

Chapter 1

Automatically Describing Structured Covariance Functions

The compositional structure of the language allows us to develop a method for automatically translating components of the model into natural-language descriptions of patterns in the data. In this chapter, we describe how ABCD can generate natural-language descriptions of the models found by the search procedure. We also show examples of automatically generated reports which highlight interpretable features discovered in a variety of data sets.

1.1 Building Noun Phrases

There are two main features of our language of GP models that allow description to be performed automatically. First, the sometimes complicated kernel expressions found can be simplified into a sum of products. A sum of kernels corresponds to a sum of functions so each product can be described separately. Second, each kernel in a product modifies the resulting model in a consistent way. Therefore, we can choose one kernel to be described as a noun, with all others described using adjectives.

Simplification Rules

We convert each kernel expression into a standard, simplified form. We do this by first distributing all products of sums into a sum of products. Next, we apply several simplifications to the kernel expression: The product of two SE kernels is another SE with different parameters. Multiplying WN by any stationary kernel (C, WN, SE, or

Per) gives another WN kernel. Multiplying any kernel by C only changes the parameters of the original kernel.

After applying these rules, the kernel can as be written as a sum of terms of the form:

$$K \prod_m \text{Lin}^{(m)} \prod_n \sigma^{(n)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where K is one of WN, C, SE, $\prod_k \text{Per}^{(k)}$ or $\text{SE} \prod_k \text{Per}^{(k)}$ and $\prod_i k^{(i)}$ denotes a product of kernels, each with different parameters.

Because sums of kernels correspond to sums of functions, we can describe each product of kernels separately.

Each kernel in a product modifies a model in a consistent way

This allows us to describe the contribution of each kernel in a product as an adjective, or more generally as a modifier of a noun. We now describe how each kernel modifies a model and how this can be described in natural language:

- **Multiplication by SE** removes long range correlations from a model since $\text{SE}(x, x')$ decreases monotonically to 0 as $|x - x'|$ increases. This can be described as making an existing model's correlation structure 'local' or 'approximate'.
- **Multiplication by Lin** is equivalent to multiplying the function being modeled by a linear function. If $f(x) \sim \text{GP}(0, k)$, then $xf(x) \sim \text{GP}(0, k \times \text{Lin})$. This causes the standard deviation of the model to vary linearly without affecting the correlation and can be described as e.g. 'with linearly increasing standard deviation'.
- **Multiplication by σ** is equivalent to multiplying the function being modeled by a sigmoid which means that the function goes to zero before or after some point. This can be described as e.g. 'from [time]' or 'until [time]'.
- **Multiplication by Per** modifies the correlation structure in the same way as multiplying the function by an independent periodic function. Formally, if $f_1(x) \sim \text{GP}(0, k_1)$ and $f_2(x) \sim \text{GP}(0, k_2)$ then

$$\text{Cov}[f_1(x)f_2(x), f_1(x')f_2(x')] = k_1(x, x')k_2(x, x').$$

This can be loosely described as e.g. ‘modulated by a periodic function with a period of [period] [units]’.

Constructing a complete description of a product of kernels

We choose one kernel to act as a noun which is then described by the functions it encodes for when unmodified e.g. ‘smooth function’ for SE. Modifiers corresponding to the other kernels in the product are then appended to this description, forming a noun phrase of the form:

$$\text{Determiner} + \text{Premodifiers} + \text{Noun} + \text{Postmodifiers}$$

As an example, a kernel of the form $\text{SE} \times \text{Per} \times \text{Lin} \times \sigma$ could be described as an

$$\underbrace{\text{SE}}_{\text{approximately}} \times \underbrace{\text{Per}}_{\text{periodic function}} \times \underbrace{\text{Lin}}_{\text{with linearly growing amplitude}} \times \underbrace{\sigma}_{\text{until 1700.}}$$

where Per has been selected as the head noun.

In principle, any assignment of kernels in a product to these different phrasal roles is possible, but in practice we found certain assignments to produce more interpretable phrases than others. The head noun is chosen according to the following ordering:

$$\text{Per} > \text{WN, SE, C} > \prod_m \text{Lin}^{(m)} > \prod_n \sigma^{(n)}$$

i.e. Per is always chosen as the head noun when present.

Ordering additive components The reports generated by ABCD attempt to present the most interesting or important features of a data set first. As a heuristic, we order components by always adding next the component which most reduces the 10-fold cross-validated mean absolute error.

1.1.1 Worked Example

Suppose we start with a kernel of the form

$$\text{SE} \times (\text{WN} \times \text{Lin} + \text{CP}(\text{C}, \text{Per})).$$

This is converted to a sum of products:

$$\text{SE} \times \text{WN} \times \text{Lin} + \text{SE} \times \text{C} \times \sigma + \text{SE} \times \text{Per} \times \bar{\sigma}.$$

which is simplified to

$$\text{WN} \times \text{Lin} + \text{SE} \times \sigma + \text{SE} \times \text{Per} \times \bar{\sigma}.$$

To describe the first component, the head noun description for WN, ‘uncorrelated noise’, is concatenated with a modifier for Lin, ‘with linearly increasing standard deviation’. The second component is described as ‘A smooth function with a lengthscale of [lengthscale] [units]’, corresponding to the SE, ‘which applies until [changepoint]’, which corresponds to the σ . Finally, the third component is described as ‘An approximately periodic function with a period of [period] [units] which applies from [changepoint]’.

We demonstrate the ability of our procedure to discover and describe a variety of patterns on two time series.

1.2 Summarizing 400 Years of Solar Activity

We show excerpts from the report automatically generated on annual solar irradiation data from 1610 to 2011 (figure 1.1). This time series has two pertinent features: a




Fig. 1.1 Solar irradiance data.

roughly 11-year cycle of solar activity, and a period lasting from 1645 to 1715 with much smaller variance than the rest of the dataset. This flat region corresponds to the Maunder minimum, a period in which sunspots were extremely rare (?). ABCD clearly identifies these two features, as discussed below.

Figure 1.2 shows the natural-language summaries of the top four components chosen by ABCD. From these short summaries, we can see that our system has identified the

The structure search algorithm has identified eight additive components in the data. The first 4 additive components explain 92.3% of the variation in the data as shown by the coefficient of determination (R^2) values in table 1. The first 6 additive components explain 99.7% of the variation in the data. After the first 5 components the cross validated mean absolute error (MAE) does not decrease by more than 0.1%. This suggests that subsequent terms are modelling very short term trends, uncorrelated noise or are artefacts of the model or search procedure. Short summaries of the additive components are as follows:

- A constant.
- A constant. This function applies from 1643 until 1716.
- A smooth function. This function applies until 1643 and from 1716 onwards.
- An approximately periodic function with a period of 10.8 years. This function applies until 1643 and from 1716 onwards.

Fig. 1.2 Automatically generated descriptions of the components discovered by ABCD on the solar irradiance data set. The dataset has been decomposed into diverse structures with simple descriptions.

Maunder minimum (second component) and 11-year solar cycle (fourth component). These components are visualized in figures 1.3 and 1.5, respectively. The third component corresponds to long-term trends, as visualized in figure 1.4.




Fig. 1.3 One of the learned components corresponds to the Maunder minimum.

This component is a smooth function with a typical lengthscale of 23.1 years. This component applies until 1643 and from 1716 onwards.

This component explains 56.6% of the residual variance; this increases the total variance explained from 37.4% to 72.8%. The addition of this component reduces the cross validated MAE by 21.08% from 0.23 to 0.18.




Figure 6: Pointwise posterior of component 3 (left) and the posterior of the cumulative sum of components with data (right)

Fig. 1.4 Characterizing the medium-term smoothness of solar activity levels. By allowing other components to explain the periodicity, noise, and the Maunder minimum, ABCD can isolate the part of the signal best explained by a slowly-varying trend.

This component is approximately periodic with a period of 10.8 years. Across periods the shape of this function varies smoothly with a typical lengthscale of 36.9 years. The shape of this function within each period is very smooth and resembles a sinusoid. This component applies until 1643 and from 1716 onwards.

This component explains 71.5% of the residual variance; this increases the total variance explained from 72.8% to 92.3%. The addition of this component reduces the cross validated MAE by 16.82% from 0.18 to 0.15.




Figure 8: Pointwise posterior of component 4 (left) and the posterior of the cumulative sum of components with data (right)

Fig. 1.5 Extract from an automatically-generated report describing the model components discovered by automatic model search. This part of the report isolates and describes the approximately 11-year sunspot cycle, also noting its disappearance during the 16th century, a time known as the Maunder minimum (?).




Fig. 1.6 International airline passenger monthly volume (e.g. ?).

1.3 Describing Heteroscedasticity in Air Traffic Data

Next, we present the analysis generated by our procedure on international airline passenger data (figure 1.6). The model constructed by ABCD has four components: $\text{Lin} + \text{SE} \times \text{Per} \times \text{Lin} + \text{SE} + \text{WN} \times \text{Lin}$, with descriptions given in figure 1.7.

The structure search algorithm has identified four additive components in the data. The first 2 additive components explain 98.5% of the variation in the data as shown by the coefficient of determination (R^2) values in table 1. The first 3 additive components explain 99.8% of the variation in the data. After the first 3 components the cross validated mean absolute error (MAE) does not decrease by more than 0.1%. This suggests that subsequent terms are modelling very short term trends, uncorrelated noise or are artefacts of the model or search procedure. Short summaries of the additive components are as follows:

- A linearly increasing function.
- An approximately periodic function with a period of 1.0 years and with linearly increasing amplitude.
- A smooth function.
- Uncorrelated noise with linearly increasing standard deviation.

#	R^2 (%)	ΔR^2 (%)	Residual R^2 (%)	Cross validated MAE	Reduction in MAE (%)
-	-	-	-	280.30	-
1	85.4	85.4	85.4	34.03	87.9
2	98.5	13.2	89.9	12.44	63.4
3	99.8	1.3	85.1	9.10	26.8
4	100.0	0.2	100.0	9.10	0.0

Fig. 1.7 Short descriptions and summary statistics for the four components of the airline model.

The second component (figure 1.8) is accurately described as approximately (SE) periodic (Per) with linearly growing amplitude (Lin). By multiplying a white noise kernel by a linear kernel, the model is able to express heteroscedasticity (figure 1.9).

2.2 Component 2 : An approximately periodic function with a period of 1.0 years and with linearly increasing amplitude

This component is approximately periodic with a period of 1.0 years and varying amplitude. Across periods the shape of this function varies very smoothly. The amplitude of the function increases linearly. The shape of this function within each period has a typical lengthscale of 6.0 weeks.

This component explains 89.9% of the residual variance; this increases the total variance explained from 85.4% to 98.5%. The addition of this component reduces the cross validated MAE by 63.45% from 34.03 to 12.44.




Figure 4: Pointwise posterior of component 2 (left) and the posterior of the cumulative sum of components with data (right)

Fig. 1.8 Capturing non-stationary periodicity in the airline data

2.4 Component 4 : Uncorrelated noise with linearly increasing standard deviation

This component models uncorrelated noise. The standard deviation of the noise increases linearly.

This component explains 100.0% of the residual variance; this increases the total variance explained from 99.8% to 100.0%. The addition of this component reduces the cross validated MAE by 0.00% from 9.10 to 9.10. This component explains residual variance but does not improve MAE which suggests that this component describes very short term patterns, uncorrelated noise or is an artefact of the model or search procedure.




Figure 8: Pointwise posterior of component 4 (left) and the posterior of the cumulative sum of components with data (right)

Fig. 1.9 Modeling heteroscedasticity in the airline dataset.

1.4 Related Work

To the best of our knowledge, our procedure is the first example of automatic description of nonparametric statistical models. However, systems with natural language output have been built in the areas of video interpretation (?) and automated theorem proving (?).

1.5 Conclusion

Towards the goal of automating statistical modeling we have presented a system which constructs an appropriate model from an open-ended language and automatically generates detailed reports that describe patterns in the data captured by the model. We have demonstrated that our procedure can discover and describe a variety of patterns on several time series. We believe this procedure has the potential to make powerful statistical model-building techniques accessible to non-experts.