

Quantum Field Theory

PH

Chirayu Gupta

1 Lecture 1

All states contribute to relativistic corrections:

$$H \rightarrow H + \partial V$$

Where the corrections in energy is:

$$E_{corr} = \langle 0 | \partial V | 0 \rangle + \sum_n \frac{|\langle 0 | \partial V | n \rangle|^2}{E_0 - E_n} + \dots$$

As you can see it depends on n .

Since for relativistic cases processes like

$$p + p \rightarrow p + p + \pi_0$$

are possible. That is new particles can be created, the number of such states $|n\rangle$ are infinite. Typically, the relativistic corrections are of the order $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$ because, typically the denominator is mc^2 and since

$$\frac{E}{mc^2} = \frac{\gamma c^2}{c^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = 1 + \frac{v^2}{c^2}$$

2 Lecture 2

2.1 Natural Units

- $\hbar_{cross} = 1$
- $c = 1$

Above 2 conditions are for natural units. There are implications on dimensions because of this which are:

- $[m] = [E] = [L^{-1}] = [T^{-1}]$

2.2 Theory of Single free spinless particle of mass μ [From coleman notes]

Momentum operator:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\mathbf{P}}|k\rangle &= k|k\rangle \\ \langle k|k'\rangle &= \delta(k - k')\end{aligned}$$

Completeness: $\int \langle k|k\rangle d^3k = 1$

We know:

$$\psi(k) = \langle k|\psi\rangle$$

Also classically we know:

$$H|k\rangle = |k|^2/2\mu|k\rangle$$

To introduce relativity we introduce:

$$H|k\rangle = \sqrt{|k|^2 + \mu^2} \cdot |k\rangle = \omega|k\rangle$$

How do we know this makes the theory relativistic(or Lorentz invariant)?

First we define Translational and Rotational Invariance:

2.3 Translational Invariance

For a linear operator U specifying a translation: we have

$$U(a)U(a)^\dagger = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$U(0) = 1 \tag{2}$$

$$U(a)U(b) = U(a+b) \tag{3}$$

The U satisfying these is $U(a) = e^{i\mathbf{p}a}$ where $\mathbf{p} = (H, \vec{\mathbf{p}})$

$$U(a)|0\rangle = |a\rangle$$

$$\langle a|O(x+a)|a\rangle = \langle 0|O(x)|0\rangle$$

2.4 Rotational Invariance

Similar to [Equation 1 - 3], we have equations for rotation

$$U(R)U(R)^\dagger = 1 \tag{4}$$

$$U(1) = 1 \tag{5}$$

$$U(R_1)U(R_2) = U(R_1R_2) \tag{6}$$

A U satisfying all these is given by

$$U(R)|\vec{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = |R\vec{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$

TODO: Put Lorentz invariance and the relativistic contour integral calculations from coleman

3 Lecture 3

3.1 Klien-Gordan equation

A heuristic way to derive SC equation:

$$E = \frac{P^2}{2m}$$

$$E \rightarrow \iota \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

$$p_j \rightarrow -\iota \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Action is defined as:

$$\mathbf{S}[\phi] = \int (\partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - m^2 \phi^2(x))$$

Similarly we derive KG eqn:

$$E^2 = p^2 + m^2$$

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \nabla^2)\phi + m^2\phi(x, t) = 0$$

A solution to this equation is $e^{\iota(k \cdot x + \omega t)}$. When you apply \hat{H} we get energies like $-\omega\phi$. **Negative Energy solution.** If you perturb this system slightly it keeps going down the potential well (since energy not bounded from below). In QM continuity eqn:

$$\nabla J + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2im} (\phi^* \partial_i \phi - (\partial_i \phi^*) \phi)$$

$$\rho = \frac{\iota}{2m} (\phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial t})$$

With KG its same but ρ is not positive definite.

Hence, KG equation failed!.

TODO: Dont really understand why KG failed

3.2 Rotation

Rotation is like a gauge transformation: we apply some transformation on $\hat{A}, \hat{\phi}$ which does not change E and B. The choice of coordinations/description has nothing to do with the system!!

Quantities that don't change under a transformation are **scalar** under that transformation.

Eg: $x^2 + y^2$ does not depend on choice of axes given a origin.

A scalar is always associated with a transformation.

3.3 Scalar Field, Vector Field

Given a point and density of air(ρ) we take two coordinate systems or 2 different description:

$$\rho(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \rho(R\vec{x})$$

Rho is a scalar field.

For a vector field under rotation:

$$E_x(\vec{X}, \vec{Y}), E_y(\vec{X}, \vec{Y}) \rightarrow RE(R\vec{X})$$

Both field components and arguments changed.

Question: I do a rotation on the coordinates then what is the effect on components of $\psi_n(\vec{X})$

$$\mathbf{R}\psi_{ij}(R\vec{x})$$

\mathbf{R} is representation of the Rotation group $SO(3)$

3.4 Lorentz Group

Group is

$$SO(1, 3)$$

not $SO(4)$ in which

$$(-x_0^2 + \sum x_i^2)$$

is invariant.

Transformation is represented by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{ij}\psi_j(\Lambda\vec{x}) \\ \eta = \text{Diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Lambda satisfies:

$$\Lambda^t \eta \Lambda = \eta$$

$$\Lambda_0^0 \geq 1 \rightarrow \text{Orthochronum}$$

$$\Lambda_0^0 \leq 1 \rightarrow \text{Not Considered as implies time reversal}$$

Formally Lorentz group defined as $SO^+(1, 3)$ to denote orthochronum.

For $SO(1, 3)$ $\text{Det}\Lambda = 1$ For parity: $\Lambda = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$

For time reversal: $\Lambda = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$

Parity and time reversal are outside the $SO(1, 3)$ group.

For 2-D parity is inside $SO(1, 3)$ (TODO:Why?)

4 Lecture 4

4.1 Classical Field Theory

$$\partial \mathbf{S} = \partial \int dt L(x, \dot{x}) = 0$$

Subject to constraint:

$$\partial x|_{t_1, t_2} = 0$$

For QFT:

$$\mathbf{S} = \int d^4x \mathbf{L}(\phi(x), \partial_\mu \phi(x))$$
$$\partial \mathbf{S} = 0$$

Boundary condition:

$$\partial \phi(x) = 0$$
$$\delta S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi(x)} \delta \phi(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi(x)} \partial(\delta \phi(x)) \right]$$
$$\delta S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi(x)} \delta \phi(x) + -\partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi(x)} \delta \phi(x) + \partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi(x)} \delta \phi \right]$$

On solving we get:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi(x)} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi(x))} = 0$$

- In a Boundary condition: we set ϕ at t_1 and t_2 . For a double derivative equation: we can have only 2 boundary condition hence cant set velocity at t_1 and t_2 as well
- Lagrangian is taken to be real
- If Lagrangian not real Hamiltonian also not real \rightarrow problem in time evolution
- Lagrangian is Lorentz invariant

$$L = (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) - m^2 \phi^2$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = -2m^2 \phi$$
$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} = 4\partial_\mu (\partial^\mu \phi)$$

This gives KG equation(TODO:How?)

$$(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2)\phi = 0$$

If we write a new Lagrangian:

$$L' = -\phi \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 \phi^2$$
$$= L - \partial_\mu (\phi \partial^\mu \phi)$$

On putting in action principle we get an extra $\partial \phi$ (which can be cancelled as we can change that in BT) and $\partial_\mu (\phi \partial^\mu \phi)$ which need to be cancelled **hence in defining Lagrangian like this we might need to add an extra boundary terms** which can be important in many theories.

$$S = \int d^4x L + BT$$

4.2 Global Symmetries (Reference Wienberg: Section 7.3)

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x) + \epsilon F(x)$$

$$\delta S = \epsilon \int \frac{\delta S[\psi]}{\delta \psi(x)} = 0$$

Then this transformation is the symmetry of the transformation.
Now, if epsilon is function of x:

$$\delta S = \int -J^\mu(x) \frac{\partial \epsilon(x)}{\partial X^\mu}$$

Integrating by parts,

$$- \int \frac{\partial J^\mu \epsilon(x)}{\partial X^\mu} + \frac{\partial J^\mu}{\partial X^\mu} \epsilon(x)$$

Implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dJ^\mu}{dX^\mu} &= 0 \\ \partial_\mu J^\mu(x) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is known as **Noether's Theorem**

5 Lecture 5

5.1 Recap

Now consider the Lagrangian density is also invariant: Assuming (Global symmetry):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} F(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\mu F(x) = 0$$

$$S = \int d^4x L(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)$$

take $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \epsilon F(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \epsilon F(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\mu (\epsilon F(x)) \\ &= \int d^4x \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \epsilon F(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} [\epsilon \partial_\mu F(x) + F(x) \partial_\mu \epsilon] + (\epsilon F(x) \partial_\mu F(x)) \\ &= \int d^4x \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \epsilon F(x) \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) \\ J^\mu &= - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \epsilon F(x) \end{aligned}$$

5.2 New

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) &\rightarrow \phi(x + \epsilon(x)) \\
&= \phi(x) + \epsilon^\mu(x) \partial_\mu \phi \\
\delta S &= \int d^4x \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \epsilon^\mu(x) \partial_\mu \phi + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\mu (\epsilon(x) \partial_\mu \phi(x)) \right] \\
&= \dots + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \epsilon^\nu(x) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu(x) \partial_\nu \phi(x) \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial X^\mu} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \partial_\mu \phi + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\nu \phi} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi
\end{aligned}$$

Going back to previous eqn:

$$= \int d^4x (\epsilon^\nu(x) \partial_\nu L + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\nu \phi} \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu) (\partial_\nu \phi(x))$$

Integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \epsilon^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\mu (\epsilon^\nu \partial_\nu \phi(x)) \\
\delta S &= \int d^4x \delta_\nu^\mu \partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu(x) L - \partial_\nu \epsilon^\nu(x) L + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\nu \phi (\partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu) \\
&= d^4x [(\partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu) [-\delta_\nu^\mu L + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\nu \phi]] \\
\delta S &= - \int d^4x \partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu(x) T_\nu^\mu
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we get using $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ at ∞ and using equation of motion (Use the idea that for that equation of motion is derived from these kinds of variations) by applying by parts

$$\partial_\mu T_\nu^\mu = 0$$

Where:

$$T_\nu^\mu = -\delta_\nu^\mu \dots$$

This means energy momentum four Vector is conserved. **This happens ONLY because Lagrangian is NOT an explicit function of x and t..** This is not related to Noether's Theorem.

6 Lecture 5

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x dt [\dot{\phi}^2 - [\nabla \phi]^2 - m^2 \phi^2]$$

See raghav Notes.

$$\ddot{\phi} + \frac{R^2 + m^2}{\omega_k^2} \phi_k$$

See solution from raghav notes.

$$[a_k^-, a_{k'}^+] = \delta(k - k')$$

TODO: Prove $\dot{V}_k V_k^* - V_k \dot{V}_k^* = 2\omega_k$ using phi form from raghav notes and above eqn
 Above quantity is called Wronskian Choose V_K

$$\dot{V}_k = \alpha_k \dot{U}_k(t) + \beta_k U_k(t)$$

This will satisfy the diff eqn as long as

$$|\alpha_k|^2 - |\beta_k|^2 = 1$$

This is known as Bogolyubov transformation

TODO: Why for above eqn.

Choice of mode function will not change $\phi(x, t)$. It will just be in different "Basis"

$$N = a^+ a^-$$

$|\partial_b^0\rangle$ is a vacuum state (0 particle state) in b basis.

$$\langle \partial_b^0 | N(b) | \partial_b^0 \rangle = 0$$

Now we are interested in:

$$\langle \partial_b^0 | N(a) | \partial_b^0 \rangle = |\beta_k|^2 \delta^3(0)$$

which is non 0

Based on choice of mode function, one person may or may not see particles.

A particle is defined as a excitation with respect to a ground state.

In adiabatic approx time dependence is neglected

Choose a mode function:

$$V_k^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} e^{-i\omega_k t}$$

Show that ϕ is Lorentz invariant after putting this mode function. Now the theory is Lorentz invariant!!

7 Homework

TODO: Homework

$$1. S = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right], F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

- Find the EOM. Write the EOM in standard form identifying $E^i = -F^d$ and $\epsilon^{isk} B^k = -F^{ij}$

- Compute $T^{\mu\nu}$. Notice that it is symmetric in $\mu_{i\nu}$

- Define $T_{\tilde{ilde}}^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} \dots$ Show that $T_{\tilde{ilde}}^{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric and satisfies $\partial_\mu T_{\tilde{ilde}}^{\mu\nu} = 0$

...

2. Consider the two complex Klein Gordon Scalar Fields

$$L = \sum$$

8 Lecture 8

$$L (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2$$

To include interaction(scattering) term.

$$L (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2 - \lambda \phi^3$$

ϕ^3 means one phi interacts with 2 more phis

ϕ^2 means 2 phis just propagated

See diagram from photos.

CONstruct a scattering problem with two foch spaces. One for incpming particles, one for outgoing. To calculate $\langle \beta, out | \alpha, in \rangle$ we get the $\alpha\beta$ elements of the S matrix. S is diagonal if there are no interactions. Elements of S matrix are $\langle out | S | in \rangle$ Similar to QM cross section:

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2E_1 2E_2 |\nu_1 \nu_2|} |S|^2 d\pi$$

Where $d\pi$ is the density of states(Final available states subject to energy momnetum conservation) which is given by

$$\pi_j \frac{d^3 p_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p_j}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)$$

Formula for S matrix LSZ reduction formula:

$$\langle p_3 p_4 | S | p_1 p_2 \rangle$$

= Given in pics