

# Literature review on haptic simulation in surgical training

Chiara Saporetti, s4798994

Robotics Engineering

University of Genova

Supervisors: Maura Casadio, Serena Ricci

2021 June

**Abstract**—In the last few years, haptic simulations for training purposes have attracted considerable attention. They allow to increase the number of sensory channels during the learning phase, to reproduce different scenarios and to repeat the same operation multiple times. Some medical tasks are especially useful to be reproduced in simulation, since they must be executed often. This literature review studies some of these tasks: needle insertion, hernia palpation, incision and suture. A special focus is given on the possibility of performing them with the haptic device and software that will be used in my thesis project (and which are, respectively, the Geomagic Touch and the Sofa Framework).  
**Index Terms** – Haptics, Surgery Simulation, SOFA Framework, Geomagic Touch.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Most medical procedures involve the use of tactile sense to feel and manipulate tissues and body parts. In order to acquire the sufficient experience needed to perform the procedures correctly, students must repeat the same operation multiple times.

This implies that practice is key when it comes to learning the right motor skills needed by doctors and surgeons [1]. For this reason, various teaching options have been tested throughout the years (such as animals, mannequins, VR) but all of them have some drawbacks such as failing to emulate the real human body feeling.

A possible optimal training alternative can be found in haptic devices coupled with virtual simulations. They allow to interact with the virtual environment by having both a visual and a tactile feedback, thus increasing the number of sensory channels through which doctors can learn.

They also offer a virtual environment that can show multiple real-case scenarios with different levels of difficulty, and allow the user to repeat the same operation multiple times.

In particular, haptics in the medical field usually recreate the kinesthetic touch ([2]), such as forces and torques that are usually sensed in the muscles, tendons, and joints. To do so, the structure of the haptic device is that of a graspable grounded device that allows the user to push on it (and be pushed back) through a hand held tool. An example of a very common haptic device used in the medical simulation field is the Phantom Omni.

The aim of my thesis is to create a surgical haptic

simulation to be used as a teaching method. The software that I will be using is Sofa Framework, while the hardware is the Geomagic Touch (previously called Phantom Omni).

In particular, this literature review focuses on some of the tasks that can be performed on the skin: needle insertion, suturing, incision and palpation. An important goal of this paper is also to study which of these tasks better exploit my available instruments.

## II. STATE OF THE ART

The first section of this paper will cover the state of the art of the skin and tool models. The next sections are instead dedicated to the study of the medical task that are normally reproduced in simulation due to their usefulness.

### A. Skin and tools models

1) *The 3D models*: In order to perform any kind of simulation relative to the skin, the physical model of the tissue and of the tools must be developed. This means defining the 3D model of the objects and developing an algorithm that computes the successive positions and velocities in time and space of the object's points.

The basic approach is the discretization of the *skin* volume into sub-models to easily solve computational mechanics. In particular, two methods can be found in the literature: the Finite Element Method (geometry based) and the Mass Spring System (physics based).

Both methods are based on the discretization of the domain into sub-regions called elements, but in MSS a particle mass is also assigned to each vertex of the element and a spring and damper are set along each edge to connect the mass particles.

LeDuc et Al. ([3]) model the skin as a linear mass-spring model in which they also place home springs to bring mass particles of the tissue back after displacement. In order to integrate the positions of the nodes after a displacement they use Euler's method under a quasi-static approximation to Newtonian physics.

Payandeh and Shi ([4]) also use a mass spring approach and a simple iterative explicit Euler method to update states of soft tissue.

Comas et Al ([5]) implement a CUDA-based nonlinear FEM into the SOFA open source framework, which is the program

that I am going to use. In particular, they impose the non linearity by choosing an anisotropic visco-hyperelastic model.

Together with the different methods for discretization, the number of skin layers also varies: Jayasudha et Al. ([6]) implement three layers (epidermis, dermis, subcutaneous layer) while Moreau et Al. ([7]), as well as Yang et Al. ([8]), implement one layer only. In general, the number of layers varies with the kind of task that must be performed and on the force feedback precision needed.

Regarding the *needle*, multiple simple models can be found in literature.

Payandeh and Shi ([4]) use a curved needle (suturing needle) while Choi et Al. ([9]) use a straight model. In general, the main idea is to use multiple segments: this kind of modelling makes it is possible to accurately identify which part of the needle is locally in contact with the tissue by checking the collisions of the single part ([9]).

A literature research was made for the *scalpel* too, but results were very similar to the ones of the needle so they are not reported here.

Some *thread* models were also analyzed.

LeDuc et Al. ([3]) model the thread as segments linked with springs. The home spring coefficient is set to  $K_h=0$  so that it moves with the rest of the skin. Cylinders are superimposed to the segments for a better visual effect.

Similarly, Payandeh and Shi ([4]) model it as a sequence of mass points linked by springs and Choi et Al. [9] use a sequence of segments linked by spherical joints.

Lenoir et Al ([10]) represent the thread curve as splines, using Catmull-Rom splines for their interpolation property and uniform cubic BSpline for their better continuity which makes them better for simulation.

In general, it can be modelled as a simple cylinder by setting the right parameters to make it deformable.

2) *The collision detection models*: After modelling the object, collision detection between objects must be taken into consideration too. If there was no collision detection, virtual objects would penetrate each other and give no force feedback to the haptic device.

As for the physical model, collision detection is also based on the idea of discretization, so that the collision can be detected on submodels instead of on the entire surfaces. This is usually done by filling in or covering the target objects as tightly as possible with bounding volumes.

These volumes can have different shapes. Both spheres ([4], [11]) and boxes are very common ([12]) but the choice of the shape (as well as the number of volumes) strongly depends on the object itself.

## B. The medical tasks

1) *Needle insertion into soft tissues*: Needle insertion can be divided into three different steps: pre-puncture, puncture, complete penetration. Each step produces different forces on

the needle ([7], [13], [8]). These forces are analyzed in the following.

*Pre-puncture phase*: There is a stiffness due to the elastic properties of the skin, which is distorted but doesn't get pierced yet. In the literature two main mathematical models of forces can be found for this phase.

An example of mathematical model for the forces can be a second order polynomial of the type  $F(x) = a_1x + a_2x^2$  (Okamura et Al. [14], [13], Yang et Al [8], Jayasudha et Al [6]) that recreates a non linear effect. In the equation,  $x$  is the needle displacement,  $d_1$  is the maximum displacement before skin is cut,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are constants to be determined (in [8]:  $a_1=0.0019$ ,  $a_2=0.0499$  and in [14]:  $a_1=0.0480$ ,  $a_2=0.0052$ , and  $a_1=0.0020$ ,  $a_2=0.0023$ ).

Choi et Al ([9]) develop a different approach for this phase. The idea is that two requirements must be met: the needle tip is in contact with the tissue, and it is moving in a way such that the direction of its velocity is crossing the axis of the needle at an appropriate angle  $\theta$ . After a threshold distance inside the skin, the skin is considered punctured. The force is then a resistive force that prevents the needle from entering the tissue and it is considered to be linearly proportional to the needle's penetration.

*Puncture and post-puncture phase*: The forces acting in these phase are ([7]):

- Cutting force: Yang et Al. ([8]) calculate this force by measuring the total force at this phase and subtracting the frictional force.
- Friction force: Asadian et Al. [15] address it with a Lugre model, a dynamic model based on the microscopic representation of irregular contact surfaces and elastic bristles. Similar models are used in other articles ([16],[13]).
- Clamping force: this force is used by Kikuuwe et Al. [17] and their model is adopted by Moreau et Al. ([7]). This force acts on the side of the needle shaft in the normal direction by the tissue that surrounds it and constrain the needle's movements. It is implemented as a virtual fixture.

A different approach for this phase is the one opted by Choi et Al. ([9]). In order to constrain the needle to stay on or move through the collided tissue element, an anchoring spring is created by using a distance joint to anchor the needle segment to the centroid of the tissue element. It prevents the thread from slipping through the tissue element, essentially modelling the frictional force between the thread and the insertion point. The frictional force that resists the needle and the thread from sliding is modelled as a constant.

Moreover, Moreau et Al. address this phase with a tracking-wall approach ([18]). This method consists of implementing a virtual wall that follows the needle's position, with a small position difference between them, to ensure a constant force during the injection. Once the needle stops its progression, the wall is smoothly updated to the needle's last position.

*Complete penetration:* in this case the only force that is present is the friction force, which can be modelled as in the previous step. For example, it may be written as a Fourier series [8].

2) *Hernia palpation:* There are few papers about this medical task performed with the Geomagic device. This is because palpation would need a different force feedback on each finger, and the device only allows one point of feedback. An example I found is from Ullrich and Kuhlen ([19]), who adapt the haptic devices with pads to provide real sensations. They perform dragging of the tissue and study the forces that are generated by using bimanual station of Geomagic Touch. They enable the use of two fingers.

3) *Incision:* This kind of simulation needs a scalpel and a skin patch. The skin can be represented with either surface or volumetric models. Volumetric (usually tetrahedra) are complex to handle when modified, but surface models cannot display the object's interior structure to show the result of a cut. A difficulty when simulating incision is that users expect to see the result of cutting as they move the instrument, without noticeable delay, and thus re-meshing must be performed as the cutting tool travels along its path. Here are reported some examples of incision found in the literature.

Zhang et Al. ([20]) create a MSS of the skin with tetrahedral surface mesh and additional meshes built in runtime to simulate depth. They implement different methods for cutting: pierce-in, slide-in: cut-into, cut-through. After cut through has ended, two different primitives of the skin are defined.

Zerbato et al ([21]) create a MSS of the skin that is based on matrix that stores positions, force and mass (update lasts 3ms). Calculations over this matrix are performed on the GPU. In particular, when cutting is done, they simply disable the spring contribution in that position.

Gutierrez et al ([22]) create two different models (superimposed on each other) by doing a XFEM / FEM model remapping. When collision is detected between the scalpel and the skin, they check for collision in the nearby tetrahedra. Visually, the internal meshes are created by connecting intersected points on the tetrahedra that have been cut. They use the Sofa framework and the Geomagic plugin.

4) *Suture action:* This is another typical medical task that can be simulated. It requires movements in the 3D space, so it exploits the 6 dof of the Geomagic Touch device and is therefore well suited to be simulated with it. Its simulation usually requires a patch of skin, a needle, a thread, and two haptic devices. Here are reported some examples of suture found in the literature.

LeDuc et Al ([3]) treat one of the nodes as a hole and connect this node to one of the nodes of the suture. When

applying force to the suture, since the node of the suture is joined to a node of the object, the two move together as one, and the rest of the object gets pulled along with it.

Payandeh and Shi ([4]) model the suture as a MSS that consists of a sequence of mass points laying on the centerline of the suture and connected together by various types of springs. The skin moves with suture if friction with suture is greater than spring force on node. Otherwise, it slides along it. They also add an example of unwanted event such as the ripping of the tissue, to make the simulation more realistic. They use a bimanual station of Geomagic Touch.

Choi et Al ([9]) model the interaction needle-skin as described in the needle insertion chapter. They use a bimanual station of Geomagic Touch.

Finally, Sung et Al ([23]) do not give a detailed description of their suture action, but they explain that they simulate five different suture procedures, by breaking the action into steps, which is good for both teaching and developing the simulation. They use a bimanual station of Geomagic Touch.

### III. CONCLUSIONS

After analyzing the state of the art of these medical tasks simulations and whether they can be performed with the Geomagic device, I restricted my options to Suture and Incision only.

The reason for excluding the Needle insertion option is because with the Geomagic Touch device it is hard to actually perceive the different kinds of forces relative to the skin layers.

I also rejected the Hernia palpation option because it is impossible, with one device only, to allow a different force feedback to the fingers of the user. It would be possible to do so by using two devices with 3D printed pads and a support for fingers, but it would still be difficult to correctly perceive the tissue.

On the other hand, both incision and suture exploit the potentialities of the Geomagic, since they need 6dof to move in the space.

### REFERENCES

- [1] (2015) A review of simulators with haptic devices for medical training. [Online]. Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26888655/>
- [2] (2018) Haptics: The present and future of artificial touch sensations. [Online]. Available: <https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev-control-060117-105043>
- [3] (2003) Toward modeling of a suturing task. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221474846\\_Toward\\_Modeling\\_of\\_a\\_Suturing\\_Task](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221474846_Toward_Modeling_of_a_Suturing_Task)
- [4] (2010) Interactive multi-modal suturing. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225152507\\_Interactive\\_multi-modal\\_suturing](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225152507_Interactive_multi-modal_suturing)
- [5] (2008) Efficient nonlinear fem for soft tissue modelling and its gpu implementation within the open source framework sofa. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/43523915\\_Efficient\\_Nonlinear\\_FEM\\_for\\_Soft\\_Tissue\\_Modelling\\_and\\_Its\\_GPU\\_Implementation\\_within\\_the\\_Open\\_Source\\_Framework\\_SOFA](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/43523915_Efficient_Nonlinear_FEM_for_Soft_Tissue_Modelling_and_Its_GPU_Implementation_within_the_Open_Source_Framework_SOFA)
- [6] (2019) Efficient technique for simulating multiple layers of soft tissues using cuda based gpu. [Online]. Available: <http://troindia.in/journal/ijcesr/vol6iss6/150-157.pdf>
- [7] (2020) Development of haptic simulator for practicing the intraarticular needle injection under echography. [Online]. Available: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02947141/document>

- [8] (2014) Interaction modeling and simulation of a flexible needle insertion into soft tissues. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6840189>
- [9] (2010) Virtual suturing simulation based on commodity physics engine for medical learning. [Online]. Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21165761/>
- [10] (2002) Surgical thread simulation. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/41887560>
- [11] (2017) Controlling the contact levels of details for fast and precise haptic collision detection. [Online]. Available: <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0184334>
- [12] (2012) Sofa: A multi-model framework for interactive physical simulation. [Online]. Available: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00681539>
- [13] (2004) Force modeling for needle insertion into soft tissue. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/8225839\\_Force\\_Modeling\\_for\\_Needle\\_Insertion\\_Into\\_Soft\\_Tissue](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/8225839_Force_Modeling_for_Needle_Insertion_Into_Soft_Tissue)
- [14] (2012) Modeling of needle insertion forces for robot-assisted percutaneous therapy. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221075084\\_Modeling\\_of\\_Needle\\_Insertion\\_Forces\\_for\\_Robot-Assisted\\_Percutaneous\\_Therapy](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221075084_Modeling_of_Needle_Insertion_Forces_for_Robot-Assisted_Percutaneous_Therapy)
- [15] (2011) A distributed model for needle-tissue friction in percutaneous interventions. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=5979810>
- [16] (2014) Experimental study of needle-tissue interaction forces: effect of needle geometries, insertion methods and tissue characteristics. [Online]. Available: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1051&context=radoncfp>
- [17] (2007) Passive virtual fixtures based on simulated position-dependent anisotropic plasticity. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=4209594>
- [18] (2018) A new method to render virtual walls for haptic systems: “tracking wall”. application to needle insertion simulation. [Online]. Available: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01983758/document>
- [19] (2012) Haptic palpation for medical simulation in virtual environments. [Online]. Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22402689/>
- [20]
- [21] (2010) Gpu-based physical cut in interactive haptic simulations. [Online]. Available: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11548-010-0505-9>
- [22] (2010) Xfem framework for cutting soft tissue - including topological changes in a surgery simulation. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220868976\\_XFEM\\_Framework\\_for\\_Cutting\\_Soft\\_Tissue\\_-\\_Including\\_Topological\\_Changes\\_in\\_a\\_Surgery\\_Simulation](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220868976_XFEM_Framework_for_Cutting_Soft_Tissue_-_Including_Topological_Changes_in_a_Surgery_Simulation)
- [23] (2020) Intelligent haptic virtual simulation for suture surgery. [Online]. Available: [https://thesai.org/Downloads/Volume11No2/Paper\\_8-Intelligent\\_Haptic\\_Virtual\\_Simulation.pdf](https://thesai.org/Downloads/Volume11No2/Paper_8-Intelligent_Haptic_Virtual_Simulation.pdf)