Solutions to Math 51 First Exam — April 21, 2011

- 1. (12 points)
 - (a) Give the precise definition of a (linear) subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n .

(4 points) A linear subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n is a subset $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ which satisfies

- $0 \in V$.
- If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$ then $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in V$ ("V is closed under addition").
- If $\mathbf{x} \in V$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ then $c\mathbf{x} \in V$ ("V is closed under scalar multiplication").
- (b) Complete the following sentence: A set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is defined to be *linearly independent* if

(4 points)

... the equation $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}$ for $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ implies $c_1 = \cdots = c_k = 0$.

OR.

... no vector in $\{\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_k\}$ can be written as linear combination of the others.

(c) Suppose $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is a linearly independent set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^7 . Is $\{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, 3\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}, 2\mathbf{w}\}$ linearly independent? Justify your answer completely.

(4 points) The vectors in $\{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, 3\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}, 2\mathbf{w}\}$ are linearly independent.

Proof: For $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the equation

$$0 = c_1(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) + c_2(3\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) + c_3(2\mathbf{w}) = c_1\mathbf{u} + (c_1 + 3c_2)\mathbf{v} + (c_1 - c_2 + 2c_3)\mathbf{w}.$$

Since **u**, **v** and **w** are linearly independent by assumption, we conclude that

$$c_1 = 0$$
 and $c_1 + 3c_2 = 0$ and $c_1 - c_2 + 2c_3 = 0$. (1)

Putting $c_1 = 0$ in $c_1 + 3c_2 = 0$ implies $c_2 = 0$. And putting $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 0$ in $c_1 - c_2 + 2c_3 = 0$ implies $c_3 = 0$. Thus the vectors in $\{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, 3\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}, 2\mathbf{w}\}$ are linearly independent.

Alternatively, one can write (1) as matrix equation

$$A\mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Computing the reduced row echelon form yields

$$rref(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus $\operatorname{rref}(A)$ and A have rank 3 and the equation $A\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ admits only the trivial solution $\mathbf{c} = 0$. **Grader's comment:** Some students worked with the transposed matrix A^T . For questions involving only the rank of a matrix this does not matter. But generally, it *does* matter if one uses the transposed matrix or the non-transposed one; in particular, if one sets up a 'basis change matrix' and performs explicit calculations.

- 2. (12 points) Let Z be the plane in \mathbb{R}^3 containing the points (1,0,1), (0,1,-1), and (1,2,3).
 - (a) Find a parametric representation of Z.

(4 points) We need a point the plane passes through, and two vectors in the plane:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The parametric representation of the plane is thus:

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\-2 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\2 \end{bmatrix} \middle| s, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

(b) Give an equation for Z of the form ax + by + cz = d. (Here a,b,c,d are scalars, and x,y,z are the usual variables for coordinates of points in \mathbb{R}^3 .)

(4 points)

We find a normal \mathbf{n} to the plane, where $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$ from above: $\mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$.

The equation of the plane is then:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \iff 6(x-1) + 2(y-0) - 2(z-1) = 0$$
$$\iff \boxed{3x + y - z = 2}.$$

(c) Find the coordinates of a point P in Z having the property that the vector from the origin to P is perpendicular (normal) to Z.

(4 points) Denote the point we are looking for by $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$. Because the vector with the same entries is perpendicular to the plane Z, it must be parallel to Z's normal vector \mathbf{n} . Thus, we must have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = t \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } t.$$

But because the point is in the plane, it must satisfy the equation of the plane as follows:

$$3a + b - c = 2 \iff 3(6t) + 2t - (-2t) = 2$$
$$\iff 22t = 2.$$

Thus
$$t = \frac{1}{11}$$
, so the point we are looking for is $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{6}{11} \\ \frac{2}{11} \\ -\frac{2}{11} \end{bmatrix}$.

3. (8 points) Compute, showing all steps, the reduced row echelon form of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 10 & 8 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 10 & 8 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{swap} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 10 & 8 & 8 \end{bmatrix} -2I$$

$$\sim \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (-1)$$

$$\sim \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (-\frac{1}{6})$$

$$\sim \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 16 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (-\frac{1}{6})$$

$$\sim \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 16 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (-\frac{1}{6})$$

$$\sim \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 16 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. (12 points) Suppose that all we know about the 3×4 matrix A is that its entries are all nonzero, and that its reduced row echelon form is

$$\operatorname{rref}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (**Note:** this is *not* the matrix A!)

(a) Find a basis for the null space of A; show your reasoning.

(4 points) We're able to solve this part without knowing A, because N(A) = N(rref(A)) (why?). We proceed in the standard manner: using rref(A), we can see that the third column does not contain a pivot, so x_3 is a freee variable. We now express the pivot variables in terms of the free variable:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_3 &= 0 \\ x_2 - x_3 &= 0 \\ x_4 &= 0 \end{cases} \text{ and so } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_3 \\ x_3 \\ x_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and we get that $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1\\1\\1 \end{array} \right\}$ is a basis for N(A).

(b) If the columns of A, in order, are $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, circle all sets of vectors below that give a basis for the column space of A. You do not need to justify your answer(s).

(4 points) We've seen that one basis for C(A) is formed by taking the columns of A that correspond to the pivot-columns of rref(A). Thus, $\{a_1, a_2, a_4\}$ is a basis for C(A). From this, it immediately follows that dim C(A) = 3 and therefore no set of size 1, 2, or 4 can ever be a basis for C(A); we can thus eliminate from further consideration all such sets in the above list.

We're left to consider the three remaining three-element sets of column vectors listed above. Now, recall that according to a result from Chapter 12 of the text, a three-element subset of a three-dimensional subspace V is a basis for V if and only if it is linearly independent; this means it's sufficient for us to check whether these remaining choices are independent sets.

Next, note that since rref(A) has the same column dependencies as A (or equivalently using part (a)), we know that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} -2\\1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ctd next page)

Thus, using the column-mixing properties of the matrix-vector product, we have that

$$-2\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_3 = 0,$$

which means that the set $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3\}$ is linearly dependent, so it cannot be a basis for C(A).

Finally, each of the remaining two options is an independent set (and thus a basis); for example, since

$$\mathbf{a}_3 = 2\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2,$$

we may re-think of $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4\}$ as $\{\mathbf{a}_1, 2\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_4\}$. Using the fact that the basis $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_4\}$ is linearly independent, we may make an argument analogous to that from problem 1(c) of this exam to see that $\{\mathbf{a}_1, 2\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_4\}$ is also independent. A similar argument works for $\{\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4\}$.

(c) Suppose we also know that the second and third columns of A are, respectively,

$$\mathbf{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{a}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 5 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Use this information to find the first column a_1 of A; give your reasoning.

(4 points) From above, we see that
$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_3)$$
. Thus, $\mathbf{a}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

5.	time	points) Each of the statements below is either always true ("T"), or as true and sometimes false, depending on the situation ("MAYBE"). It circle the appropriate choice; you do not need to justify your answers.	For eac	•	* *
	(a)	A set of 4 vectors in \mathbb{R}^5 is linearly independent.	Τ	F	MAYBE
		One the one hand, consider any four-element subset of the standard consider any four-element set containing $0=(0,0,0,0,0)$.	basis f	for \mathbb{R}^5 ;	on the other,
	(b)	A set of 4 vectors in \mathbb{R}^5 spans \mathbb{R}^5 .	Т	F	MAYBE
		If this was possible, then Proposition 12.1 would imply that <i>any</i> set of dependent, which is clearly false (for, consider the standard basis of the stand		tors in	\mathbb{R}^5 is linearly
	(c)	A set of 5 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 is linearly independent.	${ m T}$	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	MAYBE
	See Proposition 8.4; every set of 5 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 is linearly dependent.				
	(d)	A set of 5 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 spans \mathbb{R}^4 .	Τ	F	MAYBE
		One the one hand, consider any basis for \mathbb{R}^4 with an extra vector consider any five different scalar multiples of a single nonzero vector.		n in;	on the other,
	(e)	A set of 5 vectors which spans \mathbb{R}^5 is linearly independent.	Т	F	MAYBE
		This follows immediately from Proposition 12.3, since \mathbb{R}^5 has dimensi	ion 5.		
	(f)	A set of 5 linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^5 spans \mathbb{R}^5 .	Т	F	MAYBE
		This follows immediately from Proposition 12.3, since \mathbb{R}^5 has dimens	ion 5.		
	(g)	The span of 4 vectors in \mathbb{R}^5 is a 4-dimensional subspace.	Τ	F	MAYBE
		One the one hand, consider any four-element subset of the standard consider any four-element set containing $0=(0,0,0,0,0)$.	basis f	for \mathbb{R}^5 ;	on the other,
	(h)	The span of 5 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 is a 5-dimensional subspace.	Τ	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	MAYBE
		\mathbb{R}^4 doesn't have any 5-dimensional subspaces, because such a subspace 5; but there are no linearly independent subsets of \mathbb{R}^4 of size 5 by Pr			
	(i)	A set of 4 vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 forms a basis for \mathbb{R}^4 .	Τ	F	MAYBE
		One the one hand, consider the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^4 , on the other, set containing $0=(0,0,0,0).$	consid	ler any	four-element
	(j)	A basis for \mathbb{R}^4 contains exactly 4 vectors.	Т	F	MAYBE
		This follows immediately from Proposition 12.2, since \mathbb{R}^4 has dimensi	ion 4.		

- 6. (12 points) For each part, provide with reasoning an example of a matrix (A, B, or C, respectively) that satisfies the given property, or briefly explain why no such matrix can exist.
 - (a) The linear system $A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ has infinitely many solutions.

(4 points) First, note that for the system to make sense, the matrix A should be a 3×2 matrix. It would have infinitely many solutions if the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$ is in C(A) and nullity A on A are linearly dependent.

nullity condition implies that rank(A) < 2; i.e., that the two columns of A are linearly dependent. Such a matrix exists and possible choices of A include

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ among many other options.}$$

(b) The linear system $B\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ has exactly one solution.

(4 points) Again, the matrix B should be a 3×2 matrix. The system would have a unique solution if the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$ is in C(B), and also nullity C(B)=0. The nullity condition implies that C(B)=0 that the two columns of B are linearly independent. Such a matrix exists

that rank(B) = 2; i.e., that the two columns of B are linearly independent. Such a matrix exists and possible choices of B include

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ among many other options.}$$

(c) The linear system $C\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ has no solutions.

(4 points) If C is a 3×2 matrix, the system would have no solution if $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not in the column

space of C. Such a matrix C exists and can be constructed easily by including a row of zeros to give an "inconsistent" equation. For example, if the last row of C is zero, the system would imply $(0)x_1 + (0)x_2 = -1$, which is impossible. Possible choices of C include

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ among many other options.}$$

- 7. (12 points)
 - (a) Suppose $\mathbf{S}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a linear transformation such that

$$\mathbf{S}\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}2\\3\\1\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}-1\\2\\2\end{bmatrix}$$

Find the matrix of **S**.

(4 points) Recall that the matrix corresponding to a linear transformation is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & | \\ \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{e}_1) & \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{e}_2) \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}$$

so to figure out the matrix, we need only compute $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{e}_1)$ and $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{e}_2)$. Conveniently, $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{e}_1)$ is given to us. And we can figure out $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{e}_2)$ as follows: by linearity,

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{e}_2) = \mathbf{S}\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = \mathbf{S}\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\\1\end{bmatrix} - 2\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \mathbf{S}\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) - 2\mathbf{S}\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}-1\\2\\2\end{bmatrix} - 2\begin{bmatrix}2\\3\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-5\\-4\\0\end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the matrix A corresponding to \mathbf{S} is $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ 3 & -4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) Let $\mathbf{T}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the linear transformation that projects vectors onto the line y = x. Find the matrix of \mathbf{T} .

(4 points) We recall that for projections in \mathbb{R}^2 , the matrix of \mathbf{Proj}_L is given by the expression

$$\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^2 & v_1 v_2 \\ v_1 v_2 & v_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$ is any spanning vector for the line L that we are projecting onto. In this particular example, L is y = x, and this is spanned by (among other choices) the vector (1, 1).

So, letting $\mathbf{v} = (1,1) = (v_1, v_2)$, we compute that the matrix B of \mathbf{T} is $B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

(Alternatively, we could use the formula $\mathbf{Proj}_L(\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}$ to find the columns of B; here $\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,1)$ is a *unit vector* that spans L. This formula for \mathbf{Proj}_L in \mathbb{R}^n holds for any n!)

(c) For **S** and **T** as above, find the matrix of $S \circ T$ or explain why it cannot be defined.

(4 points) The linear transformation $\mathbf{S} \circ \mathbf{T} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined, because the codomain of \mathbf{T} and the domain of \mathbf{S} coincide (both are \mathbb{R}^2). Or, at the matrix level, $\mathbf{S} \circ \mathbf{T}$ has matrix given by the product AB (using the names above); this product exists because A is 3×2 and B is 2×2 .

We do the product (note it's 3×2): $AB = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ 3 & -4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -3 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$