## Zero-Inflated Bandits Extra Theorem

## December 6, 2024

**Theorem** (Asymptotic Optimality). Suppose the conditions in Theorem 1 hold with  $\theta = 2$ . Let the non-zero components belong to Gaussian distributions with variance  $\sigma^2$ . For any consistent algorithm (see Definition 16.1 in Lattimore and Szepesvári, 2020), the following holds

$$\liminf_{T \to +\infty} \frac{\mathcal{R}(T)}{\log T} \geq \sum_{k=2}^K \left[ \frac{\left[0 \vee (\mu_k - r_1/p_k)\right]^2}{2\sigma^2} + p_k \log \left(\frac{p_k}{p_k \wedge (r_1/\mu_k)}\right) + (1 - p_k) \log \left(\frac{1 - p_k}{1 - p_k \wedge (r_1/\mu_k)}\right) \right].$$

Specially, an algorithm achieves problem-dependent minimax optimality for sub-Gaussian non-zero components only if its regret satisfies

$$\liminf_{T \to +\infty} \frac{\mathcal{R}(T)}{\log T} \lesssim \sum_{k=2}^{K} \frac{1}{p_k^2 \Delta_k}.$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 16.2 in Lattimore and Szepesvári (2020), an algorithm is deemed asymptotically optimal for problem-dependent regret if it satisfies:

$$\liminf_{T \to +\infty} \frac{\mathcal{R}(T)}{\log T} = \sum_{k=2}^{K} \frac{\Delta_k}{d_{\inf}(P_k, r_1, \mathcal{M}_k)}.$$

where  $d_{\inf}(P, r, \mathcal{M}) = \inf_{P' \in \mathcal{M}} \{ \operatorname{KL}(P, P') : \mathbb{E}_{R \sim P'} R > r \}$ . Here the model class  $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{X}_k \times \mathcal{Y}_k$  is ZI structure such that

$$\mathcal{X}_k = \{X - \mu_k \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2) : \mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 0\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{Y}_k = \{Y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_k) : p_k \in (0, 1)\},$  where  $X \perp Y$ .

To prove the first part of the theorem, let us choose a subclass  $\mathcal{X}_k^* \subset \mathcal{X}_k$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_k^* = \{X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2) : \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Denote  $P_k := \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2) \times \text{Ber}(p_k) \in \mathcal{M}_k^* := \mathcal{X}_k^* \times \mathcal{Y}_k$ . The remaining task is to calculate

$$d_{\inf}(P_k, r_1, \mathcal{M}_k^*) = \inf_{\mu_k, p_k : \mu_k p_k > r_1} \mathrm{KL}(P_1, P_k).$$

Here we first note that the independence between  $\mathcal{X}_k^*$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_k$  implies

$$KL(P_1, P_k) = KL\left\{\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2), \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2)\right\} + KL\left\{Ber(p_1), Ber(p_k)\right\}$$
$$= \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma^2} + p_k \log(p_k/p_1) + (1 - p_k) \log\left(\frac{1 - p_k}{1 - p_1}\right).$$

Then consider the restriction  $\mu_1 p_1 > \mu_k p_k$ , there are two cases:

• If  $\mu_1 \le r_1/p_1$ . Then we can let  $p_k = p_1$  and then  $\mu_k < r_1/p_1 = r_1/p_k$  suffices to satisfy the constraint. In this case, KL  $\{ Ber(p_1), Ber(p_k) \} = 0$ , and thus

$$\inf_{\mu_k, p_k: \mu_k p_k > r_1} \mathrm{KL}(P_1, P_k) = \inf_{\mu_k < r_1/p_k = \mu_1} \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma^2} = \frac{(\mu_k - r_1/p_k)^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

• If  $\mu_1 > r_1/p_1$ , we let  $\mu_k = \mu_1$  and then  $p_k < r_1/\mu_1 = r_1/\mu_k$  satisfies the constraint. Similarly, in this case

$$\inf_{\mu_k, p_k : \mu_k p_k > r_1} \text{KL}(P_1, P_k) = \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_1 = r_1/\mu_k = p_1} \left( p_k \log(p_k/p_1) + (1 - p_k) \log\left(\frac{1 - p_k}{1 - p_1}\right) \right) \\
= p_k \log\left(\frac{p_k}{r_1/\mu_k}\right) + (1 - p_k) \log\left(\frac{1 - p_k}{1 - r_1/\mu_k}\right).$$

By combining the two cases, we complete the proof for the first part.

For proving the second argument in the theorem, we note that  $P_k := \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2) \times \text{Ber}(p_k) \in \mathcal{M}_k$ . which implies

$$d_{\inf}(P_k, r_1, \mathcal{M}_k) \leq \inf_{\mu_k, p_k : \mu_k p_k > r_1} \mathrm{KL}(P_1, P_k).$$

Thus, it suffices to bound the infimum derived in the first part. For the Gaussian part, it is straightforward to see that

$$\inf_{\mu_k < r_1/p_k} \frac{(\mu_k - r_1/p_k)^2}{2\sigma^2} = \frac{(\mu_k - r_1/p_k)^2}{4\sigma^2} = \frac{\Delta_k^2}{4p_k^2\sigma^2}.$$

For the Bernoulli part, applying the Pinsker's inequality, we get

$$\inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k : \mu_k = \mu_1} \operatorname{KL} \left\{ \operatorname{Ber}(p_1), \operatorname{Ber}(p_k) \right\} = \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k : \mu_k = \mu_1} p_k \log \left( \frac{p_k}{r_1/\mu_k} \right) + (1 - p_k) \log \left( \frac{1 - p_k}{1 - r_1/\mu_k} \right)$$

$$\leq \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k : \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{(p_k - r_1/\mu_k)^2}{(r_1/\mu_k) \wedge (1 - r_1/\mu_k)}$$

$$\leq \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k : \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{(r_k - r_1)^2}{\mu_k^2 (r_1/\mu_k)} + \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k : \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{(r_k - r_1)^2}{\mu_k^2 (1 - r_1/\mu_k)}.$$

Next, we bound the above two terms by

$$\inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k: \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{(r_k - r_1)^2}{\mu_k^2(r_1/\mu_k)} \le \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k: \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{\Delta_k^2}{\mu_1^2(r_1/\mu_1)}$$

$$= \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k: \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{\Delta_k^2}{r_1\mu_k}$$

$$\le \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k: \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{\Delta_k^2 p_k}{r_1\mu_k(r_1/\mu_k)} = \frac{\Delta_k^2 p_k}{r_1^2}$$

and

$$\inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k: \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{(r_k - r_1)^2}{\mu_k^2 (1 - r_1/\mu_k)} \le \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k: \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{\Delta_k^2}{\mu_k (\mu_k - r_1)}$$

$$= \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k: \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{\Delta_k^2}{\mu_k (\mu_1 - r_1)}$$

$$\le \inf_{p_k < r_1/\mu_k: \mu_k = \mu_1} \frac{\Delta_k^2 p_k^2}{\mu_k (\mu_1 - r_1) (r_1/\mu_k) (r_1/\mu_1)}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta_k^2 p_k^2}{r_1^2 (1 - p_1)}.$$

Finally, combining these bounds for both the Gaussian and Bernoulli components, we observe that the dominant terms depend on  $p_k, \Delta_k \in (0,1)$ . The asymptotic regret bound is confirmed as

$$\sum_{k=2}^{K} \frac{\Delta_k}{d_{\inf}(P_k, r_1, \mathcal{M}_k)} \gtrsim \sum_{k=2}^{K} \left( \frac{\Delta_k}{\frac{\Delta_k^2}{4p_k^2}} + \frac{\Delta_k}{\frac{\Delta_k^2 p_k}{2r_1^2}} + \frac{\Delta_k}{\frac{\Delta_k^2 p_k^2}{2r_1^2(1-p_1)}} \right) \\
= \sum_{k=2}^{K} \left( \frac{p_k^2}{\Delta_k} + \frac{1}{p_k \Delta_k} + \frac{1}{p_k^2 \Delta_k} \right),$$

which concludes the proof of the second part.

## References

Lattimore, T. and C. Szepesvári (2020). Bandit algorithms. Cambridge University Press.