Lecture-19

Sushrut Tadwalkar; 55554711

March 1, 2024

Quotes of the day: Dr. Joshua Zahl 02/28/2024

No quotes today:(

Definition: Boundedness

Let (\mathcal{X},d) be a metric space, $\mathcal{E}\subseteq\mathcal{X}$, and \mathscr{F} be a family of functions $f:\mathcal{E}\to\mathbb{C}$. We say \mathscr{F} is **point-wise bounded** if there exists $\varphi:\mathcal{E}\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(x)|\leq \varphi(x)$ fr every $x\in\mathcal{E}$, and $f\in\mathscr{F}$. We say \mathscr{F} is **uniformly bounded** if there exists $M\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(x)|\leq M$ for all $x\in\mathcal{E}$ and $f\in\mathscr{F}$.

How would we generalize this, i.e., for $f: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Y}$, where (\mathcal{Y}, ρ) is a metric space? Point-wise is harder to talk about, but in the uniform case, we say that $f(\mathcal{E})$ is contained with a bounded set; here our bounded set is centred at zero, but could be anywhere. However, we will just stick with looking at functions to \mathbb{C} .

Theorem: Baby Rudin 7.23

Let \mathcal{X} be a metric space, $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, \mathcal{E} countable. Let $f_n : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{C}$, and suppose $\{f_n\}$ is point-wise bounded on \mathcal{E} . Then there exists a subsequence that converges point-wise on \mathcal{E} .

Proof sketch. We will do a diagonalization argument. The idea here is that we get a sub-sequence that would for one element of \mathcal{E} , then a sub-sequence that works for two elements of \mathcal{E} , etc.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} := \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. We know $\{f_n(x_1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence of complex numbers, and hence has a convergent subsequence $\{f_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$; note that this is a sequence of functions, *not* evaluated at x_1 . We will construct successive such sub-sequences.

where $\{f_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sub-sequence of $\{f_{i-1,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, and thus $\{f_{i,k}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges. Consider the diagonal sequence $f_{i,i}$; this converges at x_j for every j. Because $\{f_{i,i}\}$ is a sub-sequence of $\{f_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, except possibly for the first j-1 elements.

Theorem: Arzelá-Ascoli theorem (Baby Rudin 7)

Let \mathcal{K} be a compact metric space, $\{f_n\}\subseteq\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$ be equicontinuous and point-wise bounded. Then

- (a) $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly bounded.
- (b) $\{f_n\}$ has a uniformly convergent sub-sequence.

Before we prove this, we recall the definition of sequential compactness (Bolzano-Weierstraß): every convergent sequence has a convergent subsequence. However, in this case we cannot really say that this is "compact", because it is not quite a sequence in a metric space, but it gives us some similar idea.

Proof. (a) We need to find $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|f_n(x)| \leq M$. Since $\{f_n\}$ is equicontinuous, there exists $\delta > 0$ ($\varepsilon = 1$) such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$ having $d(x, y) < \delta$, we have $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < 1$. Since \mathcal{K} is compact, the cover $\{\mathcal{N}(\delta; x)\}_{x \in \mathcal{K}}$ has a finite subcover $\mathcal{N}(\delta; x_1), \ldots, \mathcal{N}(\delta; x_l)$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, l$, $\{f_n(x_i)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded by M_i . Let $\{M_i = 1 + \max\{M_1, \ldots, M_l\}\}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{K}$, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|f_n(x)| \le |f_n(x_i)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(x_i)| < M_i + 1 \le M,$$

where x_i is a point with $x \in \mathcal{N}(\delta; x_i)$. Hence, we have uniform boundedness.

- (b) Step-1: Let \mathcal{E} be a countable dense subset of \mathcal{K} . The existence of this is a straightforward exercise using covers of balls having radii equal to $\frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and compactness. By theorem 7.23, there exists a sub-sequence of $\{f_n\}$ that converges point-wise on \mathcal{E} ; let this sequence be $\{g_i\}$. We show that $\{g_i\}$ satisfies the Cauchy criterion for convergence:
 - Step-2: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By equicontinuity of $\{g_i\}$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$ having $d(x, y) < \delta$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $|g_i(x) g_i(y)| < \varepsilon/3$. Since $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ is dense, $\{\mathcal{N}(\delta; y)\}_{y \in \mathcal{K}}$ is a cover of \mathcal{K} , thus there exists a finite subcover $\{\mathcal{N}(\delta; y_1), \ldots, \mathcal{N}(\delta; y_l)\}$, where $y_i \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $1 \le i \le l$. For all $x \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists s such that $d(x, y_s) < \delta$.

Step-3: Now all that remains to do is an $\varepsilon/3$ argument. For $x \in \mathcal{K}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|g_i(x) - g_j(y)| \leq \underbrace{|g_i(x) - g_i(y_s)|}_{<\varepsilon/3} + \underbrace{|g_i(y_s) - g_j(y_s)|}_{\text{converges}} + \underbrace{|g_j(x) - g_j(x)|}_{<\varepsilon/3}.$$

If we choose a sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all i, j > N, we have $|g_i(y_s) - g_j(y_s)| < \varepsilon/3$ for all $s \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, because there are only finite number of choices for s.

Note (Importance of compactness). We used compactness in an essential manner in parts (a) and (b); a good exercise is to find out how this fails when K is not compact.