## Question 1

**11.4** We bring the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  to row-reduced echelon form:

So we see that the solutions to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  are  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = x_5 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , showing that  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is a

basis for the null-space.

A basis for the column space is given by the columns of A that have a pivot after row-reducing,

that is the first four columns in this case. So a basis for C(A) is  $\left\{\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\\1\\1\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\\0\\1\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\\0\\1\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\\1\\1\end{bmatrix}\right\}$ 

**11.12** False. For example if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $R = \text{rref}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . So a basis for C(R) is  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ , which is not a basis for  $C(A) = \text{Span} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ 

**11.13 True.** Since the null-space is the set of solutions to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , and row-reducing doesn't change the solutions, we have N(A) = N(R), and therefore any basis of one is a basis of the other.

## 12.13

- a) **True**. Since the vectors span V, and V is d dimensional, the set of vectors is a minimal spanning set, hence a basis.
- b) True. The vectors form a maximal linearly independent set, and therefore are a basis.
- c) False. The statement is only true if  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is linearly independent.
- d) **False**. For example we could just take each linear combination to be **0**, which is clearly not a basis.
- e) **True**. First of all, any other basis consists of k elements. Each of these then can be expressed as a linear combination of the  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  (since these form a basis).

- **13.3** This is not linear. For example f(1,0) = (1,-5) while  $f(2,0) = (4,-10) \neq 2f(1,0)$ .
- 13.20 Let  $\mathbf{w} \in T(V)$  be any vector. That is,  $\mathbf{w} = T\mathbf{v}$  for some  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then  $v = c_1\mathbf{v_1} + \ldots + c_k\mathbf{v_k}$  for some  $c_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , since the  $\mathbf{v_i}$  span V. But then  $\mathbf{w} = T\mathbf{v} = T(c_1\mathbf{v_1} + \ldots + c_k\mathbf{v_k}) = c_1T\mathbf{v_1} + \ldots + c_kT\mathbf{v_k}$  by the linearity of T, so  $\mathbf{w}$  is in the span of  $\{T\mathbf{v_1}, \ldots, T\mathbf{v_k}\}$ . Since  $\mathbf{w}$  was arbitrary, this means  $\{T\mathbf{v_1}, \ldots, T\mathbf{v_k}\}$  spans T(V).
- **14.10** The described transformation maps  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (which is along the x-axis) to  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Also, it reflects through the x-axis, so it maps  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . From these we conclude T has matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  with respect to the standard basis.
- **14.12** The transformation T leaves the xy-plane unchanged, so

$$T\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$And \ T \ maps \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \ to \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\-1 \end{bmatrix}, so the matrix of \ T \ is \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

14.13a First we want to find a unit vector in the direction of the line L. For this we can take  $\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 2/3 \\ 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\mathbf{Proj}_L$  is given by  $\mathbf{Proj}_L \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{u}$ , so in particular

$$\mathbf{Proj}_{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1/3\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/9 \\ 2/9 \\ 2/9 \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{Proj}_{L} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 2/3\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/9 \\ 4/9 \\ 4/9 \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{Proj}_{L} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2/3\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/9 \\ 4/9 \\ 4/9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the matrix of  $\mathbf{Proj}_L$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1/9 & 2/9 & 2/9 \\ 2/9 & 4/9 & 4/9 \\ 2/9 & 4/9 & 4/9 \end{pmatrix}$ 

## Question 2

For a  $3 \times 4$  matrix, Rank-Nullity says that the sum of the rank and nullity is 4. Hence it is not possible to have rank 3, nullity 2.

## Question 3

Let  $\mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{e_2}, \mathbf{e_3}$  be the standard basis vectors (in the directions of the x, y and z axis respectively). Then we know the rotation fixes the y-axis, so  $T\mathbf{e_2} = e_2$ . Now in the zx-plane we just have the usual rotation in the plane, so it maps

$$T\mathbf{e_3} = \cos\theta\mathbf{e_3} + \sin\theta\mathbf{e_1}$$
 and

$$T\mathbf{e_1} = -\sin\theta\mathbf{e_3} + \cos\theta\mathbf{e_1}.$$

(The only thing we need to be careful about is that looking from the direction of the y-axis, a counterclockwise rotation rotates the z-axis towards the x-axis).

Now we can write down the matrix for the rotation as 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$