

1 Description of the connected component X_φ containing a TRSELP φ

1.1 Recollections on the moduli space of Langlands parameters

I assume the readers to be familiar with the theory of the moduli space of Langlands parameters, see for example [3, Section 3 and Section 4], or [4, Section 2 and Section 4]. (I could also recollect the theory in the appendix.)

Let us first fix some notations.

- Let $p \neq 2$ be a fixed prime number and $\ell \neq 2$ be a prime number different from p .
- Let F be a non-archimedean local field with residue characteristic $q = p^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.
- Let W_F be the Weil group of F , $I_F \subset W_F$ be the inertia subgroup, P_F be the wild inertia subgroup.
- Let $W_t := W_F/P_F$ be the tame Weil group.
- Let $I_t := I_F/P_F$ be the tame inertia subgroup.

Fix $\text{Fr} \in W_F$ any lift of the arithmetic Frobenius. I will abuse the notation and also denote Fr the image of Fr in W_t . Then $W_t \simeq I_t \rtimes \langle \text{Fr} \rangle$. Here I_t is non-canonically isomorphic to $\prod_{p' \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{p'}$, which is procyclic. We fix such an isomorphism

$$I_t \simeq \prod_{p' \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{p'}. \quad (1)$$

This gives rise to a topological generator s_0 of I_t , which correspond to $(1, 1, \dots)$ under the above isomorphism (1). Let us recall the following important relation in I_F/P_F :

$$\text{Fr} \cdot s_0 \cdot \text{Fr}^{-1} = s_0^q. \quad (2)$$

In fact, this is true for any $s \in I_t$ instead of s_0 .

Let

$$W_t^0 := \langle s_0, \text{Fr} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}[1/p]^{s_0} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Fr}}$$

be the subgroup of W_t generated by s_0 and Fr . Denote $W_F^0 \subset W_F$ the preimage of W_t^0 under the natural projection $W_F \rightarrow W_t$. This is known as the discretization of the Weil group. To summarize, W_t^0 is generated by two elements Fr and s_0 with a single relation $\text{Fr} \cdot s_0 \cdot \text{Fr}^{-1} = s_0^q$.

Let G be a connected split reductive group over F . Let \hat{G} be its dual group over \mathbb{Z} . Then the space of cocycles from the discretization

$$Z^1(W_t^0, \hat{G}) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(W_t^0, \hat{G}) = \{(x, y) \in \hat{G} \times \hat{G} \mid yxy^{-1} = x^q\} \quad (3)$$

is an explicit closed subscheme of $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ (See [3, Section 3]). An important fact (See [3, Proposition 3.9]) is that over a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -algebra R (the cases $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}, \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ are most relevant for us), the restriction from W_t to W_t^0 induces an isomorphism

$$Z^1(W_t, \hat{G}) \simeq Z^1(W_t^0, \hat{G}).$$

Therefore, we can compute $Z^1(W_t, \hat{G})$ using the explicit formula (3) above. This is fundamental for the study of the moduli space of Langlands parameters $Z^1(W_t, \hat{G})$. I refer the readers to [3, Section 3 and Section 4] for the precise definition and properties of $Z^1(W_t, \hat{G})$.

(maybe add an example here)

Let I_F^ℓ be the prime-to- ℓ inertia subgroup of W_F , i.e., $I_F^\ell := \ker(t_\ell)$, where

$$t_\ell : I_F \rightarrow I_F/P_F \simeq \prod_{p' \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{p'} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$$

is the composition. In other words, it is the maximal subgroup of I_F with pro-order prime to ℓ . This property makes I_F^ℓ important when determining the connected components of $Z^1(W_F, \hat{G})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ (See [3, Theorem 4.2 and Subsection 4.6]).

1.2 Tame regular semisimple elliptic L -parameters

I want to define a class of L -parameters, called TRSELP, which roughly corresponds to depth-zero regular supercuspidal representations. Before that, let me define the concept of schematic centralizer, which will be used throughout the article.

Definition 1 (Schematic centralizer). *Let H be an affine algebraic group over a ring R , Γ be a finite group. Let $u \in Z^1(\Gamma, H(R'))$ be a 1-cocycle for some R -algebra R' . Let*

$$\alpha_u : H_{R'} \longrightarrow Z^1(\Gamma, H)_{R'} \quad h \longmapsto hu(-)h^{-1}$$

be the orbit morphism. Then the schematic centralizer $C_H(u)$ is defined to be the fiber of α_u at u .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_H(u) & \longrightarrow & H_{R'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_u \\ R' & \xrightarrow{u} & Z^1(\Gamma, H)_{R'} \end{array}$$

One can show that $C_H(u)(R'') = C_{H(R'')}(u)$ is the set-theoretic centralizer for all R' -algebra R'' , see for example [4, Appendix A].

Remark. *Note this is enough for our applications where Γ is more generally taken as a profinite group, because $u : \Gamma \rightarrow H$ usually factors through a finite quotient Γ' of Γ .*

Let me now define a tame, regular semisimple, elliptic Langlands parameter (TRSELP for short) over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$, roughly in the sense of [5, Section 3.4 and Section 4.1] in the case that G is F -split, but with $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -coefficients instead of \mathbb{C} -coefficients.

Definition 2. *A tame regular semisimple elliptic L -parameter (TRSELP) over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ is a homomorphism $\varphi : W_F \rightarrow \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$ such that:*

1. (smooth) $\varphi(I_F)$ is a finite subgroup of $\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$.
2. (Frobenius semisimple) $\varphi(\text{Fr})$ is a semisimple element of $\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$.
3. (tame) The restriction of φ to P_F is trivial.
4. (elliptic) The identity component of the centralizer $C_{\hat{G}}(\varphi)^0$ is equal to the identity component of the center $Z(\hat{G})^0$.
5. (regular semisimple) The centralizer of the inertia $C_{\hat{G}}(\varphi|_{I_F})$ is a torus (in particular, connected).

Concretely, a TRSELP consists of the following data:

1. The restriction to the inertia $\varphi|_{I_F}$, which is a direct sum of characters of finite abelian groups since $I_F/P_F \simeq \varprojlim \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ is compact abelian and that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Cont}}(I_F/P_F, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^*) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Cont}}(\varprojlim \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^*) \simeq \varinjlim \text{Hom}_{\text{Cont}}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^*).$$

In particular, it factors through (the $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -points of) some maximal torus, say S . Then regular semisimple means that $C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F)) = S$.

2. The image of Frobenius $\varphi(\text{Fr})$, which turns out to be an element of the normalizer $N_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(S)$ (Since $\text{Fr} \cdot s \cdot \text{Fr}^{-1} = s^q \in I_t$ for any $s \in I_t$ implies that $\varphi(\text{Fr})$ normalizes $C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F)) = S$). And “elliptic” means that the center $Z(\hat{G})$ has finite index in the centralizer $C_{\hat{G}}(\varphi)$. As we will see later, ellipticity implies that $\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$ acts transitively on the connected component $X_\varphi(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$ of the moduli space of L -parameters containing φ (See the proof of Lemma 6), which is essential for the description (roughly, see Theorem 2 for the precise statement)

$$[X_\varphi/\hat{G}] \simeq [*/S_\varphi]$$

where $S_\varphi = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(W_F))$ is the centralizer of the whole L -parameter φ .

(Maybe add an example here)

Remark. 1. Let $\overline{\Lambda} \in \{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}\}$. It is important for my purpose to distinguish between the set-theoretic centralizer (for example, $C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\Lambda})}(\varphi(I_F))$) and the schematic centralizer (for example, $C_{\hat{G}}(\varphi)$). However, I might still use \hat{G} to mean $\hat{G}(\overline{\Lambda})$ sometimes by abuse of notation, for which I

hope the readers could recognize. One reason for doing this is that \hat{G} is split over $\bar{\Lambda}$, hence \hat{G} is completely determined by its $\bar{\Lambda}$ -points. And many statements can either be phrased in terms of the $\bar{\Lambda}$ -scheme or its $\bar{\Lambda}$ -points (for example, 4 and 5 in Definition 2).

2. As we will see later in Theorem 1, $S = C_{\hat{G}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)}(\varphi(I_F))$ turns out to be the $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ -points of the split torus $T = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi|_{I_F^\ell})$ for some lift ψ of φ over $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$.

1.3 Description of the component

Now given a TRSELP $\varphi \in Z^1(W_F, \hat{G}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell))$. Pick any lift $\psi \in Z^1(W_F, \hat{G}(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell))$ of φ , whose existence is ensured by the flatness of $Z^1(W_F, \hat{G})_{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell}$ (See Lemma 1). Let $\psi_\ell := \psi|_{I_F^\ell}$ denotes the restriction of ψ to the prime-to- ℓ inertia. Note that $\psi \in Z^1(W_F, \hat{G})$ factors through $N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ (Since I_F^ℓ is normal in W_F). Let $\bar{\psi}$ denotes the image of ψ in $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$. Let X_φ be the connected component of $Z^1(W_F, \hat{G})_{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell}$ containing φ . Note X_φ also contains ψ since ψ specializes to φ . So we sometimes also denote X_φ as X_ψ .

Theorem 1. *Let $\varphi \in Z^1(W_F, \hat{G}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell))$ be a TRSELP over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$. Let $\psi \in Z^1(W_F, \hat{G}(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell))$ be any lifting of φ . Then at least when the center $Z(\hat{G})$ is smooth over $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$, the connected component $X_\varphi = X_\psi$ of $Z^1(W_F, \hat{G})_{\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell}$ containing φ is isomorphic to*

$$\left(\hat{G} \times C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0 \times \mu \right) / C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\bar{\psi}},$$

where

1. $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0$ is the identity component of the schematic centralizer $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$, which turns out to be a split torus T over $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$ with $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ -points $S = C_{\hat{G}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)}(\varphi(I_F))$.
2. μ is the connected component of $T^{\text{Fr}=(-)^q}$ (the subscheme of T on which Fr acts by raising to q -th power) containing 1 (See [3, Example 3.14]), which is a product of some $\mu_{\ell^{k_i}}$ (the group scheme of ℓ^{k_i} -th roots of unity over $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$), $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Note that μ could be trivial, depending on \hat{G} and some congruence relations between q, ℓ .
3. $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\bar{\psi}}$ is the (schematic) stabilizer (definition see Appendix. Could be defined by Yoneda) of $\bar{\psi}$ in $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$.

In other words, we have the following isomorphism of schemes over $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$:

$$X_\varphi \simeq \left(\hat{G} \times T \times \mu \right) / T.$$

And we will specify in the next subsection what the T -action on $(\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)$ is.

Proof. First, recall by [3, Subsection 4.6],

$$X_\psi \simeq \left(\hat{G} \times Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \bar{\psi}} \right) / C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\bar{\psi}},$$

where $Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \bar{\psi}}$ denotes the space of cocycles whose restriction to I_F^ℓ equals ψ_ℓ and whose image in $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$ is $\bar{\psi}$. **Explanation:** Recall (See [3, Subsection 4.6]) first that the component $X_\varphi = X_\psi$ morally consists of the L -parameters whose restriction to I_F^ℓ is \hat{G} -conjugate to ψ_ℓ and whose image in $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$ is \hat{G} -conjugate to $\bar{\psi}$. Hence

$$X_\varphi \cong (\hat{G} \times Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \bar{\psi}}) / C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\bar{\psi}} \quad g\eta(-)g^{-1} \leftarrow (g, \eta),$$

with $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\bar{\psi}}$ acting on $(\hat{G} \times Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \bar{\psi}})$ by

$$(t, (g, \psi')) \mapsto (gt^{-1}, t\psi'(-)t^{-1}),$$

where $t \in C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\bar{\psi}}$ and $(g, \psi') \in (\hat{G} \times Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \bar{\psi}})$.

Second, $\eta \cdot \psi \leftarrow \eta$ defines an isomorphism

$$Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \bar{\psi}} \simeq Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_{1_{I_F^\ell}} =: Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1$$

where $Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))$ means the space of cocycles with W_F acting on $N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ via conjugacy action through ψ , and the subscript $1_{I_F^\ell}$ or 1 means the cocycles whose restriction to I_F^ℓ is trivial. **Explanation:** This is clear by unraveling the definitions: two cocycles whose restriction to I_F^ℓ are both ψ_ℓ differ by something whose restriction to I_F^ℓ is trivial; two cocycles whose pushforward to $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$ are both $\bar{\psi}$ differ by something whose pushforward to $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$ is trivial, i.e., which factors through the identity component $N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0$.

Next, I show that $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ is a split torus over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. By [3, Subsection 3.1], the centralizer $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ is generalized reductive (See Lemma 2), hence split over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, and $N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0 = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0$. So we can determine $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ by computing its $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -points. Indeed,

$$C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F^\ell)) = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F)),$$

where the last equality follows since I_F/I_F^ℓ doesn't contribute to the image of φ (See Lemma 3). Therefore, $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ is a split torus over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ with $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -points $S = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F))$. Denote $T = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$. In particular, $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ is connected, hence

$$N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0 = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0 = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell) = T.$$

Now we could compute

$$Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0) = Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, T) \simeq T \times T^{\text{Fr}(-)^q},$$

where the last isomorphism is given by $\eta \mapsto (\eta(\text{Fr}), \eta(s_0))$, where $s_0 \in W_t^0$ is the topological generator of I_t fixed before (See [3, Example 3.14]).

Then we show that the identity component of $T^{\text{Fr}=(-)^q}$ gives μ in the statement of the theorem. Note $T^{\text{Fr}=(-)^q}$ is a diagonalizable group scheme over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ of dimension zero (This can be seen either by $\dim Z^1(W_F/P_F, T) = \dim T$, or by noticing that $\eta(s_0) \in T^{\text{Fr}=(-)^q}$ is semisimple with finitely many possible eigenvalues), hence of the form $\prod_i \mu_{n_i}$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence its connected component $(T^{\text{Fr}=(-)^q})^0$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ is of the form $\prod_i \mu_{\ell^{k_i}}$, with k_i maximal such that ℓ^{k_i} divides n_i . Therefore,

$$Z_{\text{Ad}(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1 \simeq (T \times T^{\text{Fr}=(-)^q})^0 \simeq T \times (T^{\text{Fr}=(-)^q})^0 \simeq T \times \mu,$$

(See Lemma 4 for the first isomorphism¹) where μ is of the form $\prod_i \mu_{\ell^{k_i}}$.

Finally, we show that $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\overline{\psi}} = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$. Recall $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ acts on $Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))$ by conjugation, inducing an action of $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ on $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$. And $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\overline{\psi}}$ is by definition the stabilizer of $\overline{\psi} \in Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$ in $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$. Now $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell) = T$ is connected, hence acts trivially on the component group $\pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))$ ², hence also acts trivially on $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$. Therefore, the stabilizer $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\overline{\psi}} = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ (Check).

Above all, we have

$$X_\varphi \simeq (\hat{G} \times Z_{\text{Ad}(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1) / C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\overline{\psi}} \simeq (\hat{G} \times T \times \mu) / T.$$

□

1.4 The T -action on $(\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)$

For later use, let me make it explicit the T -action on $(\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)$.

Recall (See [3, Subsection 4.6]) first that the component $X_\varphi = X_\psi$ morally consists of the L -parameters whose restriction to I_F^ℓ is \hat{G} -conjugate to ψ_ℓ and whose image in $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$ is \hat{G} -conjugate to $\overline{\psi}$. Hence X_φ is isomorphic to

$$(\hat{G} \times Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \overline{\psi}}) / C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\overline{\psi}}$$

via $g\eta(-)g^{-1} \mapsto (g, \eta)$, with $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\overline{\psi}}$ acting on $(\hat{G} \times Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \overline{\psi}})$ by

$$(t, (g, \psi')) \mapsto (gt^{-1}, t\psi'(-)t^{-1}),$$

where $t \in C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\overline{\psi}} \simeq T$ and $(g, \psi') \in (\hat{G} \times Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \overline{\psi}})$.

Next, recall that

$$Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \overline{\psi}} \simeq Z_{\text{Ad}\psi}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1 \simeq T \times \mu \quad \eta \cdot \psi \mapsto \eta \mapsto (\eta(\text{Fr}), \eta(s_0)).$$

¹This can be rewritten more elegantly if I have time, with as less dependence on [3] as possible.

²Explain this if have time

Let's focus on the isomorphism $\eta.\psi \leftarrow \eta$:

$$Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \bar{\psi}} \simeq Z_{Ad\psi}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1.$$

Recall that $T \subset \hat{G}$ acts on $Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \bar{\psi}}$ via conjugation. Hence the above isomorphism induces an T -action on $Z_{Ad\psi}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1$, by

$$(t, \eta) \mapsto (t(\eta\psi(-))t^{-1})\psi^{-1}.$$

Hence in $(\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)/T$, we compute by tracking the above isomorphisms that

1. T acts on \hat{G} via $(t, g) \mapsto gt^{-1}$.
2. $T = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\bar{\psi}}$ acts on $T \subset (T \times \mu)$ (corresponds to $\eta(\text{Fr})$) by twisted conjugacy (due to the isomorphisms $\eta.\psi \leftarrow \eta \mapsto (\eta(\text{Fr}), \eta(s_0))$), i.e.,

$$(t, t') \mapsto (t(t'n)t^{-1})n^{-1} = tt'(nt^{-1}n^{-1}) = t(nt^{-1}n^{-1})t' = (tnt^{-1}n^{-1})t',$$

where $n = \psi(\text{Fr})$; Note here n , a priori lies in \hat{G} , actually lies in $N_{\hat{G}}(T)$ (Since $\text{Fr}.s.\text{Fr}^{-1} = s^q$ implies that $\psi(\text{Fr})$ normalizes $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi|_{I_F^\ell}) = T$. **Check!**). To summarize, $t \in T$ acts on T via multiplication by $tnt^{-1}n^{-1}$.

3. T acts trivially on μ . This is because $\eta(s_0) \in T$ and $\psi(s_0) \in T$ (**Check!**).

On the other hand, recall we have the natural \hat{G} -action on $Z^1(W_F, \hat{G})$ by conjugation, hence the \hat{G} -action on this component X_φ . Under the isomorphism $X_\varphi \simeq (\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)/T$, the \hat{G} -action becomes

$$(g', (g, t, m)) \mapsto (g'g, t, m), \text{ for any } g' \in \hat{G} \text{ and } (g, t, m) \in (\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)/T.$$

Note that the T -action and the \hat{G} -action on $(\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)$ commute with each other, we thus have the following:

Proposition 1.

$$[X_\varphi/\hat{G}] = \left[\left((\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)/T \right) / \hat{G} \right] \simeq \left[\left((\hat{G} \times T \times \mu)/\hat{G} \right) / T \right] \simeq [(T \times \mu)/T],$$

with $t \in T$ acting on T via multiplication by $tnt^{-1}n^{-1}$, and $t \in T$ acting trivially on μ .

1.5 Some lemmas

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi' \in Z^1(W_t, \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}))$. Then there exists $\psi' \in Z^1(W_t, \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}))$ such that ψ' is a lift of φ' .

Proof. In the statement, $Z^1(W_t, \hat{G})$ is the abbreviation for $Z^1(W_t, \hat{G})_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}}$. Recall that $Z^1(W_t, \hat{G}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ is flat (See [3, Proposition 3.3]), hence generalizing (See [Stack Project, 01U2](#)). Therefore, given $\varphi' \in Z^1(W_t, \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}))$, there exists $\xi \in Z^1(W_t, \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}))$ such that ξ specializes to φ' . In other words, $\ker(\xi) \subset \ker(\varphi')$. I'm going to show that $\xi : W_t \rightarrow \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ factors through $\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell})$.

This is true by the following more general statement: Let $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$ be an affine scheme over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, let $y_\eta \in Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ specializing to $y_s \in Y(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$. Then $y_\eta \in Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) = \text{Hom}(R, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ factors through $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$.

To prove the above statement, let $\mathfrak{p} := \ker(y_\eta)$ and $\mathfrak{q} := \ker(y_s)$ be the corresponding prime ideals. Then " y_η specializes to y_s " translates to " $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ ". Recall that we are going to show that $y_\eta : R \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ factors through $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. We argue by contradiction. Otherwise there is some element $f \in R$ mapping to $\ell^{-m}u$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $u \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^*$. Hence

$$\ell^m u^{-1} f - 1 \in (y_\eta) \subset \ker(y_s). \quad (4)$$

However, $\ell \in \ker(y_s)$ since y_s lives on the special fiber. This together with equation 4 implies that $1 \in \ker(y_s)$. Contradiction! \square

Lemma 2. *The schematic centralizer $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ is a generalized reductive group scheme over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$.*

Proof. To invoke [3, Lemma 3.2], I first show that

$$C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell) = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi(I_F^\ell)),$$

where $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi(I_F^\ell))$ is the schematic centralizer of the subgroup $\psi(I_F^\ell) \subset \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell})$ in \hat{G} . This can be checked by Yoneda Lemma on R -valued points for any $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -algebra R .

Then we could conclude by [3, Lemma 3.2]. Indeed, ψ_ℓ factors through some finite quotient Q of I_F^ℓ , which has order invertible in the base $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. So the assumptions of [3, Lemma 3.2] are satisfied.

[Some explanations to use \[3, Lemma 3.2\]:](#)

1. While [3, Lemma 3.2] is phrased in the setting that R is a normal subring of a number field, it still works for $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ instead of $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, ψ_ℓ factors through some finite quotient Q of I_F^ℓ , say of order $|Q| = N$ (Note N is coprime to ℓ since Q is a quotient of I_F^ℓ). Then we could use [3, Lemma 3.2] to conclude that $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ is generalized reductive over $\mathbb{Z}[1/pN]$ ([Check!](#)). Hence $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ is also generalized reductive over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ by base change.
2. There is also a small issue that $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ is not finite over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ , but this can be resolved since everything is already defined over some sufficiently large finite extension \mathcal{O} of \mathbb{Z}_ℓ .

\square

Lemma 3.

$$C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F^\ell)) = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F)).$$

Proof. The first equation is by definition (and that $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)$ represents the set-theoretic centralizer).

For the second equation, note that $\varphi|_{I_t} = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d$ is a direct sum of characters (Since $I_t \simeq \prod_{p' \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{p'}$), so it suffices to show that each γ_i is trivial on the summand \mathbb{Z}_ℓ of $I_t \simeq \prod_{p' \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{p'}$. Indeed,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cont}}(\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^*) = \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^*) = \{1\}.$$

□

Lemma 4. $Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1 \simeq (T \times T^{\mathrm{Fr}=(-)^q})^0$.

Proof. I have omitted from the notations but here everything is over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. Recall that $N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0 = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0 = T$ and that

$$Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0) \simeq T \times T^{\mathrm{Fr}=(-)^q}.$$

By [3, Section 5.4, 5.5], $Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1$ is connected (over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$). I check here that the assumptions of [3, Section 5.4, 5.5] are satisfied. Indeed, since $N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0 = T$ is a connected torus, the W_t^0 -action on T automatically fixes a Borel pair of T . Moreover, s_0 acts trivially on $N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0 = T$ via ψ , so in particular s_0 (which is denoted by s in [3, Section 5.5]) has order a power of ℓ (which is $1 = \ell^0$).

Therefore,

$$Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1 \subset Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)^0 \simeq (T \times T^{\mathrm{Fr}=(-)^q})^0.$$

By [3, Section 4.6],

$$Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1 \hookrightarrow Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)$$

is open and closed. This is done by considering the restriction to the prime-to- ℓ inertia I_F^ℓ , and then use [3, Theorem 4.2].

Therefore,

$$Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1 = Z_{Ad(\psi)}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)^0 \simeq (T \times T^{\mathrm{Fr}=(-)^q})^0.$$

□

2 Main Theorem: description of $[X_\varphi/\hat{G}]$

Let F be a non-archimedean local field, G be a connected split reductive group over F . Let $\varphi \in Z^1(W_F, \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}))$ be a tame, regular semisimple, elliptic L -parameter (TRSELP for short). Recall that this means that the centralizer

$$C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F)) =: S \subset \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$$

is a maximal torus, and $\varphi(\text{Fr}) \in N_{\hat{G}}(S)$ gives rise to an element $w = \overline{\varphi(\text{Fr})} \in N_{\hat{G}}(S)/S$ in the Weyl group (and that φ is tame and elliptic).

Assume that

1. The center $Z(\hat{G})$ is smooth over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$.
2. $Z(\hat{G})$ is finite.

Let $\psi \in Z^1(W_F, \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}))$ be any lifting of φ . Let ψ_ℓ denotes the restriction $\psi|_{I_F^\ell}$, and $\bar{\psi}$ denotes the image of ψ in $Z^1(W_F, \pi_0(N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)))$. Recall that the schematic centralizer $C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell) = T$ is a split torus over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ with $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -points $C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F)) = S$.

For later use, I record the following lemma – w can also be defined in terms of ψ instead of φ . This is helpful because we will reduce to a computation on the special fiber later. First, notice that since T is a split torus over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ with $\ell \neq 2$, we can identify

$$(N_{\hat{G}}(T)/T)(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}) \simeq (N_{\hat{G}}(T)/T)(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}),$$

and denote it by Ω . (See Lemma 8 below)

Remark. Lemma 8 below shows that $N_{\hat{G}}(T)/T$ is representable by a group scheme which is split over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. Therefore, we will slightly abuse notations and use $\Omega, N_{\hat{G}}(T)/T, N_{\hat{G}}(S)/S$ interchangeably.

Lemma 5. The image of $\varphi(\text{Fr})$ and $\psi(\text{Fr})$ in the Weyl group Ω agree, hence giving a well defined element w in the Weyl group Ω . (*Check carefully!*)

Proof. Let

$$\Omega = (N_{\hat{G}}(T)/T)(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}) = (N_{\hat{G}}(T)/T)(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$$

as above and $\underline{\Omega}$ be the associated constant group scheme. Since ψ is a lift of φ , $\psi(\text{Fr})$ specializes to $\varphi(\text{Fr})$ in $N_{\hat{G}}(T)$. Then the lemma follows since

$$N_{\hat{G}}(T) \rightarrow N_{\hat{G}}(T)/T = \underline{\Omega}$$

is a morphism of schemes, hence the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{\hat{G}}(T)(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}) & \longrightarrow & N_{\hat{G}}(T)(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\Omega}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}) = \Omega & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = \Omega \end{array}$$

□

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $X_\varphi (= X_\psi)$ be the connected component of $Z^1(W_F, \hat{G})_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}}$ containing φ (hence also containing ψ). Then we have isomorphisms of quotient stacks*

$$[X_\varphi / \hat{G}] \simeq [(T \times \mu) / T] \simeq [* / C_T(n)] \times \mu,$$

where $C_T(n)$ is the schematic centralizer of $n = \psi$ in $T = C_{\hat{G}}(\psi|_{I_F^\ell})$, and $\mu = \prod_{i=1}^m \mu_{\ell^{k_i}}$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is a product of group schemes of roots of unity.

If we moreover assume that ℓ doesn't divide the order of $w = \overline{\varphi(\text{Fr})}$ in the Weyl group $N_{\hat{G}}(S)/S$, then

$$[X_\varphi / \hat{G}] \simeq [(T \times \mu) / T] \simeq [* / \underline{S}_\varphi] \times \mu,$$

where $S_\varphi = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(W_F))$, and \underline{S}_φ is the corresponding constant group scheme.

Proof. Recall that X_φ is isomorphic to the contracted product

$$(\hat{G} \times Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \overline{\psi}}) / C_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)_{\overline{\psi}},$$

and that $\eta \cdot \psi \leftarrow \eta \mapsto (\eta(\text{Fr}), \eta(s_0))$ induces isomorphisms

$$Z^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell))_{\psi_\ell, \overline{\psi}} \simeq Z_{\text{Ad}\psi}^1(W_F, N_{\hat{G}}(\psi_\ell)^0)_1 \simeq T \times \mu.$$

This implies that $[X / \hat{G}] \simeq [(T \times \mu) / T]$ with T acting on T by twisted conjugacy:

$$(t, t') \mapsto (t(t'n)t^{-1})n^{-1} = tt'(nt^{-1}n^{-1}) = t(nt^{-1}n^{-1})t' = (tnt^{-1}n^{-1})t',$$

where $n = \psi(\text{Fr})$. In other words, T acts on T via multiplication by $tnt^{-1}n^{-1}$. And T acts trivially on μ (See Proposition 1).

So we are reduced to compute $[T / T]$ with respect to a nice action of the split torus T on T , which should be and turns out to be very explicit.

For clarification, let me denote the source torus T by $T^{(1)}$ and the target torus T by $T^{(2)}$. Consider the morphism

$$f : T^{(1)} = T \longrightarrow T = T^{(2)} \quad s \longmapsto sns^{-1}n^{-1}.$$

This is surjective on $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -points by our assumption 2 that $Z(\hat{G})$ is finite and φ is elliptic (See Lemma 6 below). Hence f is an epimorphism in the category of diagonalizable $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -group schemes (See the same Lemma 6 below)([maybe add an appendix on diagonalizable group schemes?](#)). Therefore, f induces an isomorphism

$$T^{(1)} / \ker(f) \simeq T^{(2)} \tag{5}$$

as diagonalizable $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -group schemes. Moreover, if we let $t \in T$ act on $T^{(1)}$ by left multiplication by t , and on $T^{(2)}$ via multiplication by $(tnt^{-1}n^{-1})$, this isomorphism induced by f is T -equivariant.

Note $T^{(1)} = T$ is commutative, so the T -action (via multiplication by $tnt^{-1}n^{-1}$) and the $\ker(f)$ -action (via left multiplication) on T commutes with each other. Hence by the T -equivariant isomorphism (5), we have

$$[T/T] = [T^{(2)}/T] \simeq \left[\left(T^{(1)} / \ker(f) \right) / T \right] \simeq \left[\left(T^{(1)} / T \right) / \ker(f) \right] \simeq [* / \ker(f)] = [* / C_T(n)].$$

For the last assertion, see Lemma 7 below. □

Does $C_T(n) \simeq C_{\hat{G}}(\psi)$ holds?

2.0.1 Some lemmas

Lemma 6. *The morphism*

$$f : T^{(1)} = T \longrightarrow T = T^{(2)} \quad s \longmapsto sns^{-1}n^{-1}$$

is epimorphic in the category of diagonalizable $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -group schemes. And it induces an isomorphism $T^{(1)} / \ker(f) \simeq T^{(2)}$ as diagonalizable $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -group schemes.

Proof. Recall that T is a split torus over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, hence a diagonalizable $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -group scheme. Notice that f is a morphism of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -group schemes, hence a morphism of diagonalizable $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -group schemes. Recall that the category of diagonalizable $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -group schemes is equivalent to the category of abelian groups (See [1, p70, Section 5] or [2]) via

$$D \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-GrpSch}}(D, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

and the inverse is given by

$$\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}[M] \mapsto M,$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}[M]$ is the group algebra of M with $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ -coefficients.

Therefore, we could argue in the category of abelian groups via the above category equivalence: f is epimorphic if and only if the map f^* in the category of abelian groups is monomorphic. Note ellipticity and $Z(\hat{G})$ finite imply that S_φ is finite, hence

$$\ker(f)(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = C_T(n) = S_\varphi$$

is finite (See Equation (6) below), hence $\text{coker}(f^*)$ is finite. Therefore,

$$f^* : \text{Hom}(T^{(2)}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T^{(1)}, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

is injective (i.e., monomorphism). Indeed, otherwise $\ker(f^*)$ would be a nonzero sub- \mathbb{Z} -module of the finite free \mathbb{Z} -module $\text{Hom}(T^{(2)}, \mathbb{G}_m)$, hence a free \mathbb{Z} -module of positive rank, which contradicts with $\text{coker}(f^*)$ being finite.

The statement on the quotient follows from the corresponding result in the category of abelian groups: f^* induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(T^{(1)}, \mathbb{G}_m) / \text{Hom}(T^{(2)}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \text{coker}(f^*)$$

(See [1, p71, Subsection 5.3].) □

Lemma 7. *Assume that ℓ doesn't divide the order of w . $\ker(f) \simeq S_\varphi$ is the constant group scheme of the finite abelian group $S_\varphi = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(W_F))$.*

Proof. We recall the following fact: Let H be a smooth affine group scheme over some ring R , let Γ be a finite group whose order is invertible in R . Then the fixed point functor H^Γ is representable and is smooth over R .

For a proof of the above fact, see [6, Proposition 3.4] or [4, Lemma A.1, A.13].

In our case, let $H = T$, $\Gamma = \langle w \rangle$ the subgroup of the Weyl group $W_{\hat{G}}(T)$ generated by w . Hence

$$\ker(f) = C_T(n) = H^\Gamma$$

(See Lemma ? for the last equality. Should be able to check by Yoneda.) is smooth over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. Therefore, $\ker(f)$ is finite etale over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ (Because it is smooth of relative dimension 0 over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, by Equation (6) below). Hence $\ker(f)$ is a constant group scheme over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, since $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ has no non-trivial finite etale cover.

Since $\ker(f)$ is constant, we can determine it by computing its $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -points:

$$\ker(f)(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = C_{T(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(n) = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(W_F)), \quad (6)$$

where the middle equality follows by noticing $T(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F))$ and $n = \varphi(\text{Fr})$.

Finally, note by our TRSELP assumption, $C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(I_F))$ is (the $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -points of) a torus. Hence $S_\varphi = C_{\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})}(\varphi(W_F))$ is abelian, hence finite abelian as we've noticed in the proof of the previous lemma that S_φ is finite (by ellipticity and $Z(\hat{G})$ finite). \square

Lemma 8. *Let \hat{G} be a connected reductive group over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, and T a maximal torus of \hat{G} . Then the Weyl group $N_{\hat{G}}(T)/T$ is split over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$.*

Proof. By [2, Proposition 3.2.8], the Weyl group $N_{\hat{G}}(T)/C_{\hat{G}}(T)$ is finite etale over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ and hence split over $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. In our case, $C_{\hat{G}}(T) = T$ since \hat{G} is connected (For example, use the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1.12]). \square

References

- [1] S Brochard, B Conrad, and J Oesterlé. Autour des schémas en groupes. *PANORAMAS ET SYNTHÈSES*, 42:43, 2014.
- [2] Brian Conrad. Reductive group schemes. *Autour des schémas en groupes*, 1(93-444):24, 2014.
- [3] Jean-François Dat. Moduli spaces of local langlands parameters.
- [4] Jean-François Dat, David Helm, Robert Kurinczuk, and Gilbert Moss. Moduli of langlands parameters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.06708*, 2020.

- [5] Stephen DeBacker and Mark Reeder. Depth-zero supercuspidal l-packets and their stability. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 795–901, 2009.
- [6] Bas Edixhoven. Néron models and tame ramification. *Compositio Mathematica*, 81(3):291–306, 1992.