The Whacky World of Undefined Behaviour

Beck, Calvin hobbes@seas.upenn.edu

October 17, 2019

What is this Talk about?

Undefined behaviour! With a smack of LLVM.

We'll cover things like:

- What is undefined behaviour?
- What happens when you encounter UB?
- How is UB useful? Should we avoid it?
 - ▶ Optimizations?
- UB in LLVM (and indeterminate values)
- How this all fits into Vellvm

Not for anything in particular! It's just a fun topic, and hopefully talking about it will clarify some things for myself and you!

It's behaviour...

It's behaviour...

That's undefined.

It's behaviour...

That's undefined.

Done.

Why does this seem hard?

Why does this seem hard?

■ Easy to conflate with things like implementation defined behaviour... Which is sort of different.

Why does this seem hard?

- Easy to conflate with things like implementation defined behaviour... Which is sort of different.
- Language dependent.
 - Array out of bounds in Python? Exception, not UB.

Why does this seem hard?

- Easy to conflate with things like implementation defined behaviour... Which is sort of different.
- Language dependent.
 - ▶ Array out of bounds in Python? Exception, not UB.
 - ► Array out of bounds in C? ... Pray.

ANYTHING.

ANYTHING.

Yes.

ANYTHING. Yes. Anything.

- noop, and then continue
- halt
- halt and catch fire
- erase the hard drive

- noop, and then continue
- halt
- halt and catch fire
- erase the hard drive
 - ▶ No, seriously. Erase the hard drive.
 - https://kristerw.blogspot.com/2017/09/ why-undefined-behavior-may-call-never.html

- noop, and then continue
- halt
- halt and catch fire
- erase the hard drive
 - ▶ No, seriously. Erase the hard drive.
 - https://kristerw.blogspot.com/2017/09/ why-undefined-behavior-may-call-never.html
- time travel

- noop, and then continue
- halt
- halt and catch fire
- erase the hard drive
 - ▶ No, seriously. Erase the hard drive.
 - https://kristerw.blogspot.com/2017/09/ why-undefined-behavior-may-call-never.html
- time travel
 - no, really.
 - ▶ https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/?p=633

- noop, and then continue
- halt
- halt and catch fire
- erase the hard drive
 - ▶ No, seriously. Erase the hard drive.
 - https://kristerw.blogspot.com/2017/09/ why-undefined-behavior-may-call-never.html
- time travel
 - no, really.
 - ▶ https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/?p=633
- nasal demons?

- noop, and then continue
- halt
- halt and catch fire
- erase the hard drive
 - ▶ No, seriously. Erase the hard drive.
 - https://kristerw.blogspot.com/2017/09/ why-undefined-behavior-may-call-never.html
- time travel
 - no, really.
 - ▶ https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/?p=633
- nasal demons?
 - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nasal_demons

- noop, and then continue
- halt
- halt and catch fire
- erase the hard drive
 - ▶ No, seriously. Erase the hard drive.
 - https://kristerw.blogspot.com/2017/09/ why-undefined-behavior-may-call-never.html
- time travel
 - no, really.
 - https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/?p=633
- nasal demons?
 - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nasal_demons
 - So far I'm pretty sure this is just a joke, but I wouldn't rule it out.

Why have UB at all? Isn't it... crazy?

Why have UB at all? Isn't it... crazy?

- PL without UB might have a lot of dynamic sanity checks.
 - ▶ Bounds checking.

Why have UB at all? Isn't it... crazy?

- PL without UB might have a lot of dynamic sanity checks.
 - Bounds checking.
- What about type systems?
 - ▶ Static checks can eliminate some dynamic checks
 - Bounds checking still common.

Instead, why not...

Why have UB at all? Isn't it... crazy?

- PL without UB might have a lot of dynamic sanity checks.
 - ▶ Bounds checking.
- What about type systems?
 - ▶ Static checks can eliminate some dynamic checks
 - Bounds checking still common.

Instead, why not...

Do nothing?

UB may seem somewhat unprincipled, but it has advantages:

- Gives compiler an axiom.
- Puts burden on programmer, or other tools

UB can reflect programmer intent

I want to change this...

```
a + b < a + c
```

UB can reflect programmer intent

I want to change this...

```
a + b < a + c
```

To this:

```
b < a
```

After all, who wants to do 2 extra additions?

UB can reflect programmer intent

I want to change this...

```
a + b < a + c
```

To this:

```
b < a
```

After all, who wants to do 2 extra additions?

But this is sort of wrong...

```
1 + INT_MAX < 1 + 3
// This evaluates to
INT_MIN < 4 == True
// But...
INT_MAX < 3 == False
```

References



.

These are all good resources! You should look at them!