Simply RaTT

ICFP 2019

A Fitch-Style Modal Calculus for Reactive Programming Without Space Leaks.

Patrick Bahr, **Christian Graulund**, Rasmus Møgelberg IT University of Copenhagen

Reactive Programming

Reactive Programs

- A reactive program has continual interaction with environment.
- Includes control software, servers, GUI etc.
- Traditionally imperative with shared state and call-backs.
- Hence, error-prone and difficult to reason about.
- Many safety-critical systems are reactive (e.g. Ethereum).

Reactive Programming

Reactive Programs

- A reactive program has continual interaction with environment.
- Includes control software, servers, GUI etc.
- Traditionally imperative with shared state and call-backs.
- Hence, error-prone and difficult to reason about.
- Many safety-critical systems are reactive (e.g. Ethereum).

Why Functional Reactive Programs?

- We want to reason about reactive programs.
- We want high abstraction with efficient implementations.
- We want modular programs.
- We want safety guarantees.

Functional Reactive Programming

- FRP¹ uses datatypes that represents values "over time".
- Values that vary over time are called signals.
- Programs are signal transducers:

$$prog$$
: Signal $A \rightarrow$ Signal B

One implementation is signals as streams:

Stream
$$A \cong A \times \text{Stream } A$$

Known problems include causality, productivity and space-leaks.

¹Elliott and Hudak, 1997.

Causality and Productivity

Causality

A program is causal (implementable) if the nth output depends only on the first n inputs.

```
noncausal : Stream A \rightarrow Stream A
noncausal as = head(tail as) :: noncausal <math>as
```

Productivity

A program is productive (useful) if something is output at every n.

```
nonproductive : Stream A
nonproductive = tail nonproductive
```

Leaking Space

Space Leaks

A program has a space leak if the execution of the program uses more memory than expected and the memory is released later than expected.

bs	F	F	F	Т	F	Т	
ns	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	n_5	n_6	• • •
f ns bs	0	0	0	n ₁	0	n ₁	• • •

Leaking Space

Space Leaks

A program has a space leak if the execution of the program uses more memory than expected and the memory is released later than expected.

bs	F	F	F	Т	F	Т	
ns	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	n_5	n_6	
f ns bs	0	0	0	n ₁	0	n ₁	

```
\textit{leakyF}: \mathsf{Stream} \ \mathsf{Bool} \to \mathsf{Stream} \ \mathsf{Nat} \to \mathsf{Stream} \ \mathsf{Nat} \textit{leakyF} \ \textit{bs} \ \textit{ns} = \mathsf{let} \ \textit{g} \ \textit{s} = \mathsf{if} \ (\mathsf{head} \ \textit{s}) \ \mathsf{then} \ (\mathsf{head} \ \textit{ns}) :: \textit{g} \ (\mathsf{tail} \ \textit{s}) \mathsf{else} \ 0 \qquad :: \textit{g} \ (\mathsf{tail} \ \textit{s}) \mathsf{in} \ \textit{g} \ \textit{bs}
```

Plugging a Leak

The problem is a stream is not stable over time and can lead to leaks.

```
safeF: Stream Bool \rightarrow Stream Nat \rightarrow Stream Nat safeF bs ns = let n = head ns in let g s = if (head s) then n:: g (tail s) else 0 :: g (tail s) in g bs
```

To avoid leaks, we should only push stable values into the future but they can't be differentiated in the naive approach.

Known Solution

Possible Solution: Restricted API

- Restrict direct access to signals.
- Restrict FRP to predefined combinators.
- E.g. arrowized FRP²

Drawbacks

- Loose simplicity and flexibility of original formulation.
- We want to make signals first class again!

²Nilsson et. al. 2002.

Known Solution

Possible Solution: Restricted API

- Restrict direct access to signals.
- Restrict FRP to predefined combinators.
- E.g. arrowized FRP²

Drawbacks

- Loose simplicity and flexibility of original formulation.
- We want to make signals first class again!

Solution with first class signals: Modal FRP

²Nilsson et. al. 2002.

Modal FRP

Modal $FRP^3 = FRP + modal types$

- $\bigcirc A$ denotes "A one time step from now".
- We now work with guarded streams:

Stream $A \cong A \times \bigcirc (Stream A)$

³Jeffrey 2012, 2014; Jeltsch 2013; Krishnaswami 2013.

Modal FRP

Modal $FRP^3 = FRP + modal types$

- $\bigcirc A$ denotes "A one time step from now".
- We now work with guarded streams:

Stream
$$A \cong A \times \bigcirc (Stream A)$$

Ensures Causality

noncausal : Stream
$$A o$$
 Stream A
noncausal $as = \text{head}\underbrace{(\text{tail } as)}_{\text{type error}}$:: noncausal as

Similarly for productivity

³ Jeffrey 2012, 2014; Jeltsch 2013; Krishnaswami 2013.

Simply RaTT: The language

Simply RaTT: An overview

Goal:

Full dependent type theory for reactive programming (RaTT).

Simply RaTT:

- A simply typed calcus for modal FRP.
- Fitch-style approach:
 - Removes need for let-bindings.
 - Allows simple and concise programs.

Contributions:

- Heap-based operational semantics for streams and transducers.
- Disallow (implicit) space leaks by construction.⁴
- Type system that ensures safety, causality and productivity.

⁴Following Krishnaswami.

Examples with Simplified Syntax

 $const : A \text{ stable} \Rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Stream } A$ $const \ a \sharp = a :: \text{delay } (const \ a)$

Examples with Simplified Syntax

```
const: A \text{ stable} \Rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Stream } A

const \ a \sharp = a :: \text{delay } (const \ a)
```

```
zip: Stream A \rightarrow Stream B \rightarrow Stream (A \times B)

zip \sharp (a :: as) (b :: bs) = (a, b) :: delay (zip (adv as) (adv bs))
```

Examples with Simplified Syntax

```
const: A \text{ stable} \Rightarrow A \rightarrow Stream \ A

const \ a \sharp = a :: delay (const \ a)
```

```
zip: Stream A \rightarrow Stream B \rightarrow Stream (A \times B)

zip \sharp (a :: as) (b :: bs) = (a, b) :: delay (zip (adv as) (adv bs))
```

```
switch: Stream A \rightarrow Ev (Stream A) \rightarrow Stream A

switch \sharp (x :: xs) (wait es) = x :: switch xs es

switch \sharp xs (val ys) = ys
```

Type System

Let considered harmful

Traditional: Dual contexts

$$\frac{\bigcap\limits_{now}^{now} \bigcap\limits_{later}^{later} \bigcap\limits_{later}^{later} \vdash \text{delay}(t) : \bigcirc A}{\bigcap\limits_{now}^{later} \bigcap\limits_{later}^{later} \vdash \text{delay}(t) : \bigcirc A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash t : \bigcirc A}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, x : A \vdash t' : C}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \text{let } x = t \text{ in } t' : C}{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \text{let } x = t \text{ in } t' : C}$$

$$\Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \mid \Theta \vdash x : A$$

Let considered harmful

Traditional: Dual contexts

$$\frac{\bigcap\limits_{now}^{now} \bigcap\limits_{|\mathscr{A}|}^{|\mathscr{A}|} \vdash t : A}{\prod\limits_{now}^{|\mathscr{A}|} \bigcap\limits_{|\mathscr{A}|}^{|\mathscr{A}|} \vdash \mathsf{delay}(t) : \bigcirc A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash t : \bigcirc A}{\Gamma \mid \Theta, x : A \vdash t' : C}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \text{let } x = t \text{ in } t' : C}{\Gamma \mid \Theta \vdash \text{let } x = t \text{ in } t' : C}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \mid \Theta \vdash x : A}$$

Modern: Fitch-style

$$\frac{\Gamma, \checkmark \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{delay}(t) : \bigcirc A}$$

$$\frac{\bigcap_{earlier} \vdash t : \bigcirc A}{\bigcap_{now} \vdash adv(t) : A}$$

$$\frac{\checkmark \text{-free}(\Gamma')}{\Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \vdash x : A}$$

Stability

To know what values are safe to transport into the future we have two notions:

Stable types

- Types that are inherently stable.
- These are Nat, 1 and products and sums of these.

Stability

To know what values are safe to transport into the future we have two notions:

Stable types

- Types that are inherently stable.
- These are Nat, 1 and products and sums of these.

Box Modality

- Given a type A, we can restrict it to its stable terms.
- Represented by box modality, $\Box A$.
- $\Box A$ is a stable type.

$$\frac{\Gamma, \sharp \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{box}(t) : \Box A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \Box A}{\Gamma, \sharp, \Gamma' \vdash \mathsf{unbox}(t) : A}$$

Nakano Style Recursion

To ensure *causality* and *productivity* of recursive definition we use modified Nakano Style fixed point.

$$\frac{\Gamma, \sharp, x: \bigcirc A \vdash t: A}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fix} \ x.t: \Box A}$$

Crucially, fixed points are stable to allow the recursive call *in the future*.

In general we don't have $A \to \bigcirc A$, but we do have $\square A \to \bigcirc \square A$.

Examples

$$(\circledast): \bigcirc (A \to B) \to \bigcirc A \to \bigcirc B$$
$$f \circledast a = \mathsf{delay} ((\mathsf{adv} \ f) (\mathsf{adv} \ a))$$

$$(\mathbb{R}): \Box (A \to B) \to \Box A \to \Box B$$
$$f \otimes a = box ((unbox f) (unbox a))$$

Examples

$$(\circledast): \bigcirc (A \to B) \to \bigcirc A \to \bigcirc B$$
$$f \circledast a = \text{delay} ((\text{adv } f) (\text{adv } a))$$

$$(\mathbb{R}): \square (A \to B) \to \square A \to \square B$$
$$f \otimes a = \mathsf{box} ((\mathsf{unbox} \ f) \ (\mathsf{unbox} \ a))$$

 $map : \Box (A \to B) \to \Box (Stream A \to Stream B)$ $map = \lambda f \cdot fix \ map' \cdot \lambda a \cdot (unbox \ f) \ (head \ a) :: map' \otimes tail \ a$

Examples

$$(\circledast):\bigcirc (A \to B) \to \bigcirc A \to \bigcirc B$$
$$f \circledast a = \mathsf{delay} ((\mathsf{adv} \ f) (\mathsf{adv} \ a))$$

$$(\boxtimes): \square (A \to B) \to \square A \to \square B$$

 $f \boxtimes a = box ((unbox f) (unbox a))$

```
map : \Box (A \to B) \to \Box (Stream A \to Stream B)

map = \lambda f \cdot fix \ map' \cdot \lambda a \cdot (unbox \ f) \ (head \ a) :: map' \otimes tail \ a
```

```
mapSugar: \Box (A \to B) \to \Box (Stream \ A \to Stream \ B)
mapSugar \ f \ \sharp (a :: as) = (unbox \ f) \ a :: map' \circledast as
```

Eliminating Space Leaks

Big Step Operational Semantics

We define a heap based big step operational semantics:

$$\langle t; \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v; \sigma' \rangle$$

The store σ is used for

- Allocation of delayed computation.
- Recursive calls.
- Input data for tranducers.

Small Step Stream Semantics

We define a small step semantics for streams:

$$\frac{\langle t : \mathsf{Str} \ A; \sharp \eta \checkmark \rangle \Downarrow \langle v :: I; \sharp \eta_{\mathsf{N}} \checkmark \eta_{\mathsf{L}} \rangle}{\langle t; \sharp \eta \rangle \stackrel{\mathsf{v}}{\Longrightarrow} \langle \mathsf{adv}(I); \sharp \eta_{\mathsf{L}} \rangle}$$

- After evaluation we delete the entire "now" heap η_N .
- Similar semantics for stream transducers.
- For transducers, the input stream is allocated on the heap.
- Theorem: Evaluation of streams and transducers is safe.

Example Reduction

Given the stream of natural numbers, we have the general big step reduction:

$$\langle nats_n; \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle n :: I_n; \sigma, I_n \mapsto nats_{n+1} \rangle$$

where $nats_n$ is the nth unfolding of nat.

Example Reduction

Given the stream of natural numbers, we have the general big step reduction:

$$\langle nats_n; \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle n :: I_n; \sigma, I_n \mapsto nats_{n+1} \rangle$$

where $nats_n$ is the nth unfolding of nat.

This give the reduction:

$$\langle nats_0; \sharp \rangle \stackrel{0}{\Longrightarrow} \langle adv(I_0); \sharp I_0 \mapsto nats_1 \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{1}{\Longrightarrow} \langle adv(I_1); \sharp I_1 \mapsto nats_2 \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{2}{\Longrightarrow} \langle adv(I_2); \sharp I_2 \mapsto nats_3 \rangle$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\stackrel{n}{\Longrightarrow} \langle adv(I_n); \sharp I_n \mapsto nats_{n+1} \rangle$$

Summary and Future Work

Summary and Future Work

Summary

- Fitch-style approach to Modal FRP.
- Heap-based operational semantics that rules out space leaks.
- Type system that ensures safety, causality and productivity.
- Rule out (some) time leaks.
- Formalized meta-theory in Coq.

Summary and Future Work

Summary

- Fitch-style approach to Modal FRP.
- Heap-based operational semantics that rules out space leaks.
- Type system that ensures safety, causality and productivity.
- Rule out (some) time leaks.
- Formalized meta-theory in Coq.

Future Work

- Many ticks and many heaps.
- Denotational semantics.
- Logic on top of language.
- Extension to dependent types (RaTT).

Thank you

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?

Time Leaks

Computation on tail of stream will never evaluate fully.

$$\label{eq:leakyNats} \begin{split} \text{leakyNats} : & \mathsf{Str} \; \mathsf{Nat} \\ \text{leakyNats} &= \mathsf{fix} \; \mathit{ns}. \; \mathsf{0} :: \underbrace{\mathsf{delay} \; \mathsf{unbox}(\mathsf{map} \; + 1) \; \mathit{ns}}_{\bigcirc \mathsf{Str} \; \mathsf{Nat}} \end{split}$$

• We (roughly) have the unfolding:

$$0 :: (map +1) \ ns$$
 $\rightsquigarrow 0 :: (map +1) (0 :: (map +1) \ ns)$
 $\rightsquigarrow 0 :: 1 :: (map +1) (map +1) (0 :: (map +1) \ ns)$
 $\rightsquigarrow 0 :: \cdots :: n-1 :: (map +1)^n (0 :: (map +1) \ ns)$

• To compute n we need to recompute (n-1) elements!

Time Leaks II

Solution: Disallow fixed points under delay.

$$\frac{\Gamma, \sharp, x: \bigcirc A \vdash t: A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } x.t: \Box A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t: \Box A \qquad \checkmark \text{-free}(\Gamma')}{\Gamma, \sharp, \Gamma' \vdash \text{unbox } t: A}$$

```
leakyNats : Str Nat \frac{\text{type error}}{\text{leakyNats}} = \text{fix } ns. \ 0 :: \text{delay unbox}(\text{map} + 1) \ ns
```

- Solution is unique to Fitch-style approach.
- We can write nats with explicit buffering:

$$\mathsf{nats} : \Box(\mathsf{Str}\;\mathsf{Nat}) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{from} : \Box(\mathsf{Nat} \to \mathsf{Str}\; \mathit{Nat})$$

$$\mathsf{nats} = \mathsf{from}\; \boxdot \; 0 \qquad \qquad \mathsf{from} \; n = n :: \mathsf{f} \; \odot \; (n+1)$$

Stream Transducer:

• For $t : \operatorname{Str} A \to \operatorname{Str} B$:

$$\frac{\langle t; \sharp \eta, I^* \mapsto v :: I^* \checkmark I^* \mapsto \langle \rangle \rangle \Downarrow \langle v' :: I; \sharp \eta_N \checkmark \eta_L, I^* \mapsto \langle \rangle \rangle}{\langle t; \eta \rangle \stackrel{v/v'}{\Longrightarrow} \langle \mathsf{adv} \, I; \eta_L \rangle}$$

 Head of input stream is stored on heap and deleted after each iteration

Typing Rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \vdash \quad \text{token-free}(\Gamma')}{\Gamma, x : A, \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash }{\Gamma \vdash \langle \rangle} : 1 \qquad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash \bar{n} : \text{Nat}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash s : \text{Nat} \qquad \Gamma \vdash t : \text{Nat}}{\Gamma \vdash s + t : \text{Nat}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B \qquad \text{tick-free}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x . t : A \to B} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \to B \qquad \Gamma \vdash t' : A}{\Gamma \vdash t t' : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \qquad \Gamma \vdash t' : B}{\Gamma \vdash \langle t, t' \rangle : A \times B} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A_1 \times A_2 \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_i t : A_i} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A_i \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{in}_i t : A_1 + A_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A_i \vdash t_i : B \qquad \Gamma \vdash t : A_1 + A_2 \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case tof in}_1 x . t_1 : \text{in}_2 x . t_2 : B} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \checkmark \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{delay } t : \bigcirc A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \bigcirc A \qquad \Gamma, \checkmark, \Gamma' \vdash A}{\Gamma, \checkmark, \Gamma' \vdash \text{adv } t : A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \square A \qquad \text{token-free}(\Gamma')}{\Gamma, \circlearrowleft, \Gamma' \vdash \text{bunbox } t : A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \sharp \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{box } t : \square A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \qquad \Gamma, \checkmark, \Gamma' \vdash A \text{ stable}}{\Gamma, \checkmark, \Gamma' \vdash \text{progress } t : A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \qquad \Gamma, \sharp, \Gamma' \vdash A \text{ stable}}{\Gamma, \sharp, \Gamma' \vdash \text{promotet } t : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A [\bigcirc (\mu \alpha . A) / \alpha]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{into } t : \mu \alpha . A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \sharp, x : \bigcirc A \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{binx } t : \square A}$$

Operational Semantics (selected rules):

$$\frac{\langle t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle x.s;\sigma'\rangle \qquad \langle t';\sigma'\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma''\rangle}{\langle t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma''\rangle} \frac{\langle s[v/x];\sigma''\rangle \Downarrow \langle v';\sigma'''\rangle}{\langle t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma''\rangle}$$

$$\frac{\sigma \neq \bot \qquad l = \mathsf{alloc}(\sigma)}{\langle \mathsf{delay}\ t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle l;\sigma,l\mapsto t\rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle t;\sharp\eta_N\rangle \Downarrow \langle l;\sharp\eta_N'\rangle \qquad \langle \eta_N'(l);\sharp\eta_N'\sqrt{\eta_L}\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma'\rangle}{\langle \mathsf{adv}\ t;\sharp\eta_N\sqrt{\eta_L}\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma'\rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle t;\bot\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\bot\rangle \qquad \sigma \neq \bot}{\langle \mathsf{promote}\ t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma\rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle t;\sharp\eta_N\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sharp\eta_N'\rangle \qquad \langle v;\sharp\eta_N'\rangle}{\langle \mathsf{progress}\ t;\sharp\eta_N\sqrt{\eta_L}\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sharp\eta_N'\sqrt{\eta_L}\rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle t;\bot\rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathsf{box}\ t';\bot\rangle \qquad \langle t';\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma'\rangle \qquad \sigma \neq \bot}{\langle \mathsf{unbox}\ t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma'\rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathsf{into}\ v;\sigma'\rangle}{\langle \mathsf{into}\ t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathsf{into}\ v;\sigma'\rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle t;\bot\rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathsf{fix}\ x.t';\bot\rangle \qquad \langle t'[l/x];\sigma,l\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}(\mathsf{fix}\ x.t')\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma'\rangle \qquad \sigma \neq \bot \qquad l = \mathsf{alloc}(\sigma)}{\langle \mathsf{unbox}\ t;\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \langle v;\sigma'\rangle}$$

SafeNats

```
from : \Box(\mathsf{Nat} \to \mathsf{Str} \; \mathsf{Nat})

from = \mathsf{fix} \; f.\lambda(n : \mathsf{Nat}).n :: \mathsf{delay}((\mathsf{adv} \; f) \; (\mathsf{progress} \; n))

nats : \Box(\mathsf{Str} \; \mathsf{Nat})

nats = \mathsf{box}(\mathsf{unbox}(from) \; \mathsf{promote}(0))
```

LeakyNats

```
\begin{array}{l} \langle \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{leakyNats};\emptyset\rangle\\ &\stackrel{\overline{0}}{\Longrightarrow} & \left\langle \mathsf{adv}\,l_1';\,l_1\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{leakyNats},\,l_1'\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{map}\,(\mathsf{box}\,\lambda x.x+\overline{1})\,(\mathsf{adv}\,l_1)\,\right\rangle\\ \\ &\stackrel{\overline{1}}{\Longrightarrow} & \left\langle \mathsf{adv}\,l_2^3;\,l_2^0\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{leakyNats},\,l_1^1\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{map}\,(\mathsf{box}\,\lambda x.x+\overline{1})\,(\mathsf{adv}\,l_2^0),\,\right\rangle\\ \\ &\stackrel{\overline{1}}{\Longrightarrow} & \left\langle \mathsf{adv}\,l_2^3;\,l_2^0\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{step},\,\quad l_3^1\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{map}\,(\mathsf{box}\,\lambda x.x+\overline{1})\,(\mathsf{adv}\,l_2^0),\,\right\rangle\\ \\ &\stackrel{\overline{2}}{\Longrightarrow} & \left\langle \mathsf{adv}\,l_3^5;\,l_3^0\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{leakyNats},\,l_3^1\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{map}\,(\mathsf{box}\,\lambda x.x+\overline{1})\,(\mathsf{adv}\,l_3^0),\,\right\rangle\\ \\ &\stackrel{\overline{2}}{\Longrightarrow} & \left\langle \mathsf{adv}\,l_3^5;\,l_3^2\mapsto \mathsf{unbox}\,\mathsf{step},\,\quad l_3^1\mapsto \mathsf{adv}\,l_3^2\,(\mathsf{adv}\,(\mathsf{tail}\,(\overline{0}::l_3^1)))\,\right.\\ \\ &\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ \end{array}
```

where step = $\operatorname{fix} f.\lambda s.\operatorname{unbox} (\operatorname{box} \lambda n.n + \overline{1}) (\operatorname{head} s) :: (f \circledast \operatorname{tail} s).$