STAT 574 Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Models

Lecture 10: Diagnoses and Influence Analysis

Chencheng Cai

Washington State University



Influence analysis

- ▶ Influence analysis is a set of techniques used to identify and assess the impact of individual data points on the overall model fit and parameter estimates.
- ▶ In this lecture, we consider the **influence analysis** as a **sensitivity analysis** of the model fit to the data.
- ▶ Data influence: the sensitivity of the model to a infinitesimal purturbation in the data.
- ▶ Model influence: the sensitivity of the model to the assumptions.

Linear Regression Model

Consider a linear regression model:

$$y_i = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i + \epsilon_i \quad \forall i.$$

The OLS estimator of β is given by

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}} = (oldsymbol{X}^Toldsymbol{X})^{-1}oldsymbol{X}^Toldsymbol{y} = \left(\sum_i oldsymbol{x}_i oldsymbol{x}_i^T
ight)^{-1}\sum_i oldsymbol{x}_i y_i,$$

where $oldsymbol{X}$ is the design matrix and $oldsymbol{y}$ is the response vector.

Leverage

The **leverage** of the i-th observation is defined as the i-th diagonal element of the hat matrix

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T.$$

That is

$$h_i = (\boldsymbol{H})_{ii} = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i.$$

Leverage

The sum of the leverages is equal to the number of parameters in the model:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i = \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{H})$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{I})$$

$$= m,$$

where m is the number of parameters in the model.

- Observations with high leverage are called influential observations.
- ▶ It measures how the predicted value is influenced by the *i*-th observation.

Leave-one-out

Another measure of influence is to check the change in the estimates of the parameters when the i-th observation is removed from the data set.

The estimated parameter $\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{(i)}$ when the i-th observation is removed is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)} = (\boldsymbol{X}_{(i)}^T \boldsymbol{X}_{(i)})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{(i)}^T \boldsymbol{y}_{(i)},$$

where $X_{(i)}$ and $y_{(i)}$ are the design matrix and response vector with the i-th observation removed.

We notice that

$$(m{X}_{(i)}^Tm{X}_{(i)})^{-1} = (m{X}^Tm{X} - m{x}_im{x}_i^T)^{-1} = (m{X}^Tm{X})^{-1} + rac{(m{X}^Tm{X})^{-1}(m{x}_im{x}_i^T)(m{X}^Tm{X})^{-1}}{1 - m{x}_i^T(m{X}^Tm{X})^{-1}m{x}_i}$$

Then

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}_i y_i)
= [(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} + (1 - h_i)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}] (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}_i y_i)
= \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + (1 - h_i)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} - (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i y_i
- (1 - h_i)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i y_i
= \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + (1 - h_i)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i \hat{y}_i - (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i y_i - \frac{h_i}{1 - h_i} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i y_i
= \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{1 - h_i} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i.$$

Cook's distance

The confidence region for the estimator $\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}$ is given by

$$\left\{\boldsymbol{\beta}: (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \le ms^2 F_{\alpha, m, n-m} \right\}$$

The Cook's distance is defined as

$$D_i = \frac{1}{ms^2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

By previous result, we have

$$D_i = \frac{(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{ms^2} \frac{h_i}{(1 - h_i)^2}$$

Large values of D_i indicate that the i-th observation has a large influence on the fitted model.

Infinitesimal Influence (I-influence)

- ▶ D: data vector including all the observed values.
- ightharpoonup t(D): a statistic of interest.
- ► The infinitesimal data influence is

$$\lim_{\Delta D \rightarrow 0} \; \frac{\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{D} + \Delta D\boldsymbol{e}_i) - \boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{D})}{\Delta D} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{D})}{\partial D_i}$$

Infinitesimal Influence (I-influence)

- ▶ Let $\ell(\theta)$ be the log-likelihood function of the model.
- ▶ Consider a more general model $\ell(\theta \mid \omega)$ such that $\omega = 0$ corresponds to the model of interest.
- ► The **infinitesimal model influence** is defined as

$$\left.rac{\partial oldsymbol{t}}{\partial oldsymbol{\omega}}
ight|_{oldsymbol{\omega}=0}$$

Influence of the Dependent Variable

We consider the influence of y_i on the estimated parameters $\hat{\beta}$.

► The OLS solution is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \sum_i \boldsymbol{x}_i y_i.$$

▶ The influence of y_i on $\hat{\beta}$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\partial y_i} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i.$$

- Two possibilities that the size of the influence is large:
 - $ightharpoonup |x_i|$ is large: the i-th observation is far from the center of the data.
 - ▶ The direction of x_i is close to the direction of the eigenvector of X^TX corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue.

Influence of the Continuous Explanatory Variable

In particular, we are interested in

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\partial x_{ik}},$$

where x_{ik} is the k-th element of x_i .

Use matrix calculus, we have (by considering $oldsymbol{x}_i$ as the only variable)

$$d\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} d(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}) + (d(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}) (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y})$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} y_i d\boldsymbol{x}_i - (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x}_i d\boldsymbol{x}_i^T + (d\boldsymbol{x}_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i^T) (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y})$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} y_i d\boldsymbol{x}_i - (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i (d\boldsymbol{x}_i)^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} (d\boldsymbol{x}_i) \hat{y}_i$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} (y_i - \hat{y}_i) d\boldsymbol{x}_i - (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T d\boldsymbol{x}_i.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_i} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \left((y_i - \hat{y}_i) \boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \right)$$

Influence of the Continuous Explanatory Variable

Now we have

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\partial x_{ik}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \left((y_i - \hat{y}_i) \boldsymbol{e}_k - \hat{\beta}_k \boldsymbol{x}_i \right)$$

- First component: normalized residual.
- ▶ Second component: the influence through the dependent variable.

It is also connected to Cook's local influence, which is measured by

local influence of
$$x_{ik}$$
 on $\hat{\beta}_k = y_i - \hat{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_k q_i$

where q_i is the residual of the regression of $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$ on the other variables.

Influence of the Binary Explanatory Variable

Now we assume x_{ik} is a binary variable.

- ▶ A binary variable can be misclassified.
- ▶ We assume x_{ik} is an observation for the true binary variable z_{ik} such that miscalssification occurs with probability q_i .
- ► The true model should be

$$E(y_i \mid z_{ik}) = \alpha + \beta_k z_{ik}$$

▶ But now

$$E(y_i \mid x_{ik}) = \alpha + \beta_k(x_{ik} + (1 - 2x_{ik})q_i)$$

► The influence of the misclassification is given by

$$\left. \frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\partial q_i} \right|_{q_i = 0} = (1 - 2x_{ik}) (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \left((y_i - \hat{y}_i) \boldsymbol{e}_i - \hat{\beta}_k \boldsymbol{x}_i \right)$$



Influence on the Predicted Value

The predicted value is connected to the estimated parameters by

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i.$$

The influence can be transferred to the influence on the predicted value. That is

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}_i}{\partial x_{ik}} = \frac{\partial \hat{y}_i}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} \frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\partial x_{ik}} + \frac{\partial \hat{y}_i}{\partial x_{ik}} \Big|_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{x}_i^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \left((y_i - \hat{y}_i) \boldsymbol{e}_k - \hat{\beta}_k \boldsymbol{x}_i \right) + \hat{\beta}_k$$

$$= \boldsymbol{x}_i^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{x})^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_k (y_i - \hat{y}_i) + (1 - h_i) \hat{\beta}_k.$$

Influence on Regression Characteristics

Y-influence on

▶ Coefficient of determination R^2 :

$$\frac{\partial R^2}{\partial y_i} = \frac{2}{\text{SST}} \left[(1 - R^2)(y_i - \bar{y}) - (y_i - \hat{y}_i) \right]$$

▶ **t-statistics** with $t = s^{-1}D^{-1/2}\hat{\beta}$ where $D = \operatorname{diag}((X^TX)^{-1})$:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{t}}{\partial y_i} = s^{-1} \boldsymbol{D}^{-1/2} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i - \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{\text{RSS}} \boldsymbol{t}_i$$