NPDA Debate Flow

Tournament Name — Round 1

Resolution: THBT...

AFF		**NEG**	
1A (PM):		1N (LO):	
2A (MG):		2N (MO):	

1AC	**1NC**	**2AC**	**2NC**	**1NR**	**2AR**
7 min	8 min	8 min	8 min	4 min	5 min

Notation Key							
⇒ Extend	**X** Drop	↻ Turn	**P** Perm	**LT** L-Turn	**IT** I-Turn	**N/L** No Link	**O/W** Outweighs
AT: Against	**LK** Link	**IX** Impact Ext.	**DA** Disad	**CP** Counterplan	**K** Kritik	**FW** Framework	**SV** Solvency

Case Architecture

AFF Case	NEG Strategy
Interp / Plan:	**Counter-Interp / CP:**
Framework:	**Framework:**
Contentions: 1. 2. 3.	**Off-Case:** ☐ DA: ☐ CP: ☐ K: ☐ T/Theory:

Flow Sheet Manual

How to use this NPDA debate flow document

---

1. Setup

Before each round, edit the metadata in `preamble.tex`:

Command	What to change
`\tournament{...}`	Tournament name
`\roundnum{...}`	Round number (e.g. Round 1, Semis)
`\affteam{...}`	Aff team name / code
`\negteam{...}`	Neg team name / code
`\judge{...}`	Judge name
`\resolution{...}`	The resolution text

Fill in the **Cover Sheet** speaker names and case architecture during prep time.

2. Document Structure

Each page is a separate flow sheet. Write across the columns left to right as speeches happen:

Sheet	What to flow
Case Flow	Aff case arguments (framework, contentions, solvency)
DA Flow	Disadvantages run by neg
CP Flow	Counterplans run by neg
K Flow	Kritiks run by neg
Theory Flow	Topicality, procedurals, theory arguments
Overview Flow	Big-picture framing, decision calculus, key voters

The six columns on each flow correspond to the six NPDA speeches:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
7 min	8 min	8 min	8 min	4 min	5 min

Blue columns = Aff speeches. Red columns = Neg speeches. Write each response *directly across* from the argument it answers.

3. Notation Reference

Symbol	Macro	Meaning
Core Symbols		
⇒	`\ext`	**Extend** – carry an unanswered argument forward to the next speech
X	`\drop`	**Dropped** – opponent failed to respond; argument stands
↻	`\turn`	**Turn** – argument flipped; now helps the other side
P	`\perm`	**Perm** – permutation test (aff can do the plan + the alt/CP)
Turns & Responses		
LT	`\lturn`	**Link turn** – the link goes the opposite direction
IT	`\iturn`	**Impact turn** – the impact is actually good
N/L	`\nl`	**No link** – the argument doesn't connect to the case
O/W	`\ow`	**Outweighs** – this impact is bigger (scope, magnitude, probability)
AT:	`\at`	**Against / Answering** – prefix for responses (e.g. **AT:** C1)
Argument Labels		
LK	`\link`	**Link** – marks where a link argument is made
IX	`\ix`	**Impact extension** – extending or developing an impact
DA	`\da`	**Disadvantage** – labels a DA argument
CP	`\cp`	**Counterplan** – labels a CP argument
K	`\kk`	**Kritik** – labels a K argument
FW	`\fw`	**Framework** – labels a framework argument
SV	`\solv`	**Solvency** – labels a solvency argument

4. Example Flow Entries

Common patterns you'll write in cells:

You write	Meaning
`\ext\ econ impact`	⇒ econ impact – extending the econ impact forward
`\drop\ solvency`	**X** solvency – they dropped solvency
`\at\ C1: \nl`	**AT:** C1: **N/L** – answering contention 1 with "no link"
`\lturn\ -- helps aff`	**LT** – helps aff – link-turning the argument
`\ix\ poverty`	**IX** poverty – extending the poverty impact
`\ow\ -- scope + mag`	**O/W** – scope + mag – outweighs on scope and magnitude
`\perm\ do both`	**P** do both – perm: do both the plan and alt

5. Tips

- Write across rows: each response goes *directly across* from the argument it answers.
- Use arrows or lines by hand to connect arguments that interact across rows.
- The `\flowrow` and `\flowrowbig` commands add blank rows. Add more rows to any sheet by inserting `\flowrow` inside a `flowtable` environment.
- Print this document **landscape** for maximum writing space.
- After the round, you can type your notes into the cells for a permanent digital record.
- To auto-recompile the PDF on save, run `./watch.sh` in a terminal.

Framework / Interpretation

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR

Contention 1: _____

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR

Contention 2: _____

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR

Contention 3:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR

Solvency

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR

Disadvantage 1:

Uniqueness	Link	Internal Link	Impact

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					
–					
–					

Disadvantage 2:

Uniqueness	Link	Internal Link	Impact

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					
–					
–					

Counterplan:

CP Text / Mechanism	Net Benefit	Solvency

Competition:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					

Permutations:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					
–					

CP Solvency / Net Benefit:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					
–					

Kritik:

Link	Impact / Implications	Alternative	K Framework

K Framework / ROB / ROJ:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					

Links:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					
–					

Alternative / Solvency:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					

Permutations:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					

Theory / Topicality:

Interpretation	Violation	Standards	Voters

Shell:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					
–					

Counter-Interpretation / We Meet:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					

Counter-Standards / RVIs:

1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC	1NR	2AR
–					
–					
–					

Impact Calculus / Overviews

AFF Overviews	NEG Overviews

Impact Comparison:

Impact	Magnitude	Probability	Timeframe	Reversibility

Voting Issues

Vote AFF Because...	Vote NEG Because...
1.	1.
2.	2.
3.	3.

RFD / Decision Notes:		
Winner:	☐ AFF	☐ NEG

Speaker Evaluation

Speaker	Notes	Pts
1A (PM)		/30
1N (LO)		/30
2A (MG)		/30
2N (MO)		/30