Cg633 Chenxin Guo

You could find my repo from this link:

https://github.coecis.cornell.edu/cg633/ORIE5270.git

Q1:

The first is to setup new heap every test you run

Then the first test: insert 3 people's name and then test the length of the nameheap: whether they equal to 3

Second test: first insert 3 people's names, then return the smallest name (the smallest rank of the alphabetical order)

Third test: return False if the there is no name in the nameheap when call function smallestName

Forth test: return True if contain the name in the nameheap

Fifth test: first insert 3 people's names and then delete the name according to alphabetical order, therefore, this test should return 'Ben Grimmer'

Last test: return False if the there is no name in the nameheap when call function deleteSmallestName

Q2

- (a) The subnormal of a double can be written as $0.8*2^{(4-1022)}$ and A=0, since the smallest B is $2^{(-52)}$, so any absolute value of the number between $2^{(-52-1022)}$ and $2^{(-1022)}$ is also a subnormal number. so $2^{(-51-1022)}$ is also a subnormal
- (b) The function is to judge whether the abs(number) is between 2^(-52-1022) and 2^(-1022), if yes return True, else return False. And I in the test part, I used several numbers to test that, the first two should be the subnormal number and the latter 3 are not. So the correct test results is: true, true, false, false, false.

Q3

- (1) I make a within function that return whether abs((a b)/b) <= epsm, so if correctly, then the test result should be all true.
- (2) when b= range(10, 200, 10) and c=1, and when b<100, the sum and product are all return True, but after 100, from then on, the result of the test, x1+x2 always pass the test, but x1*x2 always fail the test. The reason is that: from the root formula: -b-sqrt(b^2-4ac)/2a and : -b+sqrt(b^2-4ac)/2a, if c is small relative to b, then we could ignore 4ac, and x1=b/a and x1=0, but when they are added together, the effect will offset and therefore, the error is still small. If they are multiplied together, the difference will be huge.
- (3) The results show that if I change both of the x into this new formula, then the test result is the same in my origin test. When b is bigger than 100, then the result start to report False.

Q4

In order to show the time is $O(n^2)$, I choose the shape of A from (20000, 20000) to (40000, 40000), so that from the plot, we could see the difference of time.

I first record the time before the calculation, then after the matric calculation, I record again the time. So the time delta is the time 2 – time 1.

However, from the direct plot, it is very hard to see whether the time plot is quadratic, so I do a transformation: math.sqrt the time and later plot the time. If we see from the plot that it looks It looks like a straight line go start from origin, then we can say that the calculation of this is O(N^2) time.

