

CS303 Project1: Gomoku AI

Shijie Chen

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Southern University of Science and Technology
Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
Email: 11612028@mail.sustc.edu.cn

Abstract—Gomoku, also called five-in-a-row, is an abstract strategy board game. In this project, the author implemented an AI player of Gomoku that can play with either human or other AI players. This report describes the design and implementation of the AI player. It uses Minimax algorithm to search for best solutions each step. Due to the limited computational resources, the program is accelerated by α - β pruning and proper path-selection. Currently, the program is able to search 4 steps with 5 possible locations each step in 5 seconds.

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of a Gomoku AI player includes understanding the rules of Gomoku, design and implement search algorithm, optimization and validation.

Gomoku, despite its simple rules, is very flexible. Brute force search algorithm requires too much computational power and is therefore abandoned. Techniques like Monte-Carlo Search and Neural Networks requires knowledge in Machine Learning, training data and some implementation skills.

This projects uses Minimax algorithm, which is simple and powerful, as the main search algorithm. Further optimization is done by adding α - β pruning and proper path-selection strategy.

II. PRELIMINARIES

This project is developed in Python 3.6.5 under Ubuntu 18.04 (*Windows Subsystem for Linux*). Libraries used in this project includes Copy

A. Gomoku Rules

Typically, Gomoku is played on an 15x15 grid board like one that is used in Go games. Two players place stones of different colors (black/white) on empty intersections of the grid alternatively. A player wins if he obtains a consecutive 5 stones in any one of vertical, horizontal or the two diagonal directions.

B. GOMOKU Board Representation

The board is represented by a two-dimensional array as shown in figure 1. As in Python, its a *list of lists*. For each entry, 0 represents empty intersection, 1 represents a black stone and -1 represents a white stone. To make pattern matching easier, such matrix is converted to a matrix of 'a', 'b' and 'c' in which 'a' represents black stones, 'b' represents white stones and 'c' represents empty intersections.

TABLE I
REPRESENTATION OF GOMOKU BOARD

Name	Variable	Board	Pattern
Black Stone	COLOR_BLACK	-1	a
White Stone	COIOR_WHITE	1	b
Empty Intersection	COLOR_NONE	0	c

III. METHOD

The design and implementation of a Gomoku player involves several parts. Initially, design evaluation functions for both the global chessboard and a single blank position on the board that shows the grade, chance of winning, of the board or point. Then, implement Minimax algorithm using the previously designed evaluation functions.

However, Minimax algorithms explores a search tree whose size expands exponentially with search depth. Since search depth determines the "skill level" of the AI player, α - β pruning and proper path-selection are used to limit the size of search space in each step and increase the depth of search tree.

A. Evaluation Function for the Whole Board

This function scans the chessboard in four directions , do pattern-matching and assign scores for both colors according to the patterns.

1) Final score

$$Score = Score_{player} - Score_{opponent}$$

2) Pattern and Scores

Scores of more *dangerous* patterns must *dominates* scores of less *dangerous* ones. Table IV shows a score board for patterns in black('a').

TABLE II
SCORE BOARD FOR GLOBAL PATTERNS

Pattern	Score
aaaaa	1000000000000
caaaac	3000000000
caaaab	1000000
baaaac	1000000
caaac	1000000

B. Evaluation Function for Single Position

Similarly, we develop a score board for patterns that may appear when an empty position is assigned a stone of a color. The score is the sum of *score* it may get when inserting a stone in the player's color and the *danger* it may cause when inserting a stone in the opponent's color.

The score of a single point is used to sort the points in search set in *proper path-selection*

- 1) Final score

$$Score = score + danger$$

- 2) Score Board for *score* and *danger*

TABLE III
SCORE VALUE FOR SINGLE POSITION

Pattern	Score
aaaaa	100000000000
caaaac	3000000000
caaaab	1000000
baaaac	1000000
caaac	1000000

TABLE IV
DANGER VALUE FOR SINGLE POSITION

Pattern	Score
aaaaa	100000000000
caaaac	3000000000
caaaab	1000000
baaaac	1000000
caaac	1000000

C. The Minimax Search Algorithm

D. α - β Pruning

E. Proper Path Selection

IV. VALIDATION

The player is tested with test cases provided by CS303 Artificial Intelligence course as well as some scenarios encountered during games with other AIs and human players.

V. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Kopka and P. W. Daly, *A Guide to L^AT_EX*, 3rd ed. Harlow, England: Addison-Wesley, 1999.