





SOFTWARE ENGINEERING 1

FINAL PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

| NAME OF SYSTEM: | GABAY GURO | |
|-----------------|------------|--|
| TEAM NAME: | ISKOL4RX | |

| | NAME OF STUDENT (LN,FN,MI) | ROLE |
|----|----------------------------|---------|
| M1 | DE GUZMAN, JOHN CHESTER A. | HACKER |
| M2 | DIAZ, LUISE FLORENZ J. | HIPSTER |
| М3 | GLODOVIZA, JON ZEPH R. | HACKER |
| M4 | RELOPEZ, THOMEN JEILO R. | HUSTLER |

RUBRICS FOR SYSTEM PROJECT

Use one-to-five-point scale, where:

| 5 | 95-100 – Excellent |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| 4 | 91-95 – Exceeds Expectation |
| 3 | 86-90 – Meets Expectation |
| 2 | 81-85 – Meets Expectations Sometimes |
| 1 | Below 80 – Does NOT Meet the |
| | Expectation |

| | Criteria | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The software fully meets all specified | | | | | |
| | functional requirements and performs | | | | | |
| | seamlessly, demonstrating advanced | | | | | |
| | features and a high level innovation. | | | | | |
| 2 | The software exhibits outstanding | | | | | |
| | performance, exceptional responsiveness, | | | | | |
| | and efficient use of resources under various | | | | | |
| | scenarios. | | | | | |
| 3 | The user interface is highly intuitive, user- | | | | | |
| | friendly, and enhances the overall user | | | | | |
| | experience. | | | | | |
| 4 | Connection, relationship or integration of | | | | | |
| | different features. | | | | | |



East Tapinac, Olongapo City





| 5 | Ability to ensure data accuracy, privacy and | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| | validity. | | | |
| 6 | Effectiveness and efficiency of the software in | | | |
| | meeting necessary user requirements and | | | |
| | objectives. | | | |
| 7 | Completeness of software features / | | | |
| | components / modules to meet user | | | |
| | requirements. | | | |
| 8 | Correctness and completeness of systems / | | | |
| | module main function, role and objective. | | | |
| 9 | Consistency of design and implementation | | | |
| | with the principles of system integration. | | | |
| 10 | The software effectively identifies and | | | |
| | handles errors, providing clear error | | | |
| | messages and resilient recovery | | | |
| | mechanisms. | | | |
| | Total: | | | |

| REVISIONS AND REG | COMMENDATION: | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |








OVERALL SCORING AND RECOMMENDATION:

Note: Put a check mark (/) for your final overall score and evaluation.

| 5 | CONDITIONAL PASS WITH NO REVISION |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| 4 | CONDITIONAL PASS WITH MINOR REVISION |
| 3 | CONDITIONAL PASS WITH MAJOR REVISION |
| 2 | RE-DEFENSE |
| 1 | FAIL |

| Evaluated by: |
|--|
| |
| (Cianature over printed name of evaluate |

(Signature over printed name of evaluator)



East Tapinac, Olongapo City



