School of Computer Science – Coursework Issue Sheet

Session	2024/2025	Semester	Autumn
Module Name	Autonomous Robotic Systems	Code	COMP4082
Module Convenor(s) (CW Convenor in Bold)	Tomas Maul		

Coursework Name	Robotics Labs	Weight	100%
Deliverable (a brief description of what is to be handed-in; e.g. 'software', 'report', 'presentation', etc.)	2 Demonstrations.2 Reports + Software		
Format	 Task 1 and 2 demonstrations: mini-viva/Q&A during lab sessions. Task 1 report. pdf submitted to Moodle. Task 2 report: pdf submitted to Moodle. Task 2 software: zipped folder submitted to Moodle. 		

Issue Date	29/09/24	
Submission Date	 Demonstrations: throughout the lab sessions. No coursework conflict. Reports and code: please refer to the module's Moodle page. 	
Submission Mechanism	The reports must be submitted as PDF files via Moodle.	
Late Policy (default of 21 days of the published submission date will apply, if blank)	A late penalty of 5% per calendar day (excluding weekends) will be applied.	
Feedback Date	 Reports: within 2 weeks of the submission date. Demos: within a week, or earlier. 	
Feedback Mechanism	The module convenor will e-mail students their marks, broken down according to the marking criteria, with additional comments.	

Instructions	Instructions can be found in the coursework guide, which has been uploaded to Moodle.
Assessment Criteria	Demo 1
(with attachment if required)	Evidence of understanding of the base code. Evidence of solid understanding of literature, gaps, questions, and innovation.
	Demo 2
	Evidence of understanding of the base code. Good explanation of gaps, question, experimental design, results, analyses, and conclusions. Solid argumentation vis-à-vis informedness, innovation, inventiveness and impactfullness. Strong justifications and arguments. Clear communication.

Both Demos

- 1st: answers demonstrate extensive understanding, deep insights and creative mind-set.
- 2:1: answers demonstrate thorough understanding and some insight.
- 2:2: competent answers demonstrating good understanding of the implementation.
- 3rd: adequate answers covering all specified topics at a basic level of understanding.
- F: lack of or inadequate answers/discussion.

Reports

1st an excellent, well-written report demonstrating extensive understanding and good insight.

- 2:1 a comprehensive, well-written report demonstrating thorough understanding and some insight.
- 2:2 a competent report demonstrating good understanding of the implementation.

3rd an adequate report covering all specified topics at a basic level of understanding.

F an inadequate report failing to cover the specified topics.

Module Convenor: I confirm that feedback was done on	Signature:
Faculty Office: I confirm receipt of coursework samples on	-
Signature:	Name: