

Short Query

| Evaluation metric | Your algorithm | Vector Space Model | BM25 | Language Model with Dirichlet Smoothing | Language Model with Jelinek Mercer Smoothing |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|---|--|
| P@5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| P@10 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| P@20 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0.25 |
| P@100 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.09 | 0.1 |
| Recall@5 | 0.0645 | 0.0645 | 0.097 | 0.0968 | 0.0645 |
| Recall@10 | 0.129 | 0.1613 | 0.161 | 0.1613 | 0.1613 |
| Recall@20 | 0.1935 | 0.2581 | 0.194 | 0.2258 | 0.1613 |
| Recall@100 | 0.3548 | 0.2903 | 0.323 | 0.2903 | 0.3226 |
| MAP | 0.1291 | 0.1833 | 0.189 | 0.1404 | 0.1462 |
| MRR | 0.333 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |
| NDCG@5 | 0.3008 | 0.5531 | 0.723 | 0.4913 | 0.5531 |
| NDCG@10 | 0.3372 | 0.5801 | 0.621 | 0.4666 | 0.5704 |
| NDCG@20 | 0.292 | 0.4786 | 0.434 | 0.3704 | 0.3681 |
| NDCG@100 | 0.3155 | 0.3804 | 0.404 | 0.318 | 0.3726 |

Long Query

| Evaluation metric | Your algorithm | Vector Space Model | BM25 | Language Model with Dirichlet Smoothing | Language Model with Jelinek Mercer Smoothing |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|---|--|
| P@5 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| P@10 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| P@20 | 0.15 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| P@100 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.09 |
| Recall@5 | 0 | 0.0968 | 0.0968 | 0.0645 | 0.0968 |
| Recall@10 | 0.0323 | 0.1613 | 0.1613 | 0.0968 | 0.129 |
| Recall@20 | 0.0968 | 0.1935 | 0.1935 | 0.1935 | 0.1935 |
| Recall@100 | 0.1613 | 0.2903 | 0.2903 | 0.3226 | 0.2903 |
| MAP | 0.0269 | 0.1486 | 0.1777 | 0.0919 | 0.1629 |
| MRR | 0.1429 | 1 | 1 | 0.25 | 1 |
| NDCG@5 | 0 | 0.6399 | 0.7227 | 0.2773 | 0.7227 |
| NDCG@10 | 0.0734 | 0.551 | 0.5989 | 0.2583 | 0.5424 |
| NDCG@20 | 0.1125 | 0.3903 | 0.4261 | 0.269 | 0.4187 |
| NDCG@100 | 0.1227 | 0.3493 | 0.3792 | 0.2763 | 0.3712 |

Summary:

1. Ranking based on My Algorithm for long queries cannot be compared with the other algorithms, as my algorithm gives low precision whereas.
2. But, in case of Short queries (Title as the query) I am getting decent values in comparison with Long queries. It is very important to consider the relation between the consecutive terms and we need to perform smoothing.
3. For short as well as long queries, BM25 is the best model. BM25 improves upon TF*IDF. BM25 stands for "Best Match 25". It's the 25th iteration of tweaking the relevance computation.
4. **BM25 Analysis:** BM25's IDF has the potential for giving negative scores for terms with very high document frequency. So, they add 1 to the value, before taking the log, which makes it impossible to compute a negative value.