

# Tutorial 9

Problem 10.48  $[G$  is a linear strict partial order  $\rightarrow$  for part (a)]

(a) We will use induction for this proof.

Lemma There always exists a directed path passing exactly through  $n$  given vertices of a linear strict partial order.

Proof

Base case: Take  $n=1$  vertex, only one zero length path exists.

Take 2 vertices. An edge always exists b/w them since graph is linear order. Only one edge exists since graph is asymmetric due to strict partial order.  
 $\therefore$  Unique path through two vertices.

Induction Hypothesis: Let  $P(n)$  be the predicate that "Given  $n$  vertices of a linear strict partial order, a <sup>directed</sup> path always exists <sup>passing</sup> exactly through them".

Assume  $P(n)$  is true.

Now, take set of  $n+1$  vertices say  $S_{n+1}$ .

Consider  $S'_n = S_{n+1} \setminus \{v\}$  where  $v \in S_{n+1}$

$S'_n$  contains  $n$  vertices. A directed path always passes through them, say

$$\{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$$

Case I  $(v, v_0) \in E(G)$  or  $(v_{n-1}, v) \in E(G)$

$$\{v, v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\} \quad \text{or} \quad \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v\}$$

are the new paths.

These pass exactly through the given  $n+1$  vertices.



Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Page \_\_\_\_\_

Case II  $(v_0, v) \in E(G)$  and  $(v, v_{n-1}) \in E(G)$ .

Let  $i$  be the largest index such that  
 $(v_i, v) \in E(G)$ .

$$0 \leq i \leq n-2 \quad [i \neq (v_{n-1}, v) \notin E(G)]$$

Then,  $(v, v_{i+1}) \in E(G)$

Because,  $\forall j > i$   $(v_j, v) \notin E(G)$

and since graph is linear order, ~~one edge~~  
at least one edge must exist.

So, our directed path becomes

$$\{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i, v, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$$

This passes exactly through the given  $n+1$  vertices.

Now, to prove that the path is unique, we will use contradiction.

Consider ~~any~~  $m$  vertices of ~~line~~  $G$ .

By our lemma, a path always passes exactly through these  $m$  vertices. Say

$$\{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m-1}\}$$

Since  $G$  is strict partial order it is transitive,  
i.e., if  $\exists$  path ~~between~~ <sup>from</sup>  $u_i$  ~~to~~  $u_j$ , then  $(u_i, u_j) \in E(G)$ .

$$\Rightarrow (u_i, u_j) \in E(G) \quad \forall 0 \leq i < j \leq m-1$$



If some other path exists exactly through these  $n$  vertices, the relative ordering will change.

In that path we will get some  $i, j$  where  $u_j$  comes before  $u_i$ . So a directed path will exist from  $u_j$  to  $u_i$ .

$$\Rightarrow (u_j, u_i) \in E(G) \text{ for some } 0 \leq i < j \leq n-1$$

[Transitive]

But we saw that

$$(u_i, u_j) \in E(G)$$

This is a contradiction ~~that~~ since the graph is asymmetric.

Hence, a unique path exists.

(b) Now, say  $G$  has unique path property.

$G^{++}$  is positive path relation

① Take two vertices  $v$  and  $w$ . A unique path always exists  $\Rightarrow$  an edge always exists,   
 ~~but~~ <sup>any</sup> two vertices but only in one direction.

$$\text{i.e. } (v, w) \in E(G) \Rightarrow \text{NOT } (w, v) \in E(G).$$

② Consider three vertices  $u, v$  and  $w$ .  
Such that  $u G^{++} v$  and  $v G^{++} w$ .

Lemma If  $u G^{++} v$  then  $(u, v) \in E(G)$

Proof

If  $(v, u) \in E(G)$  then the positive path can be ~~then~~ cycled.

consider the path to be  $\{u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, v\}$

If  $(v, u) \in E(G)$ , then  $\{v, u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is also a path through exactly these vertices. So, unique path



property fails.  $\therefore (u, v) \notin E(G)$ , since one edge must exist.

Now  $\because u G^{++} v$  and  $v G^{++} w$ .  
then  $(u, v) \in E(G)$  and  $(v, w) \in E(G)$ .

So  $\{u, v, w\}$  is a positive path from  $u$  to  $w$ .

$\therefore u G^{++} w$ .

From ① and ② we can conclude that  $G^{++}$  is

③ If  $u G^{++} v$  then NOT  $v G^{++} u$ .

By lemma,  $u G^{++} v \Rightarrow (u, v) \in E(G)$   
 $v G^{++} u \Rightarrow (v, u) \in E(G)$

Both cannot be simultaneously possible from ①.

④ Given two vertices, a positive length path always exists b/w them.

Because an edge always exists.

From ②, ③, and ④ we can conclude that  $G^{++}$  is linear strict partial order.  
transitivity   asymmetry   linear order