

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
Faculty of Arts and Science

DECEMBER 2013 EXAMINATIONS

CSC 336 H1F — Numerical Methods

Duration — 3 hours

**No Aids Allowed**

Answer ALL Questions

Do **NOT** turn this page over until you are **TOLD** to start.

Write your answers in the exam booklets provided.

Please fill-in **ALL** the information requested on the front cover of **EACH** exam booklet that you use.

The exam consists of 6 pages, including this one. **Make sure you have all 6 pages.**

The exam consists of 5 questions. **Answer all 5 questions.** The mark for each question is listed at the start of the question. Do the questions that you feel are easiest first.

To pass this course, you need a total mark of at least 50% and you must receive at least 35% on this the Final Exam.

The exam was written with the intention that you would have ample time to complete it. You will be rewarded for concise well-thought-out answers, rather than long rambling ones. **We seek quality rather than quantity.**

Moreover, an answer that contains relevant and correct information as well as irrelevant or incorrect information will be awarded fewer marks than one that contains the same relevant and correct information only.

**Write legibly. Unreadable answers are worthless.**

1. [10 marks; 2 marks for each part]

For each of the five statements below, say whether the statement is true or false and briefly justify your answer.

- (a) The choice of algorithm for solving a problem has no effect on the conditioning of the problem.
- (b) If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  nonsingular real matrix, then  $\text{cond}(A) = \text{cond}(A^{-1})$ .
- (c) If an  $n \times n$  nonsingular real matrix  $A$  is badly conditioned (i.e.,  $\text{cond}(A)$  is very large), then the determinant of  $A$  must be close to zero.
- (d) If  $x$  is a real vector with  $n$ -elements (i.e.,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ), then  $0 \leq \|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$ .
- (e) Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$  be a sequence of real numbers that converges to a real number  $x^*$ . That is,  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+2} - x^*|}{|x_n - x^*|^2} = c$$

for some real constant  $c$ . Then the rate of convergence of  $x_n$  to  $x^*$  is 2.

2. [10 marks: 5 marks for each part]

Jack told Jill that an accurate approximation to  $e^x$  can be computed by summing the terms of the series

$$1 + x + x^2/2! + x^3/3! + \dots$$

from left to right, provided that no overflows or underflows occur during the computation. Jill didn't believe that such a simple algorithm could work well for all real  $x$  (i.e., all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ), even if no overflows or underflows occur during the computation. So, she wrote the MatLab function `expl` below to test whether or not Jack's assertion is correct.

```
function y = expl(x)

    sum = 1;      % Initialize sum to be the first term in the series
                  %      1 + x + x^2/2! + x^3/3! + ...
    sumold = 0;   % Initialize sumold to be different from sum

    k = 1;       % Initialize k to be 1
    term = x;     % Initialize term = x^k/k! = x for k = 1.

    while sumold ~= sum,
        sumold = sum;      % Save the old value of sum in sumold
        sum = sum + term;  % Increment sum so that sum now holds
                            %      1 + x + x^2/2! + x^3/3! + ... + x^k/k!
        k = k+1;           % Increment k for the next iteration of the loop
        term = term * x / k; % Increment term so that term = x^k/k!
    end

    y = sum;      % Return y = sum as the approximation to e^x
```

The table at the top of the next page lists values of  $x$ , `expl( $x$ )`,  $e^x$  and the relative error in `expl( $x$ )` (i.e.,  $(\text{expl}(x) - e^x)/e^x$ ) for  $x = -25, -20, -15, \dots, 25$ .

$x$	$\text{exp1}(x)$	$e^x$	$\frac{\text{exp1}(x) - e^x}{e^x}$
-25	-7.1298e-07	1.3888e-11	-51339
-20	5.6219e-09	2.0612e-09	1.7275
-15	3.0591e-07	3.0590e-07	2.3208e-05
-10	4.5400e-05	4.5400e-05	-3.0717e-09
-5	0.0067379	0.0067379	-2.1253e-13
0	1	1	0
5	148.41	148.41	-3.8301e-16
10	22026	22026	-3.3033e-16
15	3.2690e+06	3.2690e+06	2.8489e-16
20	4.8517e+08	4.8517e+08	-1.2285e-16
25	7.2005e+10	7.2005e+10	-2.1191e-16

You can see from the results in the table above that the MatLab function `exp1` produces accurate results for all non-negative values of  $x$  tested (i.e., for  $x = 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25$ ). In addition, if  $x$  is negative, but not too large in magnitude (e.g.,  $x = -5$ ), then `exp1` produces a fairly accurate result also. However, if  $x$  is negative and large in magnitude (e.g.,  $x = -20$  or  $-25$ ), then `exp1` is very inaccurate, even though no overflows or underflows occurred in the computations reported in the table above.

- (a) Explain the numerical results in the table above. In particular,
- explain why the MatLab function `exp1` is accurate for all non-negative values of  $x$  and fairly accurate for  $x$  negative, but not too large in magnitude (e.g.,  $x = -5$ ), and
  - explain why the MatLab function `exp1` is very inaccurate for  $x$  negative and large in magnitude (e.g.,  $x = -20$  or  $-25$ ).

You need to say more than there are rounding and truncation errors in the computation, since there are rounding and truncation errors in both the accurate and inaccurate approximations to  $e^x$ . You need to explain why the MatLab function `exp1` is not seriously affected by the rounding and truncation errors when  $x$  is non-negative or negative but not too large in magnitude (e.g.,  $x = -5$ ). You also need to explain why the MatLab function `exp1` is very badly affected by the rounding and truncation errors when  $x$  is negative and large in magnitude (e.g.,  $x = -20$  or  $-25$ ).

- (b) Make a small change to the MatLab function `exp1(x)` so that it computes an accurate approximation to  $e^x$  for all  $x = -25, -20, -15, \dots, 25$ . Call your new MatLab function `exp2(x)` and write it out in full in your exam booklet. (Your MatLab code doesn't have to be syntactically correct; it just has to show that you have the right idea. Also, you don't have to include comments.)

Explain why you believe that your new MatLab function `exp2(x)` computes an accurate approximation to  $e^x$  for all  $x = -25, -20, -15, \dots, 25$ .

3. [10 marks: 5 marks for each part]

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 10 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 & 2 \\ 4 & -8 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) Compute the LU factorization with partial pivoting of the matrix  $A$ . That is, compute a permutation matrix  $P$ , a unit-lower-triangular matrix  $L$  with all elements less than or equal to 1 in magnitude, and an upper triangular matrix  $U$  such that  $PA = LU$ .

Show all your calculations.

- (b) Use the LU factorization of the matrix  $A$  from part (a) to solve the linear system  $Ax = b$ .

Show all your calculations.

4. [5 marks]

Dave needed to solve a system of  $n$  linear equations in  $n$  unknowns. He represented the system in matrix form as  $Ax = b$  and used MatLab to compute an approximate solution,  $\hat{x}$ , to this system. To assess the accuracy of  $\hat{x}$ , Dave also used MatLab to compute

- (a)  $\|\hat{x}\|_{\infty} \approx 5$ ,
- (b)  $\|b\|_{\infty} \approx 6$ ,
- (c)  $\|r\|_{\infty} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15}$ , where  $r = b - A\hat{x}$ , and
- (d)  $\text{cond}_{\infty}(A) \approx 3 \times 10^{10}$ , where  $\text{cond}_{\infty}(A) = \|A\|_{\infty} \times \|A^{-1}\|_{\infty}$ .

Dave wanted to use the data above to bound the uncertainty in each component of the computed solution,  $\hat{x}$ . To be more specific, he wanted to find a real number  $\epsilon$  so that he could guarantee that  $x_i \in [\hat{x}_i - \epsilon, \hat{x}_i + \epsilon]$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $x_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of the exact solution  $x$  of  $Ax = b$  and  $\hat{x}_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of the computed solution  $\hat{x}$  of  $Ax = b$ . Moreover, he wanted this  $\epsilon$  to be close to as small as possible.

Describe how to compute such an  $\epsilon$ .

Justify your answer and state any assumptions or approximations used in deriving your value for  $\epsilon$ .

5. [10 marks: 5 marks for each part]

In class, we discussed finding the roots of the function  $g(x) = x^2 - 4 \sin(x)$ . In this question, we'll consider finding the roots of the similar function

$$f(x) = e^{x^2} - 4 \cos(x)$$

- (a) How many real roots does  $f(x)$  have? That is, how many different values  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , are there such that  $f(x_k) = 0$ ?

For each root  $x_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , determine an interval of length less than 1 that contains  $x_k$ . That is, for each  $x_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , determine  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  such that  $0 \leq b_k - a_k < 1$  and  $x_k \in [a_k, b_k]$ .

Justify your answer.

- (b) Give Newton's method for finding a root of  $f(x) = 0$ . That is, give the iteration associated with Newton's method for this particular function  $f(x) = e^{x^2} - 4 \cos(x)$ .

What is a good starting guess for Newton's method for  $f(x) = e^{x^2} - 4 \cos(x)$  if you want to find a positive root of  $f(x) = 0$ ?

Justify your answer.

You may find the following facts useful in solving this problem.

$$\pi/2 \approx 1.5708$$

$$e^{(\pm\pi/2)^2} \approx 11.792$$

$$4 \cos(\pm\pi/2) = 0$$

$$\pi/4 \approx 0.7854$$

$$e^{(\pm\pi/4)^2} \approx 1.8531$$

$$4 \cos(\pm\pi/4) \approx 2.8284$$

$$e^0 = 1$$

$$4 \cos(0) = 4$$

$$\frac{d \cos(x)}{dx} = -\sin(x)$$

$$\frac{d e^{x^2}}{dx} = 2x e^{x^2}$$

Have a Happy Holiday

Total Marks = 45

Total Pages = 6