

# Note for Measure Theory and Functional Analysis

Chenmi'en Tan

E-mail: chenmientan@outlook.com

Actively Updating (Last update: June 18, 2021)

## 0.1 Zermelo-Fraenkel-Choice Axiom System

Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory begins with eight axioms as follows

- Extensionality:  $\forall x \forall y [\forall z (z \in x \Leftrightarrow z \in y) \Rightarrow x = y]$
- Pairing:  $\forall x \forall y \exists z (x \in z \wedge y \in z)$
- Union:  $\forall x \exists y \forall z \forall u [(u \in z \wedge z \in x) \Rightarrow u \in y]$
- Separation:  $\forall x \exists y \forall z [z \in y \Leftrightarrow z \in x \wedge \phi(z)]$
- Infinity:  $\exists x [\emptyset \in x \wedge \forall y (y \in x \Rightarrow y \cup \{y\} \in x)]$
- Power set:  $\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \subset x \Rightarrow z \in y)$
- Replacement:  $\exists x \{ \forall y [y \in x \Rightarrow \exists! z (\phi(y, z))] \Rightarrow \exists u \forall z [z \in u \Leftrightarrow \exists y (y \in x \wedge \phi(x, y))] \}$
- Regularity:  $\forall x [x \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists y (y \in x \wedge x \cap y = \emptyset)]$

*The axiom of choice is obviously true; the well-ordering principle is obviously false; and who can tell about Zorn's lemma?*

—Jerry Bona

**Theorem 1** (Axiom of choice). *Suppose that  $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a nonempty collection of nonempty sets, then  $\prod_{j \in J} X_j \neq \emptyset$ .*

Here we prove theorem 1 by admitting theorem 6. In the following context we will prove theorem 2 by admitting theorem 1, theorem 4 by admitting theorem 2, and theorem 5 by admitting theorem 4, theorem 6 by admitting 5. In this way we show that theorem 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 are essentially equivalent.

*Proof.* Pick a well order on  $X = \cup_{j \in J} X_j$  (where the existence is guaranteed by theorem 6), define  $f : J \rightarrow X, j \mapsto \min X_j$ . Thus  $f \in \prod_{j \in J} X_j$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that for any  $x \in X$ , there exists  $y \in Y$  such that property  $P(x, y)$  holds, then there exists  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies  $\forall x \in X, P(x, f(x))$  holds.*

## 0.2 Order

**Theorem 3** (Strong induction principle). *Suppose that  $X$  is a well ordered set and property  $P(n)$  satisfies  $\forall n > n' \in X, P(n')$  holds implies  $P(n)$  holds, then  $\forall n \in X, P(n)$  holds.*

*Proof.* Assume there exists  $n \in X$  such that  $\neg P(n)$  holds, then  $Y = \{n \in X : \exists m \geq n, \neg P(m)\} \neq \emptyset$ . Denote  $n' = \min Y$ . It is clear that  $\forall n' > n \in X, P(n)$  holds, thus  $\neg P(n')$  holds. Meanwhile we can derive  $P(n')$  holds, which forms a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 4** (Hausdorff maximal principle). *Every partially ordered set  $X$  has a well ordered subset with no strict upper bound.*

*Proof.* The case for emptyset is too trivial to be specified. Suppose that  $x_0 \in X$ . Assume that every well ordered subset has a strict upper bound. Through theorem 2, there exists mapping  $f$  satisfies that for any well ordered subset  $Y$ ,  $f(Y)$  is a strict upper bound of  $Y$ . In the following context we construct a contradiction to find that such a mapping cannot exist. We refer  $\mathcal{G}$  as a collection of well ordered subsets of  $X$  where  $Y \in \mathcal{G}$  iff  $\min Y = x_0$  and  $\forall x_0 \neq x \in Y, x = f(\{y \in Y : y < x\})$ . Intuitively all sets in  $\mathcal{G}$  have the same start and every element inside the sets of  $\mathcal{G}$  is assigned by  $f$ . Thus, the only difference of sets in  $\mathcal{G}$  is where to end. Or, formally speaking, there is

$$\forall n \in Y \cap Y', \{y \in Y : y < n\} = \{y \in Y' : y < n\} = \{y \in Y \cap Y' : y < n\} \quad (1)$$

Since  $Y \cap Y'$  is well ordered, through theorem 3, to verify (1), we can use the condition

$$\forall n > n' \in Y \cap Y', \{y \in Y : y < n'\} = \{y \in Y' : y < n'\} = \{y \in Y \cap Y' : y < n'\}$$

Thus, we only need to prove

$$Z = \{y \in Y \setminus Y' : \forall n > n' \in Y \cap Y', n' < y < n\} = \emptyset$$

$$Z' = \{y \in Y' \setminus Y : \forall n > n' \in Y \cap Y', n' < y < n\} = \emptyset$$

Assume  $Z \neq \emptyset, Z' = \emptyset$  and denote  $z = \min Z$ . We have  $\{y \in Y : y < z\} = \{y \in Y \cap Y' : y < n\} = \{y \in Y' : y < n\}$ , which implies  $z = n$  and forms a contradiction. Assume  $Z, Z' \neq \emptyset$  and denote  $z' = \min Z'$ . We have  $\{y \in Y : y < z\} = \{y \in Y \cap Y' : y < n\} = \{y \in Y' : y < z'\}$ , which implies  $z = z'$  and forms a contradiction. Thus there must be  $Z = Z' = \emptyset$ , which indicates that (1) holds.

Now assume  $Y \setminus Y', Y' \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$  and denote  $x = \min Y \setminus Y', x' = \min Y' \setminus Y$ , there is

$$\{y \in Y : y < x\} = \{y \in Y' : y < x'\} = Y \cap Y'$$

which leads to  $x = x'$  and forms a contradiction. Thus either  $Y \setminus Y' = \emptyset$  or  $Y' \setminus Y = \emptyset$  and hence either  $Y \subset Y'$  or  $Y' \subset Y$  holds.

Next we prove  $Y_\infty = \cup_{Y \in \mathcal{G}} Y$  is well ordered. For any  $x, x' \in Y_\infty$ , there exists  $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $x \in Y, x' \in Y'$ . Without the loss of generality, assume  $Y \subset Y'$ , then  $x, x' \in Y'$  and either  $x \leq x'$  or  $x \geq x'$  holds. For any  $\emptyset \neq A \subset Y_\infty$ , there exists  $x \in A, Y \subset Y_\infty$  such that  $x \in Y$ , thus  $\emptyset \neq A \cap Y \subset Y$ . Denote  $a = \min(A \cap Y)$ . There must be  $a = \min A$ .

It is clear that  $Y_\infty \cup \{f(Y_\infty)\} \in \mathcal{G}$ , thus  $Y_\infty \cup \{f(Y_\infty)\} \subset Y_\infty \Rightarrow f(Y_\infty) \in Y_\infty$ , which forms a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 5** (Zorn's lemma). *Suppose  $X$  is an nonempty partially ordered set where every totally ordered subset has an upper bound, then  $X$  has a maximal element.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  has no maximal element, then every totally subset of  $X$  has a strict upper bound, which contradicts theorem 4.  $\square$

**Theorem 6** (Well ordering principle). *Every nonempty set  $X$  can be well ordered.*

### 0.3 Cardinality

We refer that  $\text{card}(X) \leq \text{card}(Y), \text{card}(X) = \text{card}(Y), \text{card}(X) \geq \text{card}(Y)$  iff there exists injective, bijective, and surjective from  $X$  to  $Y$ , respectively. In the following context we verify that  $\leq$  is a totally order.

**Theorem 7** (Schröder-Bernstein). *Suppose that  $\text{card}(X) \leq \text{card}(Y)$  and  $\text{card}(X) \geq \text{card}(Y)$  both hold, then  $\text{card}(X) = \text{card}(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow X$  be injections,  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** *For any sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , either  $\text{card}(X) \leq \text{card}(Y)$  or  $\text{card}(X) \geq \text{card}(Y)$  holds.*

*Proof.* Consider the set of injections from subset of  $X$  to  $Y$ . We refer that  $f \preceq g$  iff  $\text{dom}(f) \subset \text{dom}(g)$  and  $g|_{\text{dom}(f)} = f$ , which can be verified to satisfy the condition of theorem 5. Thus there exists a maximal injection  $h$ , where either  $\text{dom}(h) = X$  or  $\text{image}(h) = Y$  holds.  $\square$