STA442 Assignment 10

Ruize Luo, 999307539

Wednesday, November 19, 2014

```
setwd("G:/Dropbox/2014 Fall/STA442/Assignments/Assignment 10")
```

Q1

```
q1data <- read.table("http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/~brunner/appliedf14/code_n_data/hw/awards.data", he
q1data$prog <- factor(q1data$prog, labels=c("General", "Academic", "Vocational"))
attach(q1data)</pre>
```

table(awards, prog)

##	I	prog		
##	${\tt awards}$	${\tt General}$	${\tt Academic}$	${\tt Vocational}$
##	0	36	48	40
##	1	9	32	8
##	2	0	11	2
##	3	0	9	0
##	4	0	2	0
##	5	0	2	0
##	6	0	1	0

Q1/(a)

It looks like one side of a normal distribution

Q1/(b)

i.

contrasts(prog)

##		Academic	Vocational
##	General	0	0
##	Academic	1	0
##	Vocational	0	1

Table 1: Dummy Variable Coding Scheme

Program	d_1	d_2
General	0	0
Academic	1	0
Vocational	0	1

ii.

Model: $E(log(Y)|X = x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * x + \beta_2 * d_1 + \beta_3 * d_2$

Table 2: Expected Number of Awards Given Score in Each Program

Program	d_1	d_2	Expected number of awards given score
General	0	0	$e^{\beta_0+\beta_1*x}$
Academic	1	0	$e^{\beta_0+\beta_1*x+\beta_2}$
Vocational	0	1	$e^{\beta_0+\beta_1*x+\beta_3}$

iii. e^{β_3}

iv. e^{β_2}

v.
$$e^{\beta_2-\beta_3}$$

vi.

Because the mean is proportional to some other variables

vii.

A.
$$log(E(Y|X=x)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * x + \beta_2 * d_1 + \beta_3 * d_2 + \beta_4 * x * d_1 + \beta_5 * x * d_2$$

B.
$$H_0: \beta_4 = \beta_5 = 0$$

C.
$$log(E(Y|X=x)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * x + \beta_2 * d_1 + \beta_3 * d_2$$

D.
$$df = 2$$

Q1/(c)

```
q1cmodel <- glm(awards~score+prog, data=q1data, family=poisson)
summary(q1cmodel)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = awards ~ score + prog, family = poisson, data = q1data)
## Deviance Residuals:
##
     Min
              1Q Median
                               3Q
                                     Max
## -2.204 -0.844 -0.511
                            0.256
                                    2.680
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                        -7.84 4.5e-15 ***
## (Intercept)
                  -6.6502
                               0.8481
                   0.0702
                               0.0106
                                         6.62 3.6e-11 ***
## score
## progAcademic
                    1.0839
                               0.3583
                                         3.03
                                               0.0025 **
                                               0.4018
## progVocational
                   0.3698
                               0.4411
                                         0.84
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 287.67 on 199 degrees of freedom
##
```

```
## Residual deviance: 189.45 on 196 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 373.5
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

- i. Yes. Controlling for program, participants with a higher score are expected to get more awards.
- ii. Yes. Controlling for score on the test, students in the Academic program get **more** awards on average than students in the General program
- iii. No. Controlling for score on the test, there is **no enough evidence** to show that students in the Vocational program get more awards on average than students in the General program

iv.

```
q1cnullmodel <- glm(awards~1, data=q1data, family=poisson)
summary(q1cnullmodel)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = awards ~ 1, family = poisson, data = q1data)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
     Min
              1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
                                    4.038
  -1.122 -1.122 -1.122
##
                            0.429
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -0.4620
                            0.0891
                                     -5.19 2.1e-07 ***
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 287.67 on 199 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 287.67 on 199 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 465.7
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
anova(q1cmodel, q1cnullmodel, test="Chisq")
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
```

```
## Analysis of Deviance Table ## ## Model 1: awards ~ score + prog ## Model 2: awards ~ 1 ## Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance Pr(>Chi) ## 1 196 189 ## 2 199 288 -3 -98.2 <2e-16 *** ## --- ## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Yes. p-value \approx 0
```

v.

```
source("http://www.utstat.utoronto.ca/~brunner/Rfunctions/Wtest.txt")
q1cL <- matrix(c(0,0,1,-1), nrow=1)
q1ccoef <- q1cmodel$coefficients
Wtest(L=q1cL, Tn=q1ccoef, Vn=vcov(q1cmodel))</pre>
```

```
## W df p-value
## 4.97871 1.00000 0.02566
```

Tes. Controlling for score on the test, students in the Vocational program get **less** awards on average than students in the Academic program.

vi.

```
exp(q1ccoef[4])
```

```
## progVocational
## 1.447
```

The expected number of awards for a student in the Vocational program is estimated to be 1.447458 times as great as the expected number of awards for a student in the General program with the same score on the general knowledge test.

vii.

```
exp(q1ccoef[3])
```

```
## progAcademic
## 2.956
```

The expected number of awards for a student in the Academic program is estimated to be **2.956065** times as great as the expected number of awards for a student in the General program with the same score on the general knowledge test.

viii.

```
\exp(t(q1ccoef)%*%c(0,0,1,-1))
```

```
## [,1]
## [1,] 2.042
```

The expected number of awards for a student in the Academic program is estimated to be **2.042245** times as great as the expected number of awards for a student in the Vocational program with the same score on the general knowledge test.

ix.

```
xx <- matrix(c(1, 80, 1, 0), ncol=1)</pre>
xpred <- t(xx)%*%q1ccoef</pre>
sepred <- sqrt((t(xx)%*%vcov(q1cmodel)%*%xx))</pre>
zcrit <- qnorm(0.975)
CI <- exp(c("lower"=xpred-sepred*zcrit, "predicted"=xpred, "upper"=xpred+sepred*zcrit)); CI
##
       lower predicted
                          upper
##
      0.8614 1.0469
                        1.2725
expCI <- exp(CI); expCI</pre>
##
       lower predicted
                            upper
       2.366 2.849
##
                            3.570
```