

Propositional Logic Exercises (42-54)

Ansari Abrar

30 October 2024

42.

Breakdown of the expression: The expression is a conjunction of three disjunctions:

- First disjunction: $p \vee \neg q$
- Second disjunction: $q \vee \neg r$
- Third disjunction: $r \vee \neg p$

Case 1: p , q , and r are all true:

p is true: $p \vee \neg q$ becomes $T \vee \neg T = T \vee F = T$.

q is true: $q \vee \neg r$ becomes $T \vee \neg T = T \vee F = T$.

r is true: $r \vee \neg p$ becomes $T \vee \neg T = T \vee F = T$.

Since all three disjunctions are true, the entire conjunction is true.

Case 2: p , q , and r are all false:

p is false: $p \vee \neg q$ becomes $F \vee \neg F = F \vee T = T$.

q is false: $q \vee \neg r$ becomes $F \vee \neg F = F \vee T = T$.

r is false: $r \vee \neg p$ becomes $F \vee \neg F = F \vee T = T$.

Again, since all three disjunctions are true, the entire conjunction is true.

Case 3: One or more of p , q , and r have different truth values:

Without loss of generality, consider the case where p is true, q is false, and r is false:

$p \vee \neg q$ becomes $T \vee T = T$ (true).

$q \vee \neg r$ becomes $F \vee T = T$ (true).

$r \vee \neg p$ becomes $F \vee F = F$ (false).

Since at least one of the disjunctions is false, the entire conjunction is false.

Conclusion:

The expression $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p)$ evaluates to true when p , q , and r have the same truth value (either all true or all false) because all disjunctions will be true. When p , q , and r have different truth values, at least one disjunction will be false, leading the entire conjunction to be false. Thus, the original expression is true if and only if p , q , and r share the same truth value.

43.

Breakdown of the expression: The expression consists of two main parts combined by a conjunction (\wedge):

- First part: $p \vee q \vee r$ (at least one of p , q , or r is true)
- Second part: $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r$ (at least one of p , q , or r is false)

Case 1: At least one of p , q , or r is true, and at least one is false.

If at least one of the variables is true, then $p \vee q \vee r$ will evaluate to true.

If at least one of the variables is false, then at least one of $\neg p$, $\neg q$, or $\neg r$ will be true, making $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r$ evaluate to true as well.

Since both parts of the conjunction are true in this case, the overall expression $(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$ is true.

Case 2: All three variables have the same truth value.

1. If all three are true: $p \vee q \vee r$ evaluates to true because all variables are true. $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r$ evaluates to false because all variables are false, resulting in $\neg p$, $\neg q$, and $\neg r$ being false.

In this scenario, since the first part is true and the second part is false, the overall expression is false.

2. If all three are false: $p \vee q \vee r$ evaluates to false because all variables are false. $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r$ evaluates to true because all variables are false, making $\neg p$,

$\neg q$, and $\neg r$ true.

In this case, since the first part is false and the second part is true, the overall expression is false.

Conclusion:

Thus, the expression $(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$ is true when at least one of p , q , or r is true and at least one is false because both parts of the conjunction are satisfied. However, when all three variables share the same truth value—whether true or false—one part will be true while the other will be false, resulting in the entire expression being false.

44.

(I initially did not understand the question then got it using AI :3, I am attaching the answer below)

This expression essentially states that for any two propositions p_i and p_j (where $i \neq j$), at least one of them must be false. To see why this means that at most one of p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n can be true, let's break it down.

1. Expression Explanation: The formula

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^n (\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j)$$

represents the conjunction of all pairs (p_i, p_j) with $i < j$, where each pair must satisfy $\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j$. This part $\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j$ means that not both p_i and p_j can be true at the same time.

2. Interpretation of $\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j$:

For each pair of propositions p_i and p_j , at least one must be false. Therefore, this condition will only hold if at most one of the propositions p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is true.

3. Logical Conclusion:

If more than one of the propositions were true, there would be at least one pair (p_i, p_j) where both p_i and p_j are true, which would make $\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j$ false. Thus, the entire conjunction would be false.

4. Final Statement:

The statement is true if and only if at most one of the propositions p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is true, as this is the only way to satisfy all the conditions $\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

45.

(same as Exercise-44)

To construct a compound proposition that is true if and only if **exactly one** of the propositions p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is true, we can use the result of Exercise 44 and combine it with another condition.

1. **Condition from Exercise 44:**

Exercise 44 states that the compound proposition

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^n (\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j)$$

is true if and only if **at most one** of the propositions p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is true. This ensures that no more than one of the propositions is true.

2. **Condition to Ensure At Least One is True:**

To guarantee that **at least one** of the propositions p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is true, we can use the disjunction:

$$p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_n$$

This compound proposition is true if at least one of the propositions p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is true.

3. **Combining the Two Conditions:**

Now, to make a proposition that is true if and only if **exactly one** of p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is true, we combine the two conditions using a conjunction:

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^n (\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j) \right) \wedge (p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_n)$$

This combined proposition is true if and only if **exactly one** of p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is true, since:

- The first part, $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^n (\neg p_i \vee \neg p_j)$, ensures that **at most one** of the propositions is true.
- The second part, $p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_n$, ensures that **at least one** of the propositions is true.

This satisfies the requirement for **exactly one** proposition to be true.

46.

Initial Value: $x = 1$

1. **a)** If $x + 2 = 3$ then $x := x + 1$
Evaluate the condition: $x + 2 = 3$

$$1 + 2 = 3 \text{ (True)}$$

Since the condition is true, execute the assignment: $x := x + 1$

$$x = 1 + 1 = 2$$

Value of x after statement a: 2

2. **b)** If $(x + 1 = 3) \vee (2x + 2 = 3)$ then $x := x + 1$

Evaluate the condition:

$$x + 1 = 3:$$

$$2 = 3 \text{ (False)}$$

$$2x + 2 = 3:$$

$$2(2) + 2 = 4 + 2 = 6 = 3 \text{ (False)}$$

Both parts are false; thus the entire condition is false. The assignment is not executed.

Value of x after statement b: 2

3. **c)** If $(2x + 3 = 5) \wedge (3x + 4 = 7)$ then $x := x + 1$

Evaluate the condition:

$$2x + 3 = 5:$$

$$2(2) + 3 = 4 + 3 = 7 = 5 \text{ (False)}$$

$$3x + 4 = 7:$$

$$3(2) + 4 = 6 + 4 = 10 = 7 \text{ (False)}$$

Since one part is false, the entire condition is false. The assignment is not executed.

Value of x after statement c: 2

4. **d)** If $(x + 1 = 2) \oplus (x + 2 = 3)$ then $x := x + 1$

Evaluate the condition:

$$x + 1 = 2:$$

$$2 = 2 \text{ (True)}$$

$$x + 2 = 3:$$

$$2 + 2 = 4 = 3 \text{ (False)}$$

The condition is true because XOR (exclusive OR) is true if exactly one of the conditions is true. Thus, execute the assignment: $x := x + 1$

$$x = 2 + 1 = 3$$

Value of x after statement d: 3

5. **e)** If $x < 2$ then $x := x + 1$

Evaluate the condition: $x < 2$

$$3 < 2 \text{ (False)}$$

Since the condition is false, the assignment is not executed.

Value of x after statement e: 3

Summary of Values:

After a): $x = 2$

After b): $x = 2$

After c): $x = 2$

After d): $x = 3$

After e): $x = 3$

47

- a) Bitwise OR: 1111111
Bitwise AND: 0000000
Bitwise XOR: 1111111
- b) Bitwise OR: 11111010
Bitwise AND: 10100000
Bitwise XOR: 01011010
- c) Bitwise OR: 1001111001
Bitwise AND: 0001000000
Bitwise XOR: 1000111001
- d) Bitwise OR: 1111111111
Bitwise AND: 0000000000
Bitwise XOR: 1111111111

48

- a) 11000
- b) 01101
- c) 11001
- d) 11011

49

Given:

- Truth value of “Fred is happy” = 0.8
- Truth value of “John is happy” = 0.4

In fuzzy logic, the truth value of the negation of a proposition is calculated as:

$$\text{Negation Truth Value} = 1 - \text{Truth Value of the Proposition}$$

So,

$$\text{Truth value of “Fred is not happy”} = 1 - 0.8 = 0.2$$

$$\text{Truth value of “John is not happy”} = 1 - 0.4 = 0.6$$

50

In fuzzy logic, the truth value of the conjunction of two propositions (e.g., "Fred and John are happy") is the minimum of the truth values of the two propositions.

Truth value of "Fred and John are happy" = $\min(0.8, 0.4) = 0.4$

Truth value of "Neither Fred nor John is happy" = $\min(0.2, 0.6) = 0.2$

51

- **Statement 1:** "Fred is happy, or John is happy"

For this statement, we take the maximum of the truth values of "Fred is happy" and "John is happy":

Truth value of "Fred is happy, or John is happy" = $\max(0.8, 0.4) = 0.8$

- **Statement 2:** "Fred is not happy, or John is not happy"

For this statement, we use the negated truth values from Exercise 49:

Truth value of "Fred is not happy" = 0.2

Truth value of "John is not happy" = 0.6

Now, take the maximum of these negated truth values:

Truth value of "Fred is not happy, or John is not happy" = $\max(0.2, 0.6) = 0.6$

52

No, the assertion "This statement is false" is not a proposition.

In logic, a proposition is a declarative statement that is either true or false, but not both. The statement "This statement is false" is an example of a paradox (specifically, the liar paradox), because if it were true, then it would have to be false (since it asserts it is false), but if it were false, then it would have to be true. This self-referential structure creates a contradiction, meaning it cannot be consistently classified as either true or false.

Thus, because it cannot have a definitive truth value, it does not meet the requirements to be considered a proposition in classical logic.

53

- a) "Exactly n of the statements in this list are false."

The n th statement claims that exactly n statements are false.

- If the n th statement is true: This means that there are exactly n false statements in the list. However, since the n th statement itself would also be counted as one of the statements, it cannot be true if it asserts that there are exactly n false statements (as it would then become one of the false statements). Thus, it leads to a contradiction.
- If the n th statement is false: If it's false, then it does not hold that exactly n statements are false. This means that there could be either fewer than n or more than n false statements.

Based on this reasoning, we can conclude: The n th statement cannot be true, leading to the conclusion that the list contains more than or fewer than n false statements, but not exactly n .

b) “At least n of the statements in this list are false.”

In this case, the n th statement claims that at least n statements are false.

- If the n th statement is true: This means that there are at least n false statements in the list. This could potentially be consistent because if n is within the bounds of the total statements (1 to 100), it allows for a configuration where the n th statement is true, and there are n false statements.
- If the n th statement is false: If the n th statement is false, then fewer than n statements are false. This would imply that the number of false statements is less than n , which contradicts the assertion of the n th statement.

Thus, the conclusion here is: The n th statement can be true if there are at least n false statements. Therefore, this leads to no contradictions if there are indeed at least n false statements.

c) Assuming the list contains 99 statements and the n th statement is “At least n of the statements in this list are false.”

Now let's consider the list with 99 statements:

- If the 99th statement is true, then there are at least 99 false statements, meaning all statements except one must be false, which is a contradiction because if all but one are false, then the 99th statement itself must also be false.
- If the 99th statement is false, it implies there are fewer than 99 false statements, which is consistent because that would mean at most 98 statements can be false.

Therefore, the conclusion is: The 99th statement cannot be true, and if it's false, there can be a maximum of 98 false statements in the list, leading to a consistent scenario.

54

Sounds like Russell's paradox (we had already read that in Grade-09, Higher-Math book). This scenario is a classic example of a paradox, specifically known as the barber paradox.

- Definition: The barber shaves those people who do not shave themselves.

Two possibilities arise when considering whether the barber shaves himself:

1. If the barber shaves himself: According to the legend, he can only shave those who do not shave themselves. Therefore, if he shaves himself, he cannot shave himself, which leads to a contradiction.
2. If the barber does not shave himself: In this case, since he shaves only those who do not shave themselves, it follows that he must shave himself. This again leads to a contradiction.

Conclusion: In both scenarios—whether the barber shaves himself or does not shave himself—a contradiction arises. Thus, it is impossible for such a barber to exist without creating a logical inconsistency.

This paradox demonstrates the difficulties that can arise in set theory and self-reference, leading to contradictions similar to those found in Russell's paradox.