# STAT 423/523 Statistical Methods for Engineers and Scientists

Lecture 9: Multifactor Analysis of Variance II

Chencheng Cai

Washington State University



Now we consider the two-way ANOVA with replication. That is for each combination of the two factors, we have more than one observation.

$$X_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk},$$

where the index ijk denotes the kth observation in the ith level of factor A and the jth level of factor B.

Now we consider the two-way ANOVA with replication. That is for each combination of the two factors, we have more than one observation.

$$X_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk},$$

where the index ijk denotes the kth observation in the ith level of factor A and the jth level of factor B.

For simplicity, we assume  $i=1,2,\ldots,I$ ,  $j=1,2,\ldots,J$ , and  $k=1,2,\ldots,K$  — equal number of observations in each cell.

We rewrite  $\mu_{ij}$  as in the additive model but with an additional term for the interaction effect:

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

We rewrite  $\mu_{ij}$  as in the additive model but with an additional term for the interaction effect:

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ The term  $\gamma_{ij}$  is called the **interaction effect**.
- ▶ We don't include the interaction term in two-way ANOVA without replication because we can't estimate it (more parameters than observations).
- With replication, we can estimate the interaction effect.
- The number of parameters for  $\mu_{ij}$  is IJ. So we need to show that the number of above model is IJ as well.

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

▶ The number of parameters is 1 + I + J + IJ = (I + 1)(J + 1).

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ The number of parameters is 1 + I + J + IJ = (I + 1)(J + 1).
- The constraints are:

$$\begin{split} \sum_i \alpha_i &= 0, & \sum_j \beta_j &= 0, \\ \sum_i \gamma_{ij} &= 0 & \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, J, & \sum_j \gamma_{ij} &= 0 & \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, I. \end{split}$$

The number of constraints is I + J + 2.

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ The number of parameters is 1 + I + J + IJ = (I + 1)(J + 1).
- ► The constraints are:

$$\begin{split} \sum_i \alpha_i &= 0, & \sum_j \beta_j &= 0, \\ \sum_i \gamma_{ij} &= 0 & \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, J, & \sum_j \gamma_{ij} &= 0 & \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, I. \end{split}$$

The number of constraints is I + J + 2.

The number of **independent** constraints is I + J + 1.

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$


- ▶ The number of parameters is 1 + I + J + IJ = (I + 1)(J + 1).
- The constraints are:

$$\begin{split} \sum_i \alpha_i &= 0, & \sum_j \beta_j &= 0, \\ \sum_i \gamma_{ij} &= 0 & \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, J, & \sum_j \gamma_{ij} &= 0 & \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, I. \end{split}$$

The number of constraints is I + J + 2.

The number of **independent** constraints is I + J + 1.

Therefore, the effective number of parameters is (I+1)(J+1) - (I+J+1) = IJ.



$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- $ightharpoonup \alpha_i$ : the (main) effect of the *i*th level of factor A.
- $\triangleright$   $\beta_j$ : the (main) effect of the jth level of factor B.
- $ightharpoonup \gamma_{ij}$ : the interaction effect between the *i*th level of factor A and the *j*th level of factor B.

#### Sample Means

Similar to the one-way ANOVA, we can calculate the sample means for each level of the two factors and the interaction effect:

$$\bar{X}_{i..} = \frac{1}{JK} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk}, \qquad \bar{X}_{.j.} = \frac{1}{IK} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk}$$

$$\bar{X}_{ij.} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk}, \qquad \bar{X}_{...} = \frac{1}{IJK} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ijk}.$$

- $ightharpoonup ar{X}_{i}$ ..: the sample mean for the *i*th level of factor A.
- $lackbox{} \bar{X}_{\cdot j}$ : the sample mean for the jth level of factor B.
- $ightharpoonup ar{X}_{ij}$ : the sample mean for the ijth cell.
- $ightharpoonup \bar{X}$ ...: the grand mean.

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

$$\bar{X}_{ij} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Using method of moments, the estimators should satisfy

$$\bar{X}_{ij} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

▶ Averaging (\*) over all i and j gives:  $\bar{X}_{\cdots} = \hat{\mu}$ .

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

$$\bar{X}_{ij} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

- ▶ Averaging (\*) over all i and j gives:  $\bar{X}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot} = \hat{\mu}$ .
- $lackbox{ Averaging }(*)$  over all i and fixing j gives:  $\bar{X}_{\cdot j \cdot} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\beta}_j \Longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_j = \bar{X}_{\cdot j \cdot} \bar{X}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}$

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

$$\bar{X}_{ij} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

- ▶ Averaging (\*) over all i and j gives:  $\bar{X}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot} = \hat{\mu}$ .
- $lackbox{ Averaging }(*) \text{ over all } i \text{ and fixing } j \text{ gives: } \bar{X}_{\cdot j \cdot} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\beta}_j \Longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_j = \bar{X}_{\cdot j \cdot} \bar{X}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}$
- ightharpoonup Similarly,  $\hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{X}_{i\cdots} \bar{X}_{\cdots}$

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

$$\bar{X}_{ij} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

- ▶ Averaging (\*) over all i and j gives:  $\bar{X}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot} = \hat{\mu}$ .
- Averaging (\*) over all i and fixing j gives:  $\bar{X}_{\cdot j \cdot} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\beta}_j \Longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_j = \bar{X}_{\cdot j \cdot} \bar{X}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}$
- ightharpoonup Similarly,  $\hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{X}_{i\cdots} \bar{X}_{\cdots}$
- ▶ By plugging in the above estimators, we can solve for  $\hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \bar{X}_{ij} \bar{X}_{i\cdots} \bar{X}_{\cdot j} + \bar{X}_{\cdots}$

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

#### The estimators are:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mu} &= \bar{X}..., \\ \hat{\alpha}_i &= \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}..., \\ \hat{\beta}_j &= \bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}..., \\ \hat{\gamma}_{ij} &= \bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i...} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}... \\ \hat{\epsilon}_{ijk} &= X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.}. \end{split}$$

#### Sum of Squares

$$X_{ijk} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} + \hat{\epsilon}_{ijk}.$$

Now we can define the sum of squares for each term in the model:

$$SSE = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \hat{\epsilon}_{ijk}^{2} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.})^{2}, \qquad df = IJ(K - 1)$$

$$SSA = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \hat{\alpha}_{i}^{2} = JK \sum_{i} (\bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...})^{2}, \qquad df = I - 1$$

$$SSB = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \hat{\beta}_{j}^{2} = IK \sum_{j} (\bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}_{...})^{2}, \qquad df = J - 1$$

$$SSAB = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \hat{\gamma}_{ij}^{2} = K \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (\bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i...} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}_{...})^{2}, \qquad df = (I - 1)(J - 1)$$

$$SST = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{...})^{2}. \qquad df = IJK - 1$$

## Mean Squares

We can define the mean squares and give their expected values:

$$\begin{split} MSE &= \frac{SSE}{IJ(K-1)} & E(MSE) = \sigma^2, \\ MSA &= \frac{SSA}{I-1} & E(MSA) = \sigma^2 + \frac{JK}{I-1} \sum_i \alpha_i^2, \\ MSB &= \frac{SSB}{J-1} & E(MSB) = \sigma^2 + \frac{IK}{J-1} \sum_j \beta_j^2, \\ MSAB &= \frac{SSAB}{(I-1)(J-1)} & E(MSAB) = \sigma^2 + \frac{K}{(I-1)(J-1)} \sum_i \sum_j \gamma_{ij}^2. \end{split}$$

To test the main effect of factor A, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_I = 0,$$

 $H_1$  :at least one  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ .

To test the main effect of factor A, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_I = 0,$$
  
 $H_1:$  at least one  $\alpha_i \neq 0.$ 

Under null the model is

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Under alternative the model is

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

To test the main effect of factor A, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_I = 0,$$
  
 $H_1:$  at least one  $\alpha_i \neq 0.$ 

Under null the model is

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Under alternative the model is

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

We summarize the two nested models as

Model	SS Model	SS Error	Difference in SS Error	Difference in df
	SSA+SSB+SSAB			
Reduced	SSB + SSAB	SSE+SSA	SSA	I-1

Model	SS Model	SS Error	Difference in SS Error	Difference in df
Full	SSA+SSB+SSAB	SSE		
Reduced	SSB + SSAB	SSE+SSA	SSA	I-1

Therefore, the F-test should be

$$F_A = \frac{SSA/(I-1)}{SSE/[IJ(K-1)]} = \frac{MSA}{MSE} \sim F_{I-1,IJ(K-1)}(\text{under null})$$

We should reject null when  $F_A > F_{\alpha,I-1,IJ(K-1)}$ .

Similarly, to test the main effect of factor B, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_J = 0,$$

 $H_1$  :at least one  $\beta_j \neq 0$ .

Similarly, to test the main effect of factor B, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_J = 0,$$

 $H_1$  :at least one  $\beta_j \neq 0$ .

Similarly, to test the main effect of factor B, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_J = 0,$$
  
 $H_1:$  at least one  $\beta_j \neq 0.$ 

The F-test should be

$$F_B = rac{MSB}{MSE} \sim F_{J-1,IJ(K-1)} ( ext{under null})$$

We should reject null when  $F_B > F_{\alpha,J-1,IJ(K-1)}$ .

To test the interaction effect, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \gamma_{11}=\gamma_{12}=\cdots=\gamma_{IJ}=0,$$
  $H_1:$  at least one  $\gamma_{ij}\neq 0.$ 

To test the interaction effect, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \gamma_{11}=\gamma_{12}=\cdots=\gamma_{IJ}=0,$$
  $H_1:$  at least one  $\gamma_{ij}\neq 0.$ 

To test the interaction effect, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{12} = \cdots = \gamma_{IJ} = 0,$$
  
 $H_1:$  at least one  $\gamma_{ij} \neq 0.$ 

The F-test should be

$$F_{AB} = \frac{MSAB}{MSE} \sim F_{(I-1)(J-1),IJ(K-1)}(\text{under null})$$

We should reject null when  $F_{AB} > F_{\alpha,(I-1)(J-1),IJ(K-1)}$ .

To test the interaction effect, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0: \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{12} = \cdots = \gamma_{IJ} = 0,$$
  
 $H_1:$  at least one  $\gamma_{ij} \neq 0.$ 

The F-test should be

$$F_{AB} = \frac{MSAB}{MSE} \sim F_{(I-1)(J-1),IJ(K-1)}(\text{under null})$$

We should reject null when  $F_{AB} > F_{\alpha,(I-1)(J-1),IJ(K-1)}$ .

Questions: What are the full model and reduced model in this case?

#### **ANOVA Table**

The ANOVA table for the two-way ANOVA with replication is:

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Factor A	SSA	I-1	MSA	$F_A$
Factor B	SSB	J-1	MSB	$F_B$
Interaction	SSAB	(I-1)(J-1)	MSAB	$F_{AB}$
Error	SSE	IJ(K-1)	MSE	
Total	SST	IJK-1		

#### Example

Data: thermal properties of asphalt mix under three different binder grades and three different coarse aggregate contents.

	Coarse Aggregate Content (%)			
Asphalt Binder Grade	38	41	44	$\overline{x}_{i\cdots}$
PG58	.835, .845	.822, .826	.785, .795	.8180
PG64	.855, .865	.832, .836	.790, .800	.8297
PG70	.815, .825	.800, .820	.770, .790	.8033
$\overline{\overline{x}_{j}}$	.8400	.8227	.7883	

# Example

#### The ANOVA table is:

Source	DF	SS	MS	f	P
AsphGr	2	.0020893	.0010447	14.12	0.002
AggCont	2	.0082973	.0041487	56.06	0.000
Interaction	4	.0003253	.0000813	1.10	0.414
Error	9	.0006660	.0000740		
Total	17	.0113780			