

# Solution to Tutorial 1

by C.J. Ong

September 11, 2020

## Q1

- (a) and (b) are straight forward.  
(c) Not possible because  $\det(A) = 0$ .

## Q2

$$e^{At} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} A^k = I + At + \frac{1}{2!} A^2 t^2 + \frac{1}{3!} A^3 t^3 + \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de^{At}}{dt} &= A + A^2 t + \frac{1}{2!} A^3 t^2 + \dots \\ &= A(I + At + \frac{1}{2!} A^2 t^2 + \frac{1}{3!} A^3 t^3 + \dots) = Ae^{At} \\ &= (I + At + \frac{1}{2!} A^2 t^2 + \frac{1}{3!} A^3 t^3 + \dots)A = e^{At}A. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Taking the Laplace Transform of (1), we have

$$\mathcal{L}(e^{At}) = \mathcal{L}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} A^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^{-(k+1)} A^k = s^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (s^{-1} A)^k$$

From (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{de^{At}}{dt}\right) &= s\mathcal{L}(e^{At}) - e^{A \cdot 0} = A\mathcal{L}(e^{At}) \\ \implies (sI - A)\mathcal{L}(e^{At}) &= e^0 = I \\ \implies \mathcal{L}(e^{At}) &= (sI - A)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

## Q3

Let the output of  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$  be

$$y_1(t) = \frac{d(tu_1(t))}{dt} = u_1(t) + t\dot{u}_1(t)$$

$$y_2(t) = \frac{d(tu_2(t))}{dt} = u_2(t) + t\dot{u}_2(t)$$

respectively. Then, for input  $u_c(t) = \alpha_1 u_1(t) + \alpha_2 u_2(t)$ , the output is

$$y_c(t) = \frac{d(\alpha_1 tu_1(t) + \alpha_2 tu_2(t))}{dt} = \alpha_1(u_1(t) + t\dot{u}_1(t)) + \alpha_2(u_2(t) + t\dot{u}_2(t))$$

$$= \alpha_1 y_1(t) + \alpha_2 y_2(t)$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ . Hence the system is linear

**Q4**

Eigenvalues of  $\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$  are  $-4$ ,  $-3$  and  $-2$ .

The corresponding eigenvectors are  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1/3 \\ 2/3 \\ 2/3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Q5**

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\det(sI - A) = s^2 - 5s - 2$ . Then  $A^2 - 5A - 2I = 0$ .

**Q6**

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \text{rank}(A) = 2.$$

The linearly independent vectors are  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence, basis vectors of

$$\text{Range}(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

To find basis vector of Null space of  $A$ . Let  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Using Gaussian Elimination or noting that the above equation can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (2x_1 + x_2 + x_4) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (x_3 + x_4) = 0,$$

we can get

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 2x_1 + x_2 + x_4 = 0 \\ x_3 + x_4 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies \begin{array}{l} x_2 = -x_4 - 2x_1 \\ x_3 = -x_4 \end{array}$$

Let  $x_4 = 1, x_1 = 0$  then  $n_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $x_4 = 0, x_1 = 1$  then  $n_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

are the two basis vectors of the nullspace.

**Q7**

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |A - \lambda I| = 0 &\implies |\lambda I| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} A - I \right| = 0 \\ \implies |\lambda I| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} A - A^{-1} \cdot A \right| &= |\lambda I| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I - A^{-1} \right| \cdot |A| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\lambda I| \neq 0$  and  $|A| \neq 0$ , therefore,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I - A^{-1} \right| = 0$$

which implies that  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^{-1}$ .

**Q8 (Difficult)**

Prove by contradiction. Suppose the  $n$  eigenvectors are linearly dependent, we want to show that this leads to a contradiction. Let the  $n$  eigenvectors be  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , then there exists scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ , not all zeros, such that

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n = 0 \quad (3)$$

Let the first non-zero  $\alpha$  be  $\alpha_1$  (if not, the vectors can be rearranged so that it is so). Pre-multiply by  $(A - \lambda_2 I) \cdots (A - \lambda_n I_n)$  to (3), we get

$$(A - \lambda_2 I) \cdots (A - \lambda_n I_n) \alpha_1 x_1 + (A - \lambda_2 I) \cdots (A - \lambda_n I_n) \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots = 0 \quad (4)$$

Since

$$(A - \lambda_j I) \alpha_j x_j = \alpha_j (A x_j - \lambda_j x_j) = 0$$

and

$$(A - \lambda_i I) \alpha_j x_j = \alpha_j (A x_j - \lambda_i x_j) = \alpha_j x_j (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)$$

This implies that (4) must have all terms being zero except the left most. Hence,

$$\alpha_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \cdots (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n) = 0$$

which implies that  $\alpha_1 = 0$  since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3 \cdots \neq \lambda_n$ , resulting in a contradiction.

**Q9** (Difficult)

Let  $A \in R^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in R^{r \times n}$ . For (a) & (b)

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\lambda$  be a non-zero eigenvalue of  $AB$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} ABx &= \lambda x \\ \Rightarrow BABx &= \lambda Bx \\ \Rightarrow BA \cdot \xi &= \lambda \xi, \quad \text{where } \xi = Bx \end{aligned}$$

This implies the  $\lambda$  is a non-zero e-value of  $BA$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\mu$  be a non-zero eigenvalue of  $BA$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} BAy &= \mu y \\ \Rightarrow ABAy &= \mu Ay \\ \Rightarrow AB \cdot \eta &= \mu \eta, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\mu$  is a non-zero eigenvalue of  $AB$ .

For (c), the result follows from (a) and (b).

**Q10** (Difficult)

Let  $(\lambda_i, x_i)$  be an eigen-pair for  $A$ . This means that

$$Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i \tag{5}$$

Multiply the above on the left by  $x_i^H$  (the conjugate transpose of  $x_i$ ), we have

$$x_i^H Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i^H x_i \tag{6}$$

Also, taking the conjugate transpose of (5) yields

$$(Ax_i)^H = x_i^H A^H = \lambda_i^H x_i^H.$$

Suppose the above is multiplied on the right by  $x_i$ , it becomes

$$x_i^H A^H x_i = \lambda_i^H x_i^H x_i$$

which, when subtracted from (6) and noting that  $A^H = A$  and  $x_i^H x_i \neq 0$ , shows that

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_i^H = 0.$$

Hence,  $\lambda_i$  is real.