

Assignment Four

ECE 4200/5420

September 30, 2021

- Provide credit to **any sources** other than the course staff that helped you solve the problems. This includes **all students** you talked to regarding the problems.
- Questions marked with an asterisk **is optional for students taking the class as ECE 4200**.
- You can look up definitions/basics online (e.g., wikipedia, stack-exchange, etc).
- **The due date is 10/08/2021, 23.59.59 ET.**
- Submission rules are the same as previous assignments.

In **Problems 1, 2, and 3** we will study linear regression. We will assume in these problems that $w^0 = 0$. (This can be done by centering the features and labels to have mean 0, but we will not worry about it). For $\bar{w} = (w^1, \dots, w^d)$, and $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$, the regression we want is:

$$y = w^1 \bar{X}^1 + \dots + w^d \bar{X}^d = \bar{w} \cdot X. \quad (1)$$

We considered the following regularized least squares objective, which is called as **Ridge Regression**. For the dataset $S = \{(X_1, y_1), \dots, (X_n, y_n)\}$,

$$J(\bar{w}, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{w} \cdot X_i)^2 + \lambda \cdot \|\bar{w}\|_2^2. \quad (2)$$

We then find a \bar{w} to minimize $J(\bar{w}, \lambda)$, namely

$$\arg \min_{\bar{w}} J(\bar{w}, \lambda). \quad (3)$$

Problem 1 (10 points) Gradient Descent for regression.

1. Instead of using the closed form expression we mentioned in class, suppose we want to perform gradient descent to find the optimal solution for $J(\bar{w})$. Please compute the gradient of J , and write one step of the gradient descent with step size η .
2. Suppose we get a new point X_{n+1} , what will the predicted y_{n+1} be when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$?

Problem 2 (15 points) Regularization increases training error. Note the two terms in $J(\bar{w}, \lambda)$. The first is the training error, and the second is the regularization. When $\lambda = 0$ then we minimize just the training error. As λ increases, the second term will become more and more dominant, and this means two things: (1) We are giving more weight to the regularization term and therefore, the training error should perhaps decrease, and (2) and as λ increases we should obtain a \bar{w} with smaller norm. We will formalize both these things rigorously below.

Let $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ be two regularizer values. Let \bar{w}_1 , and \bar{w}_2 be the minimizers of $J(\bar{w}, \lambda_1)$, and $J(\bar{w}, \lambda_2)$ respectively.

1. Show that $\|\bar{w}_1\|_2^2 \geq \|\bar{w}_2\|_2^2$. Therefore more regularization implies smaller norm of solution!

Hint: Observe that $J(\bar{w}_1, \lambda_1) \leq J(\bar{w}_2, \lambda_1)$, and $J(\bar{w}_2, \lambda_2) \leq J(\bar{w}_1, \lambda_2)$ (why?).

2. Show that the training error for \bar{w}_1 is less than that of \bar{w}_2 . In other words, show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{w}_1 \cdot \bar{X}_i)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{w}_2 \cdot \bar{X}_i)^2.$$

This shows that as we regularize more, the training error grows. **Hint:** Use the first part of the problem.

Problem 3* (15 points) Ridge regression \equiv MAP estimation with Gaussian prior. In class we provided a Maximum Likelihood (ML) interpretation of least square regression without regularization (i.e., $\lambda = 0$) under the Gaussian noise model.

The Gaussian noise model is $y_i = \bar{w} \cdot \bar{X}_i + N(0, \sigma^2)$, where $N(0, \sigma^2)$ is a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance σ^2 . In other words,

$$p(y_i - \bar{w} \cdot \bar{X}_i = \nu | \bar{w}, \bar{X}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \quad (4)$$

We proved in class that Equation (2) with $\lambda = 0$ is equivalent to Maximum Likelihood estimation under the Gaussian noise model.

The goal of this problem is to show that least squares regression with regularization is equivalent to Maximum A posteriori (MAP) estimation under a Gaussian prior over \bar{w} .

Suppose the noise model is still Gaussian (Equation (4)). Furthermore, suppose that \bar{w} has a **prior distribution** that is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance σ^2/λ , namely

$$p(\bar{w}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2/\lambda)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda\|\bar{w}\|_2^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

1. Show that the MAP estimator under Gaussian noise model and Gaussian prior:

$$\arg \max_{\bar{w}} p(\bar{w} | y_1, \dots, y_n, X_1, \dots, X_n) \quad (5)$$

is equivalent to solving (3).

Hint: Start by noting that

$$\arg \max_{\bar{w}} p(\bar{w} | y_1, \dots, y_n, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \arg \max_{\bar{w}} p(y_1, \dots, y_n | X_1, \dots, X_n, \bar{w}) \cdot p(\bar{w}).$$

Now the first term is what we had in class for ML interpretation, and the second term is what will introduce the regularization.

2. What is the MAP estimator \bar{w} as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, namely when the prior distribution of \bar{w} is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance close to 0?

Problem 4 (25 points) Linear and Quadratic Regression. Please refer to the Jupyter Notebook in the assignment, and complete the coding part in it! You can use sklearn regression package: http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear_model.Ridge.html