# Lab: Logistic Regression for Gene Expression Data

In this lab, we use logistic regression to predict biological characteristics ("phenotypes") from gene expression data. In addition to the concepts in <u>breast cancer demo</u>, you will learn to:

- Handle missing data
- Perform binary classification, and evaluating performance using various metrics
- Perform multi-class logistic classification, and evaluating performance using accuracy and confusion matrix
- Use L1-regularization to promote sparse weights for improved estimation (Grad students only)

## **Background**

Genes are the basic unit in the DNA and encode blueprints for proteins. When proteins are synthesized from a gene, the gene is said to "express". Micro-arrays are devices that measure the expression levels of large numbers of genes in parallel. By finding correlations between expression levels and phenotypes, scientists can identify possible genetic markers for biological characteristics.

The data in this lab comes from:

https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Mice+Protein+Expression

In this data, mice were characterized by three properties:

- Whether they had down's syndrome (trisomy) or not
- Whether they were stimulated to learn or not
- Whether they had a drug memantine or a saline control solution.

With these three choices, there are 8 possible classes for each mouse. For each mouse, the expression levels were measured across 77 genes. We will see if the characteristics can be predicted from the gene expression levels. This classification could reveal which genes are potentially involved in Down's syndrome and if drugs and learning have any noticeable effects.

#### **Load the Data**

We begin by loading the standard modules.

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline
from sklearn import linear_model, preprocessing
```

Use the pd.read\_excel command to read the data from

 $\underline{https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/00342/Data\_Cortex\_Nuclear.xls}$ 

into a dataframe df . Use the index\_col option to specify that column 0 is the index. Use the df.head() to print the first few rows

```
# TODO
# df = ...
df = pd.read_excel(io='https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-
databases/00342/Data_Cortex_Nuclear.xls', index_col=0)
df.head(5)
```

```
.dataframe tbody tr th {
    vertical-align: top;
}
.dataframe thead th {
    text-align: right;
}
```

	DYRK1A_N	ITSN1_N	BDNF_N	NR1_N	NR2A_N	pAKT_N	pBRAF_N	pCAMKII_N	pCREB_N	pELK_N	
MouseID											
309_1	0.503644	0.747193	0.430175	2.816329	5.990152	0.218830	0.177565	2.373744	0.232224	1.750936	
309_2	0.514617	0.689064	0.411770	2.789514	5.685038	0.211636	0.172817	2.292150	0.226972	1.596377	
309_3	0.509183	0.730247	0.418309	2.687201	5.622059	0.209011	0.175722	2.283337	0.230247	1.561316	
309_4	0.442107	0.617076	0.358626	2.466947	4.979503	0.222886	0.176463	2.152301	0.207004	1.595086	
309_5	0.434940	0.617430	0.358802	2.365785	4.718679	0.213106	0.173627	2.134014	0.192158	1.504230	

5 rows × 81 columns

This data has missing values. The site:

#### http://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/missing\_data.html

has an excellent summary of methods to deal with missing values. Following the techniques there, create a new data frame df1 where the missing values in each column are filled with the mean values from the non-missing values.

```
# TODO
# df1 = ...
df1 = df.fillna(df.mean())
df1.head(5)
```

```
.dataframe tbody tr th {
    vertical-align: top;
}
.dataframe thead th {
    text-align: right;
}
```

	DYRK1A_N	ITSN1_N	BDNF_N	NR1_N	NR2A_N	pAKT_N	pBRAF_N	pCAMKII_N	pCREB_N	pELK_N	 pCFOS_N	SYP_N
MouseID												
309_1	0.503644	0.747193	0.430175	2.816329	5.990152	0.218830	0.177565	2.373744	0.232224	1.750936	 0.108336	0.427099
309_2	0.514617	0.689064	0.411770	2.789514	5.685038	0.211636	0.172817	2.292150	0.226972	1.596377	 0.104315	0.441581
309_3	0.509183	0.730247	0.418309	2.687201	5.622059	0.209011	0.175722	2.283337	0.230247	1.561316	 0.106219	0.435777
309_4	0.442107	0.617076	0.358626	2.466947	4.979503	0.222886	0.176463	2.152301	0.207004	1.595086	 0.111262	0.391691
309_5	0.434940	0.617430	0.358802	2.365785	4.718679	0.213106	0.173627	2.134014	0.192158	1.504230	 0.110694	0.434154

5 rows × 81 columns

## **Binary Classification for Down's Syndrome**

We will first predict the binary class label in df1['Genotype'] which indicates if the mouse has Down's syndrome or not. Get the string values in df1['Genotype'].values and convert this to a numeric vector y with 0 or 1. You may wish to use the np.unique command with the return\_inverse=True option.

```
# TODO
# y = ...
ystr = df1['Genotype'].values
vals, y = np.unique(ystr, return_inverse=True)
```

As predictors, get all but the last four columns of the dataframes. Standardize the data matrix and call the standardized matrix xs. The predictors are the expression levels of the 77 genes.

```
# TODO
# Xs = ...
xnames = df1.columns[:-4]
X = np.array(df1[xnames].values)
Xs = preprocessing.scale(X)
```

Create a LogisticRegression Object logreg and fit the training data. Use c = 1e5.

```
# TODO
logreg = linear_model.LogisticRegression(C=1e5)
logreg.fit(Xs, y)
```

```
LogisticRegression(C=100000.0, class_weight=None, dual=False,
fit_intercept=True, intercept_scaling=1, max_iter=100,
multi_class='ovr', n_jobs=1, penalty='12', random_state=None,
solver='liblinear', tol=0.0001, verbose=0, warm_start=False)
```

Measure the accuracy of the classifer. That is, use the logreg.predict function to predict labels yhat and measure the fraction of time that the predictions match the true labels. Also, plot the ROC curve, and measure the AUC. Later, we will properly measure the accuracy and AUC on cross-validation data.

```
# TODO
yhat = logreg.predict(Xs)
acc = np.mean(yhat == y)
print('Accuracy on the training data is {0:f}'.format(acc))


Accuracy on the training data is 1.000000
```

## Interpreting the weight vector

Create a stem plot of the coefficients, w in the logistic regression model. You can get the coefficients from logreg.coef\_, but you will need to reshape this to a 1D array.

```
# TODO
W = logreg.coef_
W = W.ravel()
plt.stem(W)
```

```
<StemContainer object of 3 artists>
```



You should see that w[i] is very large for a few components i. These are the genes that are likely to be most involved in Down's Syndrome.

Find the names of the genes for two components i where the magnitude of w[i] is largest.

```
# TODO
ind = np.argsort(np.abs(W))
i1 = ind[-1]
i2 = ind[-2]
name1 = xnames[i1]
name2 = xnames[i2]
print('The two most significant genes are {0:s} and {1:s}'.format(name1, name2))
```

The two most significant genes are  ${\tt ITSN1\_N}$  and  ${\tt BRAF\_N}$ 

#### **Cross Validation**

The above meaured the accuracy on the training data. It is more accurate to measure the accuracy on the test data. Perform 10-fold cross validation and measure the average precision, recall and f1-score, as well as the AUC. Note, that in performing the cross-validation, you will want to randomly permute the test and training sets using the shuffle option. In this data set, all the samples from each class are bunched together, so shuffling is essential. Print the mean precision, recall and f1-score and error rate across all the folds.

```
# TODO
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold
from sklearn.metrics import precision_recall_fscore_support
kf = KFold(n_splits=nfold, shuffle=True)
prec = []
rec = []
f1 = []
err_rate = []
for Itr, Its in kf.split(Xs):
   # Get train and test data
   Xtr = Xs[Itr, :]
   ytr = y[Itr]
   Xts = Xs[Its, :]
   yts = y[Its]
    # Fit a model
    logreg.fit(Xtr, ytr)
   # Predict the labels on the test data
   yhat = logreg.predict(Xts)
    # Measure the precision, recall and f1-score
   preci, reci, fli, _ = precision_recall_fscore_support(yts, yhat, average='binary')
   prec.append(preci)
   rec.append(reci)
   fl.append(fli)
   err_rate.append(np.mean(yts != yhat))
# take the mean performance metrics over the different folds
prec = np.mean(prec)
rec = np.mean(rec)
f1 = np.mean(f1)
err_mean = np.mean(err_rate)
print('Precision = {0:.4f}'.format(prec))
print('Recall = {0:.4f}'.format(rec))
print('f1 = {0:.4f}'.format(f1))
print('error rate = {0:.4f}'.format(err_mean))
Precision = 0.9421
Recall = 0.9515
```

### **Multi-Class Classification**

f1 = 0.9465 error rate = 0.0509

Now use the response variable in df1['class'] . This has 8 possible classes. Use the np.unique funtion as before to convert this to a vector y with values 0 to 7.

```
# TODO
# y = ...
ystr = df1['class'].values
vals, y = np.unique(ystr, return_inverse=True)
```

Fit a multi-class logistic model by creating a LogisticRegression object, logreg and then calling the logreg.fit method. In general, you could either use the 'one over rest (ovr)' option or the 'multinomial' option. In this exercise use the default 'ovr' and c=1. As an optional exercise, you could also compare the results obtained with these two options.

```
# TODO
logreg = linear_model.LogisticRegression()
logreg.fit(Xs, y)
```

```
LogisticRegression(C=1.0, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True,
   intercept_scaling=1, max_iter=100, multi_class='ovr', n_jobs=1,
   penalty='12', random_state=None, solver='liblinear', tol=0.0001,
   verbose=0, warm_start=False)
```

Measure the accuracy on the training data.

```
# TODO
yhat = logreg.predict(Xs)
acc = np.mean(yhat == y)
print('Accuracy on the train data is {0:f}'.format(acc))
```

```
Accuracy on the train data is 0.999074
```

Now perform 10-fold cross validation, and measure the confusion matrix c on the test data in each fold. You can use the confustion\_matrix method in the sklearn package. Add the confusion matrix counts across all folds and then normalize the rows of the confusion matrix so that they sum to one. Thus, each element c[i,j] will represent the fraction of samples where yhat==j given ytrue==i. Print the confusion matrix. You can use the command

```
print(np.array_str(C, precision=4, suppress_small=True))
```

to create a nicely formatted print. Also print the overall mean and SE of the test accuracy across the folds.

```
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold
logreg = linear_model.LogisticRegression()
# Initialize the confusion matrix counts
ny = np.max(y)
C = np.zeros((ny+1, ny+1))
# Create the cross-validation object
nfold = 10
kf = KFold(n splits=nfold, shuffle=True)
err_rate = np.zeros(nfold)
# Loop over the folds in the cross-validation
for ifold, Ind in enumerate(kf.split(Xs)):
    # Get train and test data
   Itr, Its = Ind
   Xtr = Xs[Itr, :]
    ytr = y[Itr]
   Xts = Xs[Its, :]
   yts = y[Its]
    # Fit a model
    logreg.fit(Xtr, ytr)
    # Predict the labels on the test set
    yhat = logreg.predict(Xts)
    C += confusion_matrix(yts, yhat)
```

```
err_rate[ifold] = np.mean(yhat != yts)

# Normalize the confusion matrix
Csum = np.sum(C, 1)
C = C / Csum[np.newaxis, :]

# Print the confusion matrix
print(np.array_str(C, precision=4, suppress_small=True))


# Print the overall error rate
err_mean = np.mean(err_rate)
err_se = np.std(err_rate) / np.sqrt(nfold - 1)
print('Error rate = %12.4e, SE = %12.4e' % (err_mean, err_se))
```

```
[[0.9667 0.0074 0.0067 0. 0.0222 0. 0.
                              0. ]
[0.0067 0.9852 0. 0. 0.0074 0. 0. 0.
                                   1
[0. 0. 0.9933 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.0074]
[0.0067 0. 0. 0.9778 0.0074 0. 0. 0.0074]
[0.0067 0.0074 0.
             0.
                  0.9852 0.
                                0. ]
[0. 0. 0. 0.
                  0. 1. 0. 0.
                                   ]
   0. 0. 0. 0. 1. 0. ]
.01
[0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
                          0. 1. ]]
Error rate = 1.2037e-02, SE = 3.6649e-03
```

Re-run the logistic regression on the entire training data and get the weight coefficients. This should be a 8 x 77 matrix. Create a stem plot of the first row of this matrix to see the coefficients on each of the genes for the first class.

```
# TODO
logreg = linear_model.LogisticRegression()
logreg.fit(Xs, y)
W = logreg.coef_
plt.stem(W[0, :])
```

```
<StemContainer object of 3 artists>
```



## L1-Regularization

Graduate students only complete this section.

In most genetic problems, only a limited number of the tested genes are likely influence any particular attribute. Hence, we would expect that the weight coefficients in the logistic regression model should be sparse. That is, they should be zero on any gene that plays no role in the particular attribute of interest. Genetic analysis commonly imposes sparsity by adding an I1-penalty term. Read the sklearn documentation on the LogisticRegression class to see how to set the I1-penalty and the inverse regularization strength, c.

Using the model selection strategies from the prostate cancer analysis demo, use K-fold cross validation to select an appropriate inverse regularization strength.

- Use 10-fold cross validation
- You should select around 20 values of c . It is up to you to find a good range.
- $\bullet~$  For each C and each fold, you should compute the classification error rate
- For each C and each fold, you should also determine the nubmer of non-zero coefficients for the first class. For this purpse, you can assume coefficient with magnitude <0.01 as zero.

```
# TODO
nfold = 10
```

```
kf = KFold(n_splits=nfold,shuffle=True)
npen = 20
C_test = np.logspace(-1, 3, npen)
err_rate = np.zeros((npen, nfold))
num_nonzerocoef = np.zeros((npen, nfold))
# Create the logistic regression object
logreg = linear_model.LogisticRegression(penalty='11', warm_start=True)
# Loop over the folds in the cross-validation
for ifold, Ind in enumerate(kf.split(Xs)):
   Itr, Its = Ind
   Xtr = Xs[Itr, :]
   ytr = y[Itr]
   Xts = Xs[Its, :]
   yts = y[Its]
    for ipen, c in enumerate(C_test):
       logreg.C = c
       logreg.fit(Xtr, ytr)
       yhat = logreg.predict(Xts)
       err_rate[ipen, ifold] = np.mean(yhat != yts)
       num_nonzerocoef[ipen, ifold] = np.sum(abs(logreg.coef_) > 0.001)
   print("Fold %d" % ifold)
```


```
Fold 0
Fold 1
Fold 2
Fold 3
Fold 4
Fold 5
Fold 6
Fold 7
Fold 8
Fold 9
```

Now compute the mean and standard error on the error rate for each c and plot the results (Use errorbar() method). Also determine and print the minimum test error rate and corresponding C value.

```
# TODO
err_mean= np.mean(err_rate, axis = 1)
num_nonzerocoef_mean = np.mean(num_nonzerocoef, axis=1)
err_se = np.std(err_rate, axis = 1) / np.sqrt(nfold - 1)
plt.errorbar(np.log10(C_test), err_mean, marker='o', yerr=err_se)
plt.ylim([0,0.02])
plt.grid()
plt.xlabel('log10(C)')
plt.ylabel('Error rate')

imin = np.argmin(err_mean)
print('The minimum test error rate = %12.4e, SE=%12.4e' % (err_mean[imin], err_se[imin]))
```

```
The minimum test error rate = 6.4815e-03, SE= 2.7778e-03
```



We see that the minimum error rate is significantly below the classifier that did not use the I1-penalty. Use the one-standard error rule to determine the optimal c and the corresponding test error rate. Note that because c is inversely proportional to the regularization strength, you want to select a c as *small* as possible while meeting the error target!

```
# TODO
# C_opt =
err_tgt = err_mean[imin] + err_se[imin]
iopt = np.where(err_mean < err_tgt)[0][0]
C_opt = C_test[iopt]

print('Optimal C=%12.4e' % C_opt)
print('Accuracy = {0:4f}, SE = {1:.4f}'.format(1- err_mean[iopt], err_se[iopt]))

Optimal C= 1.8330e+00
Accuracy = 0.993519, SE = 0.0028</pre>
```

Question: How does the test error rate compare with the classifier that did not use the I1-penalty? Explain why.


#### Type Answer Here:

Now plot the nubmer of non-zero coefficients for the first class for different C values. Also determine and print the number of non-zero coefficients corresponding to C\_opt.

```
# TODO
num_nonzerocoef_mean = np.mean(num_nonzerocoef, axis=1)
plt.plot(np.log10(C_test), num_nonzerocoef_mean)

plt.grid()
plt.xlabel('log10(C)')
plt.ylabel('Num of nonzero coeff.')
print("The number of non-zero coefficients for the optimal C = %f" % num_nonzerocoef_mean[iopt])
```

The number of non-zero coefficients for the optimal C = 298.300000



For the optimal c, fit the model on the entire training data with l1 regularization. Find the resulting weight matrix, w\_11. Plot the first row of this weight matrix and compare it to the first row of the weight matrix without the regularization. You should see that, with l1-regularization, the weight matrix is much more sparse and hence the roles of particular genes are more clearly visible. Please also compare the accuracy for the training data using optimal c with the previous results not using LASSO regularization. Do you expect the accuracy to improve?

```
# TODO
logreg = linear_model.LogisticRegression(C=C_opt, penalty='11')
logreg.C = C_opt
logreg.fit(Xs, y)
```

```
yhat = logreg.predict(Xs)
acc = np.mean(yhat == y)
print('Accuracy on the train data is {0:f}'.format(acc))
W_ll = logreg.coef_

plt.figure(figsize=(7, 7))
plt.subplot(2, 1, 1)
plt.stem(W[0, :])
plt.title('No regularization')
plt.subplot(2, 1, 2)
plt.stem(W_ll[0, :])
plt.stem(W_ll[0, :])
plt.title('ll-regularization')
```

Accuracy on the train data is 1.000000

### Text(0.5,1,'l1-regularization')

