CS-GY 6193 (Due: 11/06/21)

A simple Web Search Engine

Instructor: Torsten Suel Name: Cheng Chen, Netid: cc6858

1 Overview

A typical web search engine mainly has three components, namely **crawler**, **indexer** and **query processor**. The crawler fetches a large collection of pages from the web starting from a set of "seed pages". The indexer builds the inverted index structure from the document collection, along with auxiliary structure including page table and lexicon. The query processor answers queries from users. Specifically, it will read inverted lists that correspond to query words, rank the results and generate snippets.

This project makes two simplifications. First, we use an existing document collection from TREC 2020 Deep Learning Track which consists of 3.2M(3,213,835) pages. Second, the query processor uses a simple rank function BM25, and only answers boolean conjunctive or disjunctive queries.

In summary, this project builds a simple yet complete search engine, which can

- (a) Produce a page table, a structure that, given a docID, returns the URL of the page and the size (number of terms) of the page.
- (b) Produce a lexicon, a structure that, given a term, returns the start of the corresponding inverted list in the index, the end of the list, and the number of docs containing the term.
- (c) Produce the inverted index structure, which stores the actual list for each term (30M(30,004,489)). Each list is composed of chunks of 128 docIDs and term frequencies, along with metadata, i.e., arrays with last docIDs and sizes of chunks, at the beginning of the list.
- (d) Compute ranked conjunctive and disjunctive queries according to the BM25 measure. Return the top 10 results according to the scoring function. For each top result, return the URL, its BM25 score, and some snippet text.

The project in this paper poses no ethical issues. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the procedure to build and compress the inverted index in detail, as well as the time and space complexity. In Section 3, design decisions of query processor are presented, especially the key operation interfaces. Overall discussion and conclusion are elaborated in Section 4.

2 Indexer

There are generally four algorithms to for index building, namely DIMDS, merge sort, merge subindexes and lexicon partitioning.

I build the inverted index structure based basically on merging subindexes algorithm in four stages indicated by four different source c++ files.

2.1 Pipeline and Usage

2.1.1 Stage 1: parse.cpp

g++ -std=c++11 -03 parse.cpp && ./a.out 6145

- This stage will parse the collection and generate 523 intermediate subindexes named from index_n1 to index_n523. Each subindex is responsible for 3,213,835/523=6,145 documents.
- The inverted lists are arranged alphabetically. The docIDs that contain the term are also increasingly sorted. The properties hold throughout all stages.

• This stage will also generate the **page table** structure composed of page_url.txt and page_size.bin, assigning docIDs in the order the pages are parsed.

2.1.2 Stage 2: phase1merge.cpp

```
g++ -std=c++11 -03 phase1merge.cpp && ./a.out
```

• This stage will merge the 523 intermediate subindexes into 21 larger intermediate subindexes named from merge_n1 to merge_n21.

2.1.3 Stage 3: phase2merge.cpp

```
g++ -std=c++11 -03 phase2merge.cpp && ./a.out
```

- This stage will merge the 21 intermediate subindexes into the final inverted index named merge_nfinal, which will be converted to compressed binary format in the next stage.
- The total number of indexable terms is 30M(30,004,489).

2.1.4 Stage 4: compress.cpp

```
g++ -std=c++11 -03 compress.cpp && ./a.out
```

• This stage will scan the index file and produce the **compressed inverted index** structure in binary format using var-byte method called index_nfinal.bin, along with the lexicon structure named lexicon.txt.

2.2 Details

This section explains the key functions/components/design decisions made in building the inverted index.

2.2.1 Stage 1: parse.cpp

• There are generally three different index posting formats for full-text:

```
(docID, frequency)
(docID, impact score)
(docID, freq_1, pos_1, ..., pos_freq)
```

I choose the first format.

- I first transform the document contents into lower case considering that the query processor should be case-insensitive.
- In addition to space, the separating characters I use include ,.;:! $@\#\%\&\wedge*_-=+\backslash-{}"?()[]$.
- I use map<string, vector<uint32_t> > inverted_index to organize the subindexes. This structure maps a token string to a vector of docIDs containing it. When writing to the index file, I use another map<uint32_t, uint32_t> df to parse the vector of docIDs into postings, i.e., counting and mapping docIDs to its frequences. Subindexes in this phase are lines of

```
term docID,docID,docID,... freq,freq,freq,...
```

- I do not use termID.
- The map structure of c++ is internally sorted. The lists are read alphabetically and the docIDs are read increasingly from the structure. This structure gets me rid of using Unix sort, though might also slow the program down.

- I only consider tokens that only starts with an alphabetic letter and consists of english letter and numbers with the help of isalpha(), isalnum(). I also limit the token length to 30 for convenience.
- I use strtok to extract tokens from the web page content between <TEXT> and <\TEXT>. Using a dedicated library might be faster.
- The URLs are only needed to be retrieved and displayed after all the processing while the page sizes are needed to calculate the BM25 score during the processing. Therefore, I decide to use separate files to store page URL and page size.
- The page URLs are extracted in plain txt and will later be stored in a database. The page sizes are just a sequence of integers and is written into a binary file. During query processing, it will be loaded into an array in memory.
- I accumulate the size of pages and calculate the average length of documents in the collection, i.e., $|d|_{avg}$ to compute BM25 impact score.

2.2.2 Stage 2: phase1merge.cpp

- From stage 1 I will get 523 subindexes, which is not efficient/possible to merge at once. I tried and found that I can only open at most 252 fstream at the same time. And it is quite slow to merge this many files. Therefore I decide to merge in 2 passes: $523 \rightarrow 21 \rightarrow 1$.
- I use the priority queue structure provided by c++ to function as min-heap to merge the lists. I construct the inverted list structure as follows.

```
/** structure in the priority queue **/
class elem {
   uint32_t fid;    /** 0,1,2,3, ..., 522 **/
   string token;    /** term **/
   string didlist;    /** docID list **/
   string freqlist;    /** frequence list **/
public:
    /** Constructor omitted **/
   uint32_t getID() const {return fid;}
   string getT() const {return token;}
   string getDL() const {return didlist;}
   string getFL() const {return freqlist;}
};
```

• I overload the comparison operator to order the inverted lists, keep them sorted. A list with smaller token or fid will have higher priority and be popped out first. (This is because the docIDs are assigned in the order the pages are parsed, subindexes with larger fids will contain larger docIDs.)

```
class comp {
public:
    int operator() (const elem* e1, const elem* e2) {
        if (e1->getT() > e2->getT())
            return true;
        else if (e1->getT() == e2->getT())
            return e1->getID() > e2->getID();
        return false;
    }
};
```

- If two inverted lists have the same token meaning they should be merged, just simply concatenate their didlist and freqlist since the first popped list contains smaller docIDs.
- The fid has another usage, i.e., a new inverted list will be pushed into the priority queue from the same fid as the popped list until this subindex is exhausted.
- The intermediate subindex files are organized as blocks of three lines:

```
term
docID,docID,docID,...
freq,freq,freq,...
```

2.2.3 Stage 3: phase2merge.cpp

- Same as stage 2 except for the parameters, e.g., file name, file number.
- The final inverted index contains 90,013,467 lines indicating 30,004,489 indexable terms/inverted lists.

2.2.4 Stage 4: compress.cpp

• I implement the var-byte method as

```
/** Helper function for Var-Byte encoding **/
vector<uint8_t> buffer;

void VBEncode(uint32_t num){
  while (num > 127) {
    buffer.push_back(num & 127);
    num >>= 7;
  }
  buffer.push_back(num + 128);
}
```

The buffer is needed to calculate the metadata, e.g., chunk sizes and last docIDs.

- ullet I use 128 docIDs as a chunk. Terms with less than 128 docIDs will have one chunk.
- During traverse of the didlist, I take differences of docIDs, and then encode those smaller numbers. At the same time, I record the chunk size and last docID of each chunk.
- The index layout format is

```
METADATA 128docIDs 128freqs 128docIDs 128freqs ... METADATA ...
```

• I use uint64_t to record the start byte offset of the corresponding inverted list in the index and the end of the list in case the lexicon size becomes very large. The lexicon are lines of

```
term start_of_list end_of_list num_of_docs
```

2.3 Time/Space and Future Direction

This section explains how long it takes on the provided document collection, and how large the resulting index files as well as the intermediate files are. I use std::chrono library to record the time.

- (a) Stage 1: parse.cpp
 - 2379.68s
 - 523 intermediate subindexes each around 25M (text)
 - \bullet The page size file page_size.bin with size 12M=4*3213835
 - The page url file page_url.txt with size 202M
- (b) Stage 2: phase1merge.cpp
 - 582.132s
 - 21 intermediate subindexes each around 500M (text)
- (c) Stage 3: phase2merge.cpp

- 347.191s
- Uncompressed inverted index of size 10G (text)
- (d) Stage 4: compress.cpp
 - 443.587s
 - Final compressed inverted index of size 2.7G (binary)
 - Lexicon of size 1.0G (text)

Regardless of intermediate files, the total size of generated structures is $12M + 202M + 2.7G + 1.0G \sim 4G$, which is quite decent.

The total amount of time used is $3752.59s \sim 62min$, around one hour. There are several possible directions to further improve the speed.

- Use a more efficient library to parse .trec file instead of getline and strtok.
- Take advantage of unordered_map and Unix sort instead of map.
- Generate less intermediate(temp) subindexes.
- Carefully manage the available/used memory. I just try and error, e.g. segmentation fault, throughout the homework.

3 Query Processor

The query processor in this project is rather simple with no intelligence. It does no query rewriting and uses a simple ranking function BM25. It returns 10 results with highest rank score among those satisfying the given Boolean condition.

There are two ways to execute top-k queries using an inverted index, Term-At-A-Time(TAAT) and Document-At-A-Time(DAAT). I choose DAAT as it is generally faster and better than TAAT for search engines.

3.1 Pipeline and Usage

3.1.1 Build and Connect Database

To correctly answer the query, the processor needs to read the complete lexicon and page table data structures from disk into main memory.

The page table structure is consist of page_size.bin and page_url.txt. As stated in section 2.2.1, the URLs are only needed to be retrieved and displayed after all the processing while the page sizes are needed to calculate the BM25 score during the processing. Therefore, there is no need to load URLs into memory ahead. I store the URLs in a database and retrieve only the top 10 URLs as needed. The page size file is loaded into a c++ vector for query processing.

```
vector<uint32_t> pgsize(N, 0);
pgsize_file.read(reinterpret_cast<char *>(&pgsize[0]), N * sizeof(pgsize[0]));
```

The lexicon structure contains around 40M different terms with maximum length 30, along with 8B list start offset, 8B end and 4B size. The whole structure could use up around 2G memory which is large. So I decided to use database to store the lexicon and connect it with the processor.

Additionally, to generate the snippet, I need to fetch page content of the top results which should be stored in another database considering that a hash table of page contents is too large to fit in memory.

In total, I will need **three database tables**, one for page URLs, one for lexicon and one for page contents. I use **MariaDB python connector** on Jupyter Notebook in the building phase which makes debugging easier for me.

To connect the database and the query processor, I install the MariaDB cpp connector, and have included the MariaDB connector header files, specifically connecpp.hpp, at the top of the query processor source file.

3.1.2 Start Searching!

To compile the source file, one has to carefully configure the environment to load the dynamic library.

```
export DYLD_LIBRARY_PATH=/usr/local/lib/mariadb/
```

To start search, simply

```
g++ -std=c++11 -03 processor.cpp -L /usr/local/lib/mariadb/ -lmariadbcpp && ./a.out
```

And after a greeting message, the processor would ask you whether you want a conjunctive search or not.

```
Conjunctive search?(y/n/quit)
```

Afterwards, you type in several terms separated by space and hit enter, the processor returns the top 10 results according to the BM25 measure. Or, type quit to quit.

A typical search pipeline is shown below.

```
Welcome to Coocle -- a mini web serach engine
         Type 'quit' to quit.
N
    = 3213835
d_avg = 993
Lexicon is stored in database...
Loading page table.....
Done.....
Conjunctive search?(y/n/quit)
>>> y
Please input query...
>>> dog cat mouse
Searching...
INVERTED LIST LENGTH
     105411
dog
            66485
cat
mouse
            37070
```

1: http://www.answers.com/Q/What_is_Mickey_Mouse's_middle_name

SCORE: 23.533186

TERM	FREQUENCY
mouse	24
cat	4
dog	4
TERM	SCORE
mouse	9.567383
cat	7.439342
dog	6.526461

SNIPPET: What is the name of Mickey Mouse's dog? What is the name of Mickey Mouse's dog? Mickey Mouse's dog's name is Pluto. What is Mickey Mouse's name in japanese?basically it's same but they pronounce it little different. On the Wallaby 2,874,808 Contributions Passionate about all things Australian What was Mickey Mouse's original name? Mickey Mouse was originally named "Mortimer Mouse "when the concept was conceived by Walt Disney in 1928. Disney's wife suggested that the name was too pretentious, so

```
/** 2-9 results omitted **/
```

10: http://www.petpoisonhelpline.com/pet-safety-tips/mouse-and-rat-poison-rodenticides-poisonous-to
-dogs-cats/
 SCORE: 22.080468

TERM FREQUENCY

```
mouse 11
cat 6
dog 14
-----
TERM SCORE
-----
mouse 8.599908
cat 6.766826
dog 6.713735
```

SNIPPET: Mouse and Rat Poison: Rodenticides Poisonous to Dogs & Cats Mouse and Rat Poison:
Rodenticides Poisonous to Dogs & Cats By Liz Greenlee, CVT, EMT and Ahna Brutlag, DVMDid your
dog eat rat poison? Pet Poison Helpline gets dozens of calls daily from dog owners (and
occasionally cat owners) saying My dog ate rat poison! Poisoning from rodenticides (mouse and
rat poisons) is one of the most common types of toxicities managed by Pet Poison Helpline.
These poisons are easy to obtain and used anywher

3.2 Details

This section explains the key functions/components/design decisions made in building the query processor.

3.2.1 Build Database

The **lexicon table**, when given a term, returns the start of the corresponding inverted list in the index, the end of the list, and the number of docs containing the term. I create the table as

```
CREATE TABLE 'lexicon' (
   'term' varchar(30) NOT NULL,
   'start' bigint NOT NULL,
   'end' bigint NOT NULL,
   'freq' int NOT NULL,
   PRIMARY KEY ('term')
) ENGINE=InnoDB
```

As described in section 2.2.4, The layout of lexicon structure is

```
term start_of_list end_of_list num_of_docs
term start_of_list end_of_list num_of_docs
...
```

Therefore, just read lines of lexicon and

```
term, start, end, freq = line.strip().split()
cur.execute(
   "INSERT INTO lexicon (term, start, end, freq) VALUES (?, ?, ?, ?)",
   (term, int(start), int(end), int(freq)))
```

The page url table, when given a document ID, returns the URL associated with the did.

```
CREATE TABLE 'pgurl' (
    'id' int NOT NULL,
    'url' varchar(1024) NOT NULL,
    PRIMARY KEY ('id')
) ENGINE=InnoDB
```

Generated file page_url.txt contains lines of URLs, so simply read all lines and insert it into the table.

```
cur.execute(
  "INSERT INTO pgurl (id, url) VALUES (?, ?)",
  (int(did), url))
```

The **page content table**, when given a URL, returns the beginning 2048 characters of the content to generate snippet. I create the table as

```
CREATE TABLE 'docs' (
'url' varchar(1024) NOT NULL,
'text' varchar(2048) NOT NULL,
PRIMARY KEY ('url')
) ENGINE=InnoDB
```

Afterwards, traverse the collection msmarco-docs.trec and

```
cur.execute(
  "INSERT INTO docs (url, text) VALUES (?, ?)",
  (url, text[:2048])
```

3.2.2 Connect Database

To establish a connection between processor and database, start by retrieving a Driver object that can then be used, in combination with Java Database Connectivity (JDBC) configuration information, to obtain a Connection object.

```
// Instantiate Driver
Driver* driver = sql::mariadb::get_driver_instance();
// Configure Connection
SQLString url("jdbc:mariadb://localhost:3306/");
Properties properties({{"user", "root"}, {"password", "123456"}});
// Establish Connection
Connection* conn(driver->connect(url, properties));
```

To get information from lexicon given the query, one has to locate each inverted list for each term by consulting the database.

```
// Create a new PreparedStatement
std::unique_ptr<sql::PreparedStatement> stmnt(conn->prepareStatement("SELECT start, end, freq FROM
    lexicon WHERE term=?"));
vector<list_pointer *> lp;
/** for each term **/
   // Bind values to SQL statement
   stmnt->setString(1, term);
   // Execute query
   ResultSet *res = stmnt->executeQuery();
   // Loop through and print results
   while (res->next()) {
       start = res->getLong(1);
       end = res->getLong(2);
       freq = res->getInt(3);
       /** create list pointer structure **/
       lp.push_back(new list_pointer(term, start, end, freq));
   }
}
```

Similarly, we can get the page URL for each top result, and the page content associated with each URL. The page url table and page content table can be combined.

3.2.3 List pointer

Each queried term has an associated data structure called list pointer. The structure has interfaces based on operations nextGEQ(), get_score(), get_payload(), etc., on inverted lists. This way, issues such as file input and inverted list compression technique have been completely hidden from the higher-level query processor.

• MetaData

In addition to the start of the corresponding inverted list in the index, the end of the list, and the number of docs containing the term, each list pointer reads in the metadata, i.e., chunk sizes and last IDs, from the inverted index.

var-byte decoding

deque is a suitable data structure to push back var-bytes and pop from front.

```
/** Helper function for Var-Byte decoding **/
void VBDecode(deque<uint8_t> &dq, vector<uint32_t> &buffer) {
   uint8_t b;
   while (!dq.empty()) {
       uint32_t val = 0, shift = 0;
       b = dq[0];
       while(b < 128) {</pre>
           dq.pop_front();
           val += (b << shift);</pre>
           shift += 7;
           b = dq[0];
       dq.pop_front();
       val += ((b - 128) << shift);
       buffer.push_back(val);
   }
}
```

nextGEQ(k)

It is one of the key method of list pointer to implement efficient **conjunctive search**. It will find the next posting in list with $docID \ge k$ and return its docID. Return -1 if none exists.

I implement forward seeks in sorted lists block by block.

```
int nextGEQ(int k) {
   // block-wise decompression
   while (lastID[cblock] < k) {</pre>
       offset += chukSZ[cblock];
       base_did = lastID[cblock];
       cblock ++;
       if (cblock >= num_of_chks)
           return -1;
   }
   /** get specific block from inverted list **/
   deque<uint8_t> compressed_block(compressed_list.begin()+offset,
       compressed_list.begin()+offset+chukSZ[cblock]);
   vector<uint32_t> decoded_block;
   /** uncompress block **/
   VBDecode(compressed_block, decoded_block);
   cdid = base_did;
   /** traverse block **/
   for (int i = 0; i < decoded_block.size() / 2; i ++) {</pre>
       cdid += decoded_block[i];
       if (cdid >= k) {
           /** get f_dt **/
           f_dt = decoded_block[decoded_block.size() / 2 + i];
           return cdid;
   }
```

```
return -1;
}
```

This implementation doesn't check whether the block is already uncompressed, i.e., it has no cache.

• get_score

BM25 is a ranking function used by search engines to estimate the relevance of documents d to a given search query q.

$$BM25(q, d) = \sum_{t \in q} s(t, d)$$

$$= \sum_{t \in q} \log \left(\frac{N - f_t + 0.5}{f_t + 0.5} \right) \times \left(\frac{(k_1 + 1)f_{d,t}}{K + f_{d,t}} \right)$$

$$K = k_1 \times \left((1 - b) + b \times \frac{|d|}{|d|_{avg}} \right)$$

$$= 1.2 \times \left(0.25 + 0.75 \times \frac{|d|}{|d|_{avg}} \right)$$

Here, N is the total number of documents in the collection which is 3212965, $|d|_{avg}$ is the average length of documents in the collection which is 1055. These two values are known ahead. f_t is the number of documents that contain term t, which is associated with each inverted list, stored in lexicon. |d| is length of document d, which is associated with each docID, stored in page_size.bin, loaded in memory upon start up. $f_{d,t}$ is the frequency of term t in document d, which is stored in the inverted index.

In my implementation, the get_score method of list pointer will calculate and return s(t, d) for term t and document d. First, upon constructing list pointer given the query, we have f_t from the lexicon. Second, each time calling nextGEQ(k), we will get the docID in interest along with its $f_{d,t}$. Third, it will index in the page size array to get document size |d|. Finally, it has all the parameter values to compute BM25 score and return.

• get_payload

This function is used during a disjunctive search.

Basically, we have to traverse every posting in the inverted lists for an OR semantic search. Therefore I choose to decompress the whole lists, prepare everything ahead and then merge. Here everything including all the docIDs, $f_{d,t}$ s and s(t,d)s.

```
vector<uint32_t> didlist;
vector<uint32_t> freqlist;
vector<double> scorelist;
```

3.2.4 Search results

Each top result has an associated data structure called result, used to construct, sort and display search results. The results are maintained in a fixed-size priority queue according to their impact score. A typical search result format is shown below.

1: http://www.answers.com/Q/Who_ordered_the_building_of_the_Great_Wall_of_China SCORE: 16.902081

TERM FREQUENCY

china 36
wall 36
great 32

TERM SCORE

china 7.547162
wall 6.341671
great 3.013249

SNIPPET: Who ordered the building of the Great Wall of China? Answers.com Wiki Answers Categories History, Politics & Society History History of Asia History of China Great Wall of China Who ordered the building of the Great Wall of China? Flag Who ordered the building of the Great Wall of China? Answer by Brave Fencer Confidence votes 5.0KParts of the Great Wall was already built by the various warring states before the first emperor of China, Qin Shi-Huang united China. However, Qin Shi Huang conne

This is the top-1 result for conjunctive search query "china great wall". The impact score, term-wise frequency and score, and snippet are shown successively.

3.2.5 Conjunctive search

Conjunctive search means AND semantics: "all query words must occur in result". We need to find the docIDs that occur in all inverted lists in the query first. The impact score can be computed by summing s(t,d) over terms(inverted lists). We then return the docIDs with top-10 scores by maintaining a top-10 heap.

In my implementation, I use a priority queue to store all the documents that contain all query words and use the impact score as their priority. Then I display search results with the top-10 highest priority.

I use DAAT, i.e., Document-At-A-Time query processing method. DAAT uses no extra space for hash table (only heap for top-10). All inverted lists in the query are traversed simultaneously from left to right. The overall procedure is as follows.

- Sort the inverted lists according to their list length in ascending order.
- Get next posting from shortest list by calling nextGEQ.
- Get next posting from other lists and see if you find entries with same docID.
- If the docID is in intersection, get all the s(t, d)s and sum them into impact score. Push the document into the priority queue.
- Increase docID to search for next posting.

```
void QueryProcessing() {
   // Intersection
    vector<list_pointer *> lp;
    for (int i = 0; i < num; i ++) lp.push_back(new list_pointer(term, start, end, freq));</pre>
    /* sort according to list length(freq) */
    sort(lp.begin(), lp.end());
    int did = 0;
    while (true) {
       // Get next posting from shortest list
       did = lp[0]->nextGEQ(did);
       if (did == -1) break;
       // see if you find entries with same docID in other lists
       int d = -1;
       for (int i = 1; (i < num) && ((d = lp[i] \rightarrow nextGEQ(did)) == did); i ++) {
       if (d == -1) {
           break;
       } else if (d > did) {
           did = d;
       } else {
           // docID is in intersection; now get all frequencies/scores
           /** details omitted **/
           did ++;
       }
   }
}
```

3.2.6 Disjunctive search

As mentioned in section 3.2.3, we have to traverse every posting in the inverted lists for an OR semantic disjunctive search. Instead of intersection, we have to do a merge of list.

There is a faster disjunctive top-k algorithm called Max Score which makes safe early-termination. Max Score gets the correct top-k without evaluating all docs in union. Max Score is not implemented in this project. The overall merging procedure is quite similar to that of merging subindexes as described in section 2.2.2.

```
/** structure in the priority queue for merge **/
class elem {
   uint32_t lp;
                   /** index for list pointer **/
   uint32_t did;
                  /** docID **/
   uint32_t freq; /** f_{d,t} **/
   double score; /** s(d,t) **/
public:
  /** Constructor omitted **/
   uint32_t get_lp() const {return lp;}
   uint32_t get_did() const {return did;}
   uint32_t get_freq() const {return freq;}
   double
            get_score() const {return score;}
};
```

3.2.7 Snippet generation

There are two kinds of snippet, query-independent versus query-dependent snippets. Query-independent snippets are basically summary of page while query-dependent snippets show text surrounding occurrences of the keywords in the page that is useful for user.

Due to the space limit, the database I build for snippet generation only stores the beginning 2048 characters of each page, which probably show no occurrence of query words for a normal-sized page. Therefore, the query processor in this project generate query-independent snippets, beginning 500 characters of each page, for each query. Note that most search engines give query-dependent snippets.

3.3 Future Direction

There are several possible directions to improve the query processor.

- Check whether an inverted list block has already been decompressed in nextGEQ and use this interface for both conjunctive and disjunctive search.
- Generate query-dependent snippets, probably based on machine learning.
- Leave the decision of conjunctive or disjunctive search to the search engine itself, let it find out which scheme is better suitable for the given query.

4 Discussion and Conclusion

(a) **Performance.** The overall performance of this simple web search engine is pretty good. It provides results in **tens or hundreds of milliseconds** for typical queries, especially in the conjunctive case.

We can precompute score at index time and store store quantized term-doc score instead of frequency in the inverted index, and implement more caching, which may further improve the performance.

```
(docID, impact score)
```

(b) **Quality.** We know that occurrence of "digital" and "camera" doesn't necessary indicate occurrence of "digital camera". Indexing common phrases such as "new york city" or "binary search" can improve the quality of the search results.

We can store term occurrence position for smart query-dependent snippet generation.

(c) **Efficiency.** In reality, pure number queries are rare and the search terms are usually much shorter than 30 characters. We can cut down plenty of indexable terms by adding reasonable constraints such as limiting term size to 10 or 15.

We can implement Max Score or other safe early-termination methods to improve the speed of disjunctive search.

This paper understands and builds a simple yet complete web search engine for the document collection from TREC 2020 Deep Learning Track from scratch. The total number of indexable terms is 30M(30,004,489). We in detail explain the procedure to build the inverted index and query processor, what they can do, how they work internally, what the major functions and modules are, what limitations they have and future directions to improve them, with code snippets. Experiment results confirm that the search engine can provide results in at most one or two seconds for typical queries.