# Linear Algebra II

## 陳信睿

### January, 2023

### Abstract

這篇筆記主要是因爲在預習線性代數二的時候,常常發現很多重要的定理都 記不太起來,並且老師在下學期沒有選定指定的參考書,所以我就寫了這份筆記。 主要是參考謝銘倫老師的影片 [2],以及著名的線性代數教科書 [1] 所寫。

I wrote this note because I often found that I could not remember many important theorems when I was studying Linear Algebra II, and my teacher did not choose a reference book for the next semester. The main reference is Professor Ming-Lun Hsieh's video [2], and the famous linear algebra textbook [1].

### Contents

1	1.1	Otient and dual spaces Quotient space	
2	Inner product space		
	2.1	Orthogonal projection	10
	2.2	Orthonormal basis and Gram-Schimdt process	12
	2.3	Hilbert space	15
	2.4	Adjoint linear transformation	16

## 1 Quotient and dual spaces

### 1.1 Quotient space

**Definition 1** (Quotient space). Let V be a vector space and let W be its subspace. Define an equivalence relation on V such that

$$v_1 \sim v_2 \text{ if } v_1 - v_2 \in W.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\sim$  is indeed an equivalence relationship on V. For each  $v_0 \in V$ , define  $[v_0] = \{v \in V : v \sim v_0\}$  the equivalence class of  $v_0$ . Then,  $\{[v] : v \in V\}$  is called the quotient space V/W.

**Remark.** The quotient space V/W is equipped with a natural vector (linear) structure, namely,

$$\begin{cases} [v_1] + [v_2] = [v_1 + v_2] & \text{, for all } v_1, v_2 \in V \\ c[v_1] = [cv_1] & \text{, for all } v_1 \in V \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{F} \end{cases}.$$

Although it is crucial that we shall check these natural addition and scalar multiplication are "well-defined", we omitted here.

**Definition 2** (Quotient maps). There is a natural surjective map

$$\pi: V \to V/W, \\ v \mapsto [v],$$

which is called the quotient map. Moreover, it is a linear transformation.

Remark.

$$\ker \pi = \{ v \in V : \pi(v) = [0] \}$$

$$= \{ v \in V : [v] = [0] \}$$

$$= \{ v \in V : v - 0 \in W \}$$

$$= W .$$

**Corollary.** It follows from the dimension formula that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V/W = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W$  whenever V is finite dimensional.

Here we give an alternative proof without using dimensional formula. Since V has finite dimension, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_s\}$  be a basis of W and extend  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{A} = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r\}$  a basis of V. We claim that  $\{[w_{s+1}], \ldots, [w_s]\}$  is a basis of V/W. To see this, we shall show that:

1.  $\{[w_{s+1}], \dots, [w_r]\}$  generate V/W. Suppose  $[v] \in V/W$ . Let  $v = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i w_i$ , then

$$[v] = \left[\sum_{i=s+1}^{r} \alpha_i w_i\right] = \sum_{i=s+1}^{r} \alpha_i [w_i] .$$

2.  $\{[w_{s+1}], \ldots, [w_r]\}$  are linear independent over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose  $\sum_{i=s+1}^r \alpha_i \cdot [w_i] = [0]$ , for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} \left[\sum_{i=s+1}^{r} \alpha_i w_i\right] &= [0] \\ \iff \sum_{i=s+1}^{r} \alpha_i w_i \in W \\ \iff \sum_{i=s+1}^{r} \alpha_i w_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_j w_j, \text{ for some } \beta_j \in \mathbb{F}. \end{split}$$

We conclude that  $\alpha_i$  are all zeros, since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a basis of V.

Discussions above show that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V/W = r - s = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W$ . Now, we shall study some property about the quotient space V/W. The next theorem characterize the quotient space V/W by the following universal property.

**Theorem 3.** Let T be a linear transformation from V to U, such that ker T contain W, namely  $W \subset \ker T$ . Then, T factors through  $\pi$  uniquely. That is, there exists a unique linear transformation  $S: V/W \to U$  such that

$$T = S \circ \pi$$
.

*Proof.* Define  $S: V/W \to U$  by

$$S([v]) = T(v).$$

We first show that S is a well-defined map, namely, if [v] = [v'], then T(v) = T(v'). Note that  $[v] = [v'] \implies v - v' \in W \subset \ker T$ , we conclude T(v) = T(v'). By definition, S is a linear transformation and  $S \circ \pi = T$ . The uniqueness of such S follows from the surjectivity of  $\pi$ .

**Remark.** The quotient space V/W with the quotient map  $\pi$  is the unique vector space satisfying the theorem. That is, if we are given  $\pi': V \to V'$  satisfying the property: for every linear transformation  $T: V \to U$  with  $W \subset \ker T$ , there exists a unique  $S': V' \to U$  such that  $S' \circ \pi' = T$ . Then,  $V' \simeq V/W$  uniquely.

*Proof.* From the assumptions, we have

$$\begin{cases} \exists ! \ S: V/W \to V', \text{ such that } \pi' = S \circ \pi \\ \exists ! \ S': V' \to V/W, \text{ such that } \pi = S' \circ \pi' \end{cases}.$$

This shows  $S \circ S' = \operatorname{Id}_{V'}$ ;  $S' \circ S = \operatorname{Id}_{V/W}$  (using Theorem 3 again.) We conclude  $V' \simeq V/W$  uniquely.

Corollary. Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. Then,

$$V/\ker T \simeq \operatorname{Im} T$$
.

Hence,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V / \ker T = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \operatorname{Im} T$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 3, we have: there exists a unique  $S:V/\ker T\to W$ , such that  $T=S\circ\pi$ . It follows from the surjectivity of  $\pi$  that  $\mathrm{Im} S=\mathrm{Im} T$ . We claim that S is injective. Note that

$$\ker S = \{ [v] \in V / \ker T : S([v]) = 0 \}$$

$$= \{ [v] \in V / \ker T : T(v) = 0 \}$$

$$= \{ [v] \in V / \ker T : v \in \ker T \}$$

$$= \{ [0] \}.$$

Thus, S is a bijection. This completes the proof.

Now, let  $T:V\to V$  be a linear transformation and let  $W\subset V$  be a T-invariant subspace. Then, T induce a linear transformation  $\widetilde{T}$  on V/W define by:

$$\widetilde{T}: V/W \to V/W \\ [v] \mapsto [T(v)] \ .$$

This is a well-defined map since

$$[v] = [v'] \implies v - v' \in W$$

$$\implies T(v) - T(v') = T(v - v') \in W$$

$$\implies [T(v)] = [T(v')].$$

Now, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$  be a basis of W, and extend it to  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}'$ , a basis of V. We have shown that  $[\mathcal{B}'] = \{[v] : v \in \mathcal{B}'\}$  is a basis of V/W. Then, we have

$$[T]_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} [T|_{W}]_{\mathcal{B}} & * \\ & & \\ & & \\ 0 & [\widetilde{T}]_{[\mathcal{B}']} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We thus have

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{ch}_{T}(x) = \operatorname{ch}_{T|_{W}}(x) \cdot \operatorname{ch}_{\widetilde{T}}(x) \\ \operatorname{m}_{T}(x) \text{ is divisible by } \operatorname{m}_{T|_{W}}(x) \end{cases}.$$

Corollary. If T is diagonalizable, then so is  $\widetilde{T}$ .

The corollary follows from the fact that  $m_T(x)$  is divisible by  $m_{\tilde{T}}(x)$ . We next shall discuss the concept of dual spaces.

## 1.2 Dual space

**Definition 4** (dual space). Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . It is well-known that  $L(V, \mathbb{F})$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . It is called the dual space of V, and its elements are called linear functionals of V. We often write  $V^{\vee}$  to denote the dual space of V.

Recall that:

Given two vector spaces V, W over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then we have L(V, W) is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} L(V, W) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W.$$

Thus, we conclude that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V^{\vee} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V$  if  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V < \infty$ . Here we give an alternative proof.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose V is a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V^{\vee} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis of V. Let us consider the following linear functional:

$$v_i^{\vee}: V \to \mathbb{F}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot v_i \mapsto \alpha_i$$

We claim that  $\mathcal{B}^{\vee} = \{v_1^{\vee}, v_2^{\vee}, \dots, v_n^{\vee}\}$  is a basis of  $V^{\vee}$ , the dual space of V. We first show that  $\mathcal{B}^{\vee}$  is linear independent. Suppose there exist  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i v_i^{\vee} = 0,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i v_i^{\vee}(v_j) = 0.$$

This shows

$$\beta_i = 0$$
, for all  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

Next we show that  $\mathcal{B}^{\vee}$  generate  $V^{\vee}$ . Given  $l \in V^{\vee}$ . Then, from the linearity of l, we have

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(v_i) \cdot v_i^{\vee}.$$

We conclude that  $\mathcal{B}^{\vee}$  is a basis of  $V^{\vee}$ .

**Remark.** The basis  $\mathcal{B}^{\vee}$  is called the dual basis of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Given a linear transformation  $T:V\to W$ , it induces a linear transformation  $T^\vee:W^\vee\to V^\vee$  between dual spaces defined by:

$$T^{\vee}(l)(v) := l(T(v)), \text{ for } l \in W^{\vee} \text{ and } v \in V.$$

It is easy to verify that  $T^{\vee}$  is a linear transformation.

**Theorem 6.** Let V, W be two finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  be bases of V and W, respectively. Given  $T: V \to W$ . Then,

$$[T]_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{t}} = [T^{\vee}]_{\mathcal{B}^{\vee},\mathcal{A}^{\vee}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A := [T]_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  and  $B := [T^{\vee}]_{\mathcal{B}^{\vee},\mathcal{A}^{\vee}} = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ . From the definition, we have

$$T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} w_i$$
$$T^{\vee}(w_i^{\vee}) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ji} v_j^{\vee}$$

Then,

$$b_{ji} = T^{\vee}(w_i^{\vee})(v_j) = w_i^{\vee}(T(v_j)) = w_i^{\vee}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}w_i\right) = a_{ij}.$$

This proves the theorem.

**Theorem 7.** Let V be a vector space and let  $W \subset V$  be a subspace. Then,

$$(V/W)^{\vee} \simeq \{l \in V^{\vee} : W \subset \ker l\}.$$

*Proof.* We have known that there is a natural map  $\pi: V \to V/W$ . We claim that  $\pi^{\vee}$  is the isomorphism that bijects  $(V/W)^{\vee}$  and  $\{l \in V^{\vee}: W \subset \ker l\}$ . We first show that  $\pi^{\vee}$  is injective. Suppose  $\pi^{\vee}(l) = 0$ , for some  $l \in (V/W)^{\vee}$ . Then,

$$l(\pi(v)) = 0$$
, for all  $v \in V$   
 $\implies l([v]) = 0$ , for all  $v \in V$ .

This shows the injectivity of  $\pi^{\vee}$ . Hence,  $(V/W)^{\vee} \simeq \operatorname{Im} \pi^{\vee}$ . It suffices to show that  $\operatorname{Im} \pi^{\vee} = \{l \in V^{\vee} : W \subset \ker l\}$ .

1.  $\operatorname{Im} \pi^{\vee} \subset \{l \in V^{\vee} : W \subset \ker l\}$ . For each  $S \in (V/W)^{\vee}$  and  $w \in W$ , we have

$$\pi^{\vee}(S)(w) = S(\pi(w)) = S([w]) = S([0]) = 0.$$

2.  $\{l \in V^{\vee} : W \subset \ker l\} \subset \operatorname{Im} \pi^{\vee}$ . Let  $l \in V^{\vee}$  such that  $W \subset \ker l$ . Theorem 3 asserts that there exists a unique  $S : V/W \to \mathbb{F}$  such that  $l = S \circ \pi$ . This implies  $\pi^{\vee}(S) = l$ .

Discussions above complete the proof.

Corollary. Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then, rank $A = \operatorname{rank} A^{\operatorname{t}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V = \mathbb{F}^n$ ,  $W = \mathbb{F}^m$  and let  $T: V \to W$  defined by

$$T(v) = A \cdot v.$$

Then it is equivalent to prove

$$\dim \operatorname{Im} T = \dim (\operatorname{Im} T^{\vee}).$$

By Theorem 7,

$$(W/\mathrm{Im}T)^{\vee} \simeq \{l \in W^{\vee} : \mathrm{Im}T \subset \ker l\} = \{l \in W^{\vee} : T^{\vee}(l) = 0\} = \ker(T^{\vee}). \tag{1}$$

Thus,

$$\dim W - \dim \operatorname{Im} T = \dim W / \operatorname{Im} T = \dim (W / \operatorname{Im} T)^{\vee} = \dim W^{\vee} - \dim \operatorname{Im} (T^{\vee}).$$

This complets the proof.

**Theorem 8.** Let V and W are two finite vector spaces, and let  $T:V\to W$  be a linear transformation. Then,

- 1. T is surjective if and only if  $T^{\vee}$  is injective.
- 2. T is injective if and only if  $T^{\vee}$  is surjective.

*Proof.* In the proof of the previous corollary, we have shown in equation 1 that

$$(W/\mathrm{Im}T)^{\vee} \simeq \ker(T^{\vee}),$$

this proves the first assertion. Similarly, we have

$$(V/\ker T)^{\vee} \simeq \{l \in V^{\vee} : \ker T \subset \ker l\}. \tag{2}$$

We claim the set on the right hand side is  $\text{Im}(T^{\vee})$ .

1.  $\{l \in V^{\vee} : \ker T \subset \ker l\} \subset \operatorname{Im}(T^{\vee}).$ Let  $l \in V^{\vee}$  such that  $\ker T \subset \ker l$ . It is well-known that there exist a subspace  $X \subset W$  such that  $W = \operatorname{Im} T \oplus X$ . Consider a transformation  $s : W \to \mathbb{F}$  defined

$$s(w) = l(v),$$

where w = T(v) + x, for some  $v \in V$  and  $x \in X$ . This is a well-defined map, since  $\ker T \subset \ker l$ . Note that s is a linear transformation and  $l = s \circ T = T^{\vee}(s)$ . This implies  $\{l \in V^{\vee} : \ker T \subset \ker l\} \subset \operatorname{Im}(T^{\vee})$ .

2.  $\operatorname{Im}(T^{\vee}) \subset \{l \in V^{\vee} : \ker T \subset \ker l\}$ . Let  $l = \in \operatorname{Im}(T^{\vee})$ . Then, there exists  $s \in W^{\vee}$  such that  $l = T^{\vee}(s) = s \circ T$ , thus  $\ker T \subset \ker l$ .

Discussions above with equation 2 show that

$$(V/\ker T)^{\vee} \simeq \operatorname{Im}(T^{\vee}),$$

which is equivalent to the second assertion.

**Remark.** In the class, the teacher prove with another approach, which use the following property:

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, and let  $V^{\vee\vee}$  be the dual space of V, then there is a natural identification, that is, there is an isomorphism  $\phi: V \to V^{\vee\vee}$  defined by

$$\phi: x \mapsto (\hat{x}: f \mapsto f(x)), \quad f \in V^{\vee}.$$

Next, we show that why we shall study dual spaces by the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_s \in V^{\vee}$  be linearly independent. Suppose  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s \in \mathbb{F}$  and put

$$\Xi = \{ v \in V : l_i(v) = b_i, \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le s \}.$$

Then,  $\Xi \neq \emptyset$ .

by:

*Proof.* Consider the linear transformation  $T: V \to \mathbb{F}^s$  defined by:

$$T: v \mapsto (l_1(v), l_2(v), \dots, l_s(v)).$$

Then, dim ker T is dim V - s. Here we omit the details of the proof.

## 2 Inner product space

**Definition 10** (inner product). Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , where  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . A function  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$  is called an inner product if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1.  $\langle x+y,z\rangle = \langle x,z\rangle + \langle y,z\rangle$ , for all  $x,y,z\in V$ .
- 2.  $\langle cx, y \rangle = c \cdot \langle x, y \rangle$ , for all  $x, y \in V$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ .
- 3.  $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$ , for all  $x, y \in V$ .
- 4.  $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ , for all  $x \in V$  and  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  if and only if x = 0.

We write  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  for a vector space V together with an inner product structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . In the following text,  $\mathbb{F}$  sill stand for  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  unless otherwise stated.

We could also define the concept of norm or length of a vector  $v \in V$ .

**Definition 11** (norm). For each  $v \in V$ , define the norm of v as  $||v|| = \langle v, v \rangle^{1/2}$ .

**Theorem 12** (Riesz representation Theorem on a finite dimensional space). Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space. Then,

$$\Phi: V \to V^{\vee}$$
$$v \mapsto \Phi(v)(x) = \langle x, v \rangle$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\Phi$  is injective. Note that

$$\ker \Phi = \left\{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } x \in V \right\} = \left\{ 0 \right\}.$$

Since V is finite dimensional, we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V^{\vee}$ , thus  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$ 

In other words, inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  identifies V with its dual space  $V^{\vee}$  when V is finite dimensional. We now start study how to represent an inner product structure with a matrix. Suppose V is a finite dimensional vector space, and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis of V. For any  $x, y \in V$ , there exist  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \cdot v_i; \quad y = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j \cdot v_j.$$

Then.

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \cdot v_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_j \cdot v_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \overline{\beta_j} \left\langle v_i, v_j \right\rangle.$$

Hence, if we let

$$\Omega = (\langle v_i, v_j \rangle) \in M_n(\mathbb{F}),$$

we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \Omega \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\beta_1}}{\overline{\beta_2}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\beta_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $\Omega$  is called the matrix of  $\langle , \rangle$  associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 13** (change of basis). Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be another basis of V. Assume that

$$w_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} v_i$$
, for all  $1 \le j \le n$ .

Then,

$$\Omega' = A^{t} \cdot \Omega \cdot \overline{A}.$$

where  $\Omega'$  is the matrix of  $\langle , \rangle$  associated with  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $A = (a_{ij})$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\langle w_i, w_j \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ki} v_k, \sum_{l=1}^n a_{lj} v_l \right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ki} \left\langle v_k, v_l \right\rangle \overline{a_{lj}}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ik}^{\,\mathrm{t}} \left\langle v_k, v_l \right\rangle \overline{a_{lj}},$$

This proves the theorem.

Next, we shall ask whether we can define an inner product structure on V if we are given a matrix  $\Omega \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  and a basis  $\mathcal{A}$  of V. The answer is no. In fact, the matrix can define an inner product structure on finite dimensional V if and only if it is positive definite. However,

**Theorem 14.** If  $\Omega = B \cdot B^*$  for some  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  with  $\det B \neq 0$ , then  $\langle , \rangle_{\Omega, \mathcal{A}}$  is an inner product for any choice of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be an arbitrary basis of V. It suffices to show the inner product defined by  $\Omega$  satisfies the fourth axiom of Definition 10. If  $x \in V$ , then

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \cdot v_i$$
, for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$ .

We have

$$\langle x, x \rangle_{\Omega, \mathcal{A}} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \Omega \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha_1} \\ \overline{\alpha_2} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\alpha_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot B \cdot B^* \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha_1} \\ \overline{\alpha_2} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\alpha_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (yB) \cdot (yB)^*,$$

where  $y = (\alpha_1 \ \alpha_2 \ \dots \ \alpha_n)$  is a row vector. Write  $yB = (\beta_1 \ \beta_2 \ \dots \ \beta_n)$ . We get

$$\langle x, x \rangle_{\Omega, \mathcal{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\beta_1} \\ \overline{\beta_2} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\beta_n} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i|^2 \ge 0,$$

and  $\langle x, x \rangle_{\Omega, \mathcal{A}} = 0$  if and only if y = 0. From the assumption that  $\det B \neq 0$ , it follows x = 0 if  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ .

**Definition 15** (Hermitian and positive definite matrix). Let  $\Omega \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then,

- 1.  $\Omega$  is said to be Hermitian if  $\Omega^* = \Omega$ .
- 2.  $\Omega$  is said to be positive definite if  $\Omega$  is Hermitian and

$$x \cdot \Omega \cdot x^* > 0$$
, for all row vector  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Remark.** Let  $\Omega \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Define an  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the vector space  $V = \mathbb{F}^n$  by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot \Omega \cdot y^*$$
, where x and y are row vectors,

then  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  is an inner product on V if and only if  $\Omega$  is positive definite.

### 2.1 Orthogonal projection

**Definition 16** (perpendicular). Let  $(V, \langle , \rangle)$  be an inner product space. Then, we say a vector v is perpendicular to w if

$$\langle v, w \rangle = 0.$$

We often write  $v \perp w$  to indicate two vectors are perpendicular to each other.

Note that the Pythagorean theorem holds under this definition:

If 
$$\langle v, w \rangle = 0$$
, then  $||v + w||^2 = ||v||^2 + ||w||^2$ .

Now, we can define orthogonal projection of x to y.

**Definition 17** (Orthogonal projection). Given two vectors  $x, y \in (V, \langle , \rangle)$   $(y \neq 0)$ . Proj<sub>y</sub>(x) is the vector satisfying the following two conditions:

- 1.  $\operatorname{Proj}_{y}(x)$  is parallel to y.
- 2.  $x \operatorname{Proj}_{y}(x) \perp y$ .

From this definition, we can assume that  $\operatorname{Proj}_y(x) = \alpha \cdot y$ , for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Since  $x - \operatorname{Proj}_y(x) \perp y$ , we have

$$\langle x - \alpha \cdot y, y \rangle = 0 \iff \alpha = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle}.$$

We conclude that

$$\operatorname{Proj}_{y}(x) = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|^{2}} \cdot y.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $x, y \in (V, \langle , \rangle)$   $(y \neq 0)$ . Then,

$$\left\|\operatorname{Proj}_{y}(x)\right\| \leq \|x\|.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if x is parallel to y.

*Proof.* It follows from the Pythagorean theorem.

**Corollary.**  $|\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| \, ||y||$ , holds for all  $x, y \in V$ .

It immediate follows from Lemma 1. This inequality is known as "Cauchy's inequality".

Corollary.  $||x+y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ , holds for all  $x, y \in V$ .

*Proof.* It is equivalent to prove  $||x + y||^2 \le (||x|| + ||y||)^2$ .

$$||x + y||^{2} \le (||x|| + ||y||)^{2}$$

$$\iff ||x||^{2} + 2||x|| \cdot ||y|| + ||y||^{2}$$

$$\iff ||x||^{2} + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + ||y||^{2} \le ||x||^{2} + 2||x|| \cdot ||y|| + ||y||^{2}$$

$$\iff \Re\langle x, y \rangle \le ||x|| \cdot ||y||.$$

Note that  $\Re\langle x,y\rangle \leq |\langle x,y\rangle| \leq ||x|| \cdot ||y||$ . This proves the corollary.

In general, if we were given a subspace  $W \subset V$ , we can discuss about  $\operatorname{Proj}_W(x)$ , the orthogonal projection of x to W.

**Definition 18** (Generalization of orthogonal projection). Let W be a subspace of V and let x be a vector in V. Then,  $\text{Proj}_W(x)$  is the vector satisfying the following two conditions:

- 1.  $\operatorname{Proj}_W(x) \in W$ .
- 2.  $x \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) \perp W$ . That is,  $x \operatorname{Proj}_W(x)$  is perpendicular to any vectors in W.

The existence of  $\operatorname{Proj}_W(x)$  in a finite dimensional vector space V follows from the following theorem.

**Theorem 19.** Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space and let W be a subspace of V. Define  $W^{\perp}$  as

$$W^{\perp} := \left\{ v \in V : \langle v, w \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } w \in W \right\}.$$

Then,  $W^{\perp}$  is a subspace. Moreover,  $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $W^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V. Recall Theorem 12, we have an isomorphism:

$$V \simeq V^{\vee}$$
  
 $v \mapsto l_v(x) = \langle x, v \rangle$ .

Note that the image of  $W^{\perp}$  under this map is

$$\{l \in V^{\vee} : W \subset \ker l\} \,.$$

By Theorem 7, we have

$$W^{\perp} \simeq (V/W)^{\vee}$$
.

Thus,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W + (\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W)$$
$$= \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W + \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V/W$$
$$= \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W + \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W^{\perp}.$$

We claim that  $W \cap W^{\perp} = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $x \in W \cap W^{\perp}$ , then  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ . This shows that x must be 0. We conclude that

$$V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$$
.

If we are given a subspace  $W \subset V$  and a vector x, then according to Theorem 19, there exist unique vectors  $w_x \in W$ ,  $w_x' \in W^{\perp}$  such that

$$x = w_x + w_x'.$$

We define  $\operatorname{Proj}_w(x) := w_x$ . We now discuss a new idea of (external) direct sum of vector spaces.

**Definition 20** (direct sum). Let  $V_1, V_2$  be two vector spaces. Define

$$V_1 \oplus V_2 := \{(v_1, v_2) \in V_1 \times V_2\}$$
.

This space has a natural linear structure:

$$(v_1, v_2) + (v'_1, v'_2) := (v_1 + v'_1, v_2 + v'_2)$$
  
 $c(v_1, v_2) := (c \cdot v_1, c \cdot v_2)$ 

We shall say  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  is the external direct sum of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

We can check that:

If  $W_1, W_2$  are two subspaces of V, such that  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$ . Then,

$$W_1 \oplus_{\text{in}} W_2 \simeq W_1 \oplus_{\text{out}} W_2,$$

where  $\oplus_{in}$  is the original (internal) direct sum.

### 2.2 Orthonormal basis and Gram-Schimdt process

**Definition 21** (orthonormal basis). A set of vectors  $\{v_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is an orthonormal set if  $\langle v_{\alpha}, v_{\beta} \rangle = 0$  whenever  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and  $||v_{\alpha}|| = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . An orthonormal basis is an orthonormal set which is a basis.

**Lemma 2.** If  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$  is an orthonormal set, then it is linearly independent.

*Proof.* Suppose there exist  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \cdot v_i = 0.$$

Then,

$$0 = \langle 0, v_i \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \cdot v_i, v_i \right\rangle = \alpha_i.$$

This completes the proof.

### Remark.

1. If  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V < \infty$ , then any orthonormal set of cardinality equal to n is an orthonormal basis.

2. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an orthonormal basis. Then,  $\Omega = I_n$ , where  $\Omega$  is the matrix of  $\langle , \rangle$  associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The existence of orthonormal bases in a finite dimensional inner product space follows from the next theorem. The technique to find such a basis is known as Gram-Schmidt process.

**Theorem 22** (Gram-Schmidt process). Suppose  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r\}$  is linearly independent. Then, there exists an orthonormal set  $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r\}$  such that

$$\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}.$$

*Proof.* Define  $u_i$  and  $w_i$  recursively as:

We claim that  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{v_1,\ldots,v_k\}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{w_1,\ldots,w_k\}$  and  $\{w_1,\ldots,w_k\}$  is an orthonormal set, for each  $1\leq k\leq r$ . It is trivial when k=1. Suppose this assertion is true for some k=m< r, then  $\langle u_{m+1},w_i\rangle=\langle v_{m+1},w_i\rangle-\langle v_{m+1},w_i\rangle=0$  for  $i\leq m$ . Also,  $v_{m+1}\notin\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{w_1,\ldots,w_m\}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{v_1,\ldots,v_m\}$ , since  $\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_r\}$  is linearly independent. We thus have  $u_{k+1}\neq 0$ , this completes the proof by mathematical induction on k.

### Corollary.

1. If  $(V, \langle , \rangle)$  is a finite dimensional inner product space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , then an orthonormal basis exists.

2. Let  $\Omega$  be a positive definite matrix. From the remark of Definition 15,  $\Omega$  defines an inner product on  $V = \mathbb{F}^n$ . Let P be an invertible matrix such that  $Pe_i = w_i$ , where  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  is the standard basis of V and  $\{w_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  is one orthonormal basis of V with respect to the inner product defined by  $\Omega$ . Then, Theorem 13 asserts

$$I_n = P^{t} \cdot \Omega \cdot \overline{P} \implies \Omega = P^{-1t} \cdot \overline{P^{-1}}.$$

Let  $Q = P^{-1^{t}}$ , then we conclude

$$\Omega = Q \cdot Q^*.$$

For each positive definite matrix  $\Omega \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , there is an invertible matrix  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $\Omega = Q \cdot Q^*$ .

Recall that in Theorem 19 we have shown the existence of  $\operatorname{Proj}_W(x)$  when W is a subspace of finite dimensional vector space V. In fact, we can derive the same result but using a weaker condition.

**Theorem 23** (orthogonal projection revisited). Let  $(V, \langle , \rangle)$  be an inner product space. (It could be infinite dimensional.) Let  $W \subset V$  be a subspace with finite dimension. Then,  $\operatorname{Proj}_W(x)$  exists uniquely. In fact,

$$\operatorname{Proj}_{W}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle x, w_{i} \rangle \cdot w_{i},$$

where  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of W.

*Proof.* We first show that  $\langle x - \operatorname{Proj}_W(x), w \rangle = 0$ , for all  $w \in W$ . Note that

$$\langle x - \operatorname{Proj}_W(x), w_i \rangle = \langle x, w_i \rangle - \langle x, w_i \rangle = 0,$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . It remains to show  $\operatorname{Proj}_W(x)$  is unique. Let  $y \in W$  such that  $x - y \in W^{\perp}$ , then

$$\begin{split} \left\| \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) - y \right\|^2 &= \left\langle \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) - y, \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) - y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) - x + x - y, \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) - y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) - x, \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) - y \right\rangle + \left\langle x - y, \operatorname{Proj}_W(x) - y \right\rangle \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

We now generalize the idea of orthogonal projection to the case when the subspace W is not given.

**Definition 24** (projection). Let V be an inner product space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $T:V\to V$  be a linear transformation.

- 1. We say T is a projection if  $T^2 = T$ .
- 2. We say T is an orthogonal projection if  $T^2 = T$  and  $(\operatorname{Im} T)^{\perp} = \ker T$ .

**Remark.** Let  $T: V \to V$  be an orthogonal projection defined as above. Then,  $T(v) = \operatorname{Proj}_W(v)$ , where  $W := \operatorname{Im} T$ .

### 2.3 Hilbert space

In the previous text, lots of properties of inner product spaces only hold when the space is finite dimensional. This subsection we shall introduce a kind of inner product space that act like a finite dimensional inner product space.

**Definition 25** (Hilbert space). Let  $(V, \langle \ , \ \rangle)$  be an inner product space. The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  induces a metric d on V. V is said to be a Hilbert space, if (V, d) is a complete metric space in the sense that every Cauchy sequence converges. A subspace  $W \subset V$  is closed if W is a Hilbert subspace.

**Remark.** In analysis, "closedness" of a subspace W means that every convergent sequence in W converges to a point in W. This definition coincides the above definition.

**Theorem 26** (existence of orthogonal projection). Let  $(V, \langle , \rangle)$  be a Hilbert space and let  $W \subset V$  be a closed subset. Then,  $\operatorname{Proj}_W(x)$  exists uniquely.

*Proof.* Let  $d := \inf_{w \in W} ||w - x||$ . We claim that there exist a vector  $y_0 \in W$  such that  $||y_0 - x|| = d$ . By the definition of infimum, there exist  $y_n$  such that

$$d \le ||y_n - x|| < d + \frac{1}{n}.$$

We first show that  $(y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough so that

$$\frac{8d}{N} + \frac{4}{N^2} < \epsilon.$$

By the parallelogram law, we have

$$||y_n - y_m||^2 = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - ||y_n + y_m - 2x||^2$$

$$< 2\left(\left(d + \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 + \left(d + \frac{1}{m}\right)\right) - 4\left\|\frac{y_n + y_m}{2} - x\right\|^2$$

$$< 4\left(d + \frac{1}{N}\right)^2 - 4d^2 = \frac{8d}{N} + \frac{4}{N^2} < \epsilon,$$

where  $n, m \geq N$ . Hence,  $(y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose  $y_n \to y_0$ , then  $||y_0 - x|| = d$ . We now show that  $p = x - y_0 \in W^{\perp}$ . Let us introduce two parameters  $t \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $w \in W$ , then we have

$$||p - t \cdot w||^2 = ||x - y_0 - t \cdot w||^2 \ge d^2$$

$$\implies ||p||^2 + t^2 \cdot ||w||^2 - 2\Re(\bar{t} \cdot \langle p, w \rangle) \ge d^2$$

$$\implies t^2 \cdot ||w||^2 - 2\Re(\bar{t} \cdot \langle p, w \rangle) \ge 0.$$
(3)

If  $\langle p, w \rangle \neq 0$ , then  $\langle p, w \rangle = r \cdot \exp(i\theta)$  for some r > 0. We plug in  $t = \epsilon \cdot \exp(i\theta)$  to (3), for small enough  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then,

$$\epsilon^2 \|w\|^2 \ge 2 \cdot \Re(\epsilon r),$$

which fail to be true when  $\epsilon$  is small enough. Therefore,  $y_0 = \lim y_n = \operatorname{Proj}_W(x)$ .

Next, we introduce the concept of bounded linear functional.

**Definition 27** (bounded linear functional). Let  $(V, \langle , \rangle)$  be a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . A linear functional  $l: V \to \mathbb{F}$  is said to be bounded if there exists M > 0 such that

$$|l(v)| \le M \cdot ||v||,$$

for all  $v \in V$ . The set of all bounded linear functional on V is denoted by  $V_{\text{bdd}}^{\vee}$ . In fact, we can similarly define the concept of bounded linear transformation.

#### Remark.

- 1. Any bounded linear functional is a continuous function, with respect to the norm of V and metric on  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- 2. Any finite dimensional inner product space V is a Hilbert space, moreover,  $V_{\text{bdd}}^{\vee} = V^{\vee}$ .

**Theorem 28** (Riesz representation theorem). Let  $(V, \langle , \rangle)$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $l \in V_{\text{bdd}}^{\vee}$  be a bounded linear functional, then there exist  $y \in V$ , such that

$$l(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$$
,

for all  $x \in V$ .

*Proof.* Let l be a bounded linear functional. Then,  $N = \ker l$  is a closed subspace of V. (Recall that the preimage under a continuous function of a closed set is closed.) If N is V, then l = 0, and we can take y = 0. Now, we assume that  $N \subsetneq V$ , it follows from Theorem 26 that there exists  $v \in N^{\perp}$ . (Hence  $l(v) \neq 0$ .) Consider a function  $\alpha(x) = l(x)/l(v)$ , for all  $x \in V$ . Then,

$$l(x) = \alpha(x) \cdot l(v)$$

$$\implies l(x - \alpha \cdot v) = 0$$

$$\implies x - \alpha \cdot v \in N$$

$$\implies \langle x - \alpha \cdot v, v \rangle = 0$$

$$\implies \langle x, v \rangle = \alpha \cdot \langle v, v \rangle$$

$$\implies l(x) = \langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } y = \frac{\overline{l(v)}}{\|v\|^2} \cdot v.$$

### 2.4 Adjoint linear transformation

**Definition 29** (adjoint linear transformation). Let  $(V, \langle , \rangle)$  and  $(W, \langle , \rangle)$  be two inner product spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. We define the adjoint of T is the transformation  $T^*: W \to V$  such that:

$$\langle T^*(w), v \rangle = \langle v, T(w) \rangle$$
,

for all  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ .

We now show that  $T^*$  exists uniquely if both V and W are finite dimensional.

**Theorem 30.** Let V and W be two finite dimensional inner product spaces and let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. Then,  $T^*$  exists uniquely.

*Proof.* By Theorem 22, there exist orthonormal bases of V and W, say  $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ , respectively. Let  $[T]_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} = A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$ . We now assume  $T^*$  exists, and let  $[T^*]_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}} = (b_{ij})_{n \times m}$ . Then,

$$\langle T^*(w_i), v_j \rangle = \langle w_i, T(v_j) \rangle$$

$$\implies \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ki} \cdot v_k, v_j \right\rangle = \left\langle w_i, \sum_{l=1}^m a_{lj} \cdot w_l \right\rangle$$

$$\implies b_{ji} = \overline{a_{ij}}.$$

This shows the uniqueness of  $T^*$ . In fact, this also shows the existence of  $T^*$ , since we can define:

$$T^*: W \to V$$
  
 $[w]_{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto A^* \cdot [w]_{\mathcal{B}},$ 

where  $[w]_{\mathcal{B}}$  denote the coordinate vector of w with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . The calculations above implies  $T^*$  meets the condition of adjoint linear transformation.

**Theorem 31.** Let V, W be inner product spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $T_1, T_2$  and T be linear transformations from V to W. Suppose  $T_1^*, T_2^*$  and  $T_0^*$  exist. Then, the following properties hold:

- 1.  $(T_1 + T_2)^* = T_1^* + T_2^*$ .
- 2.  $(\alpha \cdot T)^* = \overline{\alpha} \cdot T^*$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .
- 3. Let U be an inner product space and let  $S: W \to U$  be a linear transformation with the adjoint exists. Then,  $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$ .
- 4.  $T^{**} = T$ .

The proof is very straightforward, so we omit it.

**Theorem 32.** Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation between two "finite dimensional" inner product spaces. Then,

- 1.  $(\text{Im}T)^{\perp} = \ker(T^*)$ .
- 2.  $(\ker T)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Im}(T^*)$ .

*Proof.* To show the first assertion, suppose  $w \in (\operatorname{Im} T)^{\perp}$ , namely,

$$\langle w, T(v) \rangle = 0$$
, for all  $v \in V$ .  
 $\iff \langle T^*(w), v \rangle = 0$ , for all  $v \in V$ .  
 $\iff T^*(w) = 0$ .  
 $\iff w \in \ker(T^*)$ .

Similarly, for the second assertion, we assume that  $v \in \text{Im}(T^*)$ , then  $v = T^*(w)$  for some  $w \in W$ . Note that

$$\langle v, x \rangle = \langle T^*(w), x \rangle = \langle w, T(x) \rangle = 0$$
, for all  $x \in \ker T$ .

Thus, we conclude that  $\operatorname{Im}(T^*) \subset (\ker T)^{\perp}$ . By the dimensional formulas, we get  $\operatorname{Im}(T^*) = (\ker T)^{\perp}$ .

**Definition 33** (unitary linear transformation (operator)). Let  $T:V\to W$  be a linear transformation between two inner product spaces (probably infinite dimensional). T is called unitary if

$$\langle T(v_1), T(v_2) \rangle = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle,$$

for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ .

The next theorem gives a characterization of unitary operators.

**Theorem 34.** Given a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  between two finite dimensional inner product spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. T is unitary.
- 2. ||T(v)|| = ||v||, for all  $v \in V$ .
- 3.  $T^* \circ T = \mathrm{Id}_V$ .
- 4. T sends the orthonormal basis to an orthonormal set.

# References

- [1] S.H. Friedberg, A.J. Insel, and L.E. Spence. *Linear Algebra*. Featured Titles for Linear Algebra (Advanced) Series. Pearson Education, 2003. ISBN: 9780130084514.
- [2] 謝銘倫. 線性代數二. https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLVJXJebp04Pg W5WgeU\_hIm17mS08Eoggx. 2016.