

MAT315: Intro to Number Theory Final Review

Rui Qiu

The Instructor this semester was Henry Kim. The following material will mostly cover the important definitions, theorems, algorithms and/or (partial) proofs taught in class.

Note: The shaded theorems would be extremely valuable in the final test.

Chapter 2: Pythagorean Triples

Definition 1. A *primitive Pythagorean triple* (or PPT for short) is a triple of numbers (a, b, c) such that a, b , and c have no common factors and satisfy

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2.$$

Theorem 1. (*Pythagorean Triples Theorem*). We will get every primitive Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) with a odd and b even by using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} a &= st, \\ b &= \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2}, \\ c &= \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s > t \geq 1$ are chosen to be any odd integers with no common factors.

Chapter 3: Pythagorean Triples and the Unit Circle

Theorem 2. *Every point on the circle*

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

whose coordinates are rational numbers can be obtained from the formula

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{1 - m^2}{1 + m^2}, \frac{2m}{1 + m^2} \right)$$

by substituting in rational numbers for m [except for the point $(-1, 0)$ which is the limiting value as $m \rightarrow \infty$].

Note: The process of getting this formula involves solving a quadratic equation. The trick is to plug in "known" solution term.

If we write the rational number m as a fraction $\frac{v}{u}$, then our formula becomes

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{u^2 - v^2}{u^2 + v^2}, \frac{2uv}{u^2 + v^2} \right),$$

and clearing denominators gives the Pythagorean triple

$$(a, b, c) = (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2).$$

Note that if we use symbol s, t in Chapter 2, we can set

$$u = \frac{s + t}{2}$$

$$v = \frac{s - t}{2}$$

Chapter 5: Divisibility and the Greatest Common Divisor

Definition 2. The *greatest common divisor* of two numbers a and b (not both zero) is the largest number that divides both of them. It is denoted by $\gcd(a, b)$. If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, we say that a and b are *relatively prime*.

Theorem 3. (Euclidean Algorithm). *To compute the greatest common divisor of two number a and b , let $r_{-1} = a$, let $r_0 = b$, and compute successive quotients and remainders*

$$r_{i-1} = q_{i+1} \times r_i + r_{i+1}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ until some remainder r_{n+1} is 0. The last nonzero remainder r_n is then the greatest common divisor of a and b .

Chapter 6: Linear Equations and the Greatest Common Divisor

The smallest positive value of $ax + by$ is equal to $\gcd(a, b)$.

Theorem 4. (Linear Equation Theorem). Let a and b be nonzero integers, and let $g = \gcd(a, b)$. The equation

$$ax + by = g$$

always has a solution (x_1, y_1) in integers, and this solution can be found by the Euclidean algorithm method described earlier. The every solution to the equation can be obtained by substituting integers k into the formula

$$\left(x_1 + k \cdot \frac{b}{g}, y_1 - k \cdot \frac{a}{g} \right).$$

Chapter 7: Factorization and the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Definition 3. A *prime number* is a number $p \geq 2$ whose only (positive) divisors are 1 and p . Numbers $m \geq 2$ that are not primes are called *composite numbers*.

Lemma 1. Let p be a prime number, and suppose that p divides the product ab . Then either p divides a or p divides b (or p divides both a and b).

Theorem 5. (Prime Divisibility Property). Let p be a prime number, and suppose that p divides the product $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r$. Then p divides at least one of the factors a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r .

Theorem 6. (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). Every integer $n \geq 2$ can be factored into a product of primes

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$$

in exactly one way.

Chapter 8: Congruences

Definition 4. We say that a is *congruent to b modulo m* , and we write $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, if m divides $a - b$.

Theorem 7. (*Linear Congruence Theorem*). Let a, c and m be integers with $m \geq 1$, and let $g = \gcd(a, m)$.

- (a) If $g \nmid c$, then the congruence $ax \equiv c \pmod{m}$ has no solutions.
 (b) If $g \mid c$, then the congruence $ax \equiv c \pmod{m}$ has exactly g incongruent solutions.
 To find the solutions, first find a solution (u_0, v_0) to the linear equation

$$au + mv = g.$$

(A method for solving this equation is described in Chapter 6.) Then $x_0 = \frac{cu_0}{g}$ is a solution to $ax \equiv c \pmod{m}$, and a complete set of incongruent solutions is given by

$$x \equiv x_0 + k \cdot \frac{m}{g} \pmod{m} \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, g-1.$$

Theorem 8. (*Polynomial Roots Mod p Theorem*). Let p be a prime number and let

$$f(x) = a_0x^d + a_1x^{d-1} + \dots + a_d$$

be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$ with integer coefficients and with $p \nmid a_0$. Then the congruence

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

has at most d incongruent solutions.

Chapter 9: Congruences, Powers, and Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem 9. (*Fermat's Little Theorem*). Let p be a prime number, and let a be any number with $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Lemma 2. Let p be a prime number and let a be a number with $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then the numbers

$$a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a \pmod{p}$$

are the same as the numbers

$$1, 2, 3, \dots, (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

although they may be in a different order.

Chapter 10: Congruences, Powers, and Euler's Formula

Definition 5. The number of integers between 1 and m that are relatively prime to m is an important quantity, so we give this quantity a name:

$$\phi(m) = \#\{a : 1 \leq a \leq m \text{ and } \gcd(a, m) = 1\}.$$

The function ϕ is called *Euler's phi function*.

Theorem 10. (*Euler's Formula*). If $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, then

$$a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Lemma 3. If $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, then the numbers

$$b_1a, b_2a, b_3a, \dots, b_{\phi(m)}a \pmod{m}$$

is congruent to one number in the list

$$b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

Chapter 11: Euler's Phi Function and the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 11. (*Phi Function Formulas*).

(a) If p is a prime and $k \geq 1$, then

$$\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}.$$

(b) If $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

Theorem 12. (*Chinese Remainder Theorem*). Let m and n be integers satisfying $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, and let b and c be any integers. Then the simultaneous congruences

$$x \equiv b \pmod{m} \text{ and } x \equiv c \pmod{n}.$$

have exactly one solution with $0 \leq x < mn$.

Note: There's always a general solution for CRT. How to solve? Substitution + Euclidean Algorithm.

Chapter 12: Prime Numbers

Theorem 13. (*Infinitely Many Prime Theorem*). *There are infinitely many prime numbers.*

Euclid's Proof. Suppose we have some list of primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r . we multiply them together and add 1, which gives the number

$$A = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r + 1.$$

If A itself a prime, we're done, since A is too large to be in the original list. But even if A is not prime, it will certainly be divisible by some prime, since every number can be written as a product of primes. Let q be some prime dividing A , for example, the smallest one. I claim that q is not in the original list, so it will be the desired new prime.

Why isn't q in the original list? We know q divides A , so

$$q \text{ divides } p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r + 1.$$

If q were to equal one of the p_i 's, then it would have to divide 1, which is not possible. This means q is a new prime that may be added to our list. Repeating this process, we can create a list of primes that is as long as we want. This shows that there must be infinitely many prime numbers.

Theorem 14. (*Prime 3 (Mod 4) Theorem*). *There are infinitely many primes that are congruent to 3 modulo 4.*

Proof: We suppose that we have already compiled a (finite) list of primes, all of which are congruent to 3 modulo 4. Our goal is to make the list longer by finding a new 3 modulo 4 prime. Repeating this process gives a list of any desired length, thereby proving that there are infinitely many primes congruent to 3 modulo 4.

Suppose that our initial list of primes congruent to 3 modulo 4 is

$$3, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r.$$

Consider the number

$$A = 4p_1p_2 \cdots p_r + 3.$$

(Notice that we don't include the prime 3 in the product.) We know that A can be factored into a product of primes, say

$$A = q_1q_2 \cdots q_s.$$

I claim that among the primes q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s at least one of them must be congruent to 3 modulo 4. This is the key step in the proof. Why is it true? If not, then q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s would all be congruent to 1 modulo 4, in which case their product A would be congruent to 1 modulo 4. But you can see from its definition that A is clearly congruent to 3 modulo 4. Hence, at least one of q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s must be congruent to 3 modulo 4, say $q_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

My second claim is that q_i is not in the original list. Why not? We know that q_i divides A , while it is clear from the definition of A that none of $3, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$ divides A . Thus, q_i is not in our original list, so we may add it to the list and repeat process. In this way we can create as long a list as we want, which shows that there must be infinitely many primes congruent to 3 modulo 4.

Theorem 15. (*Dirichlet's Theorem on Primes in Arithmetic Progressions*). Let a and m be integers with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$. Then there are infinitely many primes that are congruent a modulo m . That is, there are infinitely many prime numbers p satisfying

$$p \equiv a \pmod{m}.$$

Chapter 14: Mersenne Primes

Definition 6. Primes of the form $2^p - 1$ are called *Mersenne primes*

Note: Not every $2^p - 1$ is prime.

Chapter 15: Mersenne Primes and Perfect Numbers

Definition 7. A *perfect number* is a number that is equal to the sum of its proper divisors. The proper divisors of a number are the divisors other than itself.

Theorem 16. (*Euclid's Perfect Number Formula*). If $2^p - 1$ is a prime number, then $2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$ is a perfect number.

Theorem 17. (*Euler's Perfect Number Theorem*). If n is an even perfect number, then n looks like

$$n = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1),$$

where $2^p - 1$ is a Mersenne prime.

Definition 8. A sigma function is equal to $\sigma(n)$ = sum of all divisors of n (including 1 and n).

Theorem 18. (Sigma Function Formulas).

(a) If p is a prime and $k \geq 1$, then

$$\sigma(p^k) = 1 + p + p^2 + \cdots + p^k = \frac{p^{k+1} - 1}{p - 1}.$$

(b) If $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then

$$\sigma(mn) = \sigma(m)\sigma(n).$$

A number n is perfect if the sum of its divisors, other than n itself, is equal to n . The sigma function $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of the divisors of n , including n , so it has an extra n . Therefore,

$$n \text{ is perfect exactly when } \sigma(n) = 2n.$$

Chapter 16: Powers Modulo m and Successive Squaring

Algorithm (Successive Squaring to Compute $a^k \bmod m$). The following steps compute the value of $a^k \bmod m$:

1. Write k as a sum of powers of 2,

$$k = u_0 + u_1 \cdot 2 + u_2 \cdot 4 + u_3 \cdot 8 + \cdots + u_r \cdot 2^r,$$

where each u_i is either 0 or 1. (This is called *the binary expansion of k* .)

2. Make a table of powers of a modulo m using successive squaring.

$$\begin{aligned} a^1 &\equiv A_0 \bmod m \\ a^2 &\equiv (a^1)^2 \equiv A_0^2 \equiv A_1 \bmod m \\ a^4 &\equiv (a^2)^2 \equiv A_1^2 \equiv A_2 \bmod m \\ a^8 &\equiv (a^4)^2 \equiv A_2^2 \equiv A_3 \bmod m \\ &\vdots \\ a^{2^r} &\equiv (a^{2^{r-1}})^2 \equiv A_{r-1}^2 \equiv A_r \bmod m \end{aligned}$$

Note that to compute each line of the table you only need to take the number at the end of the previous line, square it, and then reduce it modulo m . Also note that the table has $r + 1$ lines, where r is the highest exponent of 2 appearing in the binary expansion of k in Step 1.

3. The product

$$A_0^{u_0} \cdot A_1^{u_1} \cdot A_2^{u_2} \cdots A_r^{u_r} \bmod m$$

will be congruent to $a^k \pmod{m}$. Note that all the u_i 's are either 0 or 1, so this number is really the product of those A_i 's for which u_i equals 1.

Using successive squaring and Fermat's Little Theorem, we can show that a number m is composite without finding any factors. Take any number a less than m . First compute $\gcd(a, m)$. If it is greater than 1, then it's a factor of m , we are done. If not, if $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, use successive squaring to compute

$$a^{m-1} \bmod m.$$

Fermat's Little Theorem says that if m is prime then the answer will be 1.

But numbers like *Carmichael numbers* do exist, and those composite numbers m do satisfy the equation $a^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ for all a 's with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$. The smallest *Carmichael number* is 561.

Chapter 17: Computing k^{th} Roots Modulo m

Algorithm (How to Compute k^{th} Roots Modulo m). Let b, k , and m be given integers that satisfy

$$\gcd(b, m) = 1 \text{ and } \gcd(k, \phi(m)) = 1.$$

The following steps give a solution to the congruence

$$x^k \equiv b \pmod{m}.$$

1. Compute $\phi(m)$.
2. Find positive integers u and v that satisfy $ku - \phi(m)v = 1$.
3. Compute $b^u \pmod{m}$ by successive squaring. The value obtained gives the solution x .

Chapter 20: Squares Modulo p

Definition 9. A nonzero number that is congruent to a square modulo p is called a *quadratic residue modulo p* (*QR*). A number that is not congruent to a square modulo p is called a *(quadratic) nonresidue modulo p* (*NR*).

Theorem 19. Let p be an odd prime. Then there are exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ quadratic residues modulo p and exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ nonresidues modulo p .

Theorem 20. (*Quadratic Residue Multiplication Rule*). (Version 1) Let p be an odd prime. Then:

- (i) $QR \times QR = QR$,
- (ii) $QR \times NR = NR$,
- (iii) $NR \times NR = QR$.

QR behaves like +1 and NR behaves like -1.

Definition 10. The *Legendre symbol* of a modulo p is

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p, \\ -1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a nonresidue modulo } p. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 21. (*Quadratic Residue Multiplication Rule*). (Version 2) Let p be an odd prime. Then

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{ab}{p}\right).$$

Chapter 21: Is -1 a Square Modulo p ? Is 2?

Theorem 22. (*Euler's Criterion*). Let p be an odd prime. Then

$$a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \pmod{p}.$$

Theorem 23. (*Quadratic Reciprocity*). (Part I) Let p be an odd prime. Then

- -1 is a quadratic residue modulo p if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and
- -1 is a nonresidue modulo p if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

In other words, using the Legendre symbol,

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 24. (*Primes 1 (Mod 4) Theorem*). There are infinitely many primes that are congruent to 1 modulo 4.

Proof. Suppose given a list of primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r , all of which are congruent to 1 modulo 4. Consider the number

$$A = (2p_1p_2 \cdots p_r)^2 + 1.$$

We know that A can be factored into a product of primes, say

$$A = q_1q_2 \cdots q_s.$$

It's clear that q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s are not in our original list, since none of the p_i 's divide A . So all we need to do is show that one of the q_i 's is congruent to 1 modulo 4. In fact, we'll see all of them are.

First note that A is odd, so all the q_i 's are odd. Next, each q_i divides A , so

$$(2p_1p_2 \cdots p_r)^2 + 1 = A \equiv 0 \pmod{q_i}.$$

This means that $x = 2p_1p_2 \cdots p_r$ is a solution to the congruence

$$x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{q_i},$$

so -1 is a quadratic residue modulo q_i . Now Quadratic Reciprocity tells us that $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 25. (*Quadratic Reciprocity*). (*Part II*). Let p be an odd prime. Then 2 is a quadratic residue modulo p if p is congruent to 1 or 7 modulo 8, and 2 is a nonresidue modulo p if p is congruent to 3 or 5 modulo 8. In terms of the Legendre symbol,

$$\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \text{ or } 7 \pmod{8}, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Chapter 22: Quadratic Reciprocity

Theorem 26. (*Law of Quadratic Reciprocity*). Let p and q be distinct odd primes.

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \\ \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \text{ or } 7 \pmod{8}, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \\ \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 27. (Generalized Law of Quadratic Reciprocity). Let a and b be odd positive integers.

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{-1}{b}\right) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1 & \text{if } b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \\ \left(\frac{2}{b}\right) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b \equiv 1 \text{ or } 7 \pmod{8}, \\ -1 & \text{if } b \equiv 3 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \\ \left(\frac{a}{b}\right) &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right) & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) & \text{if } a \equiv b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Chapter 23: Proof of Quadratic Reciprocity

Definition 11. Consider a list of numbers $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, Pa$, and we reduce them modulo p into the range from $-P$ to P . Some of the reduced values will be positive and some of them will be negative.

Let $\mu(a, p)$ = number of integers in the list that become negative when the integers in the list are reduced to modulo p into the interval from $-P$ to P .

Theorem 28. (Gauss's Criterion). Let p be an odd prime, let a be an integer that is not divisible by p , and let $\mu(a, p)$ be the number defined previously. Then

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\mu(a, p)}.$$

Lemma 4. When the numbers $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, Pa$ are reduced modulo p into the range from $-P$ to P , the reduced values are $\pm 1, \dots, \pm P$ in some order, with each number appearing once with either a plus sign or a minus sign.

Lemma 5. Let p be an odd prime, let $P = \frac{p-1}{2}$, let a be an odd integer that is not divisible by p , and let $\mu(a, p)$ be the quantity defined previously that appears in Gauss's criterion. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^p \left\lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \right\rfloor \equiv \mu(a, p) \pmod{2}.$$

Definition 12. *Jacobi symbol:* n odd positive integer, $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, $n = p_1 \cdots p_r$ product of prime, p_i 's are not necessarily distinct. Define

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{a}{p_r}\right).$$

With following properties:

1. If $a \equiv a' \pmod{n}$, $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a'}{n}\right)$.
2. $\left(\frac{ab}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \left(\frac{b}{n}\right)$.
3. $\left(\frac{a}{mn}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{m}\right) \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$.
4. If $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ has a solution, then $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = 1$.

Chapter 24: Which Primes Are Sums of Two Squares?

Theorem 29. (*Sum of Two Squares Theorem for Primes*). Let p be a prime. Then p is a sum of two squares exactly when

$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } p = 2.$$

Know that $A^2 + B^2 = Mp$ for some integers A, B , and M . What to find integers a, b , and m with $a^2 + b^2 = mp$ and $m \leq M - 1$.

Denote the identity that $(u^2 + v^2)(A^2 + B^2) = (uA + vB)^2 + (vA - uB)^2$.

Descent Procedure

1. p any prime $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
2. Write $A^2 + B^2 = Mp$ with $M < p$
3. Choose numbers u and v with $u \equiv A \pmod{M}$, $v \equiv B \pmod{M}$, $-\frac{1}{2}M \leq u, v \leq \frac{1}{2}M$
4. Observe that $u^2 + v^2 \equiv A^2 + B^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$
5. So we can write $u^2 + v^2 = Mr$, $A^2 + B^2 \equiv Mp$ (for some $1 \leq r < M$)
6. Multiply to get $(u^2 + v^2)(A^2 + B^2) = M^2rp$
7. Use the identity above.
8. $(uA + vB)^2 + (vA - uB)^2 = M^2rp$
9. Divide by M^2 . $\left(\frac{uA+vB}{M}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{vA-uB}{M}\right)^2 = rp$
10. Repeat this process until p itself is written as a sum of two squares

Chapter 25: Which Numbers Are Sums of Two Squares?

Divide and Conquer: Divide: Factor m into a product of primes $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$. Conquer: Write each prime p_i as a sum of two squares. Unify: Use the identity $(u^2 + v^2)(A^2 + B^2) = (uA + vB)^2 + (vA - uB)^2$ repeatedly to write m as a sum of two squares.

Theorem 30. (*Sum of Two Squares Theorem*). Let m be a positive integer.

(a) Factor m as

$$m = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r M^2$$

with distinct prime factors p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r . Then m can be written as a sum of two squares exactly when every p_i is either 2 or is congruent to 1 modulo 4.

(b) The number m can be written as a sum of two squares $m = a^2 + b^2$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ if and only if it satisfies one of the following two conditions:

- (i) m is odd and every prime divisor of m is congruent to 1 modulo 4.
- (ii) m is even, $\frac{m}{2}$ is odd, and every prime divisor of $\frac{m}{2}$ is congruent to 1 modulo 4.

Theorem 31. (*Pythagorean Hypotenuse Proposition*). A number c appears as the hypotenuse of a primitive Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) if and only if c is a product of primes each of which is congruent to 1 modulo 4.

Chapter 27: Euler's Phi Function and Sums of Divisors

Recall Euler's phi functions for primes: $\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$. Define a function $F(n)$ by the formula: $F(n) = \phi(d_1) + \phi(d_2) + \cdots + \phi(d_r)$, where d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r are the divisors of n .

Lemma 6. If $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then $F(mn) = F(m)F(n)$.

Theorem 32. (*Euler's Phi Function Summation Formula*). Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r be the divisors of n . Then

$$\phi(d_1) + \phi(d_2) + \cdots + \phi(d_r) = n$$

Chapter 28: Powers Modulo p and Primitive Roots

Definition 13. The *order of a modulo p* is $e_p(a)$ = the smallest exponent $e \geq 1$ such that $a^e \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Theorem 33. (*Order Divisibility Property*). Let a be an integer not divisible by the prime p , and suppose that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then the order $e_p(a)$ divides n . In particular, the order $e_p(a)$ always divides $p - 1$.

Definition 14. A number g with maximum order $e_p(g) = p - 1$ is called a *primitive root modulo p* .

For example, $p = 7, 1^1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, 2^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, 3^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, 4^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, 5^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, 6^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$. So the primitive roots modulo 7 are 3 and 5.

Theorem 34. (*Primitive Root Theorem*). Every prime p has a primitive root. More precisely, there are exactly $\phi(p - 1)$ primitive roots modulo p .

Chapter 29: Primitive Roots and Indices

Definition 15. For any number $1 \leq a < p$, we can pick out exactly one of the powers $g, g^2, g^3, \dots, g^{p-3}, g^{p-2}, g^{p-1}$ as being congruent to a modulo p . The exponent is called the *index of a modulo p for the base g* . Write $I(a)$ for the index.

If we use the primitive root 2 as base for the prime 13, then $I(3) = 4$, since $2^4 = 16 \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$.

Theorem 35. (*Rules for Indices*). Indices satisfy the following rules:

- (a) $I(ab) \equiv I(a) + I(b) \pmod{p - 1}$ [Product Rule]
- (b) $I(a^k) \equiv kI(a) \pmod{p - 1}$ [Power Rule]

Chapter 31: Square-Triangular Numbers Revisited

Theorem 36. (*Square-Triangular Number Theorem*).

(a) Every solution in positive integers to the equation

$$x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$$

is obtained by raising $3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ to powers. That is, the solutions (x_k, y_k) can all be found by multiplying out

$$x_k + y_k\sqrt{2} = (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^k \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

(b) Every square-triangular number $n^2 = \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ is given by

$$m = \frac{x_k - 1}{2}, n = \frac{y_k}{2} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where the (x_k, y_k) 's are the solutions from (a).

Chapter 32: Pell's Equation

Definition 16. A Pell's equation is an equation of the form $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$ where D is a fixed positive integer that is not a perfect square.

Theorem 37. (Pell's Equation Theorem). Let D be a positive integer that is not a perfect square. Then Pell's equation

$$x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$$

always has solutions in positive integers. If (x_1, y_1) is the solution with smallest x_1 , then every solution (x_k, y_k) can be obtained by taking powers

$$x_k + y_k\sqrt{D} = (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D})^k \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

There is no known pattern as to when the smallest solution is actually small and when it is large.

Chapter 35: Number Theory and Imaginary Numbers

Theorem 38. (The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra). If $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d$ are complex numbers with $a_0 \neq 0$ and $d \geq 1$, then the equation

$$a_0x^d + a_1x^{d-1} + a_2x^{d-2} + \dots + a_{d-1}x + a_d = 0$$

has a solution in complex numbers.

Definition 17. The Gaussian integers are the complex numbers of the form $a + bi$ with a and b both integers.

The sum and product of two Gaussian integers are also Gaussian integers, but the quotient need not be a Gaussian integer.

Theorem 39. (*Gaussian Unit Theorem*). *The only units in the Gaussian integers are $1, -1, i$, and $-i$. That is, these are the only Gaussian integers that have Gaussian integer multiplicative inverses.*

Definition 18. The *norm* of $x + yi$ is $N(x + yi) = x^2 + y^2$.

Theorem 40. (*Norm Multiplication Property*). *Let α and β be any complex numbers. Then*

$$N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta).$$

A Gaussian integer α is a unit if and only if $N(\alpha) = 1$.

Theorem 41. (*Gaussian Prime Theorem*). *The Gaussian primes can be described as follows:*

- (i) $1 + i$ is a Gaussian prime.
- (ii) Let p be an ordinary prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then p is a Gaussian prime.
- (iii) Let p be an ordinary prime with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and write p as a sum of two squares $p = u^2 + v^2$. Then $u + vi$ is a Gaussian prime.

Every Gaussian prime is equal to a unit (± 1 or $\pm i$) multiplied by a Gaussian prime of the form (i), (ii) or (iii).

Lemma 7. (*Gaussian Divisibility Lemma*). *Let $\alpha = a + bi$ be a Gaussian integer.*

- (a) *If 2 divides $N(\alpha)$, then $1 + i$ divides α .*
- (b) *Let $p_i = p$ be a category (ii) prime, and suppose that p divides $N(\alpha)$ as ordinary integers. Then p_i divides α as Gaussian integers.*
- (c) *Let $p_i = u + vi$ be a Gaussian prime in category (iii), and let $\bar{\pi} = u - vi$. (This is a natural notation, since $\bar{\pi}$ is indeed the complex conjugate of the complex number π .) Suppose that $N(\pi) = p$ divides $N(\alpha)$ as ordinary integers. Then at least one of π and $\bar{\pi}$ divides α as Gaussian integers.*

Chapter 36: The Gaussian Integers and Unique Factorization

Definition 19. We say that $x + yi$ is *normalized* if $x > 0$ and $y \geq 0$.

Theorem 42. (*Unique Factorization of Gaussian Integers*). *Every Gaussian integer $\alpha \neq 0$ can be factored into a unit u multiplied by a product of normalized Gaussian primes*

$$\alpha = u\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_r$$

in exactly one way.

Theorem 43. (Gaussian Integer Division with Remainder). Let α and β be Gaussian integers with $\beta \neq 0$. Then there are Gaussian integers γ and ρ such that

$$\alpha = \beta\gamma + \rho \text{ and } N(\rho) < N(\beta).$$

Theorem 44. (Gaussian Integer Common Divisor Property). Let α and β be Gaussian integers, and let S be the collection of Gaussian integers

$$A\alpha + B\beta, \text{ where } A \text{ and } B \text{ are any Gaussian integers.}$$

Among all Gaussian integers in S , choose an element

$$g = a\alpha + b\beta$$

having the smallest nonzero norm. In other words,

$$0 < N(g) \leq N(A\alpha + B\beta) \text{ for any Gaussian integers } A \text{ and } B \text{ with } A\alpha + B\beta \neq 0.$$

Then g divides both α and β .

Theorem 45. (Gaussian Prime Divisibility Property). Let π be a Gaussian prime, let α and β be Gaussian integers, and suppose that π divides the product $\alpha\beta$. Then either π divides α or π divides β (or both). More generally, if π divides a product $\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n$ of Gaussian integers, then it divides at least one of the factors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Theorem 46. (Sum of Two Squares Theorem (Legendre)). For a given positive integer N , let

$D_1 =$ (the number of positive integers d dividing N that satisfying $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$),

$D_3 =$ (the number of positive integers d dividing N that satisfying $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$).

Then N can be written as a sum of two squares in exactly $R(N) = 4(D_1 - D_3)$ ways.

Theorem 47. (Difference of $D_1 - D_3$ Theorem). Factor the integer N into a product of ordinary primes as

$$N = 2^f p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} \cdot q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_s^{f_s}.$$

where p_i 's are 1 mod 4 primes, q_j 's are 3 mod 4 primes. Let

$D_1 =$ (the number of positive integers d dividing N that satisfying $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$),

$D_3 =$ (the number of positive integers d dividing N that satisfying $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$).

Then the difference $D_1 - D_3$ is given by the rule

$$D_1 - D_3 = \begin{cases} (e_1 + 1)(e_2 + 1) \cdots (e_r + 1) & \text{if } f_1, \dots, f_s \text{ are all even,} \\ 0 & \text{if any of } f_1, \dots, f_s \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$