CITS5505 Project Marking Sheet

Name:_____   **Student#:**_____

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Inadequate	Comments	Weight
Content of Hyperlinks technical description page	Comprehensive set of examples, illustrating usage and architecture, with interactive components, and excellent technical descriptions.	Good set of examples, clearly demonstrating usage and effect, and good technical descriptions.	Covers the main technologies and gives a basic description of Hyperlinks in HTML or HTTP.	Omits certain technologies, or incomplete functionality.		/20
Content of Hyperlinks history page	Comprehensive history, good coverage of elements, and detailed technical description	Good historical overview, a variety of elements and some technical description.	Basic history of Hyperlinks, and a few elements, but lacking technical detail	Lacks meaningful history, uses few elements, and no technical description.		/20
Content of Biography	Well formatted, and informative with effective use of HTML and CSS, in an individually styled page with multi-media elements.	Clear, well formatted and informative with effective use of HTML and CSS, with some multi-media elements.	Basic details, with no formatting errors or written errors. Some style applied.	Contains errors, or has incomplete or inconsistent formatting. No attempt to apply style.		/10
HTML code quality	Passes validation perfectly, wide selection of elements and correct use of attributes	Some minor validation errors, good selection of elements, and some use of attributes	Validation errors, but correctly rendered. Some variety of elements, but basic use only.	Does not render correctly, limited use of elements and attributes.		/15
CSS code quality	Clear, well crafted and distinctive style. Neat, commented code, demonstrating a wide variety of styles, and selectors	Distinctive style, and reasonable code demonstrating some variety of style and selectors.	Generic style, code formatting inconsistent, or lacking selectors and styles.	Poor style, with errors in attributes and selectors.		/15
JavaScript code quality	Well written efficient and error free code, demonstrating DOM manipulation, some AJAX.	Correct well formatted code demonstrating DOM manipulation.	Some errors in code, inconsistent or missing DOM manipulation.	Errors in code, or ineffective functionality. Poor formatting, and not attempting AJAX or DOM manipulation.		/10
Sources and References	Well chosen and relevant resources, clearly attributed, and complete description of how AI assistance was used.	Useful resources, clearly attributed, and description of how AI assistance was used.	Some resources, attributed in a vague or general sense. Unsupported assertions, and no discussion of AI assistance.	Missing references, unsupported assertions.		/10
Overall						/100