Gibbs sampling

Dr. Jarad Niemi

Iowa State University

March 28, 2016

Outline

- Two-component Gibbs sampler
 - Full conditional distribution
- K-component Gibbs sampler
 - Blocked Gibbs sampler
- Metropolis-within-Gibbs
- Slice sampler
 - Latent variable augmentation

Gibbs sampling

Suppose our target distribution is $p(\theta|y)$ with $\theta=(\theta_1,\theta_2)$ and we can sample from $p(\theta_1|\theta_2,y)$ and $p(\theta_2|\theta_1,y)$. Beginning with an initial value (θ_1^0,θ_2^0) , the Gibbs sampler is

- 1. sample $\theta_1^t \sim p\left(\theta_1 | \theta_2^{t-1}, y\right)$ and then
- 2. sample $\theta_2^t \sim p(\theta_2|\theta_1^t, y)$.

Thus in order to run a Gibbs sampler, we need to derive the full conditional for θ_1 and θ_2 , i.e. the distribution for θ_1 and θ_2 conditional on everything else.

Bivariate normal example

Let our target be

$$heta \sim N_2(0,\Sigma) \qquad \Sigma = \left[egin{array}{cc} 1 &
ho \
ho & 1 \end{array}
ight].$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \theta_1 | \theta_2 & \sim \textit{N} \left(\rho \theta_2, [1-\rho^2] \right) \\ \theta_2 | \theta_1 & \sim \textit{N} \left(\rho \theta_1, [1-\rho^2] \right) \end{array}$$

are the conditional distributions.

Assuming initial value (θ_1^0, θ_2^0) , the Gibbs sampler proceeds as follows:

Iteration	Sample $ heta_1$	Sample $ heta_2$
1	$ heta_1^1 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(ho heta_2^0, [1- ho^2] ight)$	$ heta_2^1 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(ho heta_1^1, [1- ho^2] ight)$
t	$ heta_1^t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(ho heta_2^{t-1}, [1- ho^2] ight)$	$ heta_2^t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(ho heta_1^t, [1- ho^2] ight)$
	:	

R code for bivariate normal Gibbs sampler

```
gibbs_bivariate_normal = function(x0, n_points, rho) {
    x = matrix(x0, nrow=n_points, ncol=2, byrow=TRUE)
    v = sqrt(1-rho^2)
    for (i in 2:n_points) {
        x[i,1] = rnorm(1, rho*x[i-1,2], v)
        x[i,2] = rnorm(1, rho*x[i ,1], v)
    }
    return(x)
}
```

Gibbs sampling

Normal model

Suppose $Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and we assume the prior

$$\mu \sim \textit{N}(\textit{m},\textit{C})$$
 and $\sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(\textit{v},\textit{s}^2).$

Note: this is NOT the conjugate prior.

The full posterior we are interested in is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho(\mu,\sigma^{2}|y) \propto & (\sigma^{2})^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_{i}-\mu)^{2})\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2C}(\mu-m)^{2}\right) \\ & \times (\sigma^{2})^{-(\nu/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu s^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right) \end{array}$$

To run the Gibbs sampler, we need to derive

- $\mu | \sigma^2, y$ and
- \bullet $\sigma^2 | \mu, y$

Derive $\mu | \sigma^2, y$.

Recall

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2|y) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2C} (\mu - m)^2\right) \times (\sigma^2)^{-(\nu/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu s^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Now find $\mu|\sigma^2, y$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p(\mu|\sigma^2,y) & \propto p(\mu,\sigma^2|y) \\ & \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n(y_i-\mu)^2\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2C}(\mu-m)^2\right) \\ & \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n}+\frac{1}{C}\right)\mu^2-2\mu\left(\frac{\overline{y}}{\sigma^2/n}+\frac{m}{C}\right)\right]\right) \end{array}$$

thus $\mu|\sigma^2, y \sim N(m', C')$ where

$$m' = C' \left(\frac{\overline{y}}{\sigma^2/n} + \frac{m}{C} \right)$$
$$C' = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n} + \frac{1}{C} \right)^{-1}$$

Derive $\sigma^2 | \mu, y$.

Recall

$$\begin{array}{l} p(\mu,\sigma^2|y) \propto & (\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2C} (\mu - m)^2\right) \\ & \times (\sigma^2)^{-(\nu/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu s^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \end{array}$$

Now find $\sigma^2 | \mu, y$:

$$p(\sigma^{2}|\mu, y) \propto p(\mu, \sigma^{2}|y)$$

 $\propto (\sigma^{2})^{-([\nu+n]/2+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\left[\nu s^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right]\right)$

and thus $\sigma^2 | \mu, y \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(v', (s')^2)$ where

$$v' = v + n$$

 $v'(s')^2 = vs^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mu)^2$

R code for Gibbs sampler

```
# Data and prior
y = rnorm(10)
m = 0: C = 10
v = 1; s = 1
# Tnitial nalnes
mu = 0
sigma2 = 1
# Save structures
n_{iter} = 1000
mu_keep = rep(NA, n_iter)
sigma_keep = rep(NA, n_iter)
# Pre-calculate
n = length(y)
sum_v = sum(v)
vp = v+n
vs2 = v*s^2
```


R code for Gibbs sampler

```
# Gibbs sampler
for (i in 1:n_iter) {
    # Sample mu
    Cp = 1/(n/sigma2+1/C)
    mp = Cp*(sum_y/sigma2+m/C)
    mu = rnorm(1, mp, sqrt(Cp))

# Sample sigma
    vpsp2 = vs2 + sum((y-mu)^2)
    sigma2 = 1/rgamma(1, vp/2, vpsp2/2)

# Save iterations
    mu_keep[i] = mu
    sigma_keep[i] = sqrt(sigma2)
}
```

Posteriors



K-component Gibbs sampler

Suppose $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)$, then the following Gibbs sampler can be constructed

$$\begin{array}{ll} \theta_1^t & \sim p\left(\theta_1|\theta_2^{t-1},\ldots,\theta_K^{t-1},y\right) \\ \\ \theta_2^t & \sim p\left(\theta_2|\theta_1^t,\theta_3^{t-1},\ldots,\theta_K^{t-1},y\right) \\ & \vdots \\ \\ \theta_k^t & \sim p\left(\theta_k|\theta_1^t,\ldots,\theta_{k-1}^t,\theta_{k+1}^{t-1},\ldots,\theta_K^{t-1},y\right) \\ & \vdots \\ \\ \theta_K^t & \sim p\left(\theta_K|\theta_1^t,\ldots,\theta_{K-1}^t,y\right) \end{array}$$

The distributions above are called the full conditional distributions. If some of the θ_k are vectors, then this is called a block Gibbs sampler.

Hierarchical normal model

Let

$$Y_{ij} \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma^2), \qquad \mu_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\eta, \tau^2)$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, I$, $j = 1, \dots, n_i$, $n = \sum_{i=1}^{I} n_i$ and prior $p(\eta, \tau^2, \sigma) \propto IG(\tau^2; a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})IG(\sigma^2; a_{\sigma}, b_{\sigma}).$

The full conditionals are

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho(\mu|\eta,\sigma^{2},\tau^{2},y) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \rho(\mu_{i}|\eta,\sigma^{2},\tau^{2},y_{i}) \\ \rho(\mu_{i}|\eta,\sigma^{2},\tau^{2},y_{i}) &= N\left(\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}/n_{i}} + \frac{1}{\tau^{2}}\right]\left[\frac{\overline{y}_{i}}{\sigma^{2}/n_{i}} + \frac{\eta}{\tau^{2}}\right],\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}/n_{i}} + \frac{1}{\tau^{2}}\right]^{-1}\right) \\ \rho(\eta|\mu,\sigma^{2},\tau^{2},y) &= N\left(\overline{\mu},\tau^{2}/I\right) \\ \rho(\sigma^{2}|\mu,\eta,\tau^{2},y) &= IG(a_{\sigma}+n/2,b_{\sigma}+\sum_{i=1}^{I}\sum_{j=1}^{n_{j}}(y_{ij}-\mu_{i})^{2}/2) \\ \rho(\tau^{2}|\mu,\eta,\sigma^{2},y) &= IG(a_{\tau}+I/2,b_{\tau}+\sum_{i=1}^{I}(\mu_{i}-\eta)^{2}/2) \end{array}$$

where $n_i \overline{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} y_{ii}$ and $I \overline{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \mu_i$.

Metropolis-within-Gibbs

We have discussed two Markov chain approaches to sample from a target distribution:

- Metropolis-Hastings algorithm
- Gibbs sampling

Gibbs sampling assumed we can sample from $p(\theta_k|\theta_{-k},y)$ for all k, but what if we cannot sample from all of these full conditional distributions? For those $p(\theta_k|\theta_{-k})$ that cannot be sampled directly, a single iteration of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm can be substituted.

Bivariate normal with $\rho = 0.9$

Reconsider the bivariate normal example substituting a Metropolis step in place of a Gibbs step:

```
gibbs_and_metropolis = function(x0, n_points, rho) {
 x = matrix(x0, nrow=n_points, ncol=2, byrow=TRUE)
 v = sart(1-rho^2)
 for (i in 2:n_points) {
   x[i,1] = rnorm(1, rho*x[i-1,2], v)
   # Now do a random-walk Metropolis step
   x_prop = rnorm(1, x[i-1,2], 2.4*v) # optimal proposal variance
   logr = dnorm(x_prop, rho*x[i,1], v, log=TRUE) -
             dnorm(x[i-1,2], rho*x[i,1], v, log=TRUE)
   x[i,2] = ifelse(log(runif(1)) < logr, x_prop, x[i-1,2])
 return(x)
x = gibbs_and_metropolis(c(-3,3), n, rho)
length(unique(x[,2]))/length(x[,2]) # acceptance rate
[1] 0.5
```

Hierarchical normal model

Let

$$Y_{ij} \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma^2), \qquad \mu_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\eta, \tau^2)$$

for
$$i=1,\ldots,\mathrm{I}$$
, $j=1,\ldots,n_i$, $n=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{I}}n_i$ and prior

$$p(\eta, \tau^2, \sigma) \propto Ca^+(\tau^2; 0, b_\tau)Ca^+(\sigma^2; 0, b_\sigma).$$

The full conditionals are exactly the same except

$$p(\sigma^{2}|\mu, \eta, \tau^{2}, y) \propto IG(n/2, \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{j}} (y_{ij} - \mu_{i})^{2}/2) Ca^{+}(0, b_{\sigma}) p(\tau^{2}|\mu, \eta, \sigma^{2}, y) \propto IG(I/2, \sum_{i=1}^{I} (\mu_{i} - \eta)^{2}/2) Ca^{+}(0, b_{\tau})$$

where
$$n_i \overline{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}$$
 and $I \overline{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \mu_i$.

Hierarchical normal model

To sample from $p(\theta|y) \propto IG(a,b)Ca^+(0,b_\theta)$, we have a variety of possibilities. Here are three:

- 1. Rejection sampling with $\theta^* \sim IG(a,b)$ and thus $M_{opt}^* = Ca^+(0;0,b_{\theta})$ and the acceptance probability is $Ca^+(\theta^*;0,b_{\theta})/M_{opt}^*$.
- 2. Independence Metropolis-Hastings with $\theta^* \sim IG(a,b)$ and thus the acceptance probability is $Ca^+(\theta^*;0,b_\theta)/Ca^+(\theta^t;0,b_\theta)$.
- 3. Random-walk Metroplis-Hastings with $\theta^* \sim g(\cdot|\theta^t)$ and acceptance probability is $q(\theta^*|y)/q(\theta^t|y)$.

Hierarchical binomial model

Let

$$Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} Bin(n_i, \theta_i)$$
 $\theta_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Be(\alpha, \beta)$
 $p(\alpha, \beta) \propto (\alpha + \beta)^{-5/2}$

We will use a Gibbs sampler to sample from $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n, \alpha, \beta$, so we need to derive the following conditional distributions:

- $\theta_i | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{i-1}, \theta_{i+1}, \dots, \theta_n, \alpha, \beta, y$
- $\alpha | \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n, \beta, y$
- $\beta | \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n, \alpha, y$

For shorthand, we often use $\theta_i | \dots$ where \dots indicates *everything else*.

Full conditional for θ_i

$$Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} Bin(n_i, \theta_i), \quad \theta_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Be(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\alpha, \beta) \propto (\alpha + \beta)^{-5/2}$$

The full conditional for θ_i is

$$p(\theta_{i}|\ldots) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta|\alpha,\beta)p(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\propto \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_{i}|\theta_{i})\right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} p(\theta_{i}|\alpha,\beta)\right]$$

$$\propto \prod_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{j}^{y_{j}} (1-\theta_{j})^{n_{j}-y_{j}} \theta_{j}^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta_{j})^{\beta-1}$$

$$\propto \theta_{i}^{\alpha+y_{i}-1} (1-\theta_{i})^{\beta+n_{i}-y_{i}-1}$$

Thus $\theta_i | \ldots \sim Be(\alpha + y_i, \beta + n_i - y_i)$.

Full conditional for α and β

$$Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} Bin(n_i, \theta_i), \quad \theta_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Be(\alpha, \beta), \quad p(\alpha, \beta) \propto (\alpha + \beta)^{-5/2}$$

The full conditional for α is

$$p(\alpha|\ldots) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta|\alpha,\beta)p(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\propto \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} p(\theta_{i}|\alpha,\beta)\right]p(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\propto \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{Beta(\alpha,\beta)^{n}}(\alpha+\beta)^{-5/2}$$

which is not a known density.

The full conditional for β is

$$p(\beta|\ldots) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta|\alpha,\beta)p(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\propto \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} p(\theta_{i}|\alpha,\beta)\right]p(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\propto \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}[1-\theta_{i}]\right)^{\beta-1}}{Beta(\alpha,\beta)^{n}}(\alpha+\beta)^{-5/2}$$

which is not a known density.

Full conditional functions

$$\begin{array}{ll} \log p(\alpha|\ldots) & \propto (\alpha-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(-\theta_i \right) + n \log (Beta(\alpha,\beta)) - 5/2 \log (\alpha+\beta) \\ \log p(\beta|\ldots) & \propto (\beta-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(1 - \theta_i \right) + n \log (Beta(\alpha,\beta)) - 5/2 \log (\alpha+\beta) \end{array}$$

```
log_fc_alpha = function(theta, alpha, beta) {
   if (alpha<0) return(-Inf)
    n = length(theta)
    (alpha-1)*sum(log(theta))-n*lbeta(alpha,beta)-5/2*(alpha+beta)
}

log_fc_beta = function(theta, alpha, beta) {
   if (beta<0) return(-Inf)
    n = length(theta)
    (beta-1)*sum(log(1-theta))-n*lbeta(alpha,beta)-5/2*(alpha+beta)
}</pre>
```

```
mcmc = function(n_sims, dat, inits, tune) {
 n_groups = nrow(dat)
 alpha = inits$alpha
 heta = inits$heta
  # Recording structure
  theta_keep = matrix(NA, nrow=n_sims, ncol=n_groups)
 alpha_keep = rep(alpha, n_sims)
 beta_keep = rep(beta , n_sims)
 for (i in 1:n_sims) {
    # Sample thetas
    theta = with(dat, rbeta(length(v), alpha+v, beta+n-v))
    # Sample alpha
    alpha prop = rnorm(1, alpha, tune$alpha)
   logr = log_fc_alpha(theta, alpha_prop, beta)-log_fc_alpha(theta, alpha, beta)
    alpha = ifelse(log(runif(1)) < logr, alpha_prop, alpha)</pre>
    # Sample beta
    beta_prop = rnorm(1, beta, tune$beta)
    logr = log_fc_beta(theta, alpha, beta_prop)-log_fc_beta(theta, alpha, beta)
    beta = ifelse(log(runif(1))<logr, beta prop, beta)
    # Record parameter values
    theta_keep[i,] = theta
    alpha_keep[i] = alpha
    beta_keep[ i] = beta
 return(data.frame(iteration=1:n_sims,
                    parameter=rep(c("alpha","beta",paste("theta[",1:n_groups,"]",sep="")),each=n_sims),
                    value=c(alpha keep.beta keep.as.numeric(theta keep))))
```

```
d = read.csv("Ch05a-dawkins.csv")
Warning in file(file, "rt"): cannot open file 'Ch05a-dawkins.csv': No such file or directory
Error in file(file, "rt"): cannot open the connection

dat=data.frame(y=d$made, n=d$attempt)
inits = list(alpha=1, beta=1)

# Run the MCMC
r = mcmc(2000, dat=dat, inits=inits, tune=list(alpha=1,beta=1))

Warning in rbeta(length(y), alpha + y, beta + n - y): NAs produced
Warning in rnorm(1, alpha, tune$alpha): NAs produced
Error in if (alpha < 0) return(-Inf): missing value where TRUE/FALSE needed</pre>
```

```
Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'r' not found
Error in empty(.data): object 'r.subset' not found
Error in ggplot(r.subset, aes(x = iteration, y = value)): object 'r.subset' not found
```

Block Gibbs sampler

It appears that the Gibbs sampler we have constructed iteratively samples from

- 1. $\theta_1 \sim p(\theta_1|\theta_{-1}, \alpha, \beta, y)$
- 2. :
- 3. $\theta_n \sim p(\theta_n | \theta_{-n}, \alpha, \beta, y)$
- 4. $\alpha \sim p(\alpha|\theta,\beta,y)$
- 5. $\beta \sim p(\beta|\theta,\alpha,y)$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ and θ_{-i} is θ without element i.

But notice that

$$p(\theta|\alpha,\beta,y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(\theta_i|\alpha,\beta,y_i)$$

and thus the θ_i are conditionally independent. Thus, we actually ran the following block Gibbs sampler:

- 1. $\theta \sim p(\theta | \alpha, \beta, y)$
- 2. $\alpha \sim p(\alpha|\theta,\beta,y)$
- 3. $\beta \sim p(\beta|\theta,\alpha,y)$

Slice sampling

Suppose the target distribution is $p(\theta|y)$ with scalar θ . Then,

$$p(\theta|y) = \int_0^{p(\theta|y)} du$$

Thus, $p(\theta|y)$ can be thought of as the marginal distribution of

$$(\theta, U) \sim \mathsf{Unif}\{(\theta, u) : 0 < u < p(\theta|y)\}$$

where u is an auxiliary variable.


Slice sampling performs the following Gibbs sampler:

- 1. $u^t | \theta^{t-1}, y \sim \text{Unif}\{u : 0 < u < p(\theta^{t-1}|y)\}$ and
- 2. $\theta^t | u^t, y \sim \text{Unif}\{\theta : u^t < p(\theta|y)\}$.

Slice sampler for exponential distribution

Consider the target $\theta|y \sim Exp(1)$, then

$$\{\theta: u < p(\theta|y)\} = (0, -\log(u)).$$




Slice sampling in R

```
# Slice sampler
slice = function(n,init_theta,target,A) {
    u = theta = rep(NA,n)
    theta[1] = init_theta
    u[1] = runif(1,0,target(theta[1])) # This never actually gets used

for (i in 2:n) {
    u(i] = runif(1,0,target(theta[i-1]))
    endpoints = A(u[i],theta[i-1]) # The second argument is used in the second example
    theta[i] = runif(1, endpoints[1],endpoints[2])
    }
    return(list(theta=theta,u=u))
}
# Exponential example
set.seed(6)
A = function(u,theta=NA) c(0,-log(u))
res = slice(10, 0.1, dexp, A)
```

Slice sampling

Slice sampling approximation to Exp(1) distribution



Summary

- Gibbs sampling breaks down a hard problem of sampling from a high dimensional distribution to a set of easier problems, i.e. sampling from low dimensional full conditional distributions.
- If the low dimensional distributions have an unknown form, then alternative methods can be used, e.g. (adaptive) rejection sampling, Metropolis-Hastings, etc.
- A Gibbs sampler can always be constructed by introducing an auxiliary variable that horizontally slices the target density.