CS 541 HW 1 Cheux Wang 10457625

Problem 2. 1. Let 1, 1/2 ··· Yn be independent random variables. Since Pr(4i=1)=0-6 Prcy2=1)=0.4  $X = y_1 y_2 - y_1$  $\overline{F}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i \cdot p_i = N \cdot 0.2$ Lar(X)= = 1: (ti-u) = 0.964 When The majority vote X >0, we can get the label which is a digit-

 $|X - E(x)| \ge \alpha$   $\Rightarrow E(x) - \alpha \le X \le E(x) + \alpha$ Let  $E(x) - \alpha = 0$ 

 $\alpha = E(y) = 0.2N$ Using Chebyshev's inequality; P{ | x - E(x) | \le E(x) \right\} > \frac{\overline{\text{Var}(x)}}{\overline{\text{E}(x)}} Since the confidence is as high as :. VarCr) = 1-0-99 0.96n = 0.01

in then n > 2400 in the n > 2400, we canget right label with a anotidence over 0.99. 3. Since 2 20 isn't gwd and thon when random variable in {0.1} for the first formula, therefore, we choose:

Pr[Z \le (1- \d)\eta-n] \le \frac{2}{2}

Since confidence as high as 0.99

Since confidence as Migh as 0.99

e - 27 n

= 1 0.99

Since we held majority wto 2>0

Let (1- d). N. N = 0

So ve have:

 $\frac{-1^2 \cdot 0.6^{-11}}{9} = 0.0$ 

n ≈ (5.3

Finally, we get n = 16.

40 Chernoff hound requires that the variates he independent. - a andition that Chehysher's inequality doesn't require, although che hyster's inequality requires The variates to be pair use independent. 2) Given 1. 2. Us find that chernott gives a much stronger bound than Chehyshev. This might be because chebysher only uses pairuixe independence Whomeas chernott uses full independence.