### Contents

1	$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}$	IStAL laboratry	1
	1.1	History	1
	1.2	Research activities	
	1.3	2XS team	2
2	PIP	<sup>o</sup> project	3
	2.1	PIP protokernel	3
		2.1.1 A minimal OS kernel with proovable isolation	3
		2.1.2 Horizontal isolation & vertical sharing	5
		2.1.3 Proof-oriented design	6
		2.1.4 In-depth understanding of PIP's Data structures	10
	2.2	Hoare logic	13
		2.2.1 Introduction to Hoare logic theory	13
		2.2.2 Hoare logic in the shallow embedding	15
	2.3	The deep embedding	20
		2.3.1 Deep versus shallow embedding	20
		2.3.2 Deep embedding constructs	20
		2.3.3 Hoare logic in the deep embedding	25
3	Pro	ving invariants in the deep embedding	29
	3.1	Modelling the PIP state in the deep embedding	29
	3.2	1 <sup>st</sup> invariant and proof	30
		3.2.1 Invariant in the shallow embedding	30
		3.2.2 Modelling the getFstShadow function	32
		3.2.3 Invariant in the deep embedding	36
		3.2.4 Invariant proof	37
	3.3	2 <sup>nd</sup> invariant and proof	43
	3.4	3 <sup>rd</sup> invariant and proof	43
	3.5	Observations	43
$\mathbf{A}_1$	ppen	dices	44

A	Pro	ject files	<b>45</b>
	A.1	PIP_state.v file	45
	A.2	Lib.v file	47
	A.3	Hoare_getFstShadow.v file	48

## List of Scripts

2.1	PIP state definition	11
2.2	paddr type definition	11
2.3	page & index type definitions	12
2.4	value type definition	12
2.5	Pentry type definition	12
2.6	Ventry type definition	12
2.7	vaddr type definition	12
2.8	Hoare triple in the shallow embedding	15
2.9	Weakening Hoare triples in the shallow embedding	16
2.10	Hoare triples assignment rule in the shallow embedding	16
2.11	Horizontal isolation	17
2.12	Vertical sharing	17
	Kernel data isolation	18
2.14	Example of partition-tree-consistency property	18
2.15	Example of a flags-semantics-consistency property	19
2.16	Example of a pages-consistency property	19
	createPartition Hoare triple	19
	Values in the deep embedding	21
2.19	Quasi-values in the deep embedding	21
	Functions in the deep embedding	22
2.21	Quasi-functions in the deep embedding	22
2.22	effect handlers in the deep embedding	22
2.23	Tag type in the deep embedding	23
2.24	Function parameters in the deep embedding	23
2.25	SKIP instruction in the deep embedding	24
2.26	Deep embedding expressions	24
2.27	Hoare triple for expressions in the deep embedding	26
2.28	Hoare triple for parameters in the deep embedding	26
2.29	Main Hoare triple rule for the Apply construct	28
3.1	Replicating the PIP state in the deep embedding	29
3.2	getFstShadow invariant in the shallow embedding	30

3.3	getFstShadow function in the shallow embedding 30
3.4	nextEntryIsPP property
3.5	partitionDescriptorEntry property
3.6	Index of the first shadow in the deep embedding
3.7	Index successor function in the shallow embedding 32
3.8	Rewritten shallow index successor function
3.9	Definition of Succ
3.10	Definition of SuccD
3.11	Functions called in SuccD
3.12	Definition of PlusR
3.13	Definition of SuccRec
3.14	readPhysical function in the shallow embedding
3.15	Rewritten shallow readPhysical function
3.16	Definition of ReadPhysical
3.17	Definition of getFstShadowBind
3.18	Definition of getFstShadowBind
	Definition of getFstShadowBind
3.20	proof of the getFstShadow invariant
3.21	getSh1idxWp lemma definition and proof
3.22	getSh1idxWp lemma definition and proof
3.23	succW Lemma definition and proof
3.24	readPhysicalW Lemma definition and proof
A.1	PIP_state.v file
A.2	PIP_state.v file
A.3	Hoare_getFstShadow.v file

## List of Figures

1.1	CRIStAL laboratry Location	1
1.2	2XS team organigram	2
2.1	Software layers of an OS built on top of PIP	4
2.2	Horizontal isolation & vertical sharing in PIP	5
2.3	FreeRTOS task isolation using PIP	5
2.4	PIP design	6
2.5	HAL and API relationship	7
2.6	An example of a partition tree	11

## Acronyms

**API** Application Programming Interface.

**HAL** Hardware Abstraction Layer.

IAL Interrupt Abstraction Layer.

**IPC** Inter-Process Communication.

 ${f MAL}$  Memory Abstraction Layer.

MMU Memory Management Unit.

 ${f OS}$  Operating System.

**TCB** Trusted Computing Base.

# 1. Proving invariants in the deep embedding

# 1.1 Modelling the PIP state in the deep embedding

To prove invariants of PIP in the deep embedding, it is essential to replicate the PIP state. To that end, all type definitions mentioned in section  $2.1.4~\mathrm{p.}11$  are replicated in the file  $PIP\_state.v$ . All the axioms, constructors, comparison functions as well as predefined values were also replicated in this file as shown in annex A.1 p.45. Then, we need to define a module where the type parameter W corresponds to the state record type defined in script  $2.1~\mathrm{p.}11$ . Furthermore, We have to define the initial value of this type parameter which will correspond to an empty memory. This module, called IdModP, will be passed as a parameter to the modules we're going to work on later. It is defined in the the file IdModPip.v as follows:

Script 1.1: Replicating the PIP state in the deep embedding

#### 1.2 1st invariant and proof

#### 1.2.1 Invariant in the shallow embedding

This invariant concerns a function named *getFstSadow*. We want to prove that if the necessary properties for the correct execution of this function are verified then any precondition on the state persists after its execution since this function doesn't change it. We also need to ascertain the validity of the returned value. This invariant is defined as follows:

Script 1.2: getFstShadow invariant in the shallow embedding

```
Lemma getFstShadow (partition : page) (P : state \rightarrow Prop) : { fun s \Rightarrow P s \bigwedge partitionDescriptorEntry s \bigwedge partition \in (getPartitions multiplexer s) } } Internal.getFstShadow partition { fun (sh1 : page) (s : state) \Rightarrow P s \bigwedge nextEntryIsPP partition sh1idx sh1 s } }.
```

where:

• getFstShadow: is a function that returns the physical page of the first shadow for a given partition. The index of the virtual address of the first shadow, called sh1idx as shown in annex A.1 p.45, is predefined in PIP as the 4<sup>th</sup> index of a partition. Furthermore, we know that the virtual address and the physical address of any page are consecutive. Therefore, we only need to fetch the predefined index of the first shadow, calculate its successor then read the corresponding page in the given partition. It is defined as follows:

Script 1.3: getFstShadow function in the shallow embedding

```
Definition getFstShadow (partition : page):=
  perform idx := getSh1idx in
  perform idxsucc := MALInternal.Index.succ idx in
  readPhysical partition idxsucc.
```

- P: is the propagated property on the state;
- **getPartitions**: is a function that returns the list of all sub-partitions of a given partition. In our case, since we give it the multiplexer partition which is the root partition, it returns all the partitions in the memory. This function is used to verify that the partition we give to the *getFstShadow* function is valid by checking its presence in the partition tree;

• nextEntryIsPP: returns *True* if the entry at position successor of the given index into the given table is a physical page and is equal to another given page. It is defined as follows:

Script 1.4: nextEntryIsPP property

```
Definition nextEntryIsPP table idxroot tableroot s : Prop:=
match Index.succ idxroot with
   | Some idxsucc =>
   match lookup table idxsucc (memory s) beqPage beqIndex with
   | Some (PP table) => tableroot = table
   |_ => False
   end
   |_ => False
end.
```

• partitionDescriptorEntry: defines some properties of the partition descriptor. All the predefined indexes in the file PIPstate.v, shown in annex A.1 p.45, should be less than the table size minus one and contain virtual addresses. This is verified by the isVA property which returns True if the entry at the position of the given index into the given table is a virtual address and is equal to a given value. The successors of these indexes contain physical pages which should not be equal to the default page. This is verified by the nextEntryIsPP property. The partitionDescriptorEntry property is defined as follows:,

**Script** 1.5: partitionDescriptorEntry property

```
Definition partitionDescriptorEntry s :=
∀ (partition : page),
partition ∈ (getPartitions multiplexer s) →
∀ (idxroot : index),
(idxroot = PDidx ∨ idxroot = sh1idx ∨
idxroot = sh2idx ∨ idxroot = sh3idx ∨
idxroot = PPRidx ∨ idxroot = PRidx) →
idxroot < tableSize - 1 ∧ isVA partition idxroot s ∧
∃ entry, nextEntryIsPP partition idxroot entry s ∧
entry ≠ defaultPage.</pre>
```

In the next sections we will rewrite the *getFstShadow* function as well as adapt these properties in order to prove this invariant in the deep embedding.

#### 1.2.2 Modelling the getFstShadow function

We worked on several possible definitions for this function in the deep embedding, each corresponding to a certain approach we wanted to further look into. First, let's define getSh1idx which returns the value of sh1idx. In the deep embedding, we defined it as a deep index value of the predefined first shadow index:

Script 1.6: Index of the first shadow in the deep embedding

```
Definition getSh1idx : Exp := Val (cst index sh1idx).
```

Next, we need to implement the index successor function in the deep embedding. An index value has to be less then the preset table size. This property should be verified before calculating the successor. This function is defined as follows in the shallow embedding:

Script 1.7: Index successor function in the shallow embedding

```
Program Definition succ (n : index) : LLI index :=
let isucc := n+1 in
if (lt_dec isucc tableSize)
then ret (Build_index isucc _)
else undefined 28.
```

We rewrote this function so that we could use it in a *Modify* construct replacing the output type by *option index*. We used the index constructor *CIndex* to build the new index : ,

Script 1.8: Rewritten shallow index successor function

```
Definition succIndexInternal (idx:index) : option index :=
let (i,_) := idx in
if lt_dec i tableSize
then Some (CIndex (i+1))
else None.
```

Thus, the first version of the index successor function, called Succ, is defined as a Modify construct that uses an effect handler, called xf\_succ, of input type index and output type  $option\ index$ , which calls the rewritten shallow function succIndexInternal. Succ is parametrised by the name of the input index variable which will be evaluated in the variable environment:

#### Script 1.9: Definition of Succ

The second version of the successor function, called SuccD, is different from the former two. In this version we don't want to call the shallow function succIndexInternal. Instead, we are trying to devise a practically deep definition of successor where the conditional structure is replaced by the deep construct IfThenElse and the assignments are defined using the BindS construct. The new version is defined as follows:

Script 1.10: Definition of SuccD

where prj1 is a projection of the first value of an index record which corresponds to the actual value of the index, LtDec is the definition of the comparison function using its shallow version, SomeCindexQF is a quasifunction that lifts a natural number to an  $option\ index$  typed value using the constructors Cindex and Some successively and SuccR is a function that calculates the successor of a natural number. Their formal definitions in Coq are as follows:

Script 1.11: Functions called in SuccD

```
(* projection function *)
Definition xf_prj1 : XFun index nat := {|
  b_mod := fun s (idx:index) => (s,let (i,_) := idx in i)
|}.
```

```
Definition prj1 (x:Id) : Exp :=
  Modify index nat VT_index VT_nat xf_prj1 (Var x).
(* comparision function *)
Definition xf_LtDec (n: nat) : XFun nat bool := {|
   b_{mod} := fun s i \Rightarrow (s, if lt_dec i n then true else false)
|}.
Definition LtDec (x:Id) (n:nat): Exp :=
  Modify nat bool VT_nat VT_bool (xf_LtDec n) (Var x).
(* lifting funtion *)
Definition xf_SomeCindex : XFun nat (option index) := {|
   b_{mod} := fun s i \Rightarrow (s, Some (CIndex i))
|}.
Definition SomeCindex (x:Id) : Exp :=
  Modify nat (option index) VT_nat VT_option_index
              xf_SomeCindex (Var x).
Definition SomeCindexQF := QF
(FC emptyE [("i", Nat)] (SomeCindex "i")
    (Val (cst (option index) None)) "SomeCindex" 0).
(* successor function for natural numbers *)
Definition xf_SuccD : XFun nat nat := {|
   b_mod := fun s i \Rightarrow (s, S i)
Definition SuccR (x:Id) : Exp :=
  Modify nat nat VT_nat VT_nat xf_SuccD (Var x).
```

The last version calls a recursive function plusR that calculates the sum of two natural numbers. More precisely, we replace the call of SuccR in the former definition with plusR 1 which adds one to its given parameter. plusR and the new successor function, called SuccRec, are defined as follows:

Script 1.12: Definition of PlusR

Script 1.13: Definition of SuccRec

Now we need to define the function that will read the physical page in the given index. This function, called readPhysical in the shallow embedding, uses the predefined lookup function that returns the value mapped to the address-index pair we give it. As shown in script 3.14, readPhysical checks whether the read page is actually a physical page by performing a match on the returned entry. beqPage and beqIndex are comparison functions respectively for pages and indexes.

Script 1.14: readPhysical function in the shallow embedding

```
Definition readPhysical (paddr: page) (idx: index) : LLI page:=
  perform s := get in
  let entry := lookup paddr idx s.(memory) beqPage beqIndex in
  match entry with
  | Some (PP a) ⇒ ret a
  | Some _ ⇒ undefined 5
  | None ⇒ undefined 4
  end.
```

To implement this function in the deep embedding, we first copied all the predefined association list functions in a file we named Liv.v, as shown in annex A.2 p.47. We then rewrote this function so that we could use it in a Modify construct replacing the output type by  $option\ page$  as follows:

Script 1.15: Rewritten shallow readPhysical function

```
Definition readPhysicalInternal p i memory :option page :=
match (lookup p i memory beqPage beqIndex) with
    | Some (PP a) ⇒ Some a
    | _ ⇒ None
end.
```

The function is called *ReadPhysical* in the deep embedding and is parametrised by the name of the *option index* typed variable. *ReadPhysical* permorms a match on its input to verify that its a valid index then naturally calls *read-PhysicalInternal*. It is defined as follows:

Script 1.16: Definition of ReadPhysical

Using these definitions we are going to define three versions of getFst-Shadow in the deep embedding, each calling a different version of the index successor function. These functions are named getFstShadowBind, getFst-ShadowBindDeep and getFstShadowBindDeepRec and respectively call Succ, SuccD and SuccRec. Since their definitions are same, we will only give the definition of getFstShadowBind:

Script 1.17: Definition of getFstShadowBind

#### 1.2.3 Invariant in the deep embedding

To model this invariant in the deep embedding we will use the Hoare triple we defined in section 2.3.3 p.25. However, we need to adapt some of the properties mentioned in section 3.2.1 p.30. In particular, the property nextEntryIsPP, defined in script 3.4 p.31, needs to be parametrised by the resulting deep value. So the page we need to compare is of type Value instead of page. the comparison between the fetched and given value now becomes a comparison between two deep values and not shallow ones. Also, to calculate the successor of the given index we use the rewritten successor

function succIndexInternal, defined in script ?? p.??. Also, when we call this property in partitionDescriptorEntry, defined in script ?? p.??, we need to lift the page to an  $option\ page$  typed deep value. This property is now defined as follows:

Script 1.18: Definition of getFstShadowBind

```
Definition nextEntryIsPP (p:page) (idx:index) (p':Value) (s:W) :=
match succIndexInternal idx with
   | Some i =>
   match lookup p i (memory s) beqPage beqIndex with
   | Some (PP table) => p' = cst (option page) (Some table)
   |_ => False
   end
   |_ => False
end.
```

Finally, we can write the deep Hoare triple which is not only parametrised by the partition and the propagated property but also by the function environment as well as the variable environment we want to evaluate the getFst-Shadow function in. It is formally defined in Coq as follows:

Script 1.19: Definition of getFstShadowBind

```
Lemma getFstShadowBindH (partition : page) (P : W -> Prop) (fenv: funEnv) (env: valEnv) :  \{ \{ \text{fun s} \Rightarrow P \text{ s} \land \text{partitionDescriptorEntry s} \land \\ \text{partition} \in (\text{getPartitions multiplexer s}) \} \}  fenv >> env >> (getFstShadowBind partition)  \{ \{ \text{fun sh1 s} \Rightarrow P \text{ s} \land \text{nextEntryIsPP partition sh1idx sh1 s} \} \}.
```

Naturally, since we defined three *getFstShadow* functions, each calling a different version of the deep index successor function, we only need to specify the name of version of *getFstShadow* we want to call. In this case we are calling *getFstShadowBind* defined in script3.17 p.36.

#### 1.2.4 Invariant proof

Script 1.20: proof of the getFstShadow invariant

```
Proof.
unfold getFstShadowBind. (* or other called function *)
eapply BindS_VHTT1.
eapply getSh1idxWp.
simpl; intros.
```

```
6 eapply BindS_VHTT1.
   eapply weakenEval.
8 eapply succWp. (* or other Lemma for called function *)
9 simpl; intros; intuition.
10 | instantiate (1:=(fun s => P s \wedge partitionDescriptorEntry s \wedge
11
                      partition \in (getPartitions multiplexer s))).
12 simpl. intuition.
13 instantiate (1:=sh1idx).
14 eapply HO in H3.
15 specialize H3 with sh1idx.
16 eapply H3.
17 auto. auto.
18 simpl; intros.
19 eapply weakenEval.
20 eapply readPhysicalW.
21 | simpl; intros. intuition.
22 destruct H3.
23 exists x.
24 unfold partitionDescriptorEntry in H1.
25 apply H1 with partition sh1idx in H4.
26 clear H1.
27 intuition.
28 destruct H5.
29 exists x0.
30 intuition.
31 unfold nextEntryIsPP in H4.
32 unfold readPhysicalInternal.
33 subst.
34 inversion H2.
35 repeat apply inj_pair2 in H3.
36 unfold nextEntryIsPP in H5.
37 rewrite H3 in H5.
38 destruct (lookup partition x (memory s) beqPage beqIndex).
39 unfold cst in H5.
40 destruct v0; try contradiction.
41 apply inj_pairT2 in H5.
42 inversion H5.
43 auto.
44 unfold isVA in H4.
45 destruct (lookup partition sh1idx (memory s) beqPage beqIndex)
46 in H2; try contradiction. auto.
47 Qed.
```

As shown in the previous script, we start the proof by evaluating the first assignment using the assignment rule  $BindS_-VHTT1$  defined in section 2.3.3 p.2.3.3. This implies evaluating getSh1idx and mapping its resulting value to x in the variable environment then evaluating the rest of the function in this updated environment. To evaluate getSh1idx, we use a lemma that we have proven called getSh1idxWp. This lemma also propagates any property on the state.

Script 1.21: getSh1idxWp lemma definition and proof

```
Lemma getSh1idxW (P: Value -> W -> Prop)
                  (fenv: funEnv) (env: valEnv) :
  {{wp P fenv env getSh1idx}} fenv >> env >> getSh1idx {{P}}.
(* the weakest precondition is a precondition *)
apply wpIsPrecondition.
Qed.
Lemma getSh1idxWp P fenv env :
{{P}} fenv >> env >> getSh1idx
\{\{\text{fun (idxSh1 : Value) (s : state)} \Rightarrow P s \}
            \land idxSh1 = cst index sh1idx }}.
Proof.
eapply weakenEval. (* weakening precondition *)
eapply getSh1idxW.
intros.
unfold wp.
intros.
unfold getSh1idx in X.
inversion X; subst.
auto.
inversion XO.
Qed.
```

In script 3.2.1, two lines change in the the proof for the different getFst-Shadow functions. Indeed, in the first line, we need to adapt the name of the unfolded function according to the one we call in the Hoare triple definition. Later, we call the assignment rule again and we need to evaluate the successor function which is different in each getFstShadow definition. Therefore, we need to define a lemma for each version and call it when needed in the  $8^{\rm th}$  line. The version which corresponds to our case is defined and proven as follows:

Script 1.22: getSh1idxWp lemma definition and proof

```
Lemma succWp (x:Id) (v:Value) P (fenv: funEnv) (env: valEnv) :
 1
 2
    \forall (idx:index),
 3
     \{\{\text{fun s} \Rightarrow P \text{ s} \land \text{idx} < \text{tableSize} - 1 \land \text{v=cst index idx}\}\}
 4
      fenv >> (x,v)::env >> Succ x (* or other successor function *)
     \{\{\text{fun (idxsuc : Value) (s : state}) \Rightarrow P s \land \}
 5
 6
               idxsuc = cst (option index) (succIndexInternal idx) \( \)
 7
              \exists i, idxsuc = cst (option index) (Some i)}}.
 8
   Proof.
9
   intros.
10
   eapply weakenEval.
11
   eapply succW. (* or other lemma for called function *)
12
   simpl.
13
14
   split.
   instantiate (1:=idx).
15
16
   intuition.
17
   intros.
18
   intuition.
19
   destruct idx.
20
   exists (CIndex (i + 1)).
21
   f_equal.
22
   unfold succIndexInternal.
23
   case_eq (lt_dec i tableSize).
24
   intros.
25
   auto.
26
   intros.
27
   contradiction.
28
   Qed.
```

This lemma proves that we get a valid index after executing successor since the precondition assures its correct execution. Only the 11<sup>th</sup> line of the proof changes according the the called successor function. The lemma defined in the 11<sup>th</sup> line proves that the resulting value of the execution of the successor function is equal the the value we get when we apply the shallow succIndexInternal function to the same given index. The proof of this evaluation lemma is quite different between the various versions which is logical since evaluating a Modify that calls the shallow succIndexInternal function is different from evaluating all the deep constructs in the deep and recursive versions of the successor function.

The proof of the first lemma, called  $succ\,W$ , which evaluates the Succ function goes naturally by inversion on the closure. It is defined and proven as follows .

Script 1.23: succW Lemma definition and proof

```
(x : Id) (P: Value \rightarrow W \rightarrow Prop) (v:Value)
Lemma succW
              (fenv: funEnv) (env: valEnv) :
\forall (idx:index),
 {{fun s \Rightarrow idx < (tableSize -1) \land \forall 1 : idx + 1 < tableSize,
    P (cst (option index) (succIndexInternal idx)) s \wedge
    v = cst index idx }}
  fenv >> (x,v)::env >> Succ x {{ P }}.
Proof.
intros.
unfold THoareTriple_Eval; intros; intuition.
destruct H1 as [H1 H1'].
omega.
inversion X; subst.
inversion X0; subst.
repeat apply inj_pair2 in H7; subst.
inversion X2; subst.
inversion X3; subst.
inversion H; subst.
destruct IdModP.IdEqDec in H3.
inversion H3; subst.
clear H3 e X3 H XF1.
inversion X1; subst.
inversion X3; subst.
repeat apply inj_pair2 in H7.
repeat apply inj_pair2 in H9.
subst.
unfold b_exec,b_eval,xf_succ,b_mod in *.
simpl in *.
inversion X4; subst.
apply H1.
inversion X5.
inversion X5.
contradiction.
Qed.
```

The proof of the second lemma, called succDW, which evaluates the SuccD function defined in script  $\ref{eq:succDW}$ , is quite longer than the previous one. Indeed, we need to proceed by inversion on the evaluation of every deep con-

struct. This lemma is defined and proven in annex A.3 p.pagerefgetFstFile. For The third lemma, which evaluates the *SuccRec* function defined in script ?? p.??, we did two different proofs : one using only inversions and the other using the predefined Hoare triple rules mentioned in section 2.3.3 p.25.

Finally, all what is left in the main proof is to evaluate the last function ReadPhysical and prove the implication between properties. To that end, we defined and proved the lemma readPhysicalW as follows:

Script 1.24: readPhysicalW Lemma definition and proof

```
Lemma readPhysicalW (y:Id) table (v:Value)
         (P' : Value \rightarrow W \rightarrow Prop) (fenv: funEnv) (env: valEnv) :
 {{fun s \Rightarrow \exists idxsucc p1, v = cst (option index) (Some idxsucc)
   ∧ readPhysicalInternal table idxsucc (memory s) = Some p1
   ∧ P' (cst (option page) (Some p1)) s}}
fenv >> (y,v)::env >> ReadPhysical table y \{\{P'\}\}.
Proof.
intros.
unfold THoareTriple_Eval.
intros.
intuition.
destruct H.
destruct H.
intuition.
inversion HO; subst.
clear k3 t k2 k1 ftenv tenv H1.
inversion X; subst.
inversion X0; subst.
repeat apply inj_pair2 in H7.
subst.
inversion X2; subst.
inversion X3; subst.
inversion HO; subst.
destruct IdEqDec in H3.
inversion H3; subst.
clear H3 e X3 H0 XF1.
inversion X0; subst.
repeat apply inj_pair2 in H7.
repeat apply inj_pair2 in H11.
subst.
inversion X1; subst.
inversion X4; subst.
repeat apply inj_pair2 in H7.
```

#### CHAPTER 1. PROVING INVARIANTS IN THE DEEP EMBEDDING

```
apply inj_pair2 in H9.

subst.

unfold xf_read at 2 in X4.

unfold b_eval,b_exec,b_mod in X4.

simpl in *.

rewrite H in X4.

unfold xf_read,b_eval,b_exec,b_mod in X5.

simpl in *.

rewrite H in X5.

inversion X5; subst.

auto.

inversion X6.

inversion X6.

contradiction.

Qed.
```

- 1.3 2<sup>nd</sup> invariant and proof
- 1.4 3<sup>rd</sup> invariant and proof
- 1.5 Observations