Ratio Estimators Analysis

Chenming Zhao

1. Data Acquisition

The dataset used in this analysis is available [https://usa.ipums.org/usa/index.shtml]. We use IPUMS to access the 2022 ACS and focus on each state (STATEICP) that had a doctoral degree as their highest educational attainment (EDUC). We specified state-level data by selecting "HOUSEHOLD" > "GEOGRAPHIC" and added "STATEICP" to our cart. For individual-level data, we went to "PERSON" and added "EDUC" and "SEX" to our cart. We then downloaded and saved it locally (e.g., "usa 00001.csv.gz") for use in R.

2. Overview of Ratio Estimators

Ratio estimators provide a method for estimating population parameters by using auxiliary information.

The number of respondents were there in each state (STATEICP) that had a doctoral degree as their highest educational attainment (EDUC)

#	# A tibble:		51	X	2	
	STATEICP		do	cto	oral_	count

	DIMILLO	accountar_count
	<int></int>	<int></int>
1	1	600
2	2	165
3	3	2014
4	4	244
5	5	177
6	6	131
7	11	152
8	12	1438
9	13	2829
10	14	1620

i 41 more rows

A tibble: 6 x 3

	STATEICP	$\verb"educ_Respondents"$	${\tt Estimated_Total_Respondents}$
	<int></int>	<int></int>	<dbl></dbl>
1	1	10225	30293.
2	2	4937	14627.
3	3	17946	53168.
4	4	4103	12156.
5	5	2938	8704.
6	6	2078	6156

3. Estimates and Actual Number of Respondents

Here are the estimates and the actual numbers based on the analysis:

	# 1	A ti	bble	:	6	X	2
--	-----	------	------	----------	---	---	---

	STATEICP	Actual_Total_responses
	<int></int>	<int></int>
1	1	37369
2	2	14523
3	3	73077
4	4	14077
5	5	10401
6	6	6860

	${\tt STATEICP}$	${\tt Estimated_Total_Respondents}$	Actual_Total_responses
1	1	30293.251	37369
2	2	14626.678	14523
3	3	53167.989	73077
4	4	12155.815	14077
5	5	8704.310	10401
6	6	6156.418	6860

4. Explanation of Differences

The differences between the estimates and the actual numbers could be due to several factors, including: 1. We assumed the relationship between the number of respondents with EDUC = 6 degrees and the total number of respondents in one state (in this case, state 41) applies similarly to all other states, but this could vary by different culture and education background. 2. Each state has its own economic and cultural factors that affect education levels. 3. The dataset from state 41 may not represent the broader national trend due to sampling bias. If the respondents in state 41 are not reflective of the national population, the ratio derived

from this state will introduce bias when applied to other states. 4. Ratio estimators rely on a linear relationship between the number of doctoral degree holders and the total population, which may not be valid across all states. In reality, the relationship may be more complex, with certain factors (e.g., urbanization, economic development) influencing education levels in nonlinear ways.

quarto render document.qmd -to pdf