# **Dataset Description (MIDMARKS.csv)**

#### • Columns:

- $\circ$  S.NO  $\rightarrow$  Serial number of student
- SECTION → Class section (ALPHA, BETA, GAMMA, DELTA, SIGMA, OMEGA, EPSILON, ZETA, etc.)
- o DV, M-II, PP, BEEE, FL, FIMS  $\rightarrow$  Marks in different subjects (Data initially read as strings, then converted to integers)
- Schema after cleaning:

S.NO : string
SECTION : string
DV : integer
M-II : integer
PP : integer
BEEE : integer
FL : integer
FIMS : integer

### • Sections distribution after cleaning:

- ALPHA 60 students
- $\circ$  BETA -60
- $\circ$  GAMMA -60
- $\circ$  DELTA -60
- $\circ$  SIGMA -60
- $\circ$  OMEGA -60
- o EPSILON 60
- $\circ$  ZETA -60

(Errors like GAMA/SGMA/null were cleaned to proper section names.)

### **Q** Observations from Executed Cells

## 1. Data Cleaning:

- Some entries like "A" or null in marks were replaced with 0.
- Section name typos (GAMA, SGMA) corrected.

#### 2. Marks Trends:

- Students have marks out of 20 per subject.
- Some students scored 0 in subjects like M-II or PP.
- o Maximum achievers score consistently **18–20** in most subjects.

### 3. Grades Column Added:

- o A derived **Grade/Performance category** was created.
- Likely based on average/total marks.

#### ✓ Plots Observed

From the notebook, visualizations include:

- Bar plots & histograms for marks distribution per subject.
- Section-wise average marks comparison.
- Grade distribution (pie/bar chart).

### **Plot Observations:**

- Strong Subjects: FL, BEEE (many students score  $\geq 15$ ).
- Weak Subjects: M-II, PP (more students with low marks & zeros).
- **Section-wise:** Performance is almost balanced, but some sections have slightly more failures in M-II.

#### **Recommendations Based on Grades**

Arrange remedial classes for less grade students based on the plots F students.

- 1. If Grades are Low (Failing/Below Average):
  - o Conduct remedial classes for weak subjects (M-II, PP).
  - Provide extra practice sessions and mentorship for students repeatedly scoring
     10.
- 2. If Grades are Average (15–18):
  - o Students show potential but need improvement programs.
  - o Suggest weekly assignments & continuous evaluation.
- 3. If Grades are High (18-20):
  - o Encourage them with advanced problem-solving tasks.
  - o Assign peer mentoring roles (help weaker classmates).

### Conclusion

The MIDMARKS.csv dataset gives clear insights into students' midterm performance across multiple sections and subjects. After cleaning errors (null, "A", typos in section names), the analysis showed:

- Strong performance in subjects like FL and BEEE, where most students consistently scored above average.
- Weak areas in M-II and PP, where several students scored very low, including zeros.
- **Section-wise performance** is generally balanced, though some sections had slightly more low scorers in technical subjects.
- **Grade distribution** indicates three groups:

- o **High scorers (≥18 marks)** consistent performers who can be encouraged to mentor peers.
- o Average scorers (15–18 marks) require focused improvement plans.
- Low scorers (<15 marks) need remedial classes and special academic support.

# **Final Note:**

Targeted interventions like remedial sessions for weak students, improvement programs for average performers, and mentorship opportunities for top scorers will help uplift overall academic performance while balancing section-wise results.