Scan Report

June 4, 2025

Summary

This document reports on the results of an automatic security scan. All dates are displayed using the timezone "Coordinated Universal Time", which is abbreviated "UTC". The task was "Full Network Scan". The scan started at Tue Jun 3 10:56:51 2025 UTC and ended at Tue Jun 3 15:26:16 2025 UTC. The report first summarises the results found. Then, for each host, the report describes every issue found. Please consider the advice given in each description, in order to rectify the issue.

Contents

1 Result Overview

Host	High	Medium	Low	Log	False Positive
192.168.31.1	0	5	2	0	0
192.168.31.67	0	0	1	0	0
192.168.31.14	0	0	1	0	0
192.168.31.224	0	0	1	0	0
192.168.31.166	0	0	1	0	0
192.168.31.2	0	0	1	0	0
192.168.31.248	0	0	1	0	0
192.168.31.99	0	0	1	0	0
Total: 8	0	5	9	0	0

Vendor security updates are not trusted.
Overrides are off. Even when a result has an override, this report uses the actual threat of the result.
Information on overrides is included in the report.
Notes are included in the report.
This report might not show details of all issues that were found.
Issues with the threat level "Log" are not shown.
Issues with the threat level "Debug" are not shown.
Issues with the threat level "False Positive" are not shown.
Only results with a minimum QoD of 70 are shown.

This report contains all 14 results selected by the filtering described above. Before filtering there were 198 results.

2 Results per Host

2.1 192.168.31.1

Host scan start	Tue Jun 3 10:57:15 2025 UTC
Host scan end	Tue Jun 3 12:02:39 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
5068/tcp	Medium
7443/tcp	Medium
443/tcp	Medium
8443/tcp	Medium
general/icmp	Low
general/tcp	Low

2.1.1 Medium 5068/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)

Summary
The remote SSL/TLS service is prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 70%

Vulnerability Detection Result

```
The following indicates that the remote SSL/TLS service is affected:
Protocol Version | Successful re-done SSL/TLS handshakes (Renegotiation) over an
↪ existing / already established SSL/TLS connection
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
↪-------------------------------------------------
TLSv1.0          | 10
TLSv1.1          | 10
TLSv1.2          | 10
```

Impact
The flaw might make it easier for remote attackers to cause a DoS (CPU consumption) by performing many renegotiations within a single connection.

Solution:
Solution type: VendorFix
Users should contact their vendors for specific patch information.
A general solution is to remove/disable renegotiation capabilities altogether from/in the affected SSL/TLS service.

Affected Software/OS
Every SSL/TLS service which does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation.

Vulnerability Insight
The flaw exists because the remote SSL/TLS service does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation within the SSL and TLS protocols.
Note: The referenced CVEs are affecting OpenSSL and Mozilla Network Security Services (NSS) but both are in a DISPUTED state with the following rationale:
> It can also be argued that it is the responsibility of server deployments, not a security library, to prevent or limit renegotiation when it is inappropriate within a specific environment.
Both CVEs are still kept in this VT as a reference to the origin of this flaw.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Checks if the remote service allows to re-do the same SSL/TLS handshake (Renegotiation) over an existing / already established SSL/TLS connection.
Details: `SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117761

. . . continues on next page . . .

... continued from previous page ...

Version used: 2024-09-27T05:05:23Z

References

cve: CVE-2011-1473
cve: CVE-2011-5094
url: https://web.archive.org/web/20211201133213/https://orchilles.com/ssl-renego
↪tiation-dos/
url: https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/tls/wdg46VE_jkYBbgJ5yE4P9nQ-8IU/
url: https://vincent.bernat.ch/en/blog/2011-ssl-dos-mitigation
url: https://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2011/07/08/2
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2024-1591
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2024-0796
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2023-1435
cert-bund: CB-K17/0980
cert-bund: CB-K17/0979
cert-bund: CB-K14/0772
cert-bund: CB-K13/0915
cert-bund: CB-K13/0462
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2025-0933
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1013
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1012
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0809
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1928
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1112

Medium (CVSS: 4.3)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection

Product detection result

cpe:/a:ietf:transport_layer_security:1.0
Detected by SSL/TLS: Version Detection (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105782)

Summary

It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 98%

Vulnerability Detection Result

In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and
↪ TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers c
↪an be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1
↪.25623.1.0.802067) VT.

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

Impact
An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection.
Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols.
Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

Affected Software/OS
- All services providing an encrypted communication using the TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols
- CVE-2023-41928: Kiloview P1 4G and P2 4G Video Encoder
- CVE-2024-41270: Gorush v1.18.4
- CVE-2025-3200: Multiple products from Wiesemann & Theis

Vulnerability Insight
The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like:
- CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST)
- CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)

Vulnerability Detection Method
Checks the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system.
Details: `SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274
Version used: `2025-04-30T05:39:51Z`

Product Detection Result
Product: `cpe:/a:ietf:transport_layer_security:1.0`
Method: `SSL/TLS: Version Detection`
OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105782)

References
`cve: CVE-2011-3389`
`cve: CVE-2015-0204`
`cve: CVE-2023-41928`
`cve: CVE-2024-41270`
`cve: CVE-2025-3200`
`url: https://ssl-config.mozilla.org`
`url: https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/EN/BSI/Publications/TechGuidel`
`↪ines/TG02102/BSI-TR-02102-1.html`

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

```
url: https://www.bsi.bund.de/EN/Themen/Oeffentliche-Verwaltung/Mindeststandards/
↪TLS-Protokoll/TLS-Protokoll_node.html
url: https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/BSI/Publikationen/Technisch
↪eRichtlinien/TR03116/BSI-TR-03116-4.html
url: https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/BSI/Mindeststandards/Mindes
↪tstandard_BSI_TLS_Version_2_4.html
url: https://web.archive.org/web/20240113175943/https://www.bettercrypto.org
url: https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorithms-key-size-and-parameters
↪-report-2014
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/
url: https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast.html
url: https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak
url: https://certvde.com/en/advisories/VDE-2025-031/
url: https://gist.github.com/nyxfqq/cfae38fada582a0f576d154be1aeb1fc
url: https://advisories.ncsc.nl/advisory?id=NCSC-2024-0273
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2023-1435
cert-bund: CB-K18/0799
cert-bund: CB-K16/1289
cert-bund: CB-K16/1096
cert-bund: CB-K15/1751
cert-bund: CB-K15/1266
cert-bund: CB-K15/0850
cert-bund: CB-K15/0764
cert-bund: CB-K15/0720
cert-bund: CB-K15/0548
cert-bund: CB-K15/0526
cert-bund: CB-K15/0509
cert-bund: CB-K15/0493
cert-bund: CB-K15/0384
cert-bund: CB-K15/0365
cert-bund: CB-K15/0364
cert-bund: CB-K15/0302
cert-bund: CB-K15/0192
cert-bund: CB-K15/0079
cert-bund: CB-K15/0016
cert-bund: CB-K14/1342
cert-bund: CB-K14/0231
cert-bund: CB-K13/0845
cert-bund: CB-K13/0796
cert-bund: CB-K13/0790
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0177
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0111
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2019-0068
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1441
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1408
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1372
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1164
```

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0388
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1853
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1332
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0884
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0800
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0758
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0567
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0544
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0530
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0396
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0375
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0374
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0305
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0199
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0079
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-1414
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1847
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1792
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1979
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1829
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1530
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1380
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1377
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1292
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1214
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1213
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1180
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1156
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1155
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1039
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0956
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0908
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0868
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0867
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0848
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0838
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0776
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0722
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0638
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0451
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0418
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0354
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0234
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0221
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0177

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

```
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0170
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0146
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0142
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0126
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0123
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0095
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0051
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0047
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1953
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1946
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1844
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1826
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1774
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1743
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1738
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1706
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1628
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1619
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1482
```

[return to 192.168.31.1]

2.1.2 Medium 7443/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)

Summary
The remote SSL/TLS service is prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 70%

Vulnerability Detection Result

```
The following indicates that the remote SSL/TLS service is affected:
Protocol Version | Successful re-done SSL/TLS handshakes (Renegotiation) over an
↪ existing / already established SSL/TLS connection
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
↪-------------------------------------------------
TLSv1.2          | 10
```

Impact

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

The flaw might make it easier for remote attackers to cause a DoS (CPU consumption) by performing many renegotiations within a single connection.

Solution:
Solution type: VendorFix
Users should contact their vendors for specific patch information.
A general solution is to remove/disable renegotiation capabilities altogether from/in the affected SSL/TLS service.

Affected Software/OS
Every SSL/TLS service which does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation.

Vulnerability Insight
The flaw exists because the remote SSL/TLS service does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation within the SSL and TLS protocols.
Note: The referenced CVEs are affecting OpenSSL and Mozilla Network Security Services (NSS) but both are in a DISPUTED state with the following rationale:
> It can also be argued that it is the responsibility of server deployments, not a security library, to prevent or limit renegotiation when it is inappropriate within a specific environment.
Both CVEs are still kept in this VT as a reference to the origin of this flaw.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Checks if the remote service allows to re-do the same SSL/TLS handshake (Renegotiation) over an existing / already established SSL/TLS connection.
Details: `SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117761
Version used: `2024-09-27T05:05:23Z`

References
`cve: CVE-2011-1473`
`cve: CVE-2011-5094`
`url: https://web.archive.org/web/20211201133213/https://orchilles.com/ssl-renego`
`↪tiation-dos/`
`url: https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/tls/wdg46VE_jkYBbgJ5yE4P9nQ-8IU/`
`url: https://vincent.bernat.ch/en/blog/2011-ssl-dos-mitigation`
`url: https://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2011/07/08/2`
`cert-bund: WID-SEC-2024-1591`
`cert-bund: WID-SEC-2024-0796`
`cert-bund: WID-SEC-2023-1435`
`cert-bund: CB-K17/0980`
`cert-bund: CB-K17/0979`
`cert-bund: CB-K14/0772`
`cert-bund: CB-K13/0915`
`cert-bund: CB-K13/0462`
`dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2025-0933`
`dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1013`

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

```
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1012
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0809
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1928
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1112
```

[return to 192.168.31.1]

2.1.3 Medium 443/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)

Summary
The remote SSL/TLS service is prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 70%

Vulnerability Detection Result

```
The following indicates that the remote SSL/TLS service is affected:
Protocol Version | Successful re-done SSL/TLS handshakes (Renegotiation) over an
↪ existing / already established SSL/TLS connection
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
↪----------------------------------------------------
TLSv1.2          | 10
```

Impact
The flaw might make it easier for remote attackers to cause a DoS (CPU consumption) by performing many renegotiations within a single connection.

Solution:
Solution type: VendorFix
Users should contact their vendors for specific patch information.
A general solution is to remove/disable renegotiation capabilities altogether from/in the affected SSL/TLS service.

Affected Software/OS
Every SSL/TLS service which does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation.

Vulnerability Insight
The flaw exists because the remote SSL/TLS service does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation within the SSL and TLS protocols.
Note: The referenced CVEs are affecting OpenSSL and Mozilla Network Security Services (NSS) but both are in a DISPUTED state with the following rationale:

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

> It can also be argued that it is the responsibility of server deployments, not a security library, to prevent or limit renegotiation when it is inappropriate within a specific environment.
Both CVEs are still kept in this VT as a reference to the origin of this flaw.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Checks if the remote service allows to re-do the same SSL/TLS handshake (Renegotiation) over an existing / already established SSL/TLS connection.
Details: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117761
Version used: 2024-09-27T05:05:23Z

References
cve: CVE-2011-1473
cve: CVE-2011-5094
url: https://web.archive.org/web/20211201133213/https://orchilles.com/ssl-renego
↪tiation-dos/
url: https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/tls/wdg46VE_jkYBbgJ5yE4P9nQ-8IU/
url: https://vincent.bernat.ch/en/blog/2011-ssl-dos-mitigation
url: https://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2011/07/08/2
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2024-1591
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2024-0796
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2023-1435
cert-bund: CB-K17/0980
cert-bund: CB-K17/0979
cert-bund: CB-K14/0772
cert-bund: CB-K13/0915
cert-bund: CB-K13/0462
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2025-0933
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1013
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1012
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0809
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1928
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1112

[return to 192.168.31.1]

2.1.4 Medium 8443/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)

Summary
The remote SSL/TLS service is prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

Quality of Detection (QoD): 70%

Vulnerability Detection Result

```
The following indicates that the remote SSL/TLS service is affected:
Protocol Version | Successful re-done SSL/TLS handshakes (Renegotiation) over an
↪ existing / already established SSL/TLS connection
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
↪-----------------------------------------------
TLSv1.2          | 10
```

Impact

The flaw might make it easier for remote attackers to cause a DoS (CPU consumption) by performing many renegotiations within a single connection.

Solution:

Solution type: VendorFix

Users should contact their vendors for specific patch information.

A general solution is to remove/disable renegotiation capabilities altogether from/in the affected SSL/TLS service.

Affected Software/OS

Every SSL/TLS service which does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation.

Vulnerability Insight

The flaw exists because the remote SSL/TLS service does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation within the SSL and TLS protocols.

Note: The referenced CVEs are affecting OpenSSL and Mozilla Network Security Services (NSS) but both are in a DISPUTED state with the following rationale:

> It can also be argued that it is the responsibility of server deployments, not a security library, to prevent or limit renegotiation when it is inappropriate within a specific environment.

Both CVEs are still kept in this VT as a reference to the origin of this flaw.

Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks if the remote service allows to re-do the same SSL/TLS handshake (Renegotiation) over an existing / already established SSL/TLS connection.

Details: `SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)`

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117761

Version used: `2024-09-27T05:05:23Z`

References

```
cve: CVE-2011-1473
cve: CVE-2011-5094
url: https://web.archive.org/web/20211201133213/https://orchilles.com/ssl-renego
↪tiation-dos/
url: https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/tls/wdg46VE_jkYBbgJ5yE4P9nQ-8IU/
url: https://vincent.bernat.ch/en/blog/2011-ssl-dos-mitigation
```

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

```
url: https://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2011/07/08/2
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2024-1591
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2024-0796
cert-bund: WID-SEC-2023-1435
cert-bund: CB-K17/0980
cert-bund: CB-K17/0979
cert-bund: CB-K14/0772
cert-bund: CB-K13/0915
cert-bund: CB-K13/0462
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2025-0933
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1013
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1012
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0809
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1928
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1112
```

[return to 192.168.31.1]

2.1.5 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result
The following response / ICMP packet has been received:
- ICMP Type: 14
- ICMP Code: 0

Impact
This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
Various mitigations are possible:
- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

Vulnerability Insight
The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.
Details: `ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190
Version used: `2025-01-21T05:37:33Z`

References
`cve: CVE-1999-0524`
`url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792`
`url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780`
`cert-bund: CB-K15/1514`
`cert-bund: CB-K14/0632`
`dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658`

[return to 192.168.31.1]

2.1.6 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result
```
It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.
The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:
Packet 1: 1270972754
Packet 2: 1270974031
```

Impact
A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.
To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.
The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.
See the references for more information.

Affected Software/OS
TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Insight
The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.
Details: `TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091
Version used: `2023-12-15T16:10:08Z`

References
`url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323`
`url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323`
`url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/d`
`↪ownload/details.aspx?id=9152`
`url: https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090`

[return to 192.168.31.1]

2.2 192.168.31.67

Host scan start Tue Jun 3 10:57:15 2025 UTC
Host scan end Tue Jun 3 11:09:27 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/tcp	Low

2.2.1 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result
```
It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.
The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:
Packet 1: 1301697953
Packet 2: 2172252151
```

Impact
A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.
To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.
The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.
See the references for more information.

Affected Software/OS
TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Insight
The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.
Details: `TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091
Version used: `2023-12-15T16:10:08Z`

References
```
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323
url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/d
```

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

```
↪ownload/details.aspx?id=9152
url: https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090
```

[return to 192.168.31.67]

2.3 192.168.31.14

Host scan start	Tue Jun 3 10:57:15 2025 UTC
Host scan end	Tue Jun 3 11:09:46 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/tcp	Low

2.3.1 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result

```
It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.
The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:
Packet 1: 2648598264
Packet 2: 3987848810
```

Impact
A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.
To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.
The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.
See the references for more information.

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

Affected Software/OS
TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Insight
The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.
Details: `TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091
Version used: `2023-12-15T16:10:08Z`

References
`url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323`
`url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323`
`url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/d`
`↪ownload/details.aspx?id=9152`
`url: https://www.fortiguard.com/psirt/FG-IR-16-090`

[return to 192.168.31.14]

2.4 192.168.31.224

Host scan start Tue Jun 3 10:57:15 2025 UTC
Host scan end Tue Jun 3 10:59:58 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.4.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result
`The following response / ICMP packet has been received:`

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

- ICMP Type: 14
- ICMP Code: 0

Impact
This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
Various mitigations are possible:
- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

Vulnerability Insight
The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.
Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190
Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z

References
cve: CVE-1999-0524
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780
cert-bund: CB-K15/1514
cert-bund: CB-K14/0632
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[return to 192.168.31.224]

2.5 192.168.31.166

Host scan start Tue Jun 3 10:57:15 2025 UTC
Host scan end Tue Jun 3 10:59:34 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.5.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result
```
The following response / ICMP packet has been received:
- ICMP Type: 14
- ICMP Code: 0
```

Impact
This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
Various mitigations are possible:
- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

Vulnerability Insight
The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.
Details: `ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190
Version used: `2025-01-21T05:37:33Z`

References
```
cve: CVE-1999-0524
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780
cert-bund: CB-K15/1514
cert-bund: CB-K14/0632
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658
```

[return to 192.168.31.166]

2.6 192.168.31.2

Host scan start	Tue Jun 3 10:57:15 2025 UTC
Host scan end	Tue Jun 3 10:59:33 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.6.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result
```
The following response / ICMP packet has been received:
- ICMP Type: 14
- ICMP Code: 0
```

Impact
This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
Various mitigations are possible:
- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

Vulnerability Insight
The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.
Details: `ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190

. . . continues on next page . . .

. . . continued from previous page . . .

Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z

References
cve: CVE-1999-0524
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780
cert-bund: CB-K15/1514
cert-bund: CB-K14/0632
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[return to 192.168.31.2]

2.7 192.168.31.248

Host scan start Tue Jun 3 10:57:16 2025 UTC
Host scan end Tue Jun 3 11:01:39 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.7.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

Vulnerability Detection Result
The following response / ICMP packet has been received:
- ICMP Type: 14
- ICMP Code: 0

Impact
This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
Various mitigations are possible:
- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely

. . . continues on next page . . .

... continued from previous page ...

- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

Vulnerability Insight
The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.
Details: `ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure`
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190
Version used: `2025-01-21T05:37:33Z`

References
`cve: CVE-1999-0524`
`url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792`
`url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780`
`cert-bund: CB-K15/1514`
`cert-bund: CB-K14/0632`
`dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658`

[return to 192.168.31.248]

2.8 192.168.31.99

Host scan start	Tue Jun 3 10:57:15 2025 UTC
Host scan end	Tue Jun 3 14:47:25 2025 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.8.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

Summary
The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

Quality of Detection (QoD): 80%

... continues on next page ...

... continued from previous page ...

Vulnerability Detection Result
The following response / ICMP packet has been received:
- ICMP Type: 14
- ICMP Code: 0

Impact
This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

Solution:
Solution type: Mitigation
Various mitigations are possible:
- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

Vulnerability Insight
The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method
Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.
Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190
Version used: 2025-01-21T05:37:33Z

References
cve: CVE-1999-0524
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780
cert-bund: CB-K15/1514
cert-bund: CB-K14/0632
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[return to 192.168.31.99]