                                                 // There are some bytes added by the producer
13:
           diff \leftarrow elapsed time since the first write to the WBatch
14:
15:
           if diff \ge threshold then
               pull ← True
16:
           else
17:
               backoffTime \leftarrow threshold - diff
18:
19:
       if pull then
                                                          // Ready to pull the current WBatch.
           acquire lock
20:
           set backoff state to inactive
21:
22:
           if consumer queue is not empty then
                                                              // In case the queue is not empty
               return WBatch from the queue
23:
           else if current WBatch does not exist then
24:
                                                                 // StageIn does not refill yet
               return None
25:
                                                                    // Pull the current WBatch
26:
           else
               return current WBatch
27:
                                 // The requirements of pulling current WBatch does not meet
28:
       else
29:
           set backoff state to active
           return backoffTime
                                                         // Make consumer wait for some time
30:
```

This realization has made me reconsider some of my previous ideas about the transmission pipeline. It appears that certain assumptions I made were incorrect, which could have subtle (though likely negligible) impacts on the implementation of the qstats feature for per-pipeline profiling.

For example, one statistic of interest might be the average queuing delay of mes-

sages or WBatch instances. Suppose we aim to profile the queuing delay of WBatch instances. Intuitively, we might record the time when a WBatch is placed into the queue and then calculate the elapsed time when the same WBatch is pulled from the queue.

Consider a scenario where a message is written to a new WBatch, but the queue is never consumed. In this case, the WBatch holds data, yet no queuing delay is recorded, which feels conceptually inconsistent.

4 Profiling Zenoh

4.1 Official Implementation of the stats Feature

In this section, I study the file io/zenoh-transport/src/common/stats.rs, and I am going to briefly expalin how Zenoh team implement their statistics analysis tools.

Before we get started, I will first show how we can utilize these existing implementations. First, to enable this, you may need to enable the stats features when compiling, you may do it in many ways, for instance, the following compiling commands all work.

```
1 $ cargo run --example <example_name> --features stats
2 /* it is also possible separately build and run */
3 $ cargo build --example <example_name> --features stats
4 $ ./target/debug/<example_name>
```

To get famaliar with the TransportStats structure, I first implement printing out the statistics on dropping, that is, as soon as the TransportUnicast drop, then it will print out the statistics. Precisely speaking, I implement the Drop trait for the structure TransportUnicastUniversal as the following code listing. ¹⁰

Since the implementation is written in a complicated macro, I decide to expand the macro to have better understand on this complicated macro. I use the following commands to expand the macro.

```
1 $ cargo install cargo-expand
2 $ cd io/zenoh-transport/src
3 $ cargo expand common::stats --features stats > common/stats_expand.rs
```

We can see that it actually implement some increase counter methods and getter methods, this idea gives me some insights to build the equivalent of qstats.

 $^{^{10}\,\}mathrm{I}$ implement this trait in the file io/zenoh-transport/src/unicast/universal/transport.rs.

4.2 Documentation for the qstats Feature

This subsection I am trying to build the qstats feature by imitating the stats feature after macro expansions. The code is available at this repository. I give definitions and implement some methods of the structures QueueStats and QueuesStatsReport in the file io/zenoh-transport/src/common/qstats.rs.

```
pub struct QueueStatsReport {
    priority: usize,
    pub avg_qsize: f64,
    pub droprate: f64,
    pub avg_qdelay: f64,
    pub mcnt: usize,
    }

pub struct QueueStats {
    queue_counter: AtomicUsize, // current number of queueing messages
    priority: usize, // the priority of this queue
    qsize: Arc<Mutex<Vec<usize>>>, // recorded numbers of messages
    dropped: AtomicUsize, // the number of dropped messages
    tried: AtomicUsize, // the number of tried messages
    queueing_delay: Arc<Mutex<Vec<usize>>>, // recorded queueing delays
    queueing_delay: Arc<Mutex<Vec<usize>>>, // recorded queueing delays
}
```

These are the definitions of the structures, and we can see that QueueStatsReport will record the QueueStats at some point, while QueueStats structure continuously record the statistics. The main statistics we are concerned about are average queue size (qsize), number of dropped messages (dropped), drop rate due to queueing (dropped/tried), and average queueing delay (average of queueing_delay).

The structure QueueStats have the following methods:

```
impl QueueStats {
  pub fn new(priority: usize) -> Self {}

pub fn record_qsize(&self) {}

pub fn push_qdelay(&self, delay: usize) {}

pub fn inc_qcnt(&self) {}

pub fn dec_qcnt(&self) {}

pub fn inc_dropped(&self) {}

pub fn inc_tried(&self) {}

pub fn report(&self) -> QueueStatsReport {}

pub fn report(&self) -> QueueStatsRepor
```

These methods have the following purposes:

- new(): create a new QueueStats for priority level prioirty.
- 2. record_qsize(): when producer trying to push message, it will first need to record the number of messages in the queue. Hence the method need to first load the counter then push to self.qsize.

- **3.** push_qdelay(): when a consumer pull a message, it will calculate the elapsed time since the message written to a WBatch.
- **4.** inc_qcnt(), dec_qcnt() when a message is pushed or pulled, we need to modify the counter.
- **5.** inc_dropped(), inc_tried() when a message is pushed, we need to change the counter of dropped and tried messages.
- **6.** report() generate a QueueStatsReport structure that contains the current statistics. For the average queue size and average queueing delay, I choose to implement the exponential moving average formula, with $\alpha = 0.1$.

Modifications on batch.rs. There are modifications needed to be made to batch.rs and pipeline.rs. For batch.rs, we add the following to encode() ¹¹ methods. We use the conditional compilation flag #[cfg(feature = "qstats")] to ensure the modifications are effective only when compiling with qstats feature.

When a message or a fragment buffer is successfully written to a WBatch, we add an instant (time) to WBatch.time. Then, when this WBatch is pulled, it will calculate queueing delay of these messages and fragments.

Modifications on pipeline.rs. In this paragraph, we only list important modifications. The consumer and the producer both will have a list of QueueStats.

```
1 struct StageIn {
2    /* some fields are omitted */
3    #[cfg(feature = "qstats")]
4    qstats: Arc<QueueStats>,
5 }
6 pub(crate) struct TransmissionPipelineProducer {
```

¹¹ Note that there are multiple implementations of encode().

```
/* some fields are omitted */
# [cfg(feature = "qstats")]

qstats_list: Arc<[Arc<QueueStats>]>,

pub(crate) struct TransmissionPipelineConsumer {
    /* some fields are omitted */
# [cfg(feature = "qstats")]
qstats_list: Arc<[Arc<QueueStats>]>,
}
```

Although the QueueStats structure for each queue will be access by consumer and producer simultaneously, it is not needed to use Mutex because the inner data structure of QueueStats is protected by atomic operations and mutexes. That is, the interfaces provide by QueueStats are already thread-safe. With the modifications above, we can now look at the actual changes in the consumer and the producer.

```
1 impl TransmissionPipelineConsumer {
    pub(crate) async fn pull(&mut self) -> Option<(WBatch, usize)> {
      while self.active.load(Ordering::Relaxed) {
        let mut backoff = MicroSeconds::MAX;
        for (prio, queue) in self.stage_out.iter_mut().enumerate() {
          match queue.try_pull() {
            Pull::Some(batch) => {
              #[cfg(feature = "qstats")]
                let qstat = &self.qstats_list[prio];
                batch.time.iter().for_each(|t| {
                  qstat.dec_qcnt();
                  qstat.push_qdelay(t.elapsed().as_micros() as usize);
                });
              return Some((batch, prio));
            Pull::Backoff(deadline) => {
              backoff = deadline;
              break;
            Pull::None => {}
24
26
28 }
```

We see that in the conditional compile block, for each Instant on the WBatch, we will calculate the delay and decrease the counter by the number of messages or fragments. The next part the changes on producer side.

In this part, we need to record the queue size when a message is pushed and increase the counter of tried messages. If the result is not successful, the counter of dropped messages should also increase. The counter of queueing messages is added in the zretok!() macro defined in StageIn methods push_network_message() and push_transport_message() and the fragment part of push_network_message().

4.3 Documentation for the Link Scheduler

In this subsection, I am going to explained how I abstracted the original scheduler into Scheduler trait.

```
1 trait SchedulerTrait {
2    fn reset(&mut self);
3    fn schedule(&mut self) -> ScheduleResult;
4 }
5 enum ScheduleResult {
6    Some((WBatch, usize)),
7    Backoff(MicroSeconds),
8    None,
9 }
```

The above piece of code defines the Scheduler trait and the SchedulerResult enumeration, which is the result returned by scheduler() function. The following structure is the structure that implements the original schedule algorithm:

```
1 struct PriorityScheduler {
      stage_out: Arc<Mutex<Box<[StageOut]>>>,
4 impl SchedulerTrait for PriorityScheduler {
     fn reset(&mut self) -> {}
      fn schedule(&mut self) -> ScheduleResult {
          let mut stage_out = zlock!(self.stage_out);
          for (prio, queue) in stage_out.iter_mut().enumerate() {
              match queue.try_pull() {
                  Pull::Some(batch) => {
                      return ScheduleResult::Some((batch, prio));
                  Pull::Backoff(deadline) => {
                      return ScheduleResult::Backoff(deadline);
14
                  Pull::None => {}
16
         ScheduleResult::None
```

Basically, I just copy the original code into this function. Here, I am going to give another example of scheduler. I implemented a scheduler that combined weighted round robin and priority scheduling. The idea is that we divide the transmission into two phases: contention and polling. In the contention phase, the scheduler will schedule based on priority, while in the polling phases, the scheduler will based on the allocated virtual time.

```
struct WRRScheduler {
stage_out: Arc<Mutex<Box<[StageOut]>>>,
c_window_start: Option<Instant>,
n_window_start: Option<Instant>,
last_update: Option<(Instant, MicroSeconds, usize)>,
virtual_time: BinaryHeap<Reverse<(MicroSeconds, usize)>>,
weight: Vec<usize>,
c_window: MicroSeconds,
n_window: MicroSeconds,
lo is_contention: bool,
length: usize,
length: usize,
```

Some fields store the setting of the scheduler, for instance, c_{window} and n_{window} represent the length of the contention window and the polling window. The weight represent the weight of all priorities. Unfortunately, the explanation of the scheduler described above is temporarily unavailable. I am still working on it and hope to resolve this soon.

After having implemented our first customized scheduler, we may also define the enumeration SchedulerConfig and the structure SchedulerBuilder. The structure SchedulerBuilder implements the build() method that will return an instance of Box<dyn SchedulerTrait + Send + Sync> generics. Precisely speaking, the build() method will return the Scheduler trait object that is specific by the configuration. ¹²

```
1 #[derive(Default)]
2 #[allow(dead_code)]
3 enum SchedulerConfig {
4     #[default]
5     Priority,
6     WeightedRoundRobin(WRRConfig),
7     Custom(CustomConfig),
8 }
9 struct SchedulerBuilder {
10     config: SchedulerConfig,
11 }
```

With those enumeration and structure defined, we can implement the build() and other related methods as follow.

```
1 impl SchedulerBuilder {
     fn build(
          self,
          stage_out: Arc<Mutex<Box<[StageOut]>>>,
      ) -> Box<dyn SchedulerTrait + Send + Sync> {
         match &self.config {
              SchedulerConfig::Priority => self.build_priority(stage_out),
              SchedulerConfig::WeightedRoundRobin(config) => {
                  self.build_wrr(stage_out, config.clone())
              SchedulerConfig::Custom(config) => {
                  self.build_custom(stage_out, config.clone())
      fn build_priority(
          stage_out: Arc<Mutex<Box<[StageOut]>>>
18
      ) -> Box<PriorityScheduler> {
          Box::new(PriorityScheduler { stage_out })
      fn build_wrr(
         &self,
          stage_out: Arc<Mutex<Box<[StageOut]>>>,
24
          config: WRRConfig,
```

 $^{^{12}}$ Send and Sync is added to make compiler not complaining about multi-threading.

First, the build() method will call the corresponding sub-build method based on the given configuration. Next, the sub-build method will the construct the scheduler structure then returned.