

# Venus Prime Audit



October 3, 2023

# Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Summary	3
Scope	4
System Overview	5
Security Model & Trust Assumptions	6
Privileged Roles	6
<b>Critical Severity</b>	<b>7</b>
C-01 updateAlpha and updateMultipliers Break Rewards Accounting and Could Make Rewards Pool Insolvent	7
<b>Medium Severity</b>	<b>8</b>
M-01 Maximum XVS Staked Does Not Match the Documentation	8
M-02 Irrevocable Token Cannot Be Minted to Revocable Token Holder	8
<b>Low Severity</b>	<b>8</b>
L-01 Missing Check for Setting Alpha Values	8
L-02 Missing Docstrings	9
L-03 Unsafe Typecasting in uintMul() and uintDiv()	9
L-04 Old Floating Pragma Version	10
L-05 Missing Check that PrimePoolId Is a Valid poolId	10
Notes & Additional Information	10
N-01 Non-Explicit Imports Are Used	10
N-02 Using int/uint Instead of int256/uint256	11
N-03 Unused State Variable	11
N-04 Lack of SPDX License Identifiers	12
N-05 Lack of Security Contact	12
N-06 Multiple Instances of Missing Named Parameters in Mappings	13
Conclusion	14

# Summary

Type	DeFi	Total Issues	14 (12 resolved)
Timeline	From 2023-08-21 To 2023-09-13	Critical Severity Issues	1 (1 resolved)
Languages	Solidity	High Severity Issues	0 (0 resolved)
		Medium Severity Issues	2 (2 resolved)
		Low Severity Issues	5 (4 resolved)
		Notes & Additional Information	6 (5 resolved)

# Scope

We audited the [VenusProtocol/venus-protocol](https://github.com/VenusProtocol/venus-protocol) repository at commit [f31a054](https://github.com/VenusProtocol/venus-protocol/commit/f31a054). More specifically, we audited [pull request #196](#).

```
contracts
├── Comptroller
│   ├── ComptrollerStorage.sol
│   └── Diamond
│       └── facets
│           ├── PolicyFacet.sol
│           └── SetterFacet.sol
├── Tokens
│   ├── Prime
│   │   ├── IPrime.sol
│   │   ├── Prime.sol
│   │   ├── PrimeStorage.sol
│   │   └── libs
│   │       ├── Scores.sol
│   │       ├── FixedMath.sol
│   │       └── FixedMath0x.sol
└── XSVVault
    ├── XSVVault.sol
    └── XSVVaultStorage.sol
```

# System Overview

Prime is a reward distribution smart contract system, tailored specifically to reward its holders (often referred to as "Prime holders"). The distribution of rewards is contingent upon a calculated score derived from an individual's staking activity and their associated balance in the Venus lending protocol.

## Prime Token Qualification:

In order to qualify for the Prime token and consequently partake in its reward system, a user must fulfill the criterion of staking no fewer than 1,000 XVS. This stake should be maintained for a minimum duration of 90 days.

## Reward Distribution:

All incoming revenue to the Prime system is distributed amongst Prime holders. This distribution is proportional, based on the calculated scores of each individual holder.

## Score Calculation

The scoring function of the Prime system is expressed as:

$$xvs^{\alpha} * capital^{(1-\alpha)}$$

Where:

- "xvs" represents the amount of XVS staked by the user.
- "capital" is the summation of the user's borrowed balance in the Venus lending protocol and their **vToken** balance up to a certain cap.
- " $\alpha$ " adjusts the weight of XVS compared to "capital" for score calculation purposes.

## Interactions With External Systems

Prime closely interacts with the Venus lending protocol. The borrowed balance from Venus, as well as the **vToken** balance, play crucial roles in determining an individual's score, and thereby their reward from the Prime system.

**XVSVault** and the Comptroller's **PolicyFacet** were modified by adding hooks to alert rime on balance changes of staked XVS and **vToken**, respectively.

## Adjustable Parameters

The Prime system has the ability to modify the `alpha`, `rewardMultiplier`, and `borrowMultiplier` parameters after deployment. The `rewardMultiplier` and `borrowMultiplier` set a cap for the amount of `VTokens` and borrowed balance respectively, at which point rewards stop increasing relative to the amount borrowed or loaned.

# Security Model & Trust Assumptions

We trust the Protocol Share Reserve (PSR) to handle all accounting functionalities correctly. The system also interacts with the broader lending protocol by reading user's `vToken` and borrowed balances which are used to compute scores.

## Privileged Roles

Minimal modifications were made to the access control manager system. This system has been nearly unmodified. Therefore, the assumptions are nearly identical to those listed in the published Venus Protocol Oracles report. The `prime.sol` contract has an owner address that can set the access controller address. This controller can then set permissions for the following privileged functions:

- Update the `alpha` parameter used in the score formula
- Update the multipliers used in the score formula
- Add new markets to the Prime program
- Set the maximum number of Prime tokens that can be minted
- Issue Prime tokens directly without staking requirements

# Critical Severity

## C-01 `updateAlpha` and `updateMultipliers` Break Rewards Accounting and Could Make Rewards Pool Insolvent

Every time interest is accrued, the total rewards are [divided by the total sum of the user's scores](#) to get the rewards entitled per score. This assumes that the total score for each user is the same when interest is collected as when it is calculated. This invariant is usually maintained as `executeBoost` collects the interest from users before any actions that change their XVS or VToken balances (which play a role in score calculation).

However, `updateAlpha` and `updateMultipliers` [update](#) the total interest for every market but do not first collect the interest for every individual user. This means that when users collect interest after the alpha or multiplier update, the new score is multiplied by the interest accrued since the last time `executeBoost` was called. However, that score is different from the score used to calculate the interest at the time. Additionally, the `sumOfScores` now does not equal the `sumOfScores` during interest calculation.

If the update increases the sum total of the user's scores, there would not be enough funds in the reserve to pay out all the accounted-for reward amounts. This would mean that users who collect interest early on will get a larger reward than they should, while later claimants will have zero rewards as the reward pool will become insolvent.

**Update:** Resolved in [pull request #196](#) at commit [f5e3221](#). The Venus team stated:

*With this change, we'll always accrue interest before updating the user score, so the rewards will be allocated using the right score*

# Medium Severity

## M-01 Maximum XVS Staked Does Not Match the Documentation

The documentation states:

The maximum XVS cap taken into account when calculating the score of a user is 100,000 XVS.

However, the constant `MAXIMUM_XVS_CAP` is set to `10000`. Consider modifying the variable or updating the documentation accordingly.

**Update:** Resolved in [pull request #196](#) at commit [860c959](#).

## M-02 Irrevocable Token Cannot Be Minted to Revocable Token Holder

If the Venus team attempts to mint an irrevocable token for a user already holding a revocable Prime token, the minting process fails. This is due to the internal function `_mint`, which requires that the recipient must not hold any form of Prime token, whether it is revocable or irrevocable.

Given that irrevocable Prime tokens are more desirable as they remain even when the user unstakes, this behavior suggests an unintended restriction in the minting process.

**Update:** Resolved at commit [a19e29c](#), [pull request #196](#) at commit [b98dc82](#).

# Low Severity

## L-01 Missing Check for Setting Alpha Values

`alphaDenominator` should never exceed `alphaNumerator`, as specified by the audit documentation as well as this [error](#) which would be thrown in the `calculateScore` function:

```
assert(alphaNumerator <= alphaDenominator);
```



Consider ensuring that `alphaNumerator <= alphaDenominator` in the `initialize` and `updateAlpha` functions.

**Update:** Resolved at commit [f7d463b](#), at commit [a7e913f](#), at commit [5a04aa0](#).

## L-02 Missing Docstrings

Throughout the [codebase](#), there are several parts that do not have docstrings:

- [Line 572](#) in [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 105](#) in [Prime.sol](#)

Consider thoroughly documenting all functions (and their parameters) that are part of any contract's public API. Functions implementing sensitive functionality, even if not public, should be clearly documented as well. When writing docstrings, consider following the [Ethereum Natural Specification Format](#) (NatSpec).

**Update:** Resolved at commit [eb36a3f](#), at commit [91b2474](#), at commit [2825c16](#), at commit [2abb751](#).

## L-03 Unsafe Typecasting in `uintMul()` and `uintDiv()`

The divisor argument of `uintDiv` and the multiplier argument of `uintMul` in the `FixedMath` library are both signed integers.

The results of multiplication and division operations involving these arguments will therefore be signed, and the results of both the `uintDiv` and `uintMul` functions are downcast to `uint256`.

While this may produce the correct results when the multiplier and divisor arguments are properly-encoded fixed-point numbers, it will produce erroneous results when the high bit of either of these arguments is set.

Consider adding a check to ensure that the multiplier argument of `uintMul` and the divisor argument of `uintDiv` are well-formed fixed-point numbers.

**Update:** Resolved at commit [61ad1e7](#).

## L-04 Old Floating Pragma Version

Pragma directives should be fixed to clearly identify the Solidity version with which the contracts will be compiled.

The file `IPrime.sol` has the `solidity ^0.5.16` floating pragma directive which is an outdated Solidity version.

Consider using the same fixed pragma version used in `Prime.sol`.

**Update:** Acknowledged, not resolved. The Venus team stated:

| `_It is done so that our core pool contracts can import the interface. _`

## L-05 Missing Check that `PrimePoolId` Is a Valid `poolId`

A valid `poolId` for a token must be less than the length of the `poolInfos` array for a particular `rewardToken`. A prime `poolId` should also match a pre-existing `poolId`, so this condition should also be true for Prime pools. However, the `setPrimeToken` function does not have this check.

Consider adding a check in `setPrimeToken` to ensure that it matches a pre-existing `poolId`.

**Update:** Resolved at commit [ad5b11a](#).

# Notes & Additional Information

## N-01 Non-Explicit Imports Are Used

The use of non-explicit imports in the codebase can decrease the clarity of the code, and may create naming conflicts between locally defined and imported variables. This is particularly relevant when multiple contracts exist within the same Solidity files or when inheritance chains are long.

Throughout the [codebase](#), global imports are used:

- [Line 3](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 4](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 5](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 6](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 6](#) of [FixedMath.sol](#)
- [Line 7](#) of [FixedMath.sol](#)
- [Line 5](#) of [Scores.sol](#)
- [Line 6](#) of [Scores.sol](#)
- [Line 9](#) of [XVSVault.sol](#)

Following the principle that clearer code is better code, consider using named import syntax (`import {A, B, C} from "X"`) to explicitly declare which contracts are being imported.

**Update:** Resolved at commit [b2fa6d5](#), and commit [adb8535](#).

## N-02 Using `int/uint` Instead of `int256/uint256`

Throughout the [codebase](#), there are multiple instances where `int/uint` is used as opposed to `int256/uint256`:

- [Line 9](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 10](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 11](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 118](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 185](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 190](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 208](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 223](#) of [Prime.sol](#)
- [Line 249](#) of [Prime.sol](#)

In favor of explicitness, consider replacing all instances of `int/uint` with `int256/uint256`.

**Update:** Resolved at commit [e9269c7](#).

## N-03 Unused State Variable

The `MAXIMUM_BPS` state variable in the [PrimeStorageV1 contract](#) is never used.

To improve the overall clarity, intentionality, and readability of the codebase, consider removing any unused state variables.

**Update:** Resolved. The Venus team stated:

We are finally using it in the function `_calculateUserAPR`. See commit <https://github.com/VenusProtocol/venus-protocol/commit/ec2f4fdf1d6614c57af9a26a235cb6588f07056a>

## N-04 Lack of SPDX License Identifiers

Throughout the [codebase](#), there are files that lack SPDX license identifiers. For instance:

- [IPrime.sol](#)
- [Prime.sol](#)
- [PrimeStorage.sol](#)

To avoid legal issues regarding copyright and follow best practices, consider adding SPDX license identifiers to files as suggested by the [Solidity documentation](#).

**Update:** Resolved at commit [f0b12eb](#).

## N-05 Lack of Security Contact

Providing a specific security contact, such as an email or ENS, within a smart contract significantly simplifies the process for individuals to communicate if they identify a vulnerability in the code. This practice proves beneficial as it permits the code owners to dictate the communication channel for vulnerability disclosure, eliminating the risk of miscommunication or failure to report due to a lack of knowledge on how to do so. Additionally, if the contract incorporates third-party libraries and a bug surfaces in these, it becomes easier for the creators of those libraries to make contact, inform the code owners about the problem, and provide mitigation instructions.

Neither of the contracts in scope has a security contact.

Consider adding a NatSpec comment on top of the contracts' definitions with a security contact. Using the `@custom:security-contact` convention is recommended as it has been adopted by the [Openzeppelin Wizard](#) and the [ethereum-lists](#).

**Update:** Resolved at commit [405f962](#). The Venus team stated:

*We have added a link to our github repo. At the end of the readme file in that repo we say this: For any concerns with the protocol, open an issue or visit us on Telegram to discuss. For security concerns, please contact the administrators of our telegram chat.*

## N-06 Multiple Instances of Missing Named Parameters in Mappings

Since [Solidity 0.8.18](#), developers can utilize named parameters in mappings. This means mappings can take the form of `mapping(KeyType KeyName? => ValueType ValueName?)`. This updated syntax provides a more transparent representation of the mapping's purpose.

Throughout the [codebase](#), there are multiple mappings without named parameters:

- The `tokens` state variable in the [PrimeStorageV1 contract](#).
- The `stakedAt` state variable in the [PrimeStorageV1 contract](#).
- The `markets` state variable in the [PrimeStorageV1 contract](#).
- The `interests` state variable in the [PrimeStorageV1 contract](#).
- The `isScoreUpdated` state variable in the [PrimeStorageV1 contract](#).
- The `vTokenForAsset` state variable in the [PrimeStorageV1 contract](#).
- The `unreleasedIncome` state variable in the [PrimeStorageV1 contract](#).

Consider adding named parameters to the mappings to improve the readability and maintainability of the code.

**Update:** Acknowledged, not resolved. The Venus team stated:

| *We are using solidity 0.8.13, and named parameters were included in 0.8.18*

# Conclusion

The addition of Prime tokens had a relatively low impact on the existing codebase. The audit uncovered 1 critical-severity and 2 medium-severity issues. Several recommendations were proposed to follow best practices.