Lecture-20

Sushrut Tadwalkar; 55554711

October 27, 2023

Quote of the day

"I love WeBWork (sarcastic), I wrote some of those questions, and some of them really lit up Piazza." - Dr. Loewen, 10/25/2023

Now we show that (a) \Longrightarrow (b):

Proof. If S converges, consider a partial sum for T:

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^k a_{2^k}$$

$$= a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_4 + \dots + 2^n a_{2^n}$$

$$= 2 \left[\frac{1}{2} a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4 + \dots + 2^{n-1} a_{2^n} \right]$$

$$\leq 2 \left[a_1 + a_2 + (a_3 + a_4) + (a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8) + \dots + (a_{2^{n-1}+1} + \dots + a_{2^n}) \right]$$

$$\leq 2s_{2^n} \leq 2S.$$

Partial sums for T are bounded, which implies that T converges.

0.1 p-series

For fixed p, let

$$\zeta(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}.$$

We ask ourselves for which p does this function converge? We can discard all $p \le 0$ by the Crude test for divergence. For p > 0, the summand decreases, so Cauchy condensation gives us

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{(2^k)^p} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^{p-1})^k}.$$

This is a geometric series with common ratio $r = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}$; we know this converges iff r < 1 or p > 1.

Note. The ratio and root test will not help with p-series; the series converges too slow to detect geometrically, and ratio and root test are not sharp enough to detect this. Because of this, we in fact get

$$\alpha = \limsup_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(n^p)^{1/n}} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(n^{1/n})^p} = 1.$$

0.2 Kummer's test

Theorem

Consider $S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ such that $a_n > 0$. Let (D_n) be a sequence such that $D_n > 0$ for all n. We define

$$\overline{L} := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{D_k a_k - D_{k+1} a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}$$

$$\underline{L} := \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{D_k a_k - D_{k+1} a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}.$$

- (a) If $\underline{L} > 0$, then S converges.
- (b) If $\overline{L} < 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{D_n} = +\infty$, then S diverges.
- (a) Proof. If $\underline{L}>0$, then pick $r\in(0,\underline{L})$. By definition of \liminf , there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k\geq N$, $r<\frac{D_ka_k-D_{k+1}a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}$, i.e., $ra_{k+1}< D_ka_k-D_{k+1}a_{k+1}$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} ra_{N+1} &< D_N a_N - D_{N+1} a_{N+1} \\ ra_{N+2} &< D_{N+1} a_{N+1} - D_{N+2} a_{N+2} \\ & \vdots \\ ra_{N+p} &< D_{N+p-1} a_{N+p-1} - D_{N+p} a_{N+p}. \end{split}$$

Thus, $r[a_{N+1} + \cdots + a_{N+p}] < D_N a_N - D_{N+p} a_{N+p} < D_N a_N$. Since N is fixed and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, we get that the partial sums are bounded, and thus the series converges.

(b) *Proof.* The proof is on canvas, and the idea is similar to the proof for (a).

Example 1. Take $D_n = 1$ in Kummer's test:

$$\underline{L} = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_k - a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}} = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} - 1 \right)$$

$$\overline{L} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} - 1 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \right)} - 1 \right),$$

as we have shown before on the homework. So, $\underline{L} = \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1$, $\overline{L} = \frac{1}{\underline{\alpha}} - 1$, and we see that we get convergence if $\underline{\alpha} < 1$, and divergence if $\underline{\alpha} > 1$; we have recovered the ratio test. So Kummer's test covers the ratio test.

Example 2. Take $D_n = n$ in Kummer's test; note that

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{D_n} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 1n = +\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \frac{D_k a_K - D_{k+1} a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}} &= \frac{k a_k - (k+1) a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{k (a_k - a_{k+1}) - a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}} \\ &= k \left(\frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} - 1\right) - 1. \end{split}$$

2

Testing the limits of this leads to the refined test named after Raabe; proving this is Homework 7 problem 7.