



CIVITAS indicators

Share of multimodal trips managed through trip planning applications (TRA_MM_FI6)

DOMAIN



Transport



Environment



Energy



Society



Economy

TOPIC

Multimodality

IMPACT

Functional integration of transport modes

Increasing the share of multimodal trips managed through trip planning applications

TRA MM

Category

Key indicator	Supplementary indicator	State indicator
---------------	-------------------------	-----------------

CONTEXT AND RELEVANCE

Functional integration of transport modes refers to the coordination of different transportation systems to create unified ticketing and trip planning and booking platforms. This concept aims to create a well-connected network where various modes complement each other. By functionally integrating transport modes, cities enhance the overall efficiency and convenience of their mobility systems, making multimodal travel seamless for users.

Ensuring coordination between transport services is essential for improving the accessibility and attractiveness of public and active transportation. Through strategies like integrated fare and information systems users can navigate the transport network with minimal effort. A functionally integrated system encourages more people to use sustainable transport options, reducing car dependency, alleviating congestion, and promoting environmental and social benefits such as lower emissions, safer streets, and more efficient land use.

This indicator provides a measure of the functional integration of transport modes. This is a relevant indicator when the policy action is aimed at improving the functional integration between different modes of transport. A successful action is reflected in a <u>HIGHER</u> value of the indicator.

DESCRIPTION

This indicator measures the share of trips involving at least two transport services that are managed using trip planning applications, relative to the total number of trips involving at least two transport services. Trip planning applications can be used, for example, to purchase unified tickets valid across multiple modes or book shared vehicles at multimodal hubs, such as train stations.

The indicator is dimensionless.

METHOD OF CALCULATION AND INPUTS

The required data to calculate this indicator is collected by means of a **sample survey**. The survey must ask a sample of individuals to report on their multimodal trips in the experiment city and on whether they used a trip planning application to arrange them.

Organising a sample survey requires some resources and implies some complexities, but multiple question may be asked at the same time, allowing to compute several indicators as needed. See the dedicated "Sample surveys guidelines" for methodological indications.

The indicator should be computed exogenously, by applying the method described and then coded in the supporting tool.



- Responses from a sample of individuals to a question regarding the share of trips involving at least two transport services that the respondent managed using trip planning applications over a given period
- Responses from a sample of individuals to a question regarding the total number of multimodal trips the respondent made during the same given period.

A suggested formulation of this question is provided in the Guidelines for Surveys, part of the MUSE Evaluation Framework. It is recommended to first ask how many multimodal trips the respondent has taken over a given period; then, to ask what proportion of those trips were managed using trip planning applications.

METHOD OF CALCULATION

If the formulation of the questions suggested in the Guidelines for surveys is used, the indicator can be computed according to the following steps:

• Question 1 on the number of multimodal trips taken by the respondent: association of a numeric value to each response option.

The suggested formulation of the question includes five response options, consisting of numeric ranges. The associated value to each option would be as follows:

Question: In the last three months, have you made any urban trip involving two or more different transport services (e.g. public transport plus shared scooter)?

Available responses:

- a) No, I haven't made any of such trips
- b) Yes, 1-2 trips
- c) Yes, 3-5 trips
- d) Yes, 5-10 trips
- e) Yes, more than 10 trips

Numeric values associated:

- a) No, I haven't made any of such trips $\rightarrow 0$
- b) Yes, 1-2 trips \rightarrow 1.5
- c) Yes, 3-5 trips \rightarrow 4
- d) Yes, 5-10 trips \rightarrow 7.5
- e) Yes, more than 10 trips \rightarrow 20

The available responses to this question may be adjusted based on the prevalence of multimodal trips in the pilot area. In this example, it is assumed that over a three-month period, only some respondents will have taken more than 10 trips involving multiple transport services, while most will have rarely or never done so. The response categories can be adjusted based on local mobility patterns to ensure they effectively capture the full range of behaviours in the pilot area.

 Question 2 on the share of multimodal trips managed via trip planning applications: association of a percentage to each response option.
The suggested formulation of the question includes five different options of response, the associated percentage levels to these options would be as follows:

Question: Have you used a multimodal planning application to manage these trips (i.e. to purchase a unified ticket, to book a shared means in a specific parking)?

Available responses:

- a) Yes, for all trips
- b) Yes, for most of trips
- c) Yes, for about half of trips
- d) Yes, for a minority of trips
- e) No

Percentage levels associated:

- a) Yes, for all trips → 100%
- b) Yes, for most of trips \rightarrow 75%
- c) Yes, for about half of trips \rightarrow 50%
- d) Yes, for a minority of trips \rightarrow 25%
- e) No \rightarrow 0%
- Calculation of the average share of trips involving two transport services that users managed through trip planning applications (see equation below).

EQUATIONS

The equation computing the index (last step of the method of calculation) is the following:

$$MMIndex = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{R}(mmshare_i * mmtrips_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{R} mmtrips_i}$$

Where:

 $mmshare_i$ = Survey respondent i's reported share of multimodal trips managed using a trip planning application

 $mmtrips_i$ = Survey respondent i's reported number of multimodal trips

R = Number of respondents

ALTERNATIVE INDICATORS

This indicator measures the share of trips involving two or more transport services that are managed through trip planning applications. In this Evaluation Framework, there are 5 alternative indicators to assess functional integration of transport modes: TRA_MM_FI1, TRA_MM_FI2, and TRA_MM_FI3 relate to multimodal trip planning applications, while TRA_MM_FI4 and TRA_MM_FI5 evaluate fare integration.

TRA_MM_FI2 measures the number of modes covered by a chosen trip planning application. TRA_MM_FI2 measures the number of users downloading trip planning applications active in the experiment city. Lastly, TRA_MM_FI3 measures the share of transport operators whose services are covered by a chosen multimodal trip planning application. Compared to TRA_MM_FI6, these alternative indicators on trip planning applications are simpler to calculate, as they rely on straightforward computations and data that can be easily obtained through observation or by requesting information from application developers. However, their significance is limited as they measure app downloads and modal/operator coverage rather than actual usage. In contrast, TRA_MM_FI6 has higher significance since it assesses the extent to which multimodal trip planning applications are used. However, its calculation requires conducting a sample survey, making data collection more costly and time-consuming.

Concerning fare integration, TRA_MM_FI4 counts the number of different transport modes accessible using a single travel pass, while TRA_MM_FI5 consists of the share of transport operators whose services are accessible using a single pass. Both metrics are relatively simple to calculate, but TRA_MM_FI5 holds greater significance, as it expresses fare integration as a share of transport operators rather than a simple count of modes.