Quantum Field Theory

Chirayu Gupta

1 Lecture 1

All states contribute to relativistic corrections:

$$H \to H + \partial V$$

Where the corrections in energy is:

$$E_{corr} = \langle 0 | \partial V | 0 \rangle + \sum_{n} \frac{|\langle 0 | \partial V | n \rangle|^2}{E_0 - E_n} + \dots$$

As you can see it depends on n. Since for relativistic cases processes like

$$p+p \rightarrow p+p+\pi_0$$

are possible. That is new particles can be created, the number of such states $|n\rangle$ are infinite. Typically, the relativistic corrections are af the order $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$ because, typically the denominator is mc^2 and since

$$\frac{E}{mc^2} = \frac{\gamma c^2}{c^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = 1 + \frac{v^2}{c^2}$$

2 Lecture 2

2.1 Natural Units

- $h_{cross} = 1$
- c = 1

Above 2 conditions are for natural units. There are implications on dimentions because of this which are:

$$\bullet \ [m] = [E] = [L^{-1}] = [T^{-1}]$$

2.2 Theory of Single free spinless particle of mass μ [From coleman notes]

Momentum operator:

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}\left|k\right\rangle = k\left|k\right\rangle$$

$$\langle k|k'\rangle = \partial(k-k')$$

Completeness: $\int \langle k|k\rangle d^3k = 1$

We know:

$$\psi(k) = \langle k | \psi \rangle$$

Also classically we know:

$$H|k\rangle = |k|^2/2\mu |k\rangle$$

To introduce relativity we introduce:

$$H|k\rangle = \sqrt{|k|^2 + \mu^2} \cdot |k\rangle = \omega |k\rangle$$

How do we know this makes the theory relativistic (or Lorentz invariant)? First we define Translational and Rotational Invariance:

2.3 Translational Invariance

For a linear operator U specifying a translation: we have

$$U(a)U(a)^{\dagger} = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$U(0) = 1 \tag{2}$$

$$U(a)U(b) = U(a+b) \tag{3}$$

The U satisfying these is $U(a) = e^{i\mathbf{p}a}$ where $\mathbf{p} = (H, \vec{\mathbf{p}})$

$$U(a)|0\rangle = |a\rangle$$

$$\langle a | O(x+a) | a \rangle = \langle 0 | O(x) | 0 \rangle$$

2.4 Rotational Invariance

Similar to [Equation 1 - 3], we have equations for rotation

$$U(R)U(R)^{\dagger} = 1 \tag{4}$$

$$U(1) = 1 \tag{5}$$

$$U(R_1)U(R_2) = U(R_1R_2) (6)$$

A U satisfying all these is given by

$$U(R)\left|\vec{\mathbf{k}}\right\rangle = \left|R\vec{\mathbf{k}}\right\rangle$$

TODO: Put Lorentz invariance and the relativistic contour integral calculations from coleman

3 Lecture 3

3.1 Klien-Gordan equation

A heuristic way to derive SC equation:

$$E = \frac{P^2}{2m}$$

$$E \to \iota \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

$$p_j \to -\iota \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Action is defined as:

$$\mathbf{S}[\phi] = \int (\partial^{\mu}\phi \partial_{\mu}\phi - m^2\phi^2(x))$$

Similarly we derive KG eqn:

$$E^2 = p^2 + m^2$$

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial 2t - \nabla^2)\phi + m^2\phi(x, t) = 0}$$

A solution to this equation is $e^{\iota(k+\omega t)}$. When you apply \hat{H} we get energies like $-\omega\phi$. **Negative Energy solution**. If you perturb this system slightly it keeps going down the potential well(since energy not bounded from below). In QM continuity eqn:

$$\nabla J + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2im} (\phi^* \partial \phi - (\partial \phi^*) \phi)$$

$$\rho = \frac{\iota}{2m} (\phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial t})$$

With KG its same but ρ is not positive definite.

Hence, KG equation failed!.

TODO: Dont really understand why KG failed

3.2 Rotation

Rotation is like a gauge transformation: we apply some transformation on $\hat{A}, \hat{\phi}$ which does not change E and B. The choice of coordinations/description has nothing to do with the system!!

Quantites that don't change under a transformation are **scalar** under that transformation. Eg: $x^2 + y^2$ does not depend on choice of axes given a origin.

A scalar is always associated with a transformation.

3.3 Scalar Field, Vector Field

Given a point and density of $air(\rho)$ we take two coordinate systems or 2 different description:

$$\rho(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) \to \rho(R\vec{\mathbf{x}})$$

Rho is a scalar field.

For a vector field under rotation:

$$E_x(\vec{\mathbf{X}}, \vec{\mathbf{Y}}), E_y(\vec{\mathbf{X}}, \vec{\mathbf{Y}}) \to RE(R\vec{\mathbf{X}})$$

Both field components and arguments changed.

Question: I do a rotation on the coordinates then what is the effent on components of $\psi_n(\vec{\mathbf{X}})$

$$\mathbf{R}\psi_{ij}(R\vec{\mathbf{x}})$$

R is representation of the Rotation group SO(3)

3.4 Lorentz Group

Group is

not SO(4) in which

$$(-x_0^2 + \sum x_i^2)$$

is invariant.

Transformation is represented by:

$$\mathbf{R}_{ij}\psi_j(\Lambda\vec{\mathbf{x}})$$

$$\eta = Diag(-1, 1, 1, 1) \tag{7}$$

Lambda satisfies:

$$\Lambda^t \eta \Lambda = \eta$$

$$\Lambda_0^0 \ge 1 \to Orthochoronum$$

 $\Lambda_0^0 \leq 1 \rightarrow Not \ Considered \ as \ impllies \ time \ reversal$

Formally Lorentz group defined as $SO^+(1,3)$ to denote orthochronum.

For SO(1,3) $Det \Lambda = 1$ For parity: $\Lambda = diag(-1,1,1,1)$

For time reversal: $\Lambda = diag(1, -1, -1, -1)$

Parity and time reversal are outside the SO(1,3) group.

For 2-D parity is inside SO(1,3) (TODO:Why?)

4 Lecture 4

4.1 Classical Field Theory

$$\partial \mathbf{S} = \partial \int dt L(x, \dot{x}) = 0$$

Subject to constraint:

$$\partial x|_{t_1,t_2} = 0$$

For QFT:

$$\mathbf{S} = \int d^4x \mathbf{L}(\phi(x), \partial_{\mu}\phi(x))$$
$$\partial \mathbf{S} = 0$$

Boundary condition:

$$\begin{split} \partial\phi(x) &= 0\\ \delta S &= \int d^4x [\frac{\partial L}{\partial\phi(x)}] \delta\phi(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial\partial_\mu\phi(x)} \partial(\delta\phi(x))\\ \delta S &= \int d^4x [\frac{\partial L}{\partial\phi(x)}] \delta\phi(x) + -\partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial\partial_\mu\phi(x)} \delta\phi(x) + \partial_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial\partial_\mu\phi(x)} \delta\phi \end{split}$$

On solving we get:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi(x)} - \partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi(x))} = 0$$

- In a Boundary condition: we set ϕ at t_1 and t_2 . For a double derivative equation: we can have only 2 boundary condition hence cant set velocity at t_1 and t_2 as well
- Lagrangian is taken to be real
- If Lagrangian not real Hamiltonian also not real → problem in time evolution
- Lagrangian is Lorentz invariant

$$L = (\partial_{\mu}\phi)(\partial^{\mu}\phi) - m^{2}\phi^{2}$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = -2m^{2}\phi$$
$$\partial_{\mu}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_{\mu}\phi} = 4\partial_{\mu}(\partial^{\mu}\phi)$$

This gives KG equation(TODO:How?)

$$(\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} + m^2)\phi = 0$$

If we write a new Lagrangian:

$$L' = -\phi \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} - m^{2} \phi^{2}$$
$$= L - \partial_{\mu} (\phi \partial^{\mu} \phi)$$

On putting in action principle we get an extra $\partial \phi$ (which can be cancelled as we can change that in BT) and $\partial_{\mu}(\phi \partial^{\mu}\phi)$ which need to be cancelled **hence in defining Lagrangian** like this we might need to add an extra boundary terms which can be important in many theories.

$$S = \int d^4L + BT$$

4.2 Global Symeetries(Reference Wienberg: Section 7.3)

$$\psi(x) \to \psi(x) + \iota \epsilon F(x)$$

$$\delta S = \iota \epsilon \int_{\frac{\delta S[\psi]}{\delta \psi(x)}}^{F(x)} = 0$$

Then this transformation is the symmetry of the transformation. Now, if epsilon is function of x:

$$\delta S = \int -J^{\mu}(x) \frac{\partial \epsilon(x)}{\partial X^{\mu}}$$

Integrating by parts,

$$-\int \frac{\partial J^{\mu}\epsilon(x)}{\partial X^{\mu}} + \frac{\partial J^{\mu}}{\partial X^{\mu}}\epsilon(x)$$

Implies,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}X^{\mu}} = 0$$

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}(x) = 0$$

This is known as Noether's Theorem