


Chen xu Wang 10457125

1. Logistic regression is very interesting part for me. It is an effective way to make a classification. Especially, for its activation function: sigmoid $\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$, it easily limits the output in $[0, 1]$.

Then, it can use Gradient descent to obtain the parameter w . GD takes advantage of computer's feature to iterate datasets for compute the result. I believe Logistic Regression is the favorite part for me.

2. When we have $E(X) = 1$,

It reflects the average value of the random variables.

Variance is used to measure the degree of deviation between a random variable and its expectation. It can reflect the fluctuation of sample.

When we have another Random variable Y , and its $\text{Var}[Y]$, we can compare the fluctuation of these two variables.

3.

Using Chebyshev's Inequality:

X is random variable. we have

$$Pr(|X - E(X)| \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{t^2}$$

Using Markov's Inequality:

$$X > 0, \quad Pr(X \geq t) \leq \frac{E(X)}{t}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

We assume, non-expert follows $B(0 \sim 1)$ $p = 0.5$

$$\therefore E_{\text{non}}(X) = p = \frac{1}{2} \quad V_{\text{non}}(X) = p(1-p) = \frac{1}{4}$$

Expert follows $B(0 \sim 100)$ $p = 0.99$

$$E_{\text{exp}}(X) = p \cdot 100 = 99 \quad V_{\text{exp}}(X) = p(1-p) = 0.0099$$

Using Chebyshev's Inequality:

$$Pr(Y_i = 1) = 0.5 \quad Pr(Y_i = 0) = 0.5$$

$$X = Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_n$$

$$\text{when } X \geq E(X) + t$$

Since we need the quality is as good as expert.

$$\therefore E_{\text{non}}(X) + t = 99 \Rightarrow t = 99 - E(X) = 99 - \frac{1}{2}n$$

$$\therefore Pr(|X - E(X)| \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{t^2}$$

We assume a sufficient condition / confidence as p

$$\therefore \frac{\text{Var}(\lambda)}{t^2} = 1-p$$

$$\therefore 1-p = \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{(99 - \frac{1}{2}n)^2}$$

$$\therefore (99 - \frac{1}{2}n)^2 = \frac{1}{4(1-p)}$$

Since $p < 1$

$$\therefore 99 - \frac{1}{2}n = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-p}}$$

$$n = 198 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-p}}$$

$$\therefore f(p) = 198 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-p}} \quad (f(p) \geq 0)$$

4.

①. RP is fast. When computes high dimension data. and performs better when data is independent.

②. When matrix X is not a sparse matrix or k is too small. RP has a bad efficiency.

③ It will produce many different results when we use different random matrices. But they ^{can} all guarantee the accuracy. It could use a lot of memory. However, it can produce random matrix faster compared with other method.

5. Collaborative filtering can predict a user's behavior by other users' behavior. It can filter out all empty entries in the sparse matrix.

The formulation is: $\min_X \|X - X_{\Omega}\|_F^2$ s.t. $\text{rank}(X) \leq r$

Drawbacks:

It has a bad quality for New users & New items.

It can be solved by new formulation:

$$X = U \cdot V^T$$

$$\min_{U, V} \|X - UV^T\|_F^2 + \lambda (\|U\|_F^2 + \|V\|_F^2)$$