# Tight Muon Reconstruction Efficiency

Xiaoning Wang Sept 18, 2019

# Introduction

• Used Tag & Probe method to calculate the reconstruction efficiency of tight muon.

$$\varepsilon(\mu) = \varepsilon(\mu|\text{ID}) \times \varepsilon(\text{ID}) \cong \varepsilon(\mu|\text{ID}) \times \varepsilon(\text{ID}|\text{MS})$$

- $\varepsilon(ID|MS)$  Inner Detector efficiency with respect to muon chamber.
- $\varepsilon(\mu|\text{ID})$  Muon Reconstruction efficiency with respect to inner detector.
- Event Selection:
  - Trigger mu3 || mu8 || mu10
  - GRL
  - At least 1 primary vertex
- $\varepsilon(ID|MS)$  match: MS track with an ID track dR < 0.2
- $\varepsilon(\mu|\text{ID})$  match: ID track with a reconstructed muon dR < 0.01

- Probe tracks Selection:
  - Opposite charge with tag
  - ID tracks: Muon ID Selections
  - MS tracks: No Selections
- Invariant mass window
  - for data:  $J/\psi$  2.6 -3.6 GeV
  - for mc: Υ 8-11 GeV

- Todo:
  - Better tune fitting initial parameters for  $\varepsilon(\mu|\text{ID})$  versus q\*eta.
  - Use MC Truth information to calculate reconstruction efficiency and compare with MC T&P method.
  - Use MC T&P with J/ $\psi$  as a comparison.
  - Scale factor plots

# Tag & Probe fitting


#### Efficiency extraction

Invariant mass of matched and unmatched samples are fitted simultaneously.

```
N_{match} = N_{tot}^* \epsilon *Sig(m) + N_{bkg1}^*Bkg^1(m)


N_{unmatch} = N_{tot}^*(1-\epsilon)^*Sig(m) + N_{bkg2}^*Bkg^2(m)
```


 $N_{tot}$  and  $\epsilon$  are outputs of the fit.



Screenshot from Sebastian's slides

### Inner Detector Efficiency $\varepsilon(\text{ID}|\text{MS})$ vs q\*eta, low pt regime






9/18/19 Xlaoning Wang (UIUC) 4


#### Inner Detector Efficiency $\varepsilon(\mathrm{ID}|\mathrm{MS})$ vs q\*eta, low pt regime

- Nominal efficiency is calculated at dR = 0.2
- Taking both positively and negatively charged tracks.
- The fitting model for background uses exponential curve
- The fitting model for signal uses gaussian curve.
- Largest contribution to overall systematics comes from changing dR.




9/18/19 Xlaoning Wang (UIUC)


#### Inner Detector Efficiency $\varepsilon(\text{ID}|\text{MS})$ vs q\*eta, middle and high pt regime



## Inner Detector Efficiency $arepsilon( ext{ID}| ext{MS})$ vs probe MS track momentum $p_T^{MS}$




## Tight Muon Reconstruction Efficiency $arepsilon(\mu| ext{ID})$ vs Probe ID Track Momentum $p_T^{ID}$



- End cap region
- Nominal efficiency is calculated at dR = 0.01
- Taking both positively and negatively charged tracks.
- The fitting model for background uses exponential curve
- The fitting model for signal uses gaussian curve.
- MC has very small systematics, data has large systematics.
- Largest contribution of systematics for data comes from separating positively charged tracks from negatively charged tracks.


## Tight Muon Reconstruction Efficiency $arepsilon(\mu| ext{ID})$ vs Probe ID Track Momentum $p_T^{ID}$




- Barrel region
- Nominal efficiency is calculated at dR = 0.01
- Taking both positively and negatively charged tracks.
- The fitting model for background uses exponential curve
- The fitting model for signal uses gaussian curve.
- MC has very small systematics, data has large systematics.
- Largest contribution of systematics for data comes from separating positively charged tracks from negatively charged tracks.

# Backup


# Eff\_id for data in Barrel Region p\_T = 6-7 GeV



ID efficiency are in general high, signals are high comparing to the background and matched tracks are




#### 



• High pT region has very few data and some fake efficiencies are calculated.

#### Muon Chamber Efficiency versus $q^{\star}\eta$ in Data & MC for $\boldsymbol{p}_{_{T}}$ = 3-6 GeV



• Low pT region has more data and data and MC go the same trend approximately.