

## STAT 576 SOLUTION FOR HOMEWORK 2

**1** (Total Variation Distance). Let  $F$  and  $G$  be two distributions over  $\mathbb{R}$  with probability density functions  $f$  and  $g$  correspondingly. Define the total variation distance between  $F$  and  $G$  by

$$\|f - g\|_{TV} := \sup_{A \subseteq \mathbb{R}} |F(A) - G(A)|.$$

We ignore the measurability issues for this problem.

(a) Show that

$$\|f - g\|_{TV} = F(A) - G(A)$$

for the event  $A = \{x : f(x) > g(x)\}$ .

(b) Show that

$$\|f - g\|_{TV} = \int (f(x) - g(x))_+ d\mu(x),$$

where

$$(y)_+ = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } y \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y < 0. \end{cases}$$

(c) Show that

$$\|f - g\|_{TV} = \frac{1}{2} \int |f(x) - g(x)| d\mu(x)$$

**Solution.**

(a) Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Notice that  $S = (S \cap A) \cup (S \cap A^c)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(S) - G(S) &= [F(S \cap A) + F(S \cap A^c)] - [G(S \cap A) + G(S \cap A^c)] \\ &= [F(S \cap A) - G(S \cap A)] + [F(S \cap A^c) - G(S \cap A^c)] \end{aligned}$$

Because  $S \cap A^c \subseteq A^c$  and  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for any  $x \in A^c$ , we have  $F(S \cap A^c) - G(S \cap A^c) \leq 0$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} F(S \cap A) - G(S \cap A) &= [F(A) - F(S^c \cap A)] - [G(A) - G(S^c \cap A)] \\ &= [F(A) - G(A)] - [F(S^c \cap A) - G(S^c \cap A)] \leq F(A) - G(A) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$F(S) - G(S) \leq F(A) - G(A)$$

for all  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . The result is now immediate.

(b) Using result (a), we have

$$\|f - g\|_{TV} = F(A) - G(A) = \int_A (f(x) - g(x)) d\mu(x) = \int (f(x) - g(x)) \mathbb{I}\{x \in A\} d\mu(x)$$

By observing  $(f(x) - g(x)) \mathbb{I}\{x \in A\} \equiv (f(x) - g(x))_+$ , the result is immediate.

(c) Notice that

$$(f(x) - g(x))_+ = \frac{1}{2} [(f(x) - g(x)) + |f(x) - g(x)|].$$

Therefore,

$$\|f - g\|_{TV} = \int (f(x) - g(x))_+ d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int (f(x) - g(x)) d\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int |f(x) - g(x)| d\mu(x).$$

The first term is 0 because  $\int f(x) d\mu(x) = \int g(x) d\mu(x) = 1$ . The result is now immediate.

**2** (Textbook Problems). Finish Problem 1 in Chapter 4 of the textbook. (You may skip the plotting step in part (c).)

**Solution.**

(a) The posterior density is

$$p(\theta | y) \propto p(\theta)p(y | \theta) \propto \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^t [1 + (y_i - \theta)^2]},$$

with the log posterior density

$$\log p(\theta | y) = -\sum_{i=1}^5 \log [1 + (y_i - \theta)^2] + C$$

for some constant  $C$ .

The first-order derivative is

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \log p(\theta | y) = \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{2(y_i - \theta)}{1 + (y_i - \theta)^2}.$$

The second-order derivative is

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log p(\theta | y) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{1 - (\theta - y_i)^2}{[1 + (\theta - y_i)^2]^2}$$

(b) By setting the first-order derivative to zero, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{2(y_i - \theta)}{1 + (y_i - \theta)^2} = 0$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{\theta}{1 + (\theta - y_i)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{y_i}{1 + (\theta - y_i)^2}.$$

We can solve this equation by the following iteration:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \left( \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{1}{1 + (\theta^{(t)} - y_i)^2} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{y_i}{1 + (\theta^{(t)} - y_i)^2}$$

Or one can use Newton-Raphson iteration. This will give a solution

$$\hat{\theta} = -0.138.$$

Note that this is the estimation for the mode of the posterior density (extending to the whole real line). The true mode of the posterior is at  $\theta = 0$ .

(c) Plugging the value  $\hat{\theta} = -0.138$  into the second-order derivative, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log p(\theta | y) \Big|_{\hat{\theta}} \approx -1.375$$

Therefore, one can estimate the posterior by the normal density of

$$\mathcal{N}(-0.138, 1.375^{-1}) \sim \mathcal{N}(-0.138, 0.727)$$

when restricted to  $[0, 1]$ .

**3** (Hierarchical Poisson). Suppose a datasets contains the numbers of traffic accidents in  $J$  districts for the last year. Denote the number of accidents in district  $j$  by  $y_j$ .

- The number of accidents  $y_j$  can be modeled by a Poisson distribution with intensity  $\lambda_j$ . Write down the probability mass function for  $y_j$  given  $\lambda_j$ .
- Build a hierarchical model for  $(y_1, \dots, y_J)$ , where the  $\lambda_j$  follows a  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution with the hyperprior  $p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

- (c) Compute the marginal distribution of  $y_1$ .
- (d) Compute the joint posterior distribution density (in proportional form) for  $(\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J)$ .
- (e) Compute the exact conditional posterior distribution density for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J \mid \alpha, \beta, y_1, \dots, y_J$ .
- (f) Compute the marginal posterior distribution for  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in proportional form.

**Solution.**

- (a) The probability mass function is

$$p[y_i \mid \lambda_i] = \frac{\lambda_i^{y_i} e^{-\lambda_i}}{y_i!}$$

- (b) The hierarchical model is

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha, \beta &\sim p(\alpha, \beta) \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_J \mid \alpha, \beta &\sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \\ y_j \mid \lambda_j &\sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, J, \text{ independently}\end{aligned}$$

- (c) We first give its conditional density given  $\alpha, \beta$ :

$$p(y_1 \mid \alpha, \beta) = \int p(y_1 \mid \lambda_1) p(\lambda_1 \mid \alpha, \beta) d\mu(\lambda_1) = \int \frac{\lambda_1^{y_1} e^{-\lambda_1}}{y_1!} \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda_1^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \lambda_1} d\mu(\lambda_1) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{(\beta+1)^{\alpha+y_1}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+y_1)}{y_1! \Gamma(\alpha)}$$

The marginal of  $y_1$  is the integral of above with respect to  $p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

- (d) The joint prior is

$$p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J) \propto p(\alpha, \beta) \left( \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)^J \prod_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{\alpha-1} \cdot e^{-\beta \sum_j \lambda_j}.$$

The likelihood is

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_J \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J) = \prod_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{y_j} e^{-\sum_j \lambda_j}$$

Therefore, the joint posterior gives

$$\begin{aligned}p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J \mid y_1, \dots, y_J) &\propto p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J) p(y_1, \dots, y_J \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J) \\ &\propto p(\alpha, \beta) \left( \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)^J \prod_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{\alpha+y_j-1} \cdot e^{-(\beta+1) \sum_j \lambda_j}\end{aligned}$$

- (e) From the joint posterior density, we can figure out

$$p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J \mid \alpha, \beta, y_1, \dots, y_J) \propto \prod_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^{\alpha+y_j-1} \cdot e^{-(\beta+1) \sum_j \lambda_j}$$

It corresponds to  $\lambda_j \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + y_j, \beta + 1)$  independently. The exact density is, therefore,

$$p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J \mid \alpha, \beta, y_1, \dots, y_J) = \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{(\beta+1)^{\alpha+y_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha+y_j)} \lambda_j^{\alpha+y_j-1} e^{-(\beta+1)\lambda_j}$$

- (f) The marginal posterior is given by

$$\begin{aligned}p(\alpha, \beta \mid y_1, \dots, y_J) &= \frac{p(\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J \mid y_1, \dots, y_J)}{p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_J \mid \alpha, \beta, y_1, \dots, y_J)} \\ &\propto p(\alpha, \beta) \frac{\beta^{J\alpha}}{(\beta+1)^{J\alpha + \sum_j y_j}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^J \Gamma(\alpha+y_j)}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^J}\end{aligned}$$

4 (Textbook Problems). Finish Problems 4 and 5 in Chapter 5 of the textbook.

**Solution.**

**Problem 4:**

- (a) Yes. The joint distribution can be written as

$$p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2J}) = \binom{2J}{J}^{-1} \sum_{\pi} \left( \prod_{j=1}^J \mathcal{N}(\theta_{\pi(j)} \mid 1, 1) \prod_{j=J+1}^{2J} \mathcal{N}(\theta_{\pi(j)} \mid -1, 1) \right),$$

where the summation is over all permutations  $\pi$ .

- (b) Consider the covariance between  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ . Let  $\pi$  be that the permutation in part (a) that indicates memberships. Then

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(\theta_1, \theta_2 \mid \pi)] + \text{Cov}[\mathbb{E}(\theta_1 \mid \pi), \mathbb{E}(\theta_2 \mid \pi)].$$

The first term is zero because the variables are independent given the memberships. The second term is

$$\text{Cov}[\mathbb{E}(\theta_1 \mid \pi), \mathbb{E}(\theta_2 \mid \pi)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(\theta_1 \mid \pi)\mathbb{E}(\theta_2 \mid \pi)] - \mathbb{E}[\theta_1]\mathbb{E}[\theta_2] = \frac{2\binom{2J-2}{J-2}}{\binom{2J}{J}} - \frac{2\binom{2J-2}{J-1}}{\binom{2J}{J}} = -\frac{1}{2J-1} < 0$$

Therefore,  $\text{Cov}(\theta_1, \theta_2) < 0$ , which contradicts the result in Problem 5.

- (c) The covariance in part (b) converges to 0 when  $J \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Problem 5:**

Using the law of total covariance, we get

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Cov}(\theta_1, \theta_2 \mid \phi)] + \text{Cov}[\mathbb{E}(\theta_1 \mid \phi), \mathbb{E}(\theta_2 \mid \phi)] = 0 + \text{Var}[\mathbb{E}(\theta \mid \phi)]$$

The second term is always nonnegative.