

# Lecture-36

Sushrut Tadwalkar; 55554711

April 12, 2024

Quotes of the day: Dr. Joshua Zahl 04/12/2024

“What you’re describing sounds like we have to think, which we’d like to avoid.” – on a student’s answer to his question.

“Maybe it’s bad, I seem to be discouraging people from thinking.” – after something completely different about 15 minutes later.

## 0.1 Equidistribution

**Definition: Fractional part of a real number**

If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\langle x \rangle = x - \lfloor x \rfloor \in [0, 1)$ , i.e.,  $\langle x \rangle = x \pmod{1}$ . This is called the *fractional part* of a the real number  $x$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ; consider the sequence  $\langle n\alpha \rangle$ .

- If  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\langle n\alpha \rangle$  is periodic.
- If  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , every value of  $\langle n\alpha \rangle$  is distinct. If  $\langle n\alpha \rangle = \langle m\alpha \rangle$ , then  $n\alpha - m\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem (Kronecker): E**

If  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\langle n\alpha \rangle$  is *dense* in  $[0, 1)$ .

**Definition: Equidistributed**

A sequence  $(x_n) \subseteq [0, 1)$  is called *equidistributed* if: for every interval  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq [0, 1)$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{n = 1, \dots, N : x_n \in \mathcal{I}\}}{N} \rightarrow \ell(\mathcal{I}),$$

where  $\ell(\mathcal{I})$  is the length of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Theorem (Weyl): F**

If  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\langle n\alpha \rangle$  is equidistributed.

**Note.** Theorem F implies theorem E, since being equidistributed is a stronger notion of being dense.

In fact, there is something else worth noting here. If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is 1-periodic and integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(n\alpha) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx. \quad (\diamond)$$

**Claim 1.** Theorem F is implied by eq.  $(\diamond)$ .

*Proof. Step-1:* We verify that eq.  $(\diamond)$  is true when  $f(x) = e^{2\pi i k x}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $k = 0$ ,  $f(x) = 1$ :  $1 = 1$  is tautology.

For  $k > 0$ , RHS of eq.  $(\diamond)$  is zero by a straightforward computation. For LHS:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(n\alpha) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i k n \alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} e^{2\pi i k \alpha} \left( \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i k N \alpha}}{1 - e^{2\pi i k \alpha}} \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

*Step-2:* Note that if eq.  $(\diamond)$  is true for  $f, g$ , then it is true for  $af + g$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, by limit laws and linearity of the integral, we conclude that eq.  $(\diamond)$  holds for trigonometric polynomial functions.

*Step-3:* We can further extend this to continuous 1-periodic functions using the  $\varepsilon/3$  argument using Step-1, and the fact that continuous functions can be uniformly approximated using trigonometric polynomial functions.

*Step-4:* Finally, we extend this to a function that is 1-periodic and integrable on one period. We know that we can approximate any Riemann integrable functions using continuous functions – using step function approximations as an intermediate step – in the  $L^1$  sense. But here, we wish to have better control of the approximators.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f_+, f_-$  be continuous, 1-periodic functions with  $f_-(x) \leq f(x) \leq f_+(x)$ , and

$$\int_0^1 (f_+(x) - f_-(x)) dx < \varepsilon.$$

We let  $A := \int_0^1 f_-(x) dx$  and  $B := \int_0^1 f_+(x) dx$ , and note that we can split this integral using Baby Rudin theorem 6.12. We don't know if the limit of their corresponding sums in eq.  $(\diamond)$  exists, but we know that the limsup and liminf exist; they might exist as extended reals, but that is fine by us. Hence, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(n\alpha) &\leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_+(n\alpha) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_+(n\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(n\alpha) \geq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_-(n\alpha).$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(n\alpha) = \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(n\alpha) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx.$$

□