

Abstract Review Form

Article Title: Blockchain Account Technology: A Systematic Literature Review of Security, Privacy and Mass Adoption in Human Digital Future

Instructions: Please choose \checkmark whether this article should be rejected or accepted.

Recommendation for the Program Committee: This article uses a double-blind review.

☐ Reject: The content is not appropriate for the conference or has little merit.

☒ Accept: The content, presentation, and writing meet the professional norms.

❖ Evaluation (Please evaluate the abstract and grade from 1 to 5.)	
5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Average 2 = Below Average 1 = Poor	
Items	Grade
1. Topic: The article topic is related to the conference theme or subtheme(s).	5
2. Contribution: The research project makes a contribution to the existing knowledge.	4
3. Completeness: The abstract covers the major parts of the research project.	3
4. Conciseness: The abstract is concise and does not contain excess wordiness or unnecessary information.	5
5. Clarity and cohesiveness: The abstract is well-organized, clear, and it flows smoothly.	4
Total	21
Comments: Please provide some brief comments on the aspects below.	
❖ Strengths <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is directly relevant to the conference’s digital innovation sub-theme. - The study provides a compressive analysis of various blockchain account models and evaluates the designs and trade-offs in terms of security, cost, and mass adoption with statistical data. 	
❖ Weaknesses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract does not provide sufficient details regarding the 	

methodologies used for the systematic literature review, e.g., inclusion and exclusion criteria, databases, and search terms, etc.

- The abstract does not explicitly state the use of a systematic literature review as the selected methodology for the study.
- The limitations of the study are not addressed or discussed in the abstract.

❖ **Suggestions to Author/s**

- The author(s) could provide more details on the methodologies and discuss limitations of the study.
- Trivial comments (typo correction):
 - 1st paragraphaccount is paramount → ... accounts is paramount.
 - 3rd paragraphconvenience(mass..) → ... convenience (mass...)

Note: A total score of 20 or above is needed for acceptance for submission.