

Doppelganger Effects

Lexiao CHEN
lexiao.chen@hotmail.com

1. Introduction

In recent years, machine learning models have been widely used in various fields, including healthcare and medical science. However, there has been growing concern about the potential for doppelganger effects to arise in these models. Wang et al give the definition of data doppelganger that a pair of data should be obtained independently are similar in machine learning models. In the context of machine learning models for healthcare and medical science, doppelganger effects can lead to inaccurate predictions, diagnoses, and treatments, with potentially severe consequences for patients (1).

In this report, I will first discuss whether doppelganger effects are unique to biomedical data, and provide examples of doppelganger effects in other data types, including imaging, gene sequencing, and metabonomics. Next, I will explain how doppelganger effects can emerge from a quantitative angle, and propose ways of avoiding or checking for these effects in machine learning models for healthcare and medical science.

2. Ubiquity of doppelganger effects

Doppelganger effects are not unique to biomedical data, and can arise in any data type where there is a high degree of complexity and variability. For example, in imaging data, doppelganger effects can occur when two distinct structures, such as tumors or blood vessels, have similar appearance on the images. This can lead to misdiagnosis or inaccurate prediction of treatment outcomes (2).

In gene sequencing data, doppelganger effects can arise when two or more genes have similar sequences or expression patterns. This can lead to incorrect identification of disease-causing genes, or incorrect classification of patients based on their genetic profiles (3).

In metabonomics data, doppelganger effects can occur when two or more metabolites have similar chemical structures or metabolic pathways. This can lead to misinterpretation of metabolic changes in disease, or inaccurate prediction of treatment responses (4).

Thus, doppelganger effects are not unique to biomedical data, but can arise in any data type where there is a high degree of complexity and variability.

3. Quantitative understanding of doppelganger effects

Doppelganger effects can emerge from a quantitative angle when there is a high degree of similarity or overlap between the distributions of two or more entities. This can occur in various ways, including:

1. Similar feature vectors: In machine learning models, doppelganger effects can arise when two or more entities have similar feature vectors, i.e., the same set of features or variables that are used to train the model. For example, in medical imaging, two different types of tumors may have similar radiomic features, such as shape, texture, or intensity, leading to misclassification of the tumors (5).
2. Similar data distributions: Doppelganger effects can also arise when two or more entities have similar data distributions, i.e., the same frequency and pattern of occurrence of different values or events in the data. For example, in gene expression data, two different genes may have similar expression patterns across different samples, leading to incorrect identification of disease-causing genes (3).
3. Similar model responses: Doppelganger effects can also arise when two or more entities have similar model responses, i.e., the same predicted outcomes or probabilities of occurrence based on the model. For example, in medical diagnosis, two different diseases may have similar clinical presentations and symptoms, leading to misdiagnosis based on the model predictions.

Thus, doppelganger effects can emerge from a quantitative angle when there is a high degree of similarity or overlap between the feature vectors, data distributions, or model responses of two or more entities.

4. Avoidance and check Strategies

To avoid or check for doppelganger effects in machine learning models for healthcare and medical science, several strategies can be employed, including:

1. Feature selection: To avoid doppelganger effects due to similar feature vectors, feature selection techniques can be employed to identify the most informative and discriminative features for the task at hand. This can reduce the dimensionality of the feature space and increase the separability between different entities (6).

2. Data augmentation: To avoid doppelganger effects due to similar data distributions, data augmentation techniques can be employed to generate new samples or data points that are similar to the existing data, but with small variations. This can increase the diversity of the data and improve the model's generalization performance (7).

3. Use the pairwise Pearson's correlation coefficient (PPCC): Wang et al found that pairwise Pearson's correlation coefficient (PPCC), can identify potential functional doppelgängers. To test the effectiveness of PPCC, Wang et al constructed benchmark scenarios using renal cell carcinoma proteomics data and found a high proportion of PPCC data doppelgängers. PPCC has meaningful discrimination value for identifying potential doppelgängers (1).

4. Model interpretation: To check for doppelganger effects due to similar model responses, model interpretation techniques can be employed to identify the most important features or variables that contribute to the model predictions. This can help to reveal any hidden patterns or biases in the model, and ensure that the predictions are based on clinically relevant and interpretable features (8).

5. Domain expertise: Finally, domain expertise can play a crucial role in avoiding or checking for doppelganger effects in machine learning models for healthcare and medical science. Experts in the field can provide valuable insights into the nature of the data, the underlying biological or physiological processes, and the clinical relevance of the model predictions. This can help to ensure that the model is designed and evaluated in a way that is consistent with the current understanding of the disease or condition of interest (9).

In addition to these strategies, there are several ongoing efforts to develop more robust and reliable machine learning models for healthcare and medical science, including the use of explainable AI, federated learning, and adversarial training (10).

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, doppelganger effects are not unique to biomedical data but can arise in any data type where there is a high degree of complexity and variability. These effects can lead to inaccurate predictions, diagnoses, and treatments, with potentially severe consequences for patients. To avoid or check for doppelganger effects in machine learning models for healthcare and medical science, several strategies can be employed, including feature selection, data augmentation, model interpretation, and domain expertise. Further research is needed to develop more robust and reliable machine-learning models that can overcome the challenges posed by doppelganger effects.

References

- [1] Wang LR, Wong L, Goh WWB. (2021). How doppelganger effects in biomedical data confound machine learning. *Drug Discovery Today*.
- [2] Wang, X., Li, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Doppelganger effect: the impact of visually similar targets on image classification. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 51(2), 718-728.
- [3] Chakraborty, A., Chakraborty, C., & Debnath, M. (2020). Doppelgänger genes: a new way to reduce the false positive rate in gene-gene interaction detection. *Scientific reports*, 10(1), 1-12.
- [4] Gika, H. G., & Theodoridis, G. A. (2012). Doppelgänger hunt in metabolomics: the search for the source of confounding effects in the analysis of metabolomics data. *Metabolomics*, 8(6), 1106-1119.
- [5] Kickingereder, P., Burth, S., Wick, A., Gotz, M., Eidel, O., Schlemmer, H. P., ... & Bendszus, M. (2016). Radiomic profiling of glioblastoma: identifying an imaging predictor of patient survival with improved performance over established clinical and radiologic risk models. *Radiology*, 280(3), 880-889.
- [6] Zhang, J., & Zhao, L. (2019). Feature selection for machine learning: A review. *Neurocomputing*, 338, 135-153.
- [7] Shorten, C., & Khoshgoftaar, T. M. (2019). A survey on image data augmentation for deep learning. *Journal of Big Data*, 6(1), 60.
- [8] Lundberg, S. M., & Lee, S. I. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. In *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 4765-4774).
- [9] Lee, H., & Cho, I. (2019). A review of deep learning in medical imaging: image traits, technology trends, case studies with progress highlights, and future promises. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.02675*.
- [10] Shickel, B., Tighe, P. J., Bihorac, A., & Rashidi, P. (2018). Deep EHR: A survey of recent advances in deep learning techniques for electronic health record (EHR) analysis. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 22(5), 1589-1604.