Notas tema 11 AED inferencias sobre la media de un vector

Rodrigo Castillo

7 de septiembre de 2020



1. caso univariado

para hacer una conclusion valida de la media de una muestra, si tienes p variables correlacionadas deben ser analizadas los test cubridos en este conjunto de notas son de la forma

$$H_o: \mu = \mu_o \tag{1}$$

donde $mu_{p\times 1}$ es el vector de las medias poblacionales y $\mu_{o,p\times 1}$ son valores nulos en la hipótesis para el caso univariado...

Univariate Case

We're interested in the mean of a population and we have a random sample of n observations from the population,

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

where (i.e., Assumptions):

- ▶ Observations are independent (i.e., X_j is independent from $X_{j'}$ for $j \neq j'$).
- ▶ Observations are from the same population; that is,

$$E(X_i) = \mu$$
 for all j

▶ If the sample size is "small", we'll also assume that

$$X_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

Figura 1: Caso Univariado

hipotesis y test ...

► Hypothesis:

$$H_o: \mu = \mu_o$$
 versus $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_o$

where μ_{o} is some specified value. In this case, H_{1} is 2–sided alternative.

► Test Statistic:

$$t = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_o}{s / \sqrt{n}}$$

where
$$ar{X}=(1/n)\sum_{j=1}^n X_j$$
 and $s=\sqrt{(1/(n-1))\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j-ar{X})^2}$

- where $\bar{X}=(1/n)\sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ and $s=\sqrt{(1/(n-1))\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j-\bar{X})^2}$ Sampling Distribution: If H_o and assumptions are true, then the sampling distribution of t is Student's - t distribution with df = n - 1.
- ▶ Decision: Reject H_o when t is "large" (i.e., small p-value).

Figura 2: Hipotesis y test

entonces la desicion es clasica para la distribucion t-student

Picture of Decision Each green area $= \alpha/2 = .025...$ Students t-distribution with df=10 0.40 0.35 Probability Density 0.30 0.25 0.20 Retain Ho Reject Ho Reject Ho 0.15 0.10 0.05 0.00 -5 -4 -3-2 -10 2 3 4 5 Value of Test Statistic

Figura 3: Desición

intervalos de confianza ...

$$\left|\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_o}{s/\sqrt{n}}\right| \leq t_{n-1,(\alpha/2)}$$

en donde $T_{n-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$ es la parte superior blahblahblah...

$$\left|\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_o}{s/\sqrt{n}}\right| \leq t_{n-1,(\alpha/2)}$$

where $t_{n-1,(\alpha/2)}$ is the upper $(\alpha/2)100\%$ percentile of Student's t-distribution with $df=n-1.\ldots {\sf OR}$

$$\left\{\mu_o \text{ such that } \bar{x} - t_{n-1,(\alpha/2)} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu_o \leq \bar{x} + t_{n-1,(\alpha/2)} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$

A $100(1-\alpha)^{th}$ confidence interval or region for μ is $\left(\bar{x}-t_{n-1,(\alpha/2)}\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \bar{x}+t_{n-1,(\alpha/2)}\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$

Before for sample is selected, the ends of the interval depend on random variables \bar{X} 's and s; this is a random interval. $100(1-\alpha)^{th}$ percent of the time such intervals with contain the "true" mean μ

Figura 4: Test

2. caso multivariado

la extension del caso univariado, vamos a reemplazar los escalares para los vectores y matrices

$$t^2 = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu_o)^2}{s^2/n} = n(\bar{x} - \mu_o)(s^2)^{-1}(\bar{x} - \mu_o)$$

donde t^2 es un metodo cuadrado estadistico de la distancia entre la muestra \hat{x} y el valor hipotetico μ_o

se tiene que $t_{df}^2 = F_{1,df}$ eso quiere decir que la muestra de distribucion de

$$t^2 = n(\bar{x} - \mu_o)(s^2)^{-1}(\bar{x} - \mu_o) \sim \mathcal{F}_{1,n-1}.$$

y se extiende así ...

hotelingm T^2

ver el ejemplo 2 y 3

For the extension from the univariate to multivariate case, replace scalars with vectors and matrices:

$$T^2 = n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \mu_o)' \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \mu_o)$$

- $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{p \times 1} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{i}$
- $\mu_{o,(p\times 1)} = (\mu_{1o}, \mu_{2o}, \dots, \mu_{po})$ $S_{p\times p} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mathbf{X}_{j} \bar{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{X}_{j} \bar{\mathbf{X}})'$

 T^2 is "Hotelling's T^2 "

The sample distribution of T^2

$$T^2 \sim \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p} \mathcal{F}_{p,(n-p)}$$

We can use this to test $H_o: \mu = \mu_o \dots$ assuming that observations are a random sample from $\mathcal{N}_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ i.i.d.

Figura 5: extension al caso multivariado

Since

$$T^2 \sim \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p} \mathcal{F}_{p,(n-p)}$$

We can compute T^2 and compare it to

$$\frac{(n-1)p}{n-p}\mathcal{F}_{p,(n-p)}(\alpha)$$

OR use the fact that

$$\frac{n-p}{(n-1)p}T^2 \sim \mathcal{F}_{p,(n-p)}$$

Compute T^2 as

$$T^2 = n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu_o)\mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu_o)'$$

and the

$$p$$
-value = Prob $\left\{ \mathcal{F}_{p,(n-p)} \geq \frac{(n-p)}{(n-1)p} T^2 \right\}$

Reject H_o when p-value is small (i.e., when T^2 is large).

Figura 6: Hoteling

3. cosas cool

Likelihood ratio 4.

 T^2 esta basado en el principio de la union, que agarra un caso multivariado y lo vuelve un problema uniariado, el problema tipo $T^2 \equiv a'(\hat{X} - \mu - 0)$ es una combinación lineal

Example 1

$$n = 3 \text{ and } p = 2$$

$$\text{Data: } \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 9 \\ 10 & 6 \\ 8 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_o: \mu = \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_o: \mu' = (9, 5)$$

Assuming data come from a multivariate normal distribution and independent observations,

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -3 \\ -3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{S}^{-1} = \frac{1}{4(9) - (-3)(-3)} \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/9 \\ 1/9 & 4 & 27 \end{pmatrix}$$

Figura 7: ejemplo1

 $T^{2} = n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu_{o})'\mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu_{o})$ $= 3((8-9), (6-5)) \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/9 \\ 1/9 & 4/27 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (8-9) \\ (6-5) \end{pmatrix}$ $= 3(-1,1) \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/9 \\ 1/9 & 4/27 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ = 3(7/27) = 7/9

Value we need for $\alpha = .05$ is $\mathcal{F}_{2,1}(.05) = 199.51$.

$$\frac{(3-1)2}{3-2}199.51 = 4(199.51) = 798.04.$$

Since $T^2 \sim \frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)} \mathcal{F}_{p,n-p}$, we can compare our T^2 to 798.04.

Alternatively, we could compute p-value: compare .25(7/9) = 0.194 to $\mathcal{F}_{2,1}$ and we get p-value = .85.

Do not reject H_o . (\bar{x} and μ are "close" in the figure).

Figura 8: ejemplo2 -continuacion

selecionamos el la combinacion del vector que nos lleve al maximo posible valor de \mathbb{T}^2 , el plan es ...

$$t^2 = \left(egin{array}{c} {\sf normal} \\ {\sf random} \\ {\sf variable} \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} {\sf chi\text{-square random varible}} \\ {\sf degress \ of \ freedom} \end{array}
ight)^{-1} \left(egin{array}{c} {\sf normal} \\ {\sf random} \\ {\sf variable} \end{array}
ight)$$

Figura 9: Cosa cool

- Another approach to testing null hypothesis about mean vector μ (as well as other multivariate tests in general).
- lt's equivalent to Hotelling's T^2 for $H_o: \mu=\mu_o$ or $H_o: \mu_1=\mu_2.$
- It's more general than T² in that it can be used to test other hypotheses (e.g., those regarding Σ) and in different circumstances.
- ► Foreshadow: When testing more than 1 or 2 mean vectors, there are lots of different test statistics (about 5 common ones).
- → T² and likelihood ratio tests are based on different underlying principles.

Figura 10: Likelihood Ratio

- $\Theta_o = \text{a set of unknown parameters under } H_o \text{ (e.g., } Σ).$
- Θ = the set of unknown parameters under the alternative hypothesis (model), which is more general (e.g., μ and Σ).
- \blacktriangleright $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ is the likelihood function. It is a function of parameters that indicates "how likely Θ (or Θ_o) is given the data".
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{L}(\Theta) \geq \mathcal{L}(\Theta_o).$
 - ► The more general model/hypothesis is always more (or equally) likely than the more restrictive model/hypothesis.

The Likelihood Ratio Statistic is

$$\Lambda = rac{\max \mathcal{L}(\Theta_o)}{\max \mathcal{L}(\Theta)} \quad o \quad ar{\mathbf{X}} = \hat{m{\mu}} \quad \mathsf{MLE} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{mean} \ \mathbf{S}_n = \hat{m{\Sigma}} \quad \mathsf{MLE} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{covariance} \ \mathsf{matrix}$$

If Λ is "small", then the data are not likely to have occurred under $H_o \longrightarrow \text{Reject } H_o$.

If Λ is "large", then the data are likely to have occurred under $H_o \longrightarrow {\sf Retain}\ H_o.$

Figura 11: Basic Idea

Let $\mathbf{X}_{j} \sim \mathcal{N}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and i.i.d.

$$\Lambda = \frac{\mathsf{max}_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}[\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_o, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})]}{\mathsf{max}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}[\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})]}$$

where

- ▶ \max_{Σ} = the maximum of $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ over all possible Σ 's.
- ▶ max $_{\mu,\Sigma}$ = the maximum of $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ over all possible μ 's & Σ's.

$$\Lambda = \left(rac{|\hat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}}|}{|\hat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}}_o|}
ight)^{n/2}$$

where

- $\hat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}}=\mathsf{MLE}\ \mathsf{of}\ oldsymbol{\Sigma}=(1/n)\sum_{j=1}^n (oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_j-ar{oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}})(oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_j-ar{oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}})'=oldsymbol{\mathsf{S}}_n$
- $\hat{\Sigma}_o = \text{MLE of } \Sigma \text{ assuming that } \mu = \mu_o$ = $(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu_o)(X_j - \mu_o)'$

Figura 12: Vector De Medias

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{|\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}|}{|\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{o}|}\right)^{n/2}$$

 $\Lambda = (\text{ratio of two generalized sample variances})^{n/2}$

- If μ_o is really "far" from μ , then $|\hat{\Sigma}_o|$ will be much larger than $|\hat{\Sigma}|$, which uses a "good" estimator of μ (i.e., \bar{X}).
- The likelihood ratio statistic Λ is called "Wilk's Lambda" for the special case of testing hypotheses about mean vectors.
- ► For large samples (i.e., large n),

$$-2\ln(\Lambda) \sim \chi_p^2$$

which can be used to test $H_o: \mu = \mu_o$

Figura 13: Vector de medias 2

We need to consider the number of parameter estimates under each hypothesis:

The alternative hypothesis ("full model"),

$$\Theta = \{oldsymbol{\mu}, oldsymbol{\Sigma}\} \longrightarrow p$$
 means $+$ $rac{p(p-1)}{2}$ covariances

The null hypothesis,

$$\Theta_o = \{ {f \Sigma} \} \longrightarrow rac{p(p-1)}{2}$$
 covariances

degrees of freedom = df = difference between number of parameters estimated under each hypothesis = n

If the H_o is true and all assumptions valid, then for large samples, $-2\ln(\Lambda)\sim\chi_p^2$.

Figura 14: Grados de libertad