# STAT6038 week 5 lecture 14

## Rui Qiu

#### 2017-03-23

### Assessing the underlying (model-specific) assumptions.

$$\epsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

- 1. iid = independent and identically distributed
- 2. N = normally distributed errors
- 3. mean of distribution is 0 (guaranteed by the least squares estimation -; not really an assumption)
- 4. constant variance  $\sigma^2$  (homoscedasticity or homoskedasticity)

We assess these assumptions using the residuals (observed errors)

$$e_i = Y_i - \hat{Y}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and we do this assessment using residual plots.

#### Key assumptions (in order of importance)

- 1. errors are independent (no obvious problem)
- 2. errors are identically distributed with constant variance  $\sigma^2$  (homoscedastic errors)
- 3. errors are normally distributed

Use resident plots:

- 1 and 2 are best assessed using a **plot** of the (standardized) residuals vs. fitted values aka residual plot.
  - 3 is test assessed using a normal quantile plot (qq plot)

Other plots may be useful in diagnosing (getting more details on ) problems observed in the main residual plot (and occasionally in normal qq plot).

If residual plot has a "curvature" – a definite pattern  $\implies$  indicating dependence in the errors  $\implies$  errors are not independent  $\implies$  model is probably not appropriate.

If residual plot shows a "heteroscedasticity"  $\implies$  non-constant variance. If outliers... outliers...

- lack of independence
- nor constant variance
- potential outlier