Check for updates

DOI: 10.1111/ajad.13268

BRIEF REPORT



Buprenorphine treatment retention and comorbidities among patients with opioid use disorder in a primary care setting

Mary M. Sweeney PhD¹ Laura Prichett PhD² Michael I. Fingerhood MD^{3,4} Denis Antoine MD¹ | Annie Umbricht MD¹ | Kelly E. Dunn PhD¹ | Megan E. Buresh MD^{3,5}

²Department of Pediatrics, Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Data Management Core, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

³Department of Medicine, Division of Addiction Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁴Department of Mental Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁵Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Correspondence

Mary M. Sweeney, PhD, Behavioral Pharmacology Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. 5510 Nathan Shock Drive, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA.

Email: msween19@jhmi.edu

Funding information

National Institute on Drug Abuse, Grant/Award Number: R03DA048913

Abstract

Background and Objectives: More information is needed about comorbidities among patients receiving buprenorphine maintenance treatment and their relationship with retention.

Methods: Retrospective electronic health record data over a 5-year period from primary care patients receiving buprenorphine for the treatment of opioid use disorder were examined (N = 899). The present analysis determined the prevalence of comorbidities and examined associations with treatment retention as defined by cumulative duration of buprenorphine prescription.

Results: Tobacco use and comorbidities including hypertension were prevalent but did not predict retention according to survival analyses controlling for demographic characteristics. Retention was poorer among patients testing positive for cocaine (HR = 1.38, 95% CI: 1.09 - 1.74, p = .007) and patients with hepatitis C virus (HR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.01-1.37, p = .04).

Conclusion and Scientific Significance: This study provides new knowledge of previously unexamined associations between comorbidities (e.g., hypertension) and buprenorphine treatment retention. The robust association between cocaine use and poorer buprenorphine retention serves to resolve prior conflicting data in the literature.

INTRODUCTION

Treatment of opioid use disorder (OUD) with buprenorphine, a medication prescribed directly from a clinician's office, has been associated with decreased opioid use and reduced mortality among persons with OUD.¹ Providing buprenorphine in primary care provides an ideal

opportunity to optimize the overall health of OUD patients with comprehensive care that simultaneously addresses OUD and other health comorbidities such as hypertension, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and diabetes.² Prior research favors integrating treatment with primary care over segregated addiction treatment models, with evidence to support greater satisfaction, lower

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. The American Journal on Addictions published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry (AAAP).

wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/ajad

¹Behavioral Pharmacology Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

15210391, 2022, 3, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ajad.13268, Wiley Online Library on [07/10/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons Licensea.

associated medical costs, and higher retention among patients seen in comprehensive primary care.²⁻⁴ Even so, less than half of patients prescribed buprenorphine remain in treatment for a year or more, 4,5 which suggests the treatment could be further optimized and emphasizes the importance of identifying risk factors for attrition.

Although comprehensive care models including buprenorphine were designed to address patient comorbidities, few studies have described the health comorbidities of persons receiving buprenorphine in primary care and determined their relation to retention. Prior research has indicated that persons receiving buprenorphine in primary care settings who were female, younger, or had a psychiatric diagnosis had higher retention in treatment, whereas individuals who were African American, Hispanic, unemployed, or were HCV positive had decreased retention.⁶ Use of cocaine is robustly associated with poorer retention among patients receiving methadone pharmacotherapy for OUD, but data regarding cocaine use and buprenorphine treatment attrition are mixed. Some of the variability in prior findings associating cocaine use and buprenorphine attrition may be attributable to a smaller sample size or reliance on selfreport rather than biochemical verification of cocaine use. ⁷ Several other important health indicators, including cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension and obesity, have not been well-characterized among patients receiving buprenorphine in primary care either in terms of prevalence relative to general primary care or relationship to retention.

Primary care models would benefit from additional information regarding the medical and psychiatric needs of persons receiving buprenorphine and increased knowledge of how comorbidities influence retention. These patient-level data could help inform intervention development and optimization of interdisciplinary care. Thus, the present study evaluated electronic health record (EHR) data from patients receiving buprenorphine in primary care to identify common comorbidities and evaluate how patient comorbidities and physiological, demographic, or psychosocial characteristics relate to retention in treatment.

METHODS

Patient population

Patients attended a single-site primary care clinic in Baltimore, Maryland, that integrates buprenorphine maintenance treatment for OUD with general primary care, including hypertension, diabetes, HIV, and HCV treatment.³ Patients undergo a comprehensive evaluation, including OUD assessment, instructions for home initiation of buprenorphine, general health and social history, and onsite urine drug screening. Patients attend the clinic through a variety of referral means including self-referral and Emergency Department referral. Patients are typically insured by Medicaid/Medicare or employer health insurance plans.

Data guery and extraction

Deidentified data were extracted via bulk query from the EHR (Epic Systems) for all clinic patients who received ≥1 buprenorphine

prescription between January 1, 2014, and 1 January 1, 2019. Baseline was defined as the first clinical contact during the query period where an order is placed for buprenorphine or the patient is reported as taking buprenorphine. Patient characteristics at baseline were extracted from the EHR, including demographics, blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), HIV and HCV status, psychiatric comorbidities, prescription medications, lifetime/current tobacco use (using specified EHR form fields), and baseline urine drug testing for cocaine. Other urine toxicology data (e.g., benzodiazepines) are routinely collected for clinical use but were not available in the EHR for the present analyses. Psychiatric comorbidities (e.g., anxiety) were queried using multiple codes in the electronic problem list (e.g., anxiety disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, etc.). Psychiatric medications included any on the Johns Hopkins EHR medication report and were not limited to those prescribed by clinic providers. The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine Institutional Review Board approved this study.

Statistical analysis

Patient characteristics and buprenorphine treatment retention are presented descriptively. We calculated retention using first treatment episode during the query period, that is, first buprenorphine prescription start date and last prescription end date, encompassing all continuous prescriptions (i.e., until last end date, or last end date before a gap between prescriptions >30 days). Duration of buprenorphine treatment could be calculated using prescription start and end dates for 98% of the sample (n = 879). Multivariate Cox proportional hazard models controlling for age, sex, and race/ethnicity examined factors associated with buprenorphine retention "survival" for each variable. Persons whose active buprenorphine prescription retention outlasted the guery period (n = 92) were included in the survival analyses and coded as censored using their number of days retained at the end date of the guery period. Censored values were excluded from descriptive statistics for retention. There were no missing data for age or sex. Missing data included race/ethnicity (<1% declined to identify for both race and ethnicity; patients indicating other/unknown, 1%-2%, were included as non-White), blood pressure (1%), buprenorphine prescription start/end date (2%), employment (4%), BMI (6%), and cocaine urine results (31%). Participants with missing data were excluded only for analyses using the missing variable. Comorbidities (e.g., depressive symptoms), tobacco use, and prescribed medications were dichotomized as confirmed present versus absent for all participants. Analyses were conducted using Stata 15.1.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

Sample size and demographics

Patients (N = 899) were majority female (53%) and White (75%). The mean age was 43 years (SD = 12). Fifty-three percent of the sample

15210391, 2022, 3, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ajad.13268, Wiley Online Library on [07/10/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons Licensea.

were not employed, 21% were employed full time, and 14% were disabled for employment. Overall, 12% of the patients tested positive for cocaine and 57% tested negative (31% missing cocaine urine results). Seventy-eight percent of patients endorsed tobacco use.

Comorbidities

Table 1 shows baseline physiological data and prevalence of health comorbidities. Hypertension was the most common medical comorbidity (69%), followed by HCV (36%). Thirty-three percent of patients were categorized as obese (BMI > 29.9) and only 3% as underweight (BMI < 18.5). Depressive (17%) and anxiety symptoms (12%) were the most common psychiatric comorbidities.

Treatment retention

The mean duration of buprenorphine retention was 432.9 days (SD = 413.6; range: 2-1905 days) with substantial positive skew (skewness = 1.4). A substantial majority of patients (80%) were retained at least three months, 65% of patients were retained at least six months, and 43% were retained more than 1 year.

Correlates of retention

Table 1 shows survival analyses controlling for covariates, where a hazard ratio (HR) < 1 means the factor is associated with longer retention. A cocaine-positive urine test was strongly associated with poorer retention (mean = 337 days, SD = 318) relative to individuals who tested negative (mean = 457 days, SD = 415) for cocaine (HR = 1.38, 95% CI: 1.09-1.74, p = .007). Being HCV-positive (HR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.01-1.37, p = .04) was also associated with shorter buprenorphine treatment period.

DISCUSSION

This study utilized EHR data to examine comorbidities among patients receiving buprenorphine in primary care and evaluate their relationship to treatment retention. Testing positive for cocaine was the strongest predictor of discontinuing buprenorphine. Significant medical comorbidities were prevalent, and among these HCVpositive status was modestly but significantly associated with attrition. Further innovation is needed to improve retention and address health conditions among OUD patients.

In the present sample, 43% of patients were retained for 1 year, which is comparable to prior data, but emphasizes the importance of optimizing buprenorphine treatment retention through identification of patients at high risk for attrition.^{4,5} The association of cocaine positive urinalysis results with treatment drop-out provides additional weight to prior observations of cocaine use as a

risk factor for treatment drop-out, where some studies had observed null findings for cocaine, perhaps due to smaller sample sizes relative to the present analysis or use of self-report data rather than toxicology to characterize cocaine use.^{6,7} Because buprenorphine pharmacotherapy does not directly treat cocaine use disorder, and no pharmacotherapy currently exists to treat cocaine use, the present data suggest that targeted behavioral interventions among patients receiving buprenorphine who use cocaine are merited.

Examination of comorbidities found HCV-positive status was modestly but significantly associated with treatment attrition, consistent with prior data.⁶ Although speculative, HCV may associate with attrition because it functions as an incomplete proxy for injection use/OUD severity. Comorbidities other than HCV were not significantly associated with retention, but they still impact patient quality of life. High rates of hypertension and tobacco use have also been observed among OUD patients receiving methadone.8 When compared with general primary care, it is noteworthy that some comorbidities, such as hypertension, were present more often in our sample (69%) than other primary care populations (27%), further supporting an integrated treatment strategy for patients with OUD.

This study has several strengths, including using patient-level medical data and buprenorphine prescription duration information among more than 800 patients in a real-world treatment setting and controlling for patient demographic characteristics. Nevertheless, these data have limitations. Differences in provider practices for documentation and use of free text rather than standardized EHR fields led to missing toxicology and social history data and may limit the sensitivity of associations between patient characteristics and treatment retention. Psychiatric comorbidities in the analyses were based on limited information in the EHR including symptoms or diagnoses and were not necessarily validated by structured clinical interviews. Further, the clinic is part of an academic medical center and is not representative of most office-based buprenorphine clinics. Buprenorphine dose has been associated with retention in previous research but was not included in the present analysis. Our clinical experience is that most patients are prescribed at least 16 mg, thus it is likely that dose range was limited, and dose was not likely to be an informative covariate in this specific patient population. The study sample had a high proportion of women relative to other OUD treatment samples and was not racially and ethnically diverse. Evaluation of comorbidities among Black and Hispanic persons is especially warranted because prior research indicates buprenorphine treatment retention is poorer among these groups.⁶ Retention was defined using prescription data and was not biologically verified or monitored using film counts. We did not evaluate reasons for attrition including incarceration, death, or changes in treatment plan. Given that retention generally increases with repeated opioid pharmacotherapy treatment episodes, future research should evaluate the relationship between comorbidities and improvement in retention over repeated treatments. 10 Finally, there are additional retention-relevant factors and reasons for attrition that we did not evaluate, such as psychosocial support, home environment, injection drug use, buprenorphine dose, and additional urine toxicology results.

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics and buprenorphine treatment retention survival analyses

Patient sample characteristics (N = 899)			Retention survival analyses					
Variable	M (SD)	Count (%)	Hazard ratio	S.E.	z	р	L.L. 95% CI	U.L 95% C
Age ^a	42.8 (11.6)		1.00	0.00	-0.21	.83	0.99	1.01
Female ^b		479 (53.3)	1.05	0.08	0.67	.50	0.91	1.21
Black ^c		184 (20.5)	0.95	0.09	-0.51	.61	0.79	1.14
Race/ethnicity other than White ^d		239 (26.6)	1.02	0.09	0.27	.79	0.87	1.21
Employed (full or part-time)		226 (25.1)	0.94	0.08	-0.79	.43	0.79	1.10
Physiological data								
Hypertension		616 (68.5)	1.02	0.08	0.20	.84	0.87	1.19
BMI	28.2 (6.9)		1.00	0.01	0.83	.41	0.99	1.02
Obesity		295 (32.8)	1.11	0.09	1.42	.15	0.96	1.29
Cocaine positive		109 (12.1)	1.38	0.16	2.68	.007	1.09	1.74
Comorbidities and behaviors ide	entified in clinical	history						
Current or lifetime tobacco use		704 (78.3)	0.88	0.08	-1.51	.13	0.74	1.04
Diabetes		56 (6.2)	0.94	0.15	-0.40	.69	0.68	1.28
HIV		62 (6.9)	1.03	0.15	0.22	.83	0.78	1.38
HCV		327 (36.4)	1.17	0.09	2.07	.04	1.01	1.37
Depressive symptoms		155 (17.2)	0.96	0.10	-0.38	.70	0.79	1.17
Anxiety symptoms		104 (11.6)	1.14	0.14	1.09	.28	0.90	1.45
Bipolar disorder		48 (5.3)	1.07	0.17	0.43	.67	0.78	1.47
ADHD		33 (3.7)	0.93	0.17	-0.40	.69	0.64	1.34
Current prescribed medications								
Anti-hypertensive		123 (13.7)	1.13	0.12	1.15	.25	0.92	1.40
Benzodiazepine		109 (12.1)	1.14	0.13	1.19	.24	0.92	1.42
Atypical anti-psychotic		101 (11.2)	0.83	0.10	-1.60	.11	0.66	1.04
Anti-depressant		51 (5.7)	0.87	0.14	-0.88	.38	0.64	1.18
Any psychiatric medication ^e		219 (24.4)	0.95	0.08	-0.59	.56	0.81	1.12

Note: Each line contains descriptive statistics (left), and treatment retention survival analysis (right) for that variable controlling for age, sex, and race/ethnicity unless otherwise specified. Bold indicates factor is significant at p < .05.

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; L.L. and U.L., lower limit and upper limit for 95% confidence interval; S.E., standard error.

CONCLUSIONS

Office-based buprenorphine treatment presents an opportunity to deliver comprehensive interdisciplinary care. Cocaine-positive toxicology results and HCV are readily identifiable markers for treatment attrition that providers should utilize to prioritize resources to prevent patient dropout. Buprenorphine providers should monitor and treat comorbid

conditions so that successfully retained patients can experience additional therapeutic benefits, improved quality of life, and further reductions in morbidity and mortality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Pratima Kshetry for her assistance with extraction and coding of the data from the electronic health record. Work

^aControlling for sex and race/ethnicity.

^bRelative to male, controlling for age and race/ethnicity.

^cRelative to all other races, controlling for age and sex.

^dAny other race/ethnicity relative to White, controlling for age and sex.

^eIncludes any of above listed medications or dopamine antagonist anti-psychotics.

related to this project was supported by National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD), National Institute on Drug Abuse R03DA048913 awarded to Mary M. Sweeney.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this paper.

ORCID

Mary M. Sweeney https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6931-4321

Laura Prichett https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6029-6902

Michael I. Fingerhood https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9594-6215

Megan E. Buresh https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5559-7682

REFERENCES

- Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioid dependence. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;(2):CD002207. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002207.pub4
- Buresh M, Stern R, Rastegar D. Treatment of opioid use disorder in primary care. BMJ. 2021;373:n784. doi:10.1136/bmj.n784
- Hsu YJ, Marsteller JA, Kachur SG, Fingerhood MI. Integration of buprenorphine treatment with primary care: comparative effectiveness on retention, utilization, and cost. *Popul Health Manag*. 2019;22(4):292-299. doi:10.1089/pop.2018.0163
- Bailey SR, Lucas JA, Angier H, et al. Associations of retention on buprenorphine for opioid use disorder with patient characteristics and models of care in the primary care setting. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2021;131:108548. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2021.108548

- Manhapra A, Agbese E, Leslie DL, Rosenheck RA. Three-year retention in buprenorphine treatment for opioid use disorder among privately insured adults. *Pychiatr Serv.* 2018;69(7):768-776. doi:10. 1176/appi.ps.201700363
- Weinstein ZM, Kim HW, Cheng DM, et al. Long-term retention in office based opioid treatment with buprenorphine. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2017;74:65-70. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2016.12.010
- O'Connor AM, Cousins G, Durand L, Barry J, Boland F. Retention of patients in opioid substitution treatment: a systematic review. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(5):e0232086. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0232086
- Sweeney MM, Antoine DG, Nanda L, et al. Increases in body mass index and cardiovascular risk factors during methadone maintenance treatment. J Opioid Manag. 2019;15(5):367-374. doi:10.5055/jom. 2018.0526
- Rui P, Okeyode T. National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2016
 National Summary Tables. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/ahcd/namcs_summary/2016_namcs_web_tables.pdf
- Nosyk B, MacNab YC, Sun H, et al. Proportional hazards frailty models for recurrent methadone maintenance treatment. Am J Epidemiol. 2009;170(6):783-792. doi:10.1093/aje/kwp186

How to cite this article: Sweeney MM, Prichett L, Fingerhood MI, et al. Buprenorphine treatment retention and comorbidities among patients with opioid use disorder in a primary care setting. *Am J Addict.* 2022;31:256-260. doi:10.1111/ajad.13268