## **Binary Classification**

• The Red, Blue, Green:

$$egin{bmatrix} 225 \ 231 \ & \vdots \ 255 \ 134 \ & \vdots \ 225 \ \end{bmatrix}$$

- The Binary:
- given the x, y:

$$(x,y),x\inec{R^{n_x}},y\in\{0,1\}$$

• The ruled the m is training example :

$$\{(x^1, y^1), (x^2, y^2), \dots, (x^m, y^m)\}$$

- ruled the  $m_{test}$  = m test example
- The ruled a matrix X:

$$X = egin{bmatrix} dots & dots & dots & dots \ x^1 & x^2 & \cdots & x^m \ dots & dots & dots & dots \end{bmatrix}, x \in ec{R}^{n_x}, X \in ec{R}^{n_x imes m}$$

• The ruled a matrix Y:

$$Y = [y^1 \quad y^2 \quad \cdots \quad y^m], y \in \{0,1\}, y \in ec{R}^{1 imes m}$$

#### Remember the X can't do the $X^T$

#### In Python:

- X.shape $(n_x, m)$ , Y.shape(1, m)
- The X row is  $n_x$ , the col is m
- The Y row is 1, the col is m

## **Logistic Regression**

• Given 'x',The probability is:

$$\hat{y} = P imes (y = 1|_x), x \in ec{R}^{n_x}$$

• The logistic parameter is:

$$w\in ec{R^{n_x}},b\in R$$

The Output is:

$$\hat{y} = w^T \times x + b, 0 \leq y \leq 1$$

• In this way, we can get the sigmoid function:

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(w^T imes x + b), z = w^T + b \Rightarrow \sigma(z) = rac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

• If the  $z \to +\infty$  :

$$\sigma(z) = \lim rac{1}{1+0} = 1$$

• If the  $z \to 0$   $or - \infty$ :

$$\sigma(z) = \lim rac{1}{1+\infty} = 0$$

• But if someone to designed the  $x_0=1$ :

$$x \in ec{R}^{n_x+1}, \hat{y} = \sigma( heta^T imes x) heta = egin{bmatrix} heta_1 \ heta_2 \ dots \ heta_{n_x} \end{bmatrix} \dot{i} ec{\mathcal{Z}} : b = heta_0, w = \{ heta_1, heta_2, \dots, heta_{n_x}\}$$

## **Logistic Regression Lost Function**

train the logistic regression's parameters: w and b

• Given the m  $(m_{test})$  training examples:

$$\{(x^1, y^1), (x^2, y^2), \dots, (x^m, y^m)\}$$

ullet From them to gain the w and b, and to gain the  $\hat{y}^{(i)} 
ightarrow y^{(i)}$ 

### The Lost (Error) Function

To gain or maybe to say suit for the single training

$$defL(\hat{y},y) = rac{1}{2}(\hat{y}-y)^2$$

But the result leads to the error of the real, the image is uneven

To deal with the bug:

$$defL(\hat{y},y) = -(y \log \hat{y} + (1-y) \log(1-\hat{y}))$$

• If y=1 ,  $L(\hat{y},y)=-\log \hat{y}$  :

$$\Rightarrow \log \hat{y} \to +\infty, 0 \leq \hat{y} \leq 1 \Rightarrow \lim \hat{y} = 1$$

• If y = 0,  $L(\hat{y}, y) = -\log(1 - \hat{y})$ :

$$\Rightarrow \log(1-\hat{y}) \to +\infty, 0 < \hat{y} < 1 \Rightarrow \lim \hat{y} = 0$$

#### **Cost Function**

#### For the whole training examples

$$def J(w,b) = rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \hat{y}^{(i)} + (1-y^{(i)}) \log (1-\hat{y}^{(i)}) 
ight]$$

## **Gradient Descent**

#### We already have known that:

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(w^Tx + b), \sigma(z) = rac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

$$J(w,b) = rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L(\hat{y}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = -rac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m [y^{(i)} \log \hat{y}^{(i)} + (1-y^{(i)} \log (1-y^{(i)})]$$

# For logistic regression, almost any initialization method will work

gradient descent

Start at the initial point and move towards the steepest downhill, then keep moving towards the steepest downhill

· Having this trend

$$Repeat: Learn\ Rate: lpha''\ The\ J(w,b)\ use\ as J(w)''w:=w-lpharac{dJ(w)}{dw} \Rightarrow w:=w-lpha$$

#### **Gradient Descent moves towards the global minimum**

So We can gain the method of the Gradient Descent

$$w:=w-lpharac{\partial(w,b)}{\partial w}, b:=b-lpharac{\partial(w,b)}{\partial b}$$

In Python Code:

The math function  $rac{\partial (w,b)}{\partial w}$  was credited as dw

Alternatively, all integrals are denoted as dx

# The Logistic Regression Gradient Descent

• given the  $m_{test}$ :

$$egin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \ x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}, b$$

• then have this function list:

$$z=w_1x_1+w_2x_2+b
ightarrow lpha=\sigma(z)
ightarrow L(a,y)$$

• for the L to  $\alpha$  :

$$dlpha = rac{dL(a,y)}{da} = -rac{y}{a} + rac{1-y}{1-a}$$

• for the  $\alpha$  to z :

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dz} = \alpha(1 - \alpha)$$

• for the L to z:

$$dz = rac{\partial L(a,y)}{\partial z} = rac{\partial L(a,y)}{\partial lpha} \cdot rac{dlpha}{dz} = a - y$$

• for the L to w:

$$dw_m = rac{\partial L(a,y)}{\partial w_m} = x_m \cdot dz = x_m (a-y)$$

• for the L to b:

$$db=rac{\partial L(a,y)}{\partial b}=dz=a-y$$

#### Then we can use the:

$$w := w - \alpha dw$$

$$b := b - \alpha db$$

# **Gradient Descent On m examples**

• Now that we have a single training set, we just to do m training sets  $(m_{test})$ 

```
J = 0 dw = 0 db = 0
for i in range(m) :
z = w * x + b
a = sigmoid(z)
J += -[y * log(a) + (1 - y) * log * (1 - a)]
dz = a - y
for i in range (n_x) :
dw += x * dz
db += dz
J /= m
dw /= m
```

```
db /= m

the params w and b :

w := w - a * dw

b := b - a * db

''''
```

In fact, the code is a single training set to run one by on from 1 to

## **Vectorization**

Vectorization is a technique that eliminates the display "for loop" and is widely used in deep learning

• The Vectorization Version:

$$w = egin{bmatrix} dots \ dots \ dots \ dots \ dots \ \end{bmatrix}, x = egin{bmatrix} dots \ \ dots \ \ dots \ \ dots$$

```
import numpy as np
z = w * x + b
z = np.dot(w, x) + b
```

• We can use a code to show the effective method "Vectorization"

```
import numpy as py
import time
a = np.random.rand(1000000)
b = np.random.rand(1000000)
tic = time.time()
c = np.dot(a, b)
toc = time.time()
print(c)
print("Vectorization Version cost time is:" + str(1000 * (toc
- tic)) + 'ms')
```

```
c = 0

tic = time.time()

for i in range (10000000) :

c += a[i] * b[i]

toc = time.time()

print(c)

print("For loop cost time is :" + str(1000 * (toc - tic)) +
"ms")
```

# So we can find that the use of vectorization can effectively improve efficiency

• The more examples:

$$u = A ec{v}$$
  $u_i = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} ec{v_j}$ 

```
''' The non-vectorization '''
u = np.zeros((n ,1))
for i in range (n) :
for j in range (n) :
```

```
u[i] += A[i][j] * v[j]

''' The vectorization '''

u = np.dot(A, v)
```

and more

if u want to apply the exponential operation:

$$v = egin{bmatrix} v_1 \ dots \ v_n \end{bmatrix}, to \ get 
ightarrow u = egin{bmatrix} e^{v_1} \ e^{v_2} \ dots \ e^{v_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

```
"" The non-vectorization ""

u = np.zeros((n ,1))

for i in range (n):

u[i] = math.exp(v[i])

"" The vectorization ""

u = np.exp(v)
```

• So, let's try to simplify the code

```
J = 0 dw = np.zeros((n_x, 1)) db = 0
for i in range(m) :
z = w * x + b
a = sigmoid(z)
J += -[y * log(a) + (1 - y) * log * (1 - a)]
dz = a - y
dw += x * dz # x is a matrix, the vectorization calculate
db += dz
J /= m dw /= m db /= m
1.1.1
the params w and b:
w := w - a * dw
b := b - a * db
1.1.1
```

# So we can find that the use of vectorization can effectively improve efficiency

## **Vectorizing Logistic Regression**

· We have those data:

$$z^{(1)} = w^T x^{(1)} + b, z^{(2)} = w^T x^{(2)} + b, z^{(3)} = w^T x^{(3)} + b$$
  $def X = egin{bmatrix} dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ x^{(1)} & x^{(2)} & \cdots & x^{(m)} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$egin{aligned} Z &= egin{bmatrix} z^{(1)} & z^{(2)} & \cdots & z^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} = w^T X + egin{bmatrix} w^T x^{(1)} + b & w^T x^{(2)} + b & \cdots & w^T x^{(m)} + b \end{bmatrix} \ &\Rightarrow egin{bmatrix} w^T x^{(1)} + b & w^T x^{(2)} + b & \cdots & w^T x^{(m)} + b \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

• The code:

$$Z = np.dot(w.T, x) + b$$

#### **Broadcasting**

The "b" param is automatically extended to a matrix by Python

$$A = egin{bmatrix} a^{(1)} & a^{(2)} & \cdots & a^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} = \sigma(z)$$

• Now that we have:

$$egin{aligned} dz^{(i)} &= a^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \ dZ &= egin{bmatrix} dz^{(1)} & dz^{(2)} & \cdots & dz^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} \ A &= egin{bmatrix} a^{(1)} & a^{(2)} & \cdots & a^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}, Y &= egin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} & y^{(2)} & \cdots & y^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} \ dZ &= A - Y &= egin{bmatrix} a^{(1)} - y^{(1)} & a^{(2)} - y^{(2)} & \cdots & a^{(m)} - y^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

• this function  $db = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m dz^{(i)}$  can be written:

$$db = 1 / m * np.sum(dZ)$$

• and the 'dw':

$$egin{align} dw &= rac{1}{m} dz^T = rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} & x^{(2)} & \cdots & x^{(m)} ig] egin{bmatrix} dz^{(1)} \ dz^{(2)} \ dots \ dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= rac{1}{m} ig[ x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} ig] \ &= x^{(1)} dz^{(1)} + x^{(2)} dz^{(2)} + \cdots + x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} \ &= x^{(m)} dz^{(m)} \ &$$

$$A = sigmoid(z)$$

$$dZ = A - Y$$

$$dw = 1 / m * X * dZ.T$$

```
db = 1 / b * np.sum(dZ)

'''
w := w - a*dw
b := b - a*db
'''
```

However, the code above is just one gradient descent, and for multiple gradients, the use of a for loop is inevitable