Ventota Kristina Chastanja Cicizzo6659

Assignment - 8

Problem !-

Consider a set of m'numbers stored in an away

A[1,2,...n] [Now are going to store the values A[j]
in another set B such that for any values A[i] with i'zj

we have A[i] ¿A[j]. An example is as below:

A = [3,5,2,8,7] Then B=[3,5,8]

Design an algorithm to find out B in linear time. Use amortized analysis to show your algorithm's running time.

Solution:

the list, of and the element of the list in that index. or iteration is higher or larger than all the elements to its left, then we PUSH it into a new list. And we greture that list.

So, the number of iterations on elements would be the size of the list/array

- → Assign a dummy variable for compositions updations

 → I terate traverse through the list
- -> Now, compare the current iteration element with the

if iteration element soduming variable update dumny variable & PUSH to new list

continue for further iterations

det ReturnAlgo (list): 3- homopiss/ dumny variable = 0 ; new_list=[] While Liz len (list)): if [lister] soldenning variable): elico I now last append (hat [A]). or during variable - list [i] A new list append (duming variable) return new list of single hatilower sail As, we are iterating through the list only one and pushing the required elements into a new will linearly. The runing time would be out his all the showerd of the hist in this Mowpusing Amortized Analysis, we can average the running times of operation sequence over that sequence which complements Three general methods performing amortized -> Aggregate Analysis -> Accounting Hethod > Potential Method.

Aggregate Analysis : It determines the upper bound T(m) on the total cost of a sequence of n operations, then calculates the amortized cost to be TINI/n. So, in my case, the amortized cost of for each iteration: Missis Coot (comparing dist [1] & dumuy variable) cost (deplotting during variable) So the the three oppositions cost Cappending dumny variable to new_list) Let there costs sum upto The in each iteration And the total cost for all the sterations would be number of elements xT(n) => nxT(n) And as we divide by the son Amortized cost would be gratin) so T(n)

Potential Approach: thodified costs for each operation as with true cost of define the modified cost to be c'= c+ &(Spoot) - &(Santa) These modified tosts are walled amortized took (od and grand & Protestages) in s So, for the three operations al many vaid (all of new ent the sic = 1 comp + Cupdate + Cappend + A Quint Deupdate + Here, for all the Perceforon operations the relative rechange modifying costs would be of = of comp = of update appoint

Merefore, the amortized cost = C coup + Cupdate + Cappend. Where, Cromp= cost (comparing list[i] & dumy variable) Eupdate = cost (updating downing variable) Cappend = cost (appending dummy variable to C: = cost (incrementing i by +1)