# **Short Report: Telecom KPI Anomaly Detection Agent**

## **Project Overview:**

This project integrates an unsupervised anomaly detection pipeline with a conversational AI agent to monitor and analyze telecom KPI trends across multiple network sites and sectors. The system allows users to query anomalies interactively and receive insightful, data-backed responses.

## **Approach & Architecture:**

**Data**: Daily KPI data collected from multiple sectors/sites across a telecom network, including metrics like RSRP, SINR, Throughput, Call Drop Rate, RTT, and more.

## **Preprocessing:**

- Normalized dates using pandas.to\_datetime.
- Enforced domain-informed KPI bounds to eliminate implausible values and reduce noise.
- Filtered out sectors with fewer than 10 valid records for a KPI.

#### **Models Used:**

**DWT-MLEAD**: Detects frequency-based anomalies such as seasonal shifts and shape distortions in time series.

**Isolation Forest**: Tree-based detector for outliers in multivariate high-dimensional data.

**Ensemble Voting**: Combines both methods to reduce false positives and improve robustness.

## **Agent Architecture**:

- Built using LangChain, LangGraph, and NVIDIA NeMo LLMs.
- Integrates multiple tools for anomaly insights, extreme value detection, KPI comparison, and Granger causality.
- Uses **FastAPI** (MCP\_server.py) as the backend, and **Gradio** UI (app.py) for chat interface.

## **Design Decisions:**

- **Model Choice**: Selected complementary models (DWT-MLEAD and Isolation Forest) based on robustness to time-series patterns and outliers. Ensemble voting improves confidence in detected anomalies.
- Free-tier API Usage: Used NVIDIA NIM and Tavily Search APIs due to cost efficiency while maintaining functionality during prototyping.
- Streaming Agent Workflow: Deployed with streaming support for realtime responses and continuous tool invocation using LangGraph conditional loops.

# **Key Challenges & Solutions:**

Challenge	Solution
Lack of labeled anomalies	Employed visual verification and anomaly rate heuristics (0.5%–5%)
Noise and extreme outliers	Applied domain-informed bounds (e.g., RSRP ∈ [-120, -60 dBm])
Temporal inconsistency	Grouped and sorted time-series by Sector_ID before modeling
Tool integration with agent	Used LangChain tools and conditional flow to trigger tool usage automatically
Multi-KPI anomaly reasoning	Designed tools to compare related KPIs and co- occurrence patterns

# **Example Interactions:**

<b>User Query</b>	Assistant Response Summary
	Yes, 12 anomalies detected. Avg anomaly above
"Were there anomalies in	baseline = 35.2 Mbps. Most occurred between June
DL_Throughput?"	15–21. Related SINR anomalies suggest signal
	degradation.

# **User Query Assistant Response Summary**

"What's the worst day for Call Drop Rate?"

July 2nd had the peak with 14 anomalies. Average Call Drop Rate = 3.2%. Possibly due to poor handovers.

"Which site had the Site\_047 showed lowest SINR average of -17.6 dB. lowest SINR last week?" Likely in poor coverage area.

#### **References:**

- Schmidl, S., Wenig, P., & Papenbrock, T. (2022). Anomaly Detection in Time Series: A Comprehensive Evaluation Hasso Plattner Institute, University of Potsdam; Philipps University of Marburg
- LangChain, NVIDIA NeMo Instruct Models, Tavily Search API, FastAPI, Gradio