



## Analysis

---

*Chengwuming*

*2024.2.29*

# Preface

3.10: Chapter Continuity finished.

2024 年 5 月 4 日

# 目录

<b>第三章 Foundations</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1 Basic Logic and Set Theory . . . . .	1
3.2 Function, Relation and Operation . . . . .	3
3.3 Natural Numbers . . . . .	5
3.4 Countability . . . . .	7
3.5 Group, Ring, Field, Poly . . . . .	8
3.6 The Rational Numbers . . . . .	8
3.7 The Real Numbers . . . . .	8
3.8 Vector Space, Affine Space, . . . . .	8
3.9 Convergence . . . . .	9
3.10 Normed Vector Space . . . . .	10
<b>第四章 Continuity</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1 Continuity . . . . .	11
4.2 Topology . . . . .	13
4.3 Compactness . . . . .	17
4.4 Connectivity . . . . .	20
4.5 Functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ . . . . .	22
4.6 The Exponential and Related Functions . . . . .	24
<b>第五章 可微性</b>	<b>28</b>
5.1 连续线性映射 . . . . .	28
5.2 定义 . . . . .	29
5.3 $E = \mathbb{K}$ 情形 . . . . .	30

5.4	$\dim E > 1$ 情形 . . . . .	31
5.5	高阶微分 . . . . .	34
5.6	局部微分同胚 . . . . .	35

<b>第六章</b>	<b>Integrals</b>	<b>39</b>
------------	------------------	-----------

6.1	Partial Fraction Decomposition, Rational Function Integration, Transformation of Rational Functions . . . . .	39
-----	--	----

# 第三章 Foundations

## 3.1 Basic Logic and Set Theory

2024.2.5, the very first day of my review on Analysis, as well as my first practice of English.

### Some definitions

$$(A \implies B) := (\neg A) \vee B$$

This explains that when the premise is not satisfied, the conclusion is automatically right.  
What's more, we deduce the empty set possesses every property.

$$\emptyset := \{x \in X : x \neq x\}$$

**命题 3.1.1.**  $\emptyset$  is unique.

**证明.** suppose we have two empty set  $\emptyset_1$  and  $\emptyset_2$ , then the statement

$$(x \in \emptyset_1) \implies (x \in \emptyset_2)$$

is true, which means  $\emptyset_1 \subseteq \emptyset_2$  and vice versa, thus we have  $\emptyset_1 = \emptyset_2$

□

### Families of Sets

Notice that the index set is always **nonempty**.

**命题 3.1.2** (de Morgan's laws).

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} A_\alpha\right)^c &= \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} A_\alpha^c \\ \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} A_\alpha\right)^c &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} A_\alpha^c \end{aligned}$$

**证明.** From the definition, we know

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} A_\alpha := \{x \in X; \exists \alpha \in A : x \in A_\alpha\}$$

whose complement is

$$\{x \in X; \neg(\exists \alpha \in A : x \in A_\alpha)\}$$

So we have

$$\left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} A_\alpha\right)^c = \{x \in X; \forall \alpha \in A : x \in A_\alpha^c\} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} A_\alpha^c$$

□

**注.** *The concept set is not strictly defined yet.*

## 3.2 Function, Relation and Operation

**定义 3.2.1.** A function is an ordered triple  $(X, G, Y)$ , where  $G \subseteq X \times Y$ . For each  $x \in X$ , there is exactly one  $y \in Y$ .

What if  $X = \emptyset$ ? By the definition,  $G = \emptyset$ , we call the unique function  $\emptyset: \emptyset \rightarrow Y$  the **empty function**. If  $Y = \emptyset$  and  $X \neq \emptyset$ , there are no functions can be defined on it.

### Some definitions

identity function, inclusion, restriction, extension, characteristic function, **fiber**, preimage ...

We denote the set of all functions from  $X$  to  $Y$  by  $\text{Func}(X, Y)$ , or  $Y^X$ . (Think about  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

**命题 3.2.1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function,

1.  $f$  is injective  $\iff \exists h: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $h \circ f = id_X$
2.  $f$  is surjective  $\iff \exists h: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ h = id_Y$

**证明.** Trivial. (I'm too lazy right now and I will prove it someday) □

**例 3.2.1.** For each nonempty set  $X$ , the function

$$\mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^X, \quad A \mapsto \chi_A$$

is bijective.

**证明.** □

### Relation

So many definitions and notations:

A relation  $R$  on  $X$ , diagonal  $\Delta_X := \{(x, x); x \in X\}$ , reflexive, transitive, symmetric, anti-symmetric, **equivalence**  $\sim$ , equivalence class  $[x] := \{y \in X; y \sim x\}$ , representative, partition, the set  $X / \sim := \{[x]; x \in X\}$  (called "X modulo  $\sim$ "), (canonical) quotient function, partial order, total order...

Easy to forget, easy to review.

Monotone, bounded on bounded sets. (You never heard these concept before, delete this when you are familier with them)

Operation... Not today.



### 3.3 Natural Numbers

2024.2.6

#### The Peano Axioms

The natural number consist of a set  $\mathbb{N}$ , a distinguished element  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a function  $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\times$  with:

1.  $\nu$  is injective.
2. If a subset  $N$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  contains 0 and if  $\nu(n) \in N$  for all  $n \in N$ , then  $N = \mathbb{N}$ .

We can prove that  $\nu|_{\mathbb{N}^\times}$  is bijective.

Axioms 2 is one form of **principle of induction**.

The existence of the system  $(N, 0, \nu)$  should be proved. One way to prove that, according to Dedekind, is the check the exsistence of the infinite system, because he proved "Any infinite system contains a model  $(N, 0, \nu)$  for the natural numbers" using the comprehension axiom: the exsistence of the set

$$M := \{x; x \text{ is a set which satisfies some certain property}\}$$

By giving the example  $M := \{x ; (x \text{ is a set}) \wedge (x \notin x)\}$  we have

$$M \in M \iff M \notin M$$

We call it antinomies. Thus, we need the *Infinity Axiom: An inductive set exists*. Here an **inductive set** is the set  $N$  which contains  $\emptyset$  and such that for all  $z \in N$ ,  $z \cup \{z\}$  is also in  $N$ . Then we have the set

$$\mathbb{N} := \bigcap \{m; m \text{ is an inductive set}\}$$

satisfies the axioms we gave first this section (consider  $\nu(z) := z \cup \{z\}$ ).

The natural numbers are unique up to **isomorphism**, which means there exists a bijection  $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}'$ , such that  $\varphi(0) = 0'$  and  $\nu = \varphi^{-1} \circ \nu' \circ \varphi$ .

注. The talk about classes and set, I don't understand, I'm so stupid. (Page 30, Analysis, Amann)

**The Arithmetic of Natural Numbers and Induction Principle**

Do this part in the future.

## 3.4 Countability

2024.2.9 Today is ChuXi!!! Happy new year nyaa!!

### Permutations

A **permutation** is a bijection from a finite set to itself. We denote the set of all permutations of  $X$  by  $\mathcal{S}_X$ .

**命题 3.4.1.** If  $X$  is an  $n$  element set, then  $\text{Num}(\mathcal{S}_X) = n!$ .

**证明.** We prove this by induction. For a  $n + 1$  element, we can exchange it with  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , so we have  $n$  choices and a nonexchange choice. So there are  $(n + 1) \times n!$  permutations.

Another way to consider. For each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$ , there are exactly  $n!$  permutations which send  $a_j$  to  $a_1$ .

The case  $X = \emptyset$  is trivial. □

**命题 3.4.2.** There is no surjection from set  $X$  to  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .

**证明.** Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a function, consider the subset of  $X$

$$A := \{x \in X ; x \notin \varphi(x)\}$$

Then we have that  $A$  is not in  $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ . Indeed we suppose that there exists  $y$  with  $\varphi(y) = A$ , follow the definition we have

$$y \in A \iff y \notin A$$

□

Hence we have the simple inequipotent example.

Please get familiar with the notation  $X^Y$

### **3.5 Group, Ring, Field, Poly**

### **3.6 The Rational Numbers**

### **3.7 The Real Numbers**

### **3.8 Vector Space, Affine Space,**

## 3.9 Convergence

### Metric Spaces

**定义 3.9.1.** Let  $X$  be a set, a function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow R^+$  is called a metric on  $X$  if the following hold:

In metric space  $(X, d)$  we define the open(closed) ball.

$$\mathbb{B}(a, r) := \mathbb{B}_X(a, r) := \{x \in X; d(a, x) < r\}$$

$x \in X$  is important! Since you may make mistakes when the space is induced from a big space. (Try to give an example.)

**命题 3.9.1.**

$$d(x, y) \geq |d(x, z) - d(z, y)|, \forall x, y, z \in X$$

Discrete metric, SNCF-metric...

Two metric are called **equivalent** if, for each  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $r_1, r_2$  such that

$$\mathbb{B}_1(x, r_1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_2(x, \varepsilon) \quad , \quad \mathbb{B}_2(x, r_2) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_1(x, \varepsilon)$$

### Sequences

The set  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is an algebra denoted by  $s$ , and the convergence sequences consist a subalgebra denoted by  $c$ , and the null sequences consist a subalgebra of  $c$  denoted by  $c_0$ . We have

$$\lim : c \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad (x_n) \mapsto \lim x_n$$

is an algebra homomorphism.

## 3.10 Normed Vector Space

### Norm

定义 3.10.1. Tell me what is a norm.

We can induce a metric from a norm. Hence any normed vector space is also a metric space.

## 第四章 Continuity

### 4.1 Continuity

**定义 4.1.1.** Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous.

$\iff$  For each neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x_0) \in Y$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$f(U) \subseteq V$$

$\iff$  There is some  $\delta := \delta(x_0, \varepsilon)$  such that for all  $x \in X$  with  $d(x_0, x) < \delta$ , we have  $d(f(x_0), f(x)) < \varepsilon$ .

$\iff$  (Sequentially continuous) For every sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $X$  such that  $\lim x_k = x$ , we have  $\lim f(x_k) = f(x)$ .

The concept **continuity** is based on metric now, since we can use neighborhood and sequences to characterize it. But we actually can define continuity on general topological space, using the concept of filter. We shall discuss this at the end of the chapter.

Make yourself less confusing, it is a good habit to check the metric space whenever we say something is continuous.

We can define some kind of stronger continuous, which are useful.

**定义 4.1.2.** (Uniformly continuous)  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is uniformly continuous if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta(\varepsilon) > 0 \text{ such that } d(x, y) < \delta(\varepsilon) \implies d(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon,$$

We shall prove easily that if  $X$  is compact, then continuous map  $f$  is automatically uniformly continuous.

**定义 4.1.3.** (Lipschitz continuous)  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is Lipschitz continuous if there is a constant  $\alpha > 0$  (Lipschitz constant) that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y), \quad x, y \in X$$

The addition, multiplication (on  $\mathbb{K}$ ), composition of two continuous function is continuous.

## Examples

Canonical projections are Lipschitz continuous. In particular, the projections  $pr_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz continuous.

The functions  $z \mapsto \operatorname{Re}(z)$ ,  $z \mapsto \operatorname{Im}(z)$ ,  $z \mapsto \bar{z}$  is Lipschitz continuous.

Norms are Lipschitz continuous (in the norm-induced metric space). 证明.

$$|||x| - |y||| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in E$$

□

The scalar product is continuous.

Rational functions are continuous.

Polynomials in  $n$  variables are continuous.

The function  $f$  defined by some power series with positive radius of convergence  $\rho$ , is continuous on  $\rho\mathbb{B}$ .

$\det : M_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

The content of one-sided continuity is trivial and not that important. Please refer to page 228 (Analysis 1, Amann).

**定理 4.1.1.** (Cauchy criterion)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$  exists if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x_1, x_2 \in D \cap \mathring{\mathbb{B}}(x_0, \delta)$ , we have

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \varepsilon$$

It actually means limit exists if and only if when  $(x_n)$  is a cauchy sequence in  $D$ , we have  $(f(x_n))$  is cauchy sequence in  $Y$ .



## 4.2 Topology

It is for a deeper understanding of continuous. So far, we have three ways to characterize continuous in a metric space.

**定义 4.2.1.** Function  $f$  is continuous if and only if

(1)

(2)

(3)

Fill in the blank as a practice, mwahahaha...

After the review, let start our journey in **topological space**. I suppose you have already known what is a general topological space, and, we are going to talk about the topological space induced from a metric for simplicity's sake.

### Open Sets

We can define **open set** and **interior point** dualistically using the concept **neighbourhood**, which is actually based on metric. Do you remember that

$$\|\cdot\|_1 \sim \|\cdot\|_2 \sim \|\cdot\|_\infty$$

Therefore, it's not that important which metric we chose.

metric  $\longrightarrow$  ball  $\longrightarrow$  neighbourhood  $\longrightarrow$  open set & interior point

But which metric space we are talking in is quite important! For example, an open interval  $J$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , but it is NOT open in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (Raise more examples later).

**定义 4.2.2.** Set  $A$  is **open** in  $X$ .

$$\iff A = \overset{\circ}{A}$$

$$\iff \text{each } x \in A \text{ is an interior point of } A.$$

$$\iff A \text{ is a neighbourhood of each of its point.}$$

$$\iff \text{More precisely, } \forall x \in A, \exists \mathbb{B}(x, \delta) \subseteq A.$$

Here we defined interior point first.

The open set, as defined by form, align with the criteria for a topology.

**定理 4.2.1.**  $\mathcal{T} := \{O \subseteq X; O \text{ is open}\}$  is a topology.

**证明.**

1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}, X \in \mathcal{T}$ : trivial.
2.  $\bigcup_{\alpha} O_{\alpha}$  is open: because  $\forall x \in O_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha} O_{\alpha}$  where  $O_{\alpha_0}$  itself is a neighbourhood of  $x$ .
3.  $\bigcap_{k=0}^n O_k$  is open: choose the smallest ball.

□

## Closed Sets

**定义 4.2.3.**  $A$  is closed in  $X$ .

$\iff A^c$  is open in  $X$ .

$\iff A = \bar{A}$ .

$\iff A$  contains all its limits point.

$\iff$  Every sequence in  $A$  which converges in  $X$ , has its limit in  $A$ .

Grasping the connection between accumulation point of  $A$  and interior point of  $A^c$ .

Accumulation point is different from limit point.

## The Closure, Interior and the Boundary of a set

We can say the closure of  $A$  is the smallest closed set which contains  $A$ , and the interior of  $A$  the biggest open set which is contained in  $A$ .

We can also define the closure of  $A$  by  $\bar{A} := \{x \in X; x \text{ is an accumulation point of } A\}$  and the interior of  $A$  by  $\mathring{A} := \{x \in X; x \text{ is an interior point of } A\}$ . Both are equivalent. 证

明.  $\bar{A} \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$  is trivial.

$\text{cl}(A) \subseteq \bar{A}$ : let  $x \notin \bar{A}$  (The case  $\bar{A} = X$  is trivial), then there is an open set  $U \ni x$  such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$  by the definition of  $\bar{A}$ . Thus,  $x \in U \subseteq \text{cl}(A)^c$  (Use De Morgan's Law)

$\mathring{A}$  is similar. □

There are some trivial properties to remember, I will delete this part the day you handle them well.

**命题 4.2.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of  $X$

1.  $A \subseteq B \implies \overline{A} \subseteq \overline{B}$
2.  $\overline{(\overline{A})} = \overline{A}$
3.  $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Notice that the function  $f : A \mapsto \overline{A}$  is increasing and idempotent.

$\mathring{A}$  is similar.

**定义 4.2.4.** ...  $x \in \partial A$

$\iff x$  is neither an interior point of  $A$  nor an exterior point of  $A$ .

$\iff x \in \overline{A} \setminus \mathring{A}$ .

$\iff x \in \overline{A} \cap (\mathring{A})^c$

## Hausdorff Condition

There are topological spaces which the condition fails, but we can prove that the metric-induced topological space satisfies the Hausdorff condition. 证明. The key is  $d(x, y) > 0$  when  $x \neq y$ , then you can make two balls with radius  $r = d(x, y)/2$ .  $\square$

Amann: one consequence of the Hausdor condition is

$$\bigcap \{U ; U \in \mathcal{U}_X(x)\} = \{x\}, x \in X$$

So far, I have no idea what this is useful for.

**Any one element subset of a metric space is closed**, we can prove this easily applying the Hausdorff condition.

## Continuity

Now we back to continuity.

**定义 4.2.5.**  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous.

$\iff f^{-1}(O)$  is open in  $X$  for each open set  $O \in Y$ .

$\iff f^{-1}(A)$  is closed in  $X$  for each closed set  $A \in Y$ .

**证明.**  $1 \implies 2 : \forall x \in f^{-1}(O)$ , there is a neighborhood  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}_X(x)$  such that  $f(U_x) \subseteq O$  since  $f$  is continuous. Consider  $\bigcup U_x$ .

$$2 \iff 3 : f^{-1}(A^c) = f^{-1}(A)^c.$$

$$2 \implies 1 : U := f^{-1}(V) \text{ is open, } f(U) \subseteq V. \quad \square$$

Or to say, the set valued function  $f^{-1} : \mathcal{T}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$  (contained in  $\mathcal{T}_X$ ).

Some trivial examples, like fiber is closed, subspace of  $\mathbb{K}^n$  is closed... You can find more.

## Characterization of Limits

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric space,  $D \subseteq X$ ,  $f : D \rightarrow Y$ . When we say  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = y$ , we actually mean that any sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  which converges to  $x_0$ , we have  $(f(x_n))$  converges to  $y$ .

Another way to characterize it is, for each neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$ , there is a NOCENTER neighborhood  $\mathring{U}$  of  $x_0$ , we have  $f(\mathring{U} \cap D) \subseteq V$ .

Consider the translation of  $f(U \cap D) \not\subseteq V$ :

$$f(\mathbb{B}_X(x_0, \frac{1}{n}) \cap D) \cap V^c \neq \emptyset$$

to prove easily.

Now we naturally have continuous extensions.

## 4.3 Compactness

To start with, ensure you have already handled following concepts well.


### Characterization

定义 4.3.1. 1. compact

2. sequential compact

3. totally bounded

We introduce the image



The purple one is the core. Let try to prove that.

命题 4.3.1. Subset  $D$  of metric space  $X$  is compact if and only if  $D$  is sequential compact.

证明. Two ways to prove. You can use "totally bounded + Lebesgue  $\lambda$  to prove. See in your homework (maybe someday I can copy that right here). We show another way here.


' $\implies$ ' is not that hard. Suppose  $D$  is not sequential compact, we construct a open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  with each set  $U$  contains at most finitely many terms of the sequence, which immediately contradict to  $\mathcal{U}$  have a finite subcover.

' $\impliedby$ ', We first prove that a sequential compact set must be totally bounded, if not, by choosing point out of the union of "balls who have point in them already", we have a sequence with each  $x_i, x_j, d(x_i, x_j) < \text{the radius of the balls}$ , that, impossible to converge, leading to a contradiction.

Then we prove it. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  a cover and, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}^\times$ , there is a finite cover of radius  $\frac{1}{k}$ . Suppose there is no finite  $\bigcup U$  covers one of balls  $B_k$  (if not, then finite subcover exists), Choose the sequence of center of  $B_k, (x_n)$  converges to  $a \in D, a \in U_a \in \mathcal{U}$

there is some  $\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}(a, \varepsilon) \subseteq U_a$ , you can choose big enough  $k$  to meet:

It is easy to show the contradiction. □



### Two ways to find Lebesgue $\lambda$

- Assuming for a sequentially compact metric space  $(X, d)$ , there does not exist such a Lebesgue number, then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a set  $A_n \subseteq X$  such that  $d(A_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ , satisfying  $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}, A_n \not\subseteq U_i$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  where  $x_n \in A_n$ ; take a convergent subsequence,  $x_{k_n}$ , which converges to  $a \in X$ . There exists  $i_0$  such that  $a \in U_{i_0}$ . According to the definition of an open set, there exists  $\delta$  such that  $\mathbb{B}(a, \delta) \subseteq U_{i_0}$ . We can take  $n$  sufficiently large such that  $d(a, x_{k_n}) < \frac{\delta}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\delta}{2}$ , then for any  $y \in A_n$ ,  $d(a, y) < d(a, x_{k_n}) + d(x_{k_n}, y) \leq \delta$ . Thus,  $A_n \subseteq \mathbb{B}(a, \delta)$ . This is a contradiction.
- $\delta := \sup(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d(x, C_i))$  where  $C_i := X \setminus U_i$ .

### Applications

**定理 4.3.1.** Continuous images of compact sets are compact.

**证明.** trivial. □

This is really useful. As a colollary, the **extreme value theorem** is important, too. This implies, the image of norm function of  $S^{n-1}$  has maximum and infimum, thus we can define

norms on linear maps using the first one , and notice the equivalence of all norms on  $\mathbb{K}^n$ .

**推论 4.3.2.** All norms on  $\mathbb{K}^n$  are equivalence.

**证明.** See in your homework.(copy someday)

□

And more...

Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be continuous.

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m; x \in D, y = f(x)\}$$

Then if  $D$  is closed, we have  $\Gamma_f$  is closed. If  $D$  is compact,  $\Gamma_f$  is compact, too.

Suppose we don't know about  $f$ , but,  $\Gamma_f$  is closed , then  $f$  must be continuous.

## 4.4 Connectivity

**定义 4.4.1.** A metric space  $X$  is called **connected**

$\iff \nexists O_1, O_2 \subseteq X$ , open, nonempty, with  $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$  and  $O_1 \cup O_2 = X$ .

$\iff X$  is the only nonempty subset of  $X$  which is both open and closed.

$\iff$  For any nonempty subset  $A, B$ , if  $A \cup B = X$ , then we have  $\overline{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$  or  $A \cap \overline{B} \neq \emptyset$ .

The tool is useful:

$$A \cup B = X, A \cap B = \emptyset \implies A^c = B$$

Using the tool, the proof is not hard.

As a corollary, we have a trick: to prove that each element  $x$  of a connected set  $X$  has property  $E$ , one way is to prove the set

$$O := \{x \in X; E(x)\}$$

is open, closed, and nonempty.

(ii)  $\iff$  (iii), we put it in homework.

### Connectivity on $\mathbb{R}$

A subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is connected if and only if it is an interval.

**证明.** Left as a practice. (I've even left room for it, just say you love me.)

□


**定理 4.4.1.** The continuous images of connected sets are connected.

Take it as a proof of generalized intermediate value theorem.

### Path Connectivity

A continuous function  $w : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow X$  is a **continuous path** connecting the **end point**  $w(\alpha), w(\beta)$ .





Path connected set is connected, but connected set may not be path connected.

In particular,  $X = E$  is a normed vector space, a 'special straight path'  $[[a, b]]$  is defined by the image of function  $v$

$$v : [0, 1] \rightarrow E, \quad t \mapsto (1 - t)a + tb$$

A subset  $Y$  of  $E$  is **convex** if  $[[a, b]] \subseteq Y, \forall a, b \in Y$ . Obviously every convex subset of  $E$  is path connected.

As a practice of the trick mentioned before, try to prove the next theorem, from which we have in a normed vector space, connected and path connected on open set are equivalent.

Let  $X$  be a nonempty, open and connected subset, , then any pair of points of  $X$  can be connected by a polygonal path in  $X$ . 证明.

□

## 4.5 Functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$

### $\mathbb{R}$ case

Continuous images of intervals are intervals.

**证明.** The connected subset in  $\mathbb{R}$  is intervals. □

Monotone function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous except perhaps at countably many jump discontinuities.

**证明.** The Set


$$M := \{t_0 \in \overset{\circ}{I}; f(t_0-) \neq f(t_0+)\}$$

is countable, cause

$$r : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, t \mapsto r(t)$$

is injective when we define  $r(t) \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (f(t-), f(t+))$  □

### $\mathbb{R}^n$ case



In the special case,

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y}{x^4 + y^2}, & x^2 + y^2 \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = y = 0 \end{cases}$$

$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x, y)$  doesn't exist, even if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(x, y) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(x, y)) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x, y) =: \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} (\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x, y))$$

**命题 4.5.1.** If

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x,y) = A < +\infty$$

And when  $|y|$  is small enough, the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x,y)$$

exists, we have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x,y) = A$$

**证明.** Consider their meaning within the framework of the  $\varepsilon - \delta$  definition. □

## 4.6 The Exponential and Related Functions

### Euler's Formula

First,  $\exp, \sin, \cos$  are defined by power series, with the infinite radii of convergence.

**定理 4.6.1.**  $e^{w+z} = e^w e^z$

**证明.** That is because the absolutely convergent of  $\sum x^j/j!$ , then,

$$e^{w+z} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{j!}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^k}{k!}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{x^k}{k!} \frac{y^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\right)$$

Binomial formula:

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n! x^k}{k!} \frac{y^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k = \frac{1}{n!} (x+y)^n$$

□

And are all continuous. Because the property of power series with positive radius.

**定理 4.6.2.** (Euler)

$$e^{iz} = \cos z + i \sin z$$

We have the "high school properties" immediately, just from

$$\cos(z) = \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}, \quad \sin(z) = \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}$$

**注.** 1.  $\sin$  is odd,  $\cos$  is even

2.  $e^z \neq 0, e^{-z} = 1/e^z, \overline{e^z} = e^{\bar{z}}$

3.

$$\begin{aligned} \sin z - \sin w &= 2 \cos \frac{z+w}{2} \sin \frac{z-w}{2} \\ \cos z - \cos w &= -2 \sin \frac{z+w}{2} \sin \frac{z-w}{2} \end{aligned}$$

4.  $\sin^2 z + \cos^2 z = 1$

We are familiar with these, right?

## The Exponential Function on $\mathbb{R}$

Refer to p280

## The Exponential Function on $i\mathbb{R}$

Define the function  $\text{cis} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, t \mapsto e^{it}$ , obviously the image of  $\text{cis}$  is contain in  $S^1$ .

(i) We show the image of the cosine function is

$$\cos(\mathbb{R}) = \text{pr}_1[\text{cis}(\mathbb{R})] = [-1, 1]$$

The left = derived from what we have talked, and ,  $\text{pr}_1[\text{cis}(\mathbb{R})] \subseteq [-1, 1]$  is obvious.

Now we have  $\cos 0 = 1$ , so, suppose  $I = \text{pr}_1[\text{cis}(\mathbb{R})] = [a, 1]$  or  $(a, 1]$ . a must be  $-1$ , if not:  
 $a_0 = (a + 1)/2$ , consider  $\text{pr}_1(z_0^2) = 2a_0^2 - 1$  and we have a contradiction.

Next we show  $I = [a, 1]$ . Cause we have already know that there is some  $t_0$ ,  $\cos t_0 = 0 \implies \cos 2t_0 = -1$

(ii) Choose  $z \in S^1$ , there exists  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{Re } z = \text{Re } e^{it}$$

Thus, together with the truth  $|z| = |e^{it}| = 1$ , we have  $z = e^{it}$  or  $\bar{z} = e^{it} \implies z = e^{-it}$ , so  $S^1 \subseteq \text{cis}(\mathbb{R})$ , hence,

$$\text{cis}(\mathbb{R}) = S^1$$

## The Definition of $\pi$ and its Consequences

定义 4.6.1.

$$\pi := \frac{1}{2} \min\{t > 0; e^{it} = 1\}$$

$\pi$  is well defined since the set

$$M := \{t > 0; e^{it} = 1\}$$

is nonempty, closed and bounded below. We immediately have some properties.

命题 4.6.1. (i)  $e^z = 1 \iff z \in 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$

(ii)  $e^z = -1 \iff z \in \pi i + 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$

So  $e^z$  is periodic with period  $2\pi i$ .

**命题 4.6.2.**

$$\text{cis} : [a, a + 2\pi) : [a, a + 2\pi) \rightarrow S^1$$


is bijection for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**证明.** Try yourself.

□

Then, we have sine and cosine are the familiar function we have ever seen before.

## The Complex Exponential Function



For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $I_\alpha$  be an interval of  $[a, a + 2\pi)$ ,

$$\exp(\mathbb{R} + iI_\alpha) : \mathbb{R} + iI_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad z \mapsto e^z$$

is continuous and bijective. Hence, we have each  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  can be represented uniquely by

$$z = |z| e^{i\alpha}$$

with  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$ . We denote  $\alpha$  as  $\arg_N(z)$ ,  $|z|$  as  $e^{\log|z|}$ . Finally, we can define two set valued functions.

$$\text{Arg}(w) := \arg_N(w) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\operatorname{Log}(w) := \log |w| + i \operatorname{Arg}(w)$$

$$z^w := e^{w \operatorname{Log} z}$$

Group  $(S^1, \cdot)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, +)/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  are isomorphic.

# 第五章 可微性

## 5.1 连续线性映射

微分的目标就是用线性函数取逼近映射，这里我们对线性映射的基础知识做补充。

### 完备性

$E, F$  是赋范线性空间：

- 如果  $F$  是完备的，则  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  也是完备的。
- 在同构的意义下， $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, F)$  和  $\mathbb{K}$  可以等同起来。这样诸如  $x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$  之类的符号，我们直接将偏导数作为  $\mathbb{K}$  中的元素（当成一个数与  $x$  相乘）。

具体来说，这里的同构由  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, F) \ni A \mapsto A1$  诱导。并且保持范数 ( $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, F)} = \|A1\|_F$ )

- 有限维的赋范线性空间上所有范数都是等价的，这是因为有限维的线性空间同构（坐标同构），而  $\mathbb{K}^n$  上所有范数等价，考虑  $T \in \mathcal{L}is(E, \mathbb{K}^n)$ ,

$$\alpha^{-1} |x|_1 \leq |x|_2 \leq \alpha |x|_1$$

$T$  保持距离，故

$$\alpha^{-1} \|e\|_1 \leq \|e\|_2 \leq \|e\|_1$$

- 如果  $E$  是有限维的，则一定是完备的（上一条的直接推论）。且  $\text{Hom}(E, F) = \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ .  
如果是无限维的，反例：p121



## 矩阵函数

## 线性微分方程

## 5.2 定义

如果我们想谈论函数的线性逼近, 我们需要添加哪些结构?

以下,  $E, F$  是域  $\mathbb{K}$  上的 Banach 空间。(实际上, 如果  $E$  不完备, 我们需要确保  $x_0$  是  $X$  的极限点)。

**定义 5.2.1.**  $f : X \rightarrow F$  在  $x_0 \in X$  处可微

$\iff$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - \partial f(x_0)(x - x_0)}{\|x - x_0\|} = 0$$

$\iff$  存在一个函数  $r(x) : X \rightarrow F$  在  $x_0$  处连续满足  $r(x_0) = 0$ , 使得

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \partial f(x_0)(x - x_0) + r(x) \|x - x_0\|$$

如果  $f : X \rightarrow F$  在  $X$  的每一点都可微, 我们说  $f$  是可微的, 并称映射

$$\partial f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F), x \mapsto \partial f(x)$$

为  $f$  的导数, 当  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  是完备的, 我们就可以谈论  $\partial f$  的连续性。如果  $\partial f \in C(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ , 我们说  $f$  是连续可微的。

注. 可微与否不依赖于范数的选择。p151

**定义 5.2.2.** 如果  $\mathbf{x} \in D$ , 存在一个线性映射  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  使得

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{h}\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - A(\mathbf{h})\|}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = 0$$

我们说  $f$  在  $\mathbf{x}$  处可微, 且线性映射  $A$  是  $f$  在  $\mathbf{x}$  处的导数, 记作  $f'(\mathbf{x})$ 。如果  $f$  在  $D$  的每一点都可微, 我们说  $f$  在  $D$  上可微。

注. 等价表述:  $f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + f'(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{h}) + o(\|\mathbf{h}\|)$ ,  $\mathbf{h} \rightarrow 0$

注. 导数是一个线性映射。如果  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , 那么  $f'(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , 对应的雅可比矩阵 ( $f'(\mathbf{x})$  在标准基下的矩阵) 的大小为  $m \times n$ 。此外, 我们可以将  $f'$  视为从  $D$  到  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  的映射。

从可微性的定义, 我们可以写出以下对称形式:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) + o(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|) = f'(\mathbf{x}_0)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 + o(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|))$$

**定义 5.2.3.** 令  $\mathcal{V} = C(D, Y)$ , 对于  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in D$ , 定义线性空间  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$  及其子空间:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_0} := \{f \in \mathcal{V} \mid \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0} f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}\}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_0} := \{f \in \mathcal{V} \mid \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|}\}$$

定义商空间  $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}_0} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_0} / \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ , 以及微分映射

$$d : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \quad f \mapsto df(\mathbf{x}_0) := f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$$

如果  $Y_1, Y_2$  是两个有限维赋范向量空间, 且  $A : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  是一个线性映射, 我们可以验证商空间  $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}_0}$  上的线性映射是良定义的:

$$A : \Omega_{\mathbf{x}_0}(D, Y_1) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{x}_0}(D, Y_2), \quad f + \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(D, Y_1) \mapsto f + \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_0}(D, Y_2)$$

(通过验证  $A(\Omega_{\mathbf{x}_0}(D, Y_1)) \subseteq \Omega_{\mathbf{x}_0}(D, Y_2)$ , 以及映射与代表元的选取无关) 此时, 如果我们用  $\mathbf{x}$  表示限制在  $D$  上的恒等映射  $id_X$ , 那么  $f$  在  $\mathbf{x}_0$  处可微当且仅当存在一个线性映射  $f(\mathbf{x}_0) : X \rightarrow Y$  使得在  $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}_0}(D, Y)$  中我们有

$$df(x_0) = f'(\mathbf{x}_0)(d\mathbf{x}(x_0))$$

**定理 5.2.1.** 如果  $g, f$  在  $\mathbf{x}_0$  处可微, 且  $g(\mathbf{x}_0)$  可微, 那么  $h = f \circ g$  在  $\mathbf{x}_0$  处可微, 且  $h'(\mathbf{x}_0) = f'(g(\mathbf{x}_0)) \circ g'(\mathbf{x}_0)$

**引理 5.2.2.**  $d$  是一个线性映射:  $(af + bg)' = af' + bg'$

## 5.3 $E = \mathbb{K}$ 情形

逆函数

莱布尼茨法则

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^\times, \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x}, & x > 0, \\ 0, & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

fermat-rolle-lagrange

凸性和不等式

向量的均值定理

**定理 5.3.1.**  $f \in C([a, b], E)$  在  $(a, b)$  上可微,

$$\|f(b) - f(a)\| \leq \sup_{t \in (a, b)} (b - a)$$

(?)

证明.

Amann

Youjin  $h(t) = \langle f(b) - f(b + t(a - b)), f(b) - f(a) \rangle$ , 对  $t$  求导, 使用 Cauchy-Schwarz 不等式

□

## 5.4 $\dim E > 1$ 情形

### 方向导数和偏导数

$$D_v f(x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tv) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

如果  $g(t) := f(x_0 + tv)$ , 那么  $g'(0) = D_v f(x_0)$ 。为了使  $g$  定义良好, 需要  $x_0 + tv \in X$ 。如果在邻域满足关于  $t$  的可微性, 则有  $g'(t) = D_v f(x_0 + tv)$ 。**命题 5.4.1.** 在  $x_0$  处可微  $\implies$  对每个  $v \in E \setminus \{0\}$  都存在  $D_v f(x_0)$ 

$$\partial f(x_0)v = D_v f(x_0)$$

证明.

$$f(x_0 + tv) = f(x_0) + \partial f(x_0)tv + o(\|tv\|) = f(x_0) + t\partial f(x_0)v + o(\|tv\|)$$

□

偏导数只是标准基上的方向导数。

## 偏导数与连续性

在某一点处偏导数存在，函数在该点不一定可微，甚至不一定连续。例子很好构造，比如你可以让两条坐标轴取 0，其余全取 1，显然在原点不连续。如果每个方向的方向导数都存在，那么该点仍不一定连续，从而不一定可微，

## 例 5.4.1.

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y}{x^2 + y^2}, & (x, y) \neq (0, 0), \\ 0, & (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

$f(tv) = tf(v)$ ，故任意方向的方向导数都存在，为  $f(v)$ ，但是显然  $\partial f(0) = f$  不是线性的，所以不可微。

这个例子的证明过程给出了一齐次函数如果连续可微则一定是线性函数。(？似乎还要再补充些)

## 例 5.4.2.

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y}{x^4 + y^2}, & (x, y) \neq (0, 0), \\ 0, & (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

取  $v = (v_1, v_2)^T$ ，则对应方向偏导数

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(tv)}{t} = \begin{cases} \frac{v_1^2}{v_2}, & y \neq 0 \\ 0, & y = 0 \end{cases}$$

任意方向的方向导数都存在，但是在原点不连续。

如果偏导数在邻域内存在，我们就可以使用正方形技术：

$$f(x + h) - f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})) = \sum_{k=1}^n h^k \int_0^1 \partial_k f(x_{k-1} + th^k \mathbf{e}_k) dt$$

加强条件到偏导数在邻域内有界，则有连续。这是因为

$$\sum_{k=1}^n h^k \int_0^1 \partial_k f(x_{k-1} + th^k \mathbf{e}_k) dt \leq |h| M$$

加强条件到偏导数在邻域内连续，则有可微。这是因为

$$\sum_{k=1}^n h^k \int_0^1 (\partial_k f(x_{k-1} + th^k \mathbf{e}_k) - \partial_k f(x_{k-1})) dt \leq |h| \sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{|x-y|_\infty \leq |h|_\infty} \|f(y) - f(x)\| = o(|h|)$$

或者说

$$\sum_{k=1}^n h^k (\partial_k f(x_{k-1} + \theta_k h^k \mathbf{e}_k) - \partial_k f(x_{k-1})) \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0$$

**定理 5.4.1.**  $X$  是  $\mathbb{R}^n$  中的开集,  $F$  是 Banach 空间,  $f: X \rightarrow F$  是连续可微的, 当且仅当  $f$  有连续可微的偏导数。

高阶偏导数的换序问题日后再谈。

## 中值定理

**定理 5.4.2.**  $f: X \rightarrow F$  可微, 如果  $x, y$  满足  $[[x, y]] \subseteq X$ , 则

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|\partial f(x + t(y-x))\| \|y - x\|$$

证明.

$$\varphi(t) := f(x + t(y-x))$$

有

$$\varphi'(t) = \partial f(x + t(y-x))(y-x)$$

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| = \|\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)\| \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|\varphi'(t)\|$$

从而得到。或者你可以这么干:

$$\psi(t) := \langle f(b) - f(b + t(a-b)), f(b) - f(a) \rangle$$

对  $t$  求导, 使用 Cauchy-Schwarz 不等式

□

**定理 5.4.3.** 加强上述条件:  $f$  是  $C^1$  的, 则有

$$f(y) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \partial f(x + t(y-x))(y-x) dt$$

证明. 此时之前构造的  $\varphi$  是连续可微的, 故可以用微积分基本定理,

$$\varphi(1) - \varphi(0) = \int_0^1 \varphi'(t) dt$$

□

## 5.5 高阶微分

### 多重线性映射

这一部分的记号比较多，自己看书复习。

由定义自然的，微分（一个线性映射）的微分是嵌套的线性映射，可以证明和多重线性映射是同构的。从而定义高阶微分。

**命题 5.5.1.** 多重线性映射连续当且仅当有界。

**命题 5.5.2.**  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$  是 Banach 空间。

**命题 5.5.3.** 每个  $E_i$  都是有限维的，则任何  $m$  重线性映射都属于  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$

接下来是多重线性映射的导数和推广的函数乘积求导，不是重点，以后再写。

### 换序

这种东西证明基本就是正方形搞一搞，心里要对

$$\frac{f(x+h, y+s) - f(x, y+s) - f(x+h, y) + f(x, y)}{sh}$$

大概是什么有数。

**定理 5.5.1.** 设  $f \in C^2(X, F)$ ，则

$$\partial^2 f(x) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}}^2(E, F)$$

可以归纳推广到更高阶的情形。自己写写过程。

条件其实可以减弱一点。如果  $\partial_1 f, \partial_2 f$  在  $(x_0, y_0)$  的邻域连续， $\partial_1 \partial_2 f$  在  $(x_0, y_0)$  处连续，则有  $\partial_2 \partial_1 f(x_0, y_0)$  存在且

$$\partial_1 \partial_2 f(x_0, y_0) = \partial_2 \partial_1 f(x_0, y_0)$$

注意到结论也减弱了些， $\partial_2 \partial_1 f$  不一定连续（甚至不一定在  $(x_0, y_0)$  以外的点存在）。

## Talyor 公式

本身的结论和思想不困难，难在如何与记号打交道。这里给三种记号，多熟悉熟悉。

•

$$\partial^q f(x)[h_1, \dots, h_q] = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_q=1}^n \partial_{j_q} \partial_{j_{q-1}} \cdots \partial_{j_1} f(x) h_1^{j_1} \cdots h_q^{j_q}$$

如果  $h_1 = h_2 = \cdots = h_q$ ，再加上连续可微的条件（可换序），还可以表示成

$$(h^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + h^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \cdots + h^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n})^q f(x)$$

前面的乘方里的东西理解成微分算子作用到  $f(x)$  上。

•

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+h) &= \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{1}{k!} \partial^k f(x)[h]^k + R \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n h^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)^k f(x) + R \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha f(x)(h)^\alpha + R \end{aligned}$$

下面对余项讨论

**定理 5.5.2.**  $f \in C^q(X, F)$

## 5.6 局部微分同胚

## 逆线性映射

•  $\mathcal{L}\text{is}(E, F)$  是  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  中的开集。

•

$$\text{inv} : \mathcal{L}\text{is}(E, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F, E), A \mapsto A^{-1}$$

我们有  $\text{inv}$  在  $C^\infty$  类中，且

$$\partial \text{inv}(A)H = -A^{-1}HA^{-1}, A \in \mathcal{L}\text{is}(E, F), H \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$$

## 逆函数定理

$f \in C^q(X, F)$ , 如果在  $x_0$  处,  $\partial f(x_0) \in \mathcal{L}\text{is}(E, F)$ , 那么存在邻域  $U, V$ ,

- $f \in \text{Diff}^q(U, V)$
- $\partial f^{-1}(f(x)) = [\partial f(x)]^{-1}, x \in U$

证明. 尝试一下

□

提示: (i)

(ii)



## 隐函数定理

### 基变换与偏导数

#### 例 5.6.1.

$$u = xe^x, v = ye^y, w = ze^z$$

变换式子

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$$

解. 将  $z(x, y)$  当作  $z(u, v)$  来理解

$$z_u = z_x x_u + z_y y_u$$

$$z_v = z_x x_v + z_y y_v$$

或者认为  $z(x, y)$  是最底层的变量, 把  $u, v$  当成中间变量。

$$z_x = z_u u_x + z_v v_x$$

$$z_y = z_u u_y + z_v v_y$$

这两个方程组是等价的。解出来后初步变换原方程。接下来, 将  $w(x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v))$  视为新因变量, 或者视为  $w(x, y, z)$ , 类似上面接触  $w_u, w_v$ , 替换原方程即可。□

例 5.6.2. 不同变量下, 可以将微分算子作为对象进行变换, 这可以简化计算。

$$u = xy, v = x - y$$

容易发现

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)z(x, y) = (x + y)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}z(u, v)$$

事实上, 有

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (x + y)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$

其中左侧是在  $x, y$  下作用, 右侧是在  $u, v$  下作用。于是算高次的时候就可以

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^2 z(x, y) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\left((x + y)\frac{\partial z}{\partial u}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)(x + y)\frac{\partial z}{\partial u} + (x + y)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\frac{\partial z}{\partial u} \\ &= 2\frac{\partial z}{\partial u} + (x + y)^2\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial u^2} \end{aligned}$$

秩定理

流形

# 第六章 Integrals

## 6.1 Partial Fraction Decomposition, Rational Function Integration, Transformation of Rational Functions

December 6th, sunny weather

### Partial Fraction Decomposition

For a proper rational function  $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ , according to the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra,

$$g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n h_i(x) \prod_{j=1}^m l_j(x)$$

where  $h_i(x)$  is a linear polynomial over the real numbers,  $l_i(x)$  is an irreducible quadratic polynomial over the real numbers (which may be equal), and there exist constants  $A_i, B_i, C_i$  that satisfy

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k_1=1}^r \frac{A_i}{h_i^{k_1}(x)} + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k_2=1}^s \frac{B_j x + C_j}{l_j^{k_2}(x)}$$

where  $r, s$  are the corresponding factor repetition times. Simply put, this is about writing a proper rational function as a sum of fractions, where the numerators are constants or linear functions, and the denominators are irreducible polynomials or their powers. The proof of this proposition is somewhat complicated and involves the use of mathematical induction to reduce the degree of the denominator, along with some techniques. 证明. Let  $R(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$  be a rational function, it suffices to prove that there exist constants  $A$ , and a polynomial  $P_1(x)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} &= \frac{P(x)}{(x-a)^m Q_1(x)} = \frac{A}{(x-a)^m} + \frac{P_1(x)}{(x-a)^{m-1} Q_1(x)} \\ &= \frac{A Q_1(x) + P_1(x)(x-a)}{(x-a)^m Q_1(x)} \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to  $P(x) - AQ_1(x)$  being divisible by  $(x - a)$ . Let  $A = \frac{P(a)}{Q_1(a)}$ . Let  $R(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$  be a rational function, it suffices to prove that there exist constants  $B, C$ , and a polynomial  $P_1(x)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} &= \frac{P(x)}{(x^2 + bx + c)^m Q_1(x)} = \frac{Bx + C}{(x^2 + bx + c)^m} + \frac{P_1(x)}{(x^2 + bx + c)^{m-1} Q_1(x)} \\ &= \frac{(Bx + C)Q_1(x) + P_1(x)(x^2 + bx + c)}{(x^2 + bx + c)^m Q_1(x)} \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to  $P(x) - (Bx + C)Q_1(x)$  being divisible by  $(x^2 + bx + c)$ . Consider the remainders  $(\alpha_1 x + \beta_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2)$  when  $P(x)$  and  $Q_1(x)$  are divided by  $(x^2 + bx + c)$ , it suffices to show that

$$(\alpha_1 x + \beta_1) - (Bx + C)(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2) = B\alpha_1 x^2 + (C\alpha_1 + B\beta_1 - \alpha_2)x + C\beta_1 - \beta_2$$

is associated with  $(x^2 + bx + c)$ . Considering the coefficient of the quadratic term, it suffices to prove that the linear system of equations

$$\begin{cases} C\alpha_1 + B\beta_1 - \alpha_2 &= B\alpha_1 b \\ C\beta_1 - \beta_2 &= B\alpha_1 c \end{cases}$$

has a solution, that is, to prove that the determinant of the coefficients  $\beta_1^2 - \alpha_1 b\beta_1 + \alpha_1^2 c = \alpha_1^2 c \neq 0$ . Note that

$$\beta_1^2 - \alpha_1 b\beta_1 + \alpha_1^2 c = \left(\beta_1 - \frac{\alpha_1 b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{\alpha_1^2}{4}(b^2 - 4c) > 0$$

This is proven, and the last inequality holds because  $(x^2 + bx + c)$  is irreducible, that is,  $b^2 - 4c < 0$

□

This kind of decomposition helps us with differentiation, integration, and power series expansion.

## Rational Function Integration

If you have a rational function in hand, through partial fraction decomposition, you get a series of functions that are easy to integrate. Assuming you are familiar with the integrals of these functions (go through them mentally), the problem you may have with integration is likely **not being able to factorize the denominator**. You can start by staring out a root of the denominator polynomial, and then perform polynomial division, continuing this process. Here is a systematic guide for the staring method.

注. Staring Method:

1. For a polynomial

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

If there exists a rational root  $\frac{r}{s}$ , then  $s$  is a factor of  $a_n$ , and  $r$  is a factor of  $a_0$ .

2. If you can't stare out a rational root, ~~try the Eisenstein Criterion~~. It is likely that there are no rational roots.
3. Irrational roots might also be steerable, why not try  $\sqrt{2}$ ?
4. If you are too focused and stare out a complex root, then its conjugate is also a root.
5. Following from 4, if you stare out a complex root, then multiply its conjugate when performing division.

For polynomial division, your advanced algebra notes have a triple-optimized paper-and-pencil algorithm, so why not go familiarize yourself with it? For finding  $A_m$ , you can do the following

$$R = \sum_i \frac{A_i}{h_i},$$

$$h_m R = \sum_{i, i \neq m} \frac{A_i}{h_i} h_m + A_m$$

Take  $h_m \rightarrow 0$  to obtain, noting here that it is required that other  $h_i$  do not tend to zero, so for terms with power multiplication, multiply the higher power first. Similarly, for

$$R = \sum_i \frac{B_i x + C_i}{l_i}$$

$$l_m R = \sum_{i, i \neq m} \frac{B_i x + C_i}{l_i} l_m + B_m x + C_m$$

Take  $l_m = 0$  for its two complex roots, get the linear equations system for  $B_m, C_m$ , and solve it. The only item that is not easy to integrate is

$$\int \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^m}$$

Remember the recurrence relation

$$\int \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^{m+1}} = \frac{1}{2ma^2} \frac{x}{(x^2 + a^2)^m} + \frac{2m-1}{2ma^2} \int \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^m}$$