

Homework 1

1.

(a)

(i) It is true. $(A^{-1})^T (A^T) = (AA^{-1})^T = I$, Thus $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$ (ii) It is False. Counterexample: $A = B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,Here, $(A+B)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$, While $A^{-1} + B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ (iii) It is true. PF: For a symmetric matrix A , i.e. $A^T = A$, Using (i), we have $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} \Rightarrow A^{-1}$ is itself symmetric(b) $X^T = (U \Sigma V^T)^T = (V^T)^T \Sigma^T U^T = V \Sigma U^T$

$$\therefore XX^T = (U \Sigma V^T) \cdot V \Sigma U^T = U \Sigma (V^T V) \Sigma U^T = U (\Sigma \cdot \Sigma) U^T$$

Since $U^T U = I \Rightarrow U^T U \cdot U^{-1} = I U^{-1} = U^{-1} \Rightarrow U^T = U^{-1}$ Thus for XX^T , $\Lambda = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$, $Q = U$

(c) (i) 757, 158, 130

(ii) 68125.6

2.

(a) (i) ~~$P(H=h | D=d) \leq P(H=h)$~~ \hookrightarrow On last Page.Explain: ~~Set $m = P(H=h | D=d)$, $n = P(D=d | H=h)P(H=h) = P(D=d, H=h)$~~ ~~Then $m \cdot P(D=d) = P(H=h, D=d) = n$, $\Rightarrow m = \frac{n}{P(D=d)}$~~ Explain: ~~Assume for all possible D , $D \in \Omega$, Then $P(H=h) = P(H=h | D \in \Omega)$~~ ~~While $\{D=d\} \subseteq \{D \in \Omega\}$, Thus $P(H=h | D=d) \leq P(H=h)$~~ (ii) $P(H=h | D=d) \geq P(D=d | H=h)P(H=h)$ Explain: Set $m = P(H=h | D=d)$, $n = P(D=d | H=h)P(H=h) = P(D=d, H=h)$ Then $m \cdot P(D=d) = P(H=h, D=d) = n \Rightarrow m = \frac{n}{P(D=d)} \geq n$ (b) (i) PF: Assume the density function of the joint distribution is $f(x, y)$ Then $E_x(X|Y) = \int \frac{f_{x,y}}{f_y} x dx$, Thus

$$E_Y[E_x(X|Y)] = \int_Y f_Y \int_X \frac{f_{x,y}}{f_Y} x dx dy = \int_X \left(\int_Y f_{x,y} dy \right) x dx = \int_X f_x \cdot x dx = E_X$$

(ii) PF: $E_Y[Var_X(X|Y)] = E_Y[E_X(X^2|Y) - (E_X(X|Y))^2] \quad \dots (i)$

$$Var_Y[E_X(X|Y)] = E_Y(E_X(X|Y))^2 - [E_Y E_X(X|Y)]^2 = E_Y(E_X(X|Y))^2 - (E_X)^2$$

$$(1) + (2) \Rightarrow E_Y[Var_X(X|Y)] + Var_Y[E_X(X|Y)] = E_Y[E_X(X^2|Y)] - (E(X))^2$$

From (1), we know $E_Y[E_X(X^2|Y)] = E(X^2)$, thus

$$E_Y[Var_X(X|Y)] + Var_Y[E_X(X|Y)] = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 = Var(X)$$

3.

(a) i) (\Rightarrow) If A is PSD, Using spectral decomposition, $A = U \Lambda U^T$
 (choosing $x = U$, we have $U^T U \Lambda U^T U \geq 0 \Rightarrow \Lambda \geq 0$, thus $\lambda_i \geq 0$)

ii) (\Leftarrow) if $\lambda_i \geq 0$, for any $x \neq 0$,

$$x^T A x = x^T U \Lambda U^T x = (x^T U) \Lambda (x^T U)^T, \text{ Set } x^T U = Q, \text{ } 1 \times d \text{ vector}$$

Then $x^T A x = \sum \lambda_i Q_i^2 \geq 0$, Thus A is PSD

(b) From the Proof in (a), it is easy to get the conclusion similar in (a). We only need to prove $\sum Q_i^2 \neq 0$ in addition. In fact, $\sum Q_i^2 = Q \cdot Q^T = (x^T U)(U^T x) = x^T x \neq 0$. Thus concluded.

4.

$$\text{For } X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda), \quad P(X = x_i) = \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda}$$

\therefore The target function is $F = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda} = (\sum x_i) \log \lambda - n\lambda - \sum \log x_i!$

$F'(\lambda) = \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda} - n$, it is decreasing. Thus the global maximizer is $\lambda = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$, this is the maximum likelihood estimator.

5.

(a) Using reduction to absurdity.

Assume f has two global minimizers, $x_1 > x_2$ (without loss of general)

Then we have $f'(x_1) = f'(x_2) = 0$. However, if f is strictly convex, then $f''(x) > 0$, we have $f'(x_1) > f'(x_2)$. Contradictory.

(b) Assuming the neighborhood of x^* is $U(x^*)$, Then for $y \in U(x^*)$

we have $f(x^*) \leq f(y)$, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$. Then From Taylor expansion,

$$f(y) = f(x^*) + \langle \nabla f(x^*), y - x^* \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle (y - x^*), \nabla^2 f(x^*) (y - x^*) \rangle + o(\|x^* - y\|^2) \geq f(x^*)$$

Since $y \in U(x^*)$, thus $o(\|x^* - y\|^2) \rightarrow 0$, then we have

$$\langle (y - x^*), \nabla^2 f(x^*) (y - x^*) \rangle \geq 0$$

Since $y \in U(x^*)$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it can be denoted by $\lambda(y - x^*)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
 Thus $x^T \nabla^2 f(x^*) x \geq 0$, for any x , i.e. the Hessian of f is PSD at x^* .

(c) If f is twice continuous differentiable, then f is convex $\Leftrightarrow f(x+y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot y$, $\forall x, y$

i) (\Rightarrow) If f is convex, choose $x+y \in U(x)$, i.e. $y \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f(x+y) &= f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle y, \nabla^2 f(x) y \rangle \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot y \\ &\Rightarrow \langle y, \nabla^2 f(x) y \rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to that in (b), $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is PSD.

ii) (\Leftarrow) From Taylor expansion in (5) of the question,

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle y, \nabla^2 f(x+y) y \rangle,$$

Since $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is PSD for all x , then

$$f(x+y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle, \Rightarrow f \text{ is convex}$$

(d) $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x + b^T x + c$

$$\therefore \nabla_x f(x) = Ax + b, \quad \nabla_x^2 f(x) = A,$$

Thus the Hessian of f is A .

~~If $A \geq 0$, f is convex. If $A > 0$, f is strictly convex.~~

If $A \succeq 0$, f is convex. If $A \succ 0$, f is strictly convex.

2.

(a) (i) The relationship is "depends"

Explain: Set $m = P(H=h | D=d)$, $n = P(H=h)$, then

(1) if H & D are independent, obviously $m=n$

(2) if the probability space is $\begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}: (D=d, H=h) \\ \frac{1}{4}: (D=d, H=h_1) \\ \frac{1}{2}: (D=d_1, H=h) \end{cases}$, then $m = \frac{1}{2} < n = \frac{3}{4}$

(3) if the probability space is $\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}: (D=d, H=h) \\ \frac{1}{2}: (D=d_1, H=h_1) \end{cases}$, then $m=1 > n = \frac{1}{2}$