

# Lecture-9

Sushrut Tadwalkar; 55554711

September 25, 2023

## Quote of the day

### Lemma

If  $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$  (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ), then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying

- (a)  $|x_n| \leq |\hat{x}| + 1$ , for all  $n > N$ .
- (b) If, in addition,  $\hat{x} \neq 0$ , also  $|x_n| \geq \frac{|\hat{x}|}{2}$ , for all  $n > N$ .

(a) *Proof.* If we use the triangle inequality, we have  $|x_n| \leq |x_n - \hat{x}| + |\hat{x}|$  for each  $n$ . Picking  $\varepsilon = 1$ , we apply the definition to find an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $|x_n - \hat{x}| < 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This gives us  $|x_n| < 1 + |\hat{x}|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

(b) *Proof.* Since  $x_n = \hat{x} - (\hat{x} - x_n)$ , using the triangle inequality, we have  $|x_n| \geq -|\hat{x} - x_n|$ . We let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}|\hat{x}| > 0$  in the definition to get  $\tilde{N}$  such that  $|x_n - \hat{x}| < \frac{1}{2}|\hat{x}|$  when  $n > \tilde{N}$ . This does what we require, since

$$|x_n| \geq |\hat{x}| - \frac{1}{2}|\hat{x}| = \frac{|\hat{x}|}{2} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here we could have said  $|x_n| \geq |\hat{x}| - \frac{1}{2}|\hat{x}| = \frac{|\hat{x}|}{2}$ , however, we only require a non-strict inequality for the lemma. Notice that we can take  $\max\{N, \tilde{N}\}$  to get both (a), (b) together.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** If  $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow \hat{y}$ , and  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

- (a)  $x_n + Ky_n \rightarrow \hat{x} + K\hat{y}$ .
- (b)  $x_n y_n \rightarrow \hat{x}\hat{y}$ .
- (c)  $\frac{x_n}{y_n} \rightarrow \frac{\hat{x}}{\hat{y}}$ , provided  $\hat{y} \neq 0$ .

(a) *Proof.* For each  $n$ ,

$$|(x_n + Ky_n) - (\hat{x} + K\hat{y})| \leq |x_n - \hat{x}| + |K||y_n - \hat{y}|.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define  $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0$  and  $\varepsilon'' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|K| + 1)} > 0$  and cite definitions of  $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow \hat{y}$  to get  $N', N'' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x_n - \hat{x}| < \varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for all } n > N'$$
$$|y_n - \hat{y}| < \varepsilon'' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|K| + 1)}, \text{ for all } n > N''.$$

We define  $N = \max\{N', N''\}$ . Every  $n > N$  obeys

$$|(x_n + Ky_n) - (\hat{x} + K\hat{y})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |K| \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|K| + 1)} \right) < 2 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) = \varepsilon.$$

□

(b) *Proof.* For each  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n y_n - \hat{x} \hat{y}| &= |x_n y_n - x_n \hat{y} + x_n \hat{y} - \hat{x} \hat{y}| \\ &\leq |x_n| |y_n - \hat{y}| + |\hat{y}| |x_n - \hat{x}| \text{ (which is from the triangle inequality)} \\ &< (|\hat{x}| + 1) |y_n - \hat{y}| + |\hat{y}| |x_n - \hat{x}| \text{ for all } n > N, \text{ for some } N \text{ from previous lemma (a).} \end{aligned}$$

From part (a) of this proposition, the sequence in the RHS above converges to 0. Thus, because of the Squeeze theorem, we require that the LHS also converges to 0. □

**Note.** If sequences  $a_n, b_n$  have  $|a_n| \leq b_n$  for all  $n$ , and  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $-b_n < a_n < b_n$  forces  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

(c) *Proof.* At first, take  $x_n = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{y_n} - \frac{1}{\hat{y}} \right| &= \left| \frac{y_n - \hat{y}}{y_n \hat{y}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|y_n|} \frac{1}{|\hat{y}|} |y_n - \hat{y}| \\ &< \frac{2}{|\hat{y}|^2} |y_n - \hat{y}|, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n > N$ , where  $N$  is given by part (b) of the previous lemma. We see that  $\text{RHS} \rightarrow 0$  by part (a) of this proposition, so because of the Squeeze theorem, we require that  $\text{LHS} \rightarrow 0$ . Now, in general,  $\frac{x_n}{y_n} = x_n \left( \frac{1}{y_n} \right)$  is covered by this part and part (b) combined together. □

**Note.** If given sequence  $y_n$  has some 0-elements, it will have some undefined terms. But when  $\hat{y} \neq 0$ , all  $\frac{x_n}{y_n}$  “for  $n$  sufficiently large” will be defined. We relax our interpretation to allow this.

**Example 1.** If  $r > 0$ ,  $r^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Proof sketch: Squeeze theorem + reciprocal theorem using  $n^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$ . □