

---

## Subjective Questions

### Question 1

What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose to double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Ans : Ridge: 100, Ridge after RFE: 20, Lasso: 0.001

For all the models, the training score has decreased slightly and the testing score has increased slightly. The change is most noticeable for Ridge after RFE. Here, the changes were the largest, so the gap between train and test data is the smallest.

'GrLivArea', 'OverallQual', 'TotalBsmtSF', 'OverallCond', 'YearBuilt' are the most important predictor variables

Important predictors Lasso: Double Alpha after removing most important predictor variables:

GrLivArea 0.154015

TotalBsmtSF 0.069018

GarageCars 0.036463

YearRemodAdd 0.044439

MsZoning\_RL 0.101982

MsZoning\_RM 0.075367

MSZoning\_FV 0.050141

---

### Question 2

*During the assignment, you have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?*

The  $r^2$  score is slightly higher for Lasso and the gap between training and testing is slightly lower. Hence, I would choose lasso. Lasso helps in reducing the features in the model, helping to create a simpler final model. This is important for creating a robust and generalizable model, as discussed in question 4. It also has the lowest residual sum of squares of all of the created models.

---

---

### Question 3

*After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?*

The top predictor variables are: 'GrLivArea', 'OverallQual', 'TotalBsmtSF', 'OverallCond', 'YearBuilt'

GrLivArea      0.128692

OverallQual    0.065629

TotalBsmtSF   0.048505

OverallCond    0.043846

YearBuilt       0.043804

After removing them, the predictor variables are:

GrLivArea      0.146928

MSZoning\_RL   0.083526

MSZoning\_RM       0.065606

TotalBsmtSF   0.056324

GarageCars     0.043311

The predictor variables remain the same, with a slightly different order for Lasso applied to the RFE created variables.

GrLivArea      0.136432

MSZoning\_RL   0.083509

TotalBsmtSF   0.073250

MSZoning\_RM       0.069320

GarageCars     0.041585

It is noticeable that the top predictor variables are rather in line with the variables that I would intuitively consider important for prediction of Sales Price. Without them, the intuition I had does not carry to the new variables.

---

### Question 4

---

*How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalizable? What are the implications of the same for the model's accuracy and why?*

Generalization is important and test accuracy needs to be higher than the training score. However, the difference should be manageable. The model should generalize during training, but if the score is very high in training, and lower in testing, it means that the model has memorized the data, meaning that it is overfitting. Overall, there should not be large differences between the results. Low test scores could result from splitting the data set too early in the preprocessing step, so some steps may be missed on the test data.

The robustness of a model is generally not solely based on high test scores but also depends on the assumption that the train scores are higher than the test scores. Both scores have to be high enough to be acceptable for the specific business case and expectations of the model.

It is also important to consider the values obtained for train and testing so that the model will perform well on unseen data. This means that the data should retain some outliers to help with predictions. As demonstrated in the assignment, the accuracy of the model will vary, depending on the way data is processed and how features are selected. There may be no perfect model, but different steps are available to ensure that the model developed is fit for purpose for the specific context and the uniqueness of the business case.

This is in line with Occam's razor, that is, the model to be chosen should not be more complex than it needs to be.