

Lecture-18

Sushrut Tadwalkar; 55554711

October 19, 2023

1 Testing for convergence

Theorem: Monotone convergence

If $a_n \geq 0$ for all n , then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges iff $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n$ is bounded.

Proof. Note that $S_{N+1} - S_N = a_{N+1} \geq 0$ shows that S_N is a non-decreasing sequence; rest follows from monotone convergence property. In this case “ $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges.” \square

Theorem: Cauchy's Criterion

The series $S = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges iff for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq N$, and for all $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$|a_m + a_{m+1} + \cdots + a_{m+p}| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Notice that $a_m + \cdots + a_{m+p} = S_{m+p} - S_{m-1}$; this states condition is just a reformulation of Cauchy's criterion for seq of partial sums, where we have already shown that the sequence of partial sums is Cauchy. \square

Theorem: Test for divergence

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \neq 0$, then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges.

Note. This **does not** say that the sequence converges, and is merely a relatively quick test to check whether a sequence diverges or not; equivalently, we are saying that the converse of this statement is not generally true.

Proof. We prove this by contrapositive. If $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges, pick any $\varepsilon > 0$ and use Cauchy's criterion to get a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_m + \cdots + a_{m+p}| < \varepsilon$ for all $m \geq N$, and for all $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Use $p = 0$: for all $m \geq N$, $|a_m| < \varepsilon \implies a_n \rightarrow 0$. \square

Theorem: Comparison test

(a) If $0 \leq |a_n| \leq b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n < +\infty$, then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges.

(b) If $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n| = +\infty$, then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n| = +\infty$ as well.

Proof. For part (a), we use Cauchy's criterion and the triangle inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_m + \cdots + a_{m+p}| &\leq |a_m| + |a_{m+1}| + \cdots + |a_{m+p}| \\ &\leq b_m + b_{m+1} + \cdots + b_{m+p}. \end{aligned}$$

We now use Cauchy's criterion for (b_n) to provide requirements for $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ to converge.

The proof for (b) is left as an exercise. □

Corollary 1. *Absolute convergence implies convergence; if $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n| < +\infty$, then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n < +\infty$.*

Proof for this is the same as for the theorem where we set $b_n = |a_n|$.

Note. *Convergence for sequence $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n$ holds iff we have convergence for each $S_N^m = \sum_{n=m}^N a_i$; writing*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n &= \sum_n^{\infty} a_n \\ &= \sum a_n \end{aligned}$$

is abuse of notation.

Example 1. *Harmonic series $\sum_n \frac{1}{n}$ diverges.*

Proof. Show Cauchy's criterion fails: there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m \geq N$ and $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$|a_m + \cdots + a_{m+p}| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Pick $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $n = N, p = N$ which gives us

$$\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N+1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{N+N} \geq \frac{N+1}{2N} > \frac{1}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

□