Kingdom of Cambodia

Nation Religion King

Institute of Technology of Cambodia

Department of Applied Mathematics and statistics

Final project

Topic: Stochastic Linear Programming

Course: Optimization

Group 5

Members	ID	POINT
HAY Pechmanea	e20230391	…………
CHHAY Lyveng	e20230135	…………
KHON Solida	e20230142	…………
KHORN Chanraksmey	e20231232	…………
MET Sakvisal	e20230330	…………

Course Teacher: Dr. LIN Mongkolsery

Instructor (TD Teacher): Mr. MUTH Boravy

Submission date: 22/Jan/2026

Academic year 2025 – 2026

Table of Contents

I. Introduction

Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP) is an extension of traditional linear programming (LP) that deals with decision-making under uncertainty. Unlike deterministic LP, where all parameters are known and fixed, SLP incorporates randomness or uncertainty in one or more of the parameters of the optimization model. This makes it a powerful tool for solving real-world problems where future conditions are uncertain, such as in supply chain management, finance, energy production, and transportation.

SLP is often used to model situations where certain variables (such as costs, demands, or processing times) are not known with certainty at the time the decision is made. Instead, they are described by probability distributions, reflecting the likelihood of various outcomes. The goal of SLP is to find an optimal solution that performs well on average, considering these uncertainties.

II. Background or History

The history of Stochastic Linear Programming can be traced back to the mid-20th century, as mathematical optimization became more widely applied in fields such as operations research and economics. Early work in the field of optimization assumed that all parameters were known and fixed. However, as real-world problems became more complex, it became clear that decision-makers often faced situations where some parameters were uncertain or unpredictable.

In the 1950s and 1960s, the field of stochastic programming began to take shape. Researchers like Dantzig, Wolfe, and Charnes started exploring how to incorporate uncertainty into optimization models. Their work laid the foundation for modern SLP. Dantzig is often credited with the early development of stochastic programming methods, particularly his work on the "two-stage stochastic programming model", which addresses decisions made in two stages: one with known parameters and the other with uncertain ones.

In the 1970s and 1980s, the development of computational algorithms for solving SLP models led to its growing use in practice. Stochastic programming algorithms like Sample Average Approximation (SAA) and Monte Carlo simulation were developed to solve these complex models numerically. These techniques allowed SLP to be applied to larger and more complex problems, such as in inventory management, financial portfolio optimization, and energy planning.

Today, Stochastic Linear Programming is a well-established branch of optimization theory and is used extensively in fields where decisions must be made with uncertain future information. Modern algorithms and computational tools have made SLP models more accessible, and they are now commonly used to tackle large-scale problems in both the private and public sectors.

III. Motivation of Method

Optimization methods are widely used to support decision-making in various fields such as engineering, economics, finance, and management. However, many real-world decision problems are characterized by uncertainty. Parameters such as demand, cost, supply, and resource availability often cannot be predicted accurately in advance. This uncertainty makes decision-making challenging and limits the effectiveness of traditional deterministic optimization approaches. The motivation for Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP) arises from the need to address these limitations and to provide more realistic and reliable decision-support tools.

3.1 Limitations of Deterministic Linear Programming

Traditional Linear Programming (LP) assumes that all parameters in the optimization model are known with certainty and remain constant over time. While this assumption simplifies modeling and computation, it is often unrealistic in practical applications. In many real-world situations, decision-makers must act without knowing future outcomes.

For example, in supply chain management, customer demand may vary unexpectedly. In energy planning, renewable energy production depends heavily on weather conditions. In finance, market returns are uncertain and fluctuate over time. When deterministic LP models are applied to such problems, the solutions obtained may become infeasible or suboptimal once uncertainty is realized.

As a result, deterministic LP often produces solutions that are too optimistic and fail to account for risk. This limitation motivates the need for optimization methods that can explicitly consider uncertainty rather than ignoring it.

3.2 The Need to Incorporate Uncertainty in Decision-Making

In real-life decision-making, many decisions must be made before uncertain events occur. For instance, companies must decide production quantities before knowing actual demand, and investors must allocate funds without knowing future market performance. Ignoring uncertainty in such situations can lead to poor decisions and significant losses.

Stochastic Linear Programming addresses this issue by modeling uncertainty using probability distributions or discrete scenarios. Each scenario represents a possible future outcome, and probabilities are assigned to reflect their likelihood. This approach allows decision-makers to evaluate different possible futures and assess the expected performance of their decisions.

The motivation behind SLP is to move from “best-case” planning to expected-value-based planning, where decisions are evaluated based on their performance across multiple scenarios rather than a single assumed outcome.

3.3 Risk Reduction and Robust Decision-Making

Another important motivation for using Stochastic Linear Programming is risk reduction. Deterministic optimization models may lead to decisions that perform very well under assumed conditions but perform poorly when conditions change. This exposes decision-makers to high levels of risk.

SLP helps reduce this risk by considering a range of possible outcomes and optimizing decisions based on expected performance. Instead of focusing on one scenario, SLP balances performance across all scenarios. This leads to more robust solutions that are less sensitive to uncertainty.

By incorporating uncertainty directly into the model, SLP supports better risk management and helps decision-makers avoid extreme losses under unfavorable conditions.

3.4 Alignment with Real-World Decision Processes

Real-world decision-making is often sequential. Initial decisions are made with limited information, and corrective actions are taken after uncertainty is revealed. Traditional LP models do not reflect this decision structure.

Stochastic Linear Programming, particularly two-stage models, is motivated by the need to mirror this real-world process. First-stage decisions are made before uncertainty is resolved, while second-stage (recourse) decisions allow adjustments after outcomes become known. This structure makes SLP more realistic and applicable to practical problems.

Because of this alignment with real decision-making processes, SLP is especially suitable for applications such as production planning, inventory management, energy systems, finance, and agriculture.

3.5 Summary of the Motivation

In summary, the motivation for Stochastic Linear Programming arises from the limitations of deterministic linear programming, the need to model uncertainty explicitly, and the desire to make robust decisions under risk. SLP provides a powerful framework for handling uncertain parameters, reducing risk, and improving decision quality in complex and uncertain environments. These advantages make SLP an essential tool for solving real-world optimization problems where uncertainty cannot be ignored.

IV. Methodology

The core idea behind SLP is to structure decisions in stages, reflecting when information becomes available. The most common framework is Two-Stage Stochastic Programming:

4.1 Core Idea and Structure

The fundamental concept behind Two-Stage Stochastic Linear Programming is the sequential nature of decisions and information revelation. Real-world decisions often follow this pattern:

1) First-Stage Decisions (Here-and-Now): Decisions that must be made immediately, before uncertainty is resolved.
2) Uncertainty Realization: Random events occur (demand is observed, prices change, weather conditions materialize).
3) Second-Stage Decisions (Wait-and-See/Recourse): Decisions made after uncertainty is revealed, often as corrective actions.

This structure mimics real business scenarios where initial commitments (investments, contracts, production) are made in advance, then adjustments are made as actual conditions unfold.

4.2 Mathematical Representation

Basic Components

Let's define the elements formally:

- x: First-stage decision vector (size n_1)
  Examples: Production quantities, capacity investments, contract signings
- ξ: Random vector representing uncertain parameters
  Examples: Demand, prices, exchange rates, yields
- $y(\xi)$: Second-stage decision vector (size n_2)
  Examples: Additional purchases, emergency production, inventory adjustments
- c: Cost vector for first-stage decisions
- $q(\xi)$: Cost vector for second-stage decisions (may depend on ξ)
- A, b: First-stage constraint matrix and right-hand side
- $T(\xi)$, $W(\xi)$, $h(\xi)$: Second-stage parameters that may depend on ξ

Standard Two-Stage Formulation

The general formulation is:

Minimize:

$$z = c^T x + \mathbb{E}_\xi[Q(x,\xi)]$$

Subject to: $$Ax = b, x \geq 0$$

Where $Q(x,\xi)$ is the optimal value of the second-stage problem:

Minimize:

$$q(\xi)^T y(\xi)$$

Subject to:

$$W(\xi)y(\xi) = h(\xi) - T(\xi)x, y(\xi) \geq 0$$

- Key Interpretation
- $c^T x$: Immediate, deterministic cost of first-stage decisions
- $\mathbb{E}[Q(x,\xi)]$: Expected future cost of recourse actions
- $h(\xi) - T(\xi)x$: Represents how first-stage decisions affect the resources available in the second stage
- $W(\xi)$: Technology matrix converting second-stage decisions into outcomes

Detailed Conceptual Steps

Step 1: Identify Uncertain Parameters and Define Scenarios

Rather than continuous probability distributions, practical SLP typically uses a discrete scenario approach:

1) Identify uncertain parameters: Determine which inputs are stochastic
2) Create scenario set: Generate S possible realizations $\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_s$
3) Assign probabilities: $p_1, p_2, ..., p_s$ where $\sum p_s = 1$

Example: For demand uncertainty:

- Scenario 1: Low demand (30% probability)
- Scenario 2: Medium demand (50% probability)
- Scenario 3: High demand (20% probability)

Step 2: Formulate Individual Scenario Problems

For each scenario s, define what would happen if that scenario occurs
Second-stage problem for scenario s:
Minimize: $q_s^T y_s$
Subject to: $W_s y_s = h_s - T_s x$, $y_s \geq 0$
This represents the optimal recourse action if scenario s materializes, given first-stage decisions x.
Step 3: Combine into Deterministic Equivalent
The Deterministic Equivalent Program (DEP) combines all scenarios:
Minimize:

$$c^T x + \sum_{s=1}^{S} p_s \, (q_s^T y_s)$$

Subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} &Ax = b \\ &T_s x + W_s y_s = h_s, \forall s = 1, \dots, S \\ &x \geq 0, y_s \geq 0, \forall s \end{aligned}$$

Problem Characteristics

- Size explosion: The DEP has $(n_1 + S \cdot n_2)$ variables and $(m_1 + S \cdot m_2)$ constraints
- Block structure: Constraints have a dual block-angular structure
- Non-anticipativity: The first-stage variable x must be the same for all scenarios

4.3 Computational Methods for Large Problems

Due to the potentially enormous size of the DEP (thousands of scenarios), specialized algorithms are essential:

1. Decomposition Methods

L-Shaped Method (Benders Decomposition):
Repeat until convergence:
1. Solve master problem (first-stage + cuts)
2. For each scenario, solve subproblem
3. Add optimality cuts to master problem

Progressive Hedging:

- Works with scenario-dependent first-stage variables
- Iteratively enforces non-anticipativity through penalty terms

2. Sampling Methods

Sample Average Approximation (SAA):
1. Generate N independent scenarios
2. Solve the approximate problem
3. Estimate true optimality gap using statistical methods

Internal Sampling (Stochastic Decomposition):
- Generates new scenarios during algorithm execution
- Builds statistical approximations of recourse function
- Specialized Structures

- For problems with specific structures:
- Network flow problems: Can exploit network structure
- Simple recourse: W = [I, -I] (underage/overage costs)
- Complete recourse: W is fixed, not dependent on ξ

4.4 Practical Example: Production Planning

Problem Setup

A company must decide production quantities x_1, x_2 before knowing demand d. After demand is known, they can:

- Sell excess at discount price
- Use emergency production at higher cost

Mathematical Model

First-stage (production decision):

Minimize: $5x_1 + 7x_2 + \mathbb{E}[Q(x,d)]$

Subject to: $2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 100$ (capacity)

Second-stage (recourse for scenario s):

$Q(x,d_s)$ = minimize: $-3y_{1s} + 10y_{2s}$

Subject to: $y_{1s} \leq x_1 + x_2 - d_s$ (excess inventory)

$y_{2s} \geq d_s - (x_1 + x_2)$ (shortage)

$y_{1s}, y_{2s} \geq 0$

Scenario Representation

- $d_1 = 30$ (p=0.3): Low demand
- $d_2 = 50$ (p=0.5): Medium demand
- $d_3 = 70$ (p=0.2): High demand

DEP Formulation

Minimize: $5x_1 + 7x_2 + 0.3(-3y_{11} + 10y_{21}) + 0.5(-3y_{12} + 10y_{22}) + 0.2(-3y_{13} + 10y_{23})$

Subject to:

1st stage: $2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 100$

Scenario 1: $y_{11} \leq x_1 + x_2 - 30$, $y_{21} \geq 30 - (x_1 + x_2)$

Scenario 2: $y_{12} \leq x_1 + x_2 - 50$, $y_{22} \geq 50 - (x_1 + x_2)$

Scenario 3: $y_{13} \leq x_1 + x_2 - 70$, $y_{23} \geq 70 - (x_1 + x_2)$

All variables ≥ 0

4.5 Key Advantages of This Approach

1) Realism: Captures sequential decision-making under uncertainty
2) Flexibility: Accommodates various recourse actions
3) Risk Management: Expected value optimization considers all scenarios
4) Information Structure: Respects when information becomes available
5) Computational Tractability: Despite large size, decomposition methods enable solution

4.6 Common Variations and Extensions

Multi-Stage Stochastic Programming
Extends to $T > 2$ stages with nested decision structure:

- Decisions at each stage depend on information revealed so far
- Represented as scenario trees rather than simple scenarios

Risk Measures Beyond Expectation
Replace expected value with:

- Conditional Value-at-Risk (CVaR)
- Mean-risk combinations
- Chance constraints ($\Pr\{\text{constraint violation}\} \leq \alpha$)

Robust Optimization Alternative
When probabilities are unknown:
- Minimize worst-case cost over uncertainty set
- More conservative than stochastic programming

4.7 Implementation Considerations

1) Scenario Generation: Critical for model accuracy
2) Computational Resources: Large-scale problems require specialized solvers
3) Solution Interpretation: First-stage decisions are implemented; second-stage represents contingency plans
4) Sensitivity Analysis: How solutions change with probability estimates

V. Application

Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP) is widely used in real-world problems where uncertainty plays an important role in decision making. In practice, some parameters such as product demand, costs, or production output cannot be predicted accurately in advance. Many research studies have shown that SLP is highly effective in addressing these problems by using scenario-based models that represent different possible situations. Therefore, this section aims to present the main application areas of SLP in real-world practice.

5.1 Supply Chain Management in Stochastic Linear Programming

a. What is supply chain management?

Supply chain management involves planning and controlling:
- Production
- Transportation
- Storage
- Distribution to customers
- Key questions companies face:
- How much should we produce?

- How much should we ship?
- How do we minimize cost and risk?

b. The problem of Uncertainty

In real life, supply chains face uncertainty, such as:

- Customer demand is unknown in advance
- Transportation costs may change
- Delays in supply may occur
- If we use Traditional Linear Programming assumes demand is known. But Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP) considers multiple future scenarios

c. Real numerical example using SLP

- Problem Scenario
- A company produces one product.
- Costs and Revenue
- Production cost = $5 per unit
- Selling price = $10 per unit
- Unsold products have no value
- Uncertain Demand (Two Scenarios)

Scenario	Demand	Probability
Low demand	80 units	0.4
High demand	120 units	0.6

- Decision Question

How many units should the company produce to maximize expected profit?

- Solution

x = number of units produced
Units sold = min (x, 80)
$\text{Profit}_1 = 10 \times \min(x, 80) - 5x$
Units sold = min (x, 120)
$\text{Profit}_2 = 10 \times \min(x, 120) - 5x$
Expected Profit=0.4 × Profit1 + 0.6 × Profit2

Case 1: Produce 80 units
$\text{Profit}_1 = 10\times80 - 5\times80 = 400$
$\text{Profit}_2 = 10\times80 - 5\times80 = 400$
Expected Profit = $400

Case 2: Produce 120 units
$\text{Profit}_1 = 10\times80 - 5\times120 = 200$
$\text{Profit}_2 = 10\times120 - 5\times120 = 600$
Expected Profit
$= 0.4\times200 + 0.6\times600$
= $440

- Conclusion

  Producing 120 units is the better decision because:
    - It gives a higher expected profit
    - It considers both low and high demand scenarios

  This is how Stochastic Linear Programming works in supply chain management: decisions are made by considering uncertainty and probabilities.

5.2 Energy Planning in Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP)

a. What is Energy Planning?

Energy planning is about deciding:

- How much electricity to produce
- Which energy sources to use (coal, gas, solar, wind)
- How to meet future electricity demand at minimum cost
- These decisions are difficult because future conditions are uncertain.

b. Sources of Uncertainty in Energy Planning

Energy systems face several uncertainties, such as:

- Electricity demand changes (day/night, season)
- Renewable energy output is unpredictable
- Solar depends on sunlight
- Wind depends on weather
- Fuel prices may fluctuate

This is why SLP is very useful in energy planning.

c. Why SLP is Used in Energy Planning?

Stochastic Linear Programming helps energy planners:

- Consider multiple demand scenarios
- Assign probabilities to each scenario
- Make decisions that work well on average, not just in one case

d. Real Numerical Example Using SLP

- Problem Scenario
    - An electricity company must decide how much power to generate for tomorrow.
- Costs
- Generation cost = \$20 per MWh
- Selling price = \$50 per MWh
- Any unmet demand must be bought from emergency sources at \$70 per MWh

- Uncertain Electricity Demand

• Scenario	• Demand (MWh)	• Probability
• Low demand	• 100 MWh	• 0.5
• High demand	• 150 MWh	• 0.5

- Solution
- x = amount of electricity generated (MWh)
- Scenario 1: Low Demand (100 MWh)
- Electricity sold = min (x, 100)
- Emergency purchase = max (0, 100 − x)
- Profit1 = $50 \cdot \min(x, 100) - 20x - 70 \cdot \max(0, 100 - x)$
- Scenario 2: High Demand (150 MWh)
- Electricity sold = min (x, 150)
- Emergency purchase = max (0, 150 − x)
- Profit2 = $50 \cdot \min(x, 150) - 20x - 70 \cdot \max(0, 150 - x)$
- Expected Profit (SLP Concept)
- Expected Profit = 0.5 × Profit1 + 0.5 × Profit2
- Testing Two Energy Generation Plans
- Case 1: Generate 100 MWh
- Scenario 1:
- $Profit_1 = 50\times100 - 20\times100 = 3000$
- Scenario 2:
- $Profit_2 = 50\times100 - 20\times100 - 70\times50$
- $= 5000 - 2000 - 3500 = -500$
- Expected Profit = $0.5(3000) + 0.5(-500) = 1250$
- Case 2: Generate 150 MWh
- Scenario 1:
    - $Profit_1 = 50\times100 - 20\times150 = 5000 - 3000 = 2000$
- Scenario 2:
    - $Profit_2 = 50\times150 - 20\times150 = 7500 - 3000 = 4500$
- Expected Profit = $0.5(2000) + 0.5(4500) = 3250$
- Conclusion
- Generating 150 MWh is the better decision because:
    - It avoids expensive emergency purchases
    - It gives a higher expected profit
    - It considers both low and high demand scenarios
    -

5.3 Finance in Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP)

a. What Does Finance Mean Here?

In finance, companies and investors must decide:

- How to invest money
- How to balance return and risk
- How to deal with uncertain future market conditions

These decisions are difficult because future returns are unknown.

b. Uncertainty in Finance

Common uncertainties include:

- Stock prices may go up or down
- Returns on investment are unpredictable
- Market conditions can change suddenly

Because of this uncertainty, SLP is widely used in finance.

c. Why Use SLP in Finance?

Stochastic Linear Programming helps investors:

- Consider multiple market scenarios
- Assign probabilities to each scenario
- Choose investment decisions with lower risk and better expected performance

d. Real Numerical Example Using SLP

- Problem Scenario
  - An investor has \$100,000 to invest in one risky asset.
- Investment Options
- Invest all money in the asset
- Keep unused money as cash (no return)
- Uncertain Market Returns

Scenario	Return Rate	Probability
Bad market	-5%	0.4
Good market	+15%	0.6

- Solution

x = amount invested in the risky asset (USD)
$0 \leq x \leq 100{,}000$
Scenario 1: Bad Market (−5%):
Profit1 = $-0.05x$
Scenario 2: Good Market (+15%):
Profit2 = $0.15x$
Expected Profit (SLP Concept):
Expected Profit = $0.4(-0.05x) + 0.6(0.15x)$
Expected Profit = $-0.02x + 0.09x = 0.07x$
Testing Two Investment Decisions

Case 1: Invest \$50,000
Expected Profit = $0.07 \times 50{,}000 = 3{,}500$

Case 2: Invest \$100,000
Expected Profit = $0.07 \times 100{,}000 = 7{,}000$

- **Conclusion**

Investing \$100,000 gives the highest expected profit, but also carries higher risk.
This shows how SLP works in finance:
It does not predict the future
It helps choose decisions that perform best on average across scenarios

5.4 Agriculture in Stochastic Linear Programming

a. What is Agriculture Planning?

In agriculture, farmers and planners must decide:

- How much land to allocate to each crop
- How to use water, fertilizer, and labor
- How to plan production before knowing the weather
- These decisions must be made before uncertainty is resolved.

b. Uncertainty in Agriculture

Agriculture is highly uncertain due to:

- Weather conditions (rainfall, drought)
- Crop yield variability
- Climate changes

Because of these uncertainties, SLP is very useful in agriculture

c. Why Use SLP in Agriculture?

Stochastic Linear Programming helps farmers:

- Consider multiple weather scenarios
- Assign probabilities to each scenario
- Reduce losses and improve expected income

d. Real Numerical Example Using SLP

- Problem Scenario

  A farmer has 100 hectares of land and must decide how much to plant with rice.
- Economic Data

  Cost of planting rice = \$200 per hectare

  Selling revenue = \$500 per hectare (if yield is good)

  Poor weather reduces revenue to \$300 per hectare
- Uncertain Weather Scenarios

Scenario	Weather	Revenue per hectare	Probability
Bad weather	Drought	\$300	0.3
Good weather	Normal rain	\$500	0.7

- Solution

x = hectares planted with rice

$0 \leq x \leq 100$

Scenario 1: Bad Weather

Profit1 = $(300 - 200)\ x = 100x$

Scenario 2: Good Weather

Profit2 = $(500 - 200)\ x = 300x$

Expected Profit (SLP Concept)

Expected Profit = $0.3\ (100x) + 0.7\ (300x)$

Expected Profit = $30x + 210x = 240x$

Testing Two Land Allocation Decisions

Case 1: Plant 50 hectares
Expected Profit = 240 × 50 = 12,000

Case 2: Plant 100 hectares
Expected Profit = 240 × 100 = 24,000

- **Conclusion**
  Planting 100 hectares gives the highest expected profit, even with weather uncertainty.
  This shows how SLP helps in agriculture:
  - Decisions are made before knowing the weather
  - Multiple scenarios are considered
  - Risk is reduced by planning with probabilities
  -

VI. Lesson learn

Stochastic Linear Programming (SLP) is a powerful mathematical optimization technique used to solve problems that involve uncertainty. It is applied in various fields such as finance, supply chain management, energy systems, and decision-making under uncertainty. This part highlights the lessons learned from implementing SLP models and the challenges encountered throughout its application. It offers valuable insights into the complexities and practical considerations of working with SLP, which can be beneficial for researchers, practitioners, and organizations utilizing this method for decision-making.

The Importance of Modeling Uncertainty Properly

One of the key lessons learned in implementing SLP is the critical role of accurately modeling uncertainty. The quality of the SLP solution depends heavily on how well the uncertainty is represented. Inaccurate or overly simplistic assumptions about probability distributions or scenario trees can lead to suboptimal decisions.

- Risk Aversion: One lesson is that different decision-makers exhibit varying degrees of risk aversion, which must be incorporated into the model. For instance, decision-makers may not just aim for the expected value of the objective function but may also want to minimize risk.
- Scenario Generation: Generating a representative set of scenarios is crucial. While it is tempting to use a small number of scenarios, doing so may lead to an oversimplified representation of uncertainty. The use of a larger set of realistic scenarios can provide more reliable results, but it also increases computational complexity.

Computational Complexity and Solution Methods

SLP problems can become computationally intense as the number of scenarios increases. The challenge lies in finding efficient algorithms that scale well with problem size. Solving large SLP problems requires robust optimization techniques, such as decomposition methods (e.g., Benders Decomposition) or sample average approximation (SAA), to manage the complexity.

- Decomposition Techniques: These methods break down large problems into smaller, more manageable subproblems. A key lesson is that selecting the appropriate decomposition method depends on the structure of the specific problem being solved.

- Sample Average Approximation (SAA): This method approximates the expected value of the objective function by using a large number of sampled scenarios. While it offers an efficient way to handle uncertainty, its performance is sensitive to the number of samples used.

Balancing Accuracy and Computational Efficiency

One of the significant trade-offs encountered in SLP is the balance between the accuracy of the solution and the computational time. High accuracy often requires more scenarios, which in turn increases the computational load. The lesson here is that striking a balance is essential.

- Approximate Solutions: In many real-world cases, it is impractical to achieve exact solutions due to computational constraints. Instead, approximations or heuristics, such as using relaxation techniques or primal-dual methods, can be employed to find near-optimal solutions efficiently.
- Parallelization: To address the computational burden, utilizing parallel processing and high-performance computing systems is becoming increasingly important.

Flexibility in Model Formulation

Another valuable lesson learned is the flexibility of SLP in formulating complex real-world problems. Stochastic programming allows decision-makers to model problems with multiple layers of uncertainty and recourse actions, which cannot be easily captured in traditional deterministic optimization models.

- Multistage Problems: Real-world problems often involve multiple decision stages. A lesson here is that multi-stage SLP models, where decisions are made over time in the presence of uncertainty, offer greater flexibility. However, the challenge lies in formulating and solving these problems efficiently.
- Nonlinearities: While SLP typically assumes linear relationships, many real-world problems involve nonlinearities. Incorporating such nonlinearity in SLP models often requires advanced solution techniques, such as stochastic integer programming or mixed-integer programming.

VII. Challenges in Stochastic Linear Programming

7.1 High Dimensionality of the Problem

One of the most significant challenges in SLP is the high dimensionality that arises from modeling uncertainty. As the number of scenarios increases, the number of decision variables and constraints can grow exponentially. This leads to curse of dimensionality, where the problem becomes intractable for large-scale instances.

- Solution: To mitigate this, scenario reduction techniques can be employed to reduce the number of scenarios while maintaining the accuracy of the solution. Additionally, robust optimization techniques can be considered to simplify the problem while accounting for uncertainty.

7.2 Scenario Generation and Representation

Generating an accurate representation of uncertainty is a challenge. The model's accuracy heavily depends on the scenario tree that represents the future states of the system.

- Challenge: Deciding on the granularity and structure of the scenario tree is not straightforward, and a poorly constructed tree can lead to misleading results. Overfitting or underfitting the uncertainty can distort the optimal solution.

- Solution: A well-calibrated scenario generation process using historical data, expert judgment, or Monte Carlo simulations can help create more realistic scenarios. Additionally, using adaptive sampling techniques may improve scenario generation.

7.3 Real-Time Decision Making

SLP models often assume that the entire problem is solved in a batch mode, but real-world applications often require real-time decision-making. The challenge here is that decisions must be made quickly, and data availability is limited.

- Solution: Online and adaptive optimization techniques that update the model as new information becomes available are necessary for real-time decision-making. Using dynamic programming or stochastic dynamic programming can also help handle such problems effectively.

7.4 Integration with Existing Systems

Integrating SLP models with existing decision support systems or operational processes is another challenge. Many organizations use legacy systems that are not designed to handle the complexities of stochastic optimization.

- Solution: Developing user-friendly interfaces and integrated software platforms can facilitate the adoption of SLP models. Additionally, ensuring that these models are compatible with real-time data and decision-making tools is crucial.

VIII. References

- STOCHASTIC MODELING AND CONTROL Edited by Ivan Ganchev Ivanov
- Conceptual of Stochastic: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WJhUUbjTWho
- Optimizations and Programming *Linear, Nonlinear, Dynamic, Stochastic and Applications with Matlab by Abdelkhalak El Hami Bouchaib Radi*
- *Linear and Nonlinear Programming by David G. Luenberger Yinyu Ye*