

CS 315 - Lecture 3 - Aug 26, 2015

Chapter 3: The Software Process

- [Lecture Slides](#)
- The Unified Process
 - In 1999, Booch, Jacobson, and Rumbaugh published a complete object oriented analysis and design methodology that unified their three separate methodologies
 - Original Name: *Rational Unified Process* (RUP)
 - Next Name: *Unified Software Development Process* (USDP)
 - Name used today: *Unified Process* (for brevity)
 - The Unified Process is *not* a series of steps for constructing a software product
 - No such single "one size fits all" methodology could exist
 - There is a wide variety of different types of software
 - The Unified Process is an adaptable methodology
 - It has to be modified for the specific software product to be developed
- Phases = Increments
- Iterations - each version of the software at different stages in its development
- Workflows - activities spread all through the software's life span
- Workflows
 - Summary
 - Requirements
 - Analysis of app domain
 - Creation of requirement artifacts
 - Design
 - Creation of Solution and Design Artifacts
 - Implementation
 - Creation of the code
 - Testing
 - Assessment of processes and products
 - Deployment

- Transition of system to user
- Environment
 - Maintenance (communication and configuration management)
- Requirements Workflow
 - The aim of the requirements workflow is to determine the client's **needs**
 - Getting an understanding of the *application domain* (or *domain* for short).
 - Second build a business model.
 - Use UML to describe the business processes.
 - If at any time the client does not feel that the cost is justified, development terminates immediately.
 - Determine the client's constraints
 - Deadline
 - Usually in Months
 - Often mission critical
 - Parallel Running
 - Portability
 - Reliability
 - Rapid Response Time
 - Cost
 - The client will rarely inform the developer how much money is available
 - A bidding procedure is used instead
- Analysis Workflow
 - **Goal:** Analyze and refine the requirements
 - So why not do this in the Requirements workflow?
 - Requirements must be totally understandable by the client
 - They are therefore expressed in natural language, which is imprecise
 - Analysis artifacts must be precise and complete enough for designers
 - Specification Document ("specifications")
 - It constitutes a contract
 - It must not have imprecise phrases like "optimal" or "98% Complete"
 - Having complete and correct specifications is essential for:
 - Testing
 - Maintenance

- Design Workflow

- **Goal:** Refine the analysis workflow until the material is in a form that can be implemented by the programmers
 - Specification: what the program has to do
 - Design: how it should do it
- Architecture Design
 - Modules, communication, reliability, security, portability
- Detail Design
 - Algorithms, data structures, programming language(s), re-use
- Object Oriented (Analysis and) Design
 - The promise of object oriented design is that it can more closely model the real world problem space
 - Identify classes and their relationships
 - Keep record of design decisions
 - To backtrack if dead-end is reached
 - Design should be open-ended
 - Future enhancements should be possible and facilitate maintenance

- Implementation Workflow

- **Goal:** Implement the target software product in the selected implementation language(s)
- At this point, all design decisions have been made. All there is left to do is implement the system
- Large software is partitioned into sub-systems
 - Components and Code Artifacts
 - Divide-and-Conquer
- The implementation of each code artifact is assigned to a programmer (or team). If artifact A relies on artifact B, then programmer A and programmer B should communicate and know about their dependencies
- The integration of the individual artifacts is crucial
 - Validates the define
- Multiple releases may be necessary
 - Alpha release
 - Beta Release
 - Release Candidates (Microsoft, for example)

- Testing Workflow

- Testing is the responsibility of
 - Every developer and maintainer
 - The quality assurance team (QA)
- All artifacts from all phases must be traceable
 - Every module, class, method must be traced back to a design artifact, which is tracked back to an analysis artifact, which is traced back to a requirement
 - Crucial for testing
- Requirement: Every software artifact must be traceable back to the requirements
 - Client reviews requirements
- Analysis
 - Reviewed jointly
 - Client's expert and analysis team
- Design
 - Reviewed by developers and QA team
- Implementation
 - Unit Testing: each implemented component must be tested as soon as complete
 - Integration testing: After each iteration, combine components and test
 - System testing: Test software as a whole
 - Acceptance Testing: by client after software is installed
- Maintenance and Retirement
 - Maintenance
 - Typically after the first version of the software is deployed and installed
 - But maintenance issues should be thought of early on in design and implementation
 - Longest and most costly of all workflows
 - Problems typically caused by lack of documentation
 - When a modification is made to the software, all tests (or some of them) must be re-run
 - Regression Testing
 - Retirement
 - Final stage of the software life span
 - Usually after many years of service
 - Causes of retirement
 - Client does not need the functionality provided by the software
 - Drastic change in design needed
 - Software must be implemented on totally new hardware

- Phases
 - Phase Summary
 - Inception
 - Scope
 - Use Cases
 - Elaboration
 - Initial architecture design
 - Cost and Resource estimates
 - Construction
 - Build components
 - Release
 - Acceptance Criteria
 - Transition
 - Deployment
 - Inception Phase
 - **Goal:** Determine whether it is worthwhile to develop the proposed software
 - Gain understanding of the domain
 - Build business model
 - Delimit scope of project
 - Begin initial business case
 - Business Case: Questions that should be answered
 - Risk
 - Three major risk categories
 - Technical Risk
 - Competency
 - Hardware/software acquirement
 - The risk of not getting the architecture right
 - The architecture may not be sufficiently robust for later additions
 - The risk of not getting the requirements right
 - Performing the requirements workflow correctly
 - Rank risks by order of criticality (and likelihood of occurrence)
 - All questions should be answered by the end of the inception phase

- Inception Tasks
 - Small amount of architecture design should be extracted
 - No coding is done at this point
 - Proof-of-concept prototypes can be useful to assess Feasibility of parts of the software
 - Testing should start on requirements
- Inception Deliverables
 - Initial version of the domain model
 - Initial version of the business model
 - Initial version of the requirements artifacts
 - A preliminary version of the analysis artifacts
 - A preliminary version of the architecture
 - Initial list of risks
 - Initial ordering of the use cases
 - Plan for the elaboration phase
 - Initial version of the business case
- Elaboration Phase
 - **Goal:** Refine and elaborate what was done in the Inception phase
 - Refine Architecture
 - Monitor risks and refine their priorities
 - Refine business case
 - Produce software project management plan
 - Elaboration tasks
 - Complete the requirements workflow
 - Perform almost the entire analysis workflow
 - Start the design of the architecture
 - Set up the development and testing environments
 - Elaboration Deliverables
 - The completed domain model
 - The completed business model
 - The completed requirements artifacts
 - The completed analysis artifacts
 - An updated version of the architecture
 - An updated list of risks
 - The project management plan (for the rest of the project)
 - The completed business case

- Implementation Phase
 - **Goal:** produce the first operational-quality version of the software
 - Tasks
 - Emphasis is mainly on Implementation
 - Testing
 - Construction Deliverables
 - The initial user manual and other manuals
 - All the artifacts (beta release versions)
 - The completed architecture
 - The updated risk list
 - The revised project management plan
 - If necessary, the updated business case
- Transition Phase
 - **Goal:** ensure that the client's requirements have indeed been met
 - Faults in the software product are corrected
 - All manuals are completed
 - Attempts are made to discover any previously unidentified risks
 - Driven by feedback from the beta release
 - Deliverables
 - All the artifacts in their final version
- One vs Two Dimensional Model
 - One dimension is not really an accurate model
 - Two Dimensional is more descriptive and prescriptive of how software development works
 - Much more accepting of multiple processes going on at the same time