Assignment 8 SOLUTIONS- MAT 327 - Summer 2013

Comprehension

[C.1] On Assignment 5, C.1 you proved that in ω_1 the intersection of a finite number of closed unbounded sets was again closed unbounded, and in particular, nonempty. Does this prove that ω_1 is compact?

Solution to C.1. The theorem is that a space is compact if and only if every collection of closed sets with the finite intersection property has a point in the intersection of the collection. You proved the weaker fact that every (countable) collection of closed and unbounded sets has a point in its intersection. This is not enough to show compactness, and indeed, ω_1 is not a compact space so it's a good thing that we didn't prove that it is compact.

[C.2] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space with basis \mathcal{B} . Prove that (X, \mathcal{T}) is compact if and only if every cover of the space by *basic* open sets has a finite subcover.

Solution to C.2. Certainly the \Rightarrow direction is obvious, so let us prove the \Leftarrow direction. Suppose that every open cover of X by basic open sets has a finite subcover. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X. For each $x \in X$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ that contains x, choose a basic open set $B_{x,U} \subseteq U$ that contains x. Notice that $\{B_{x,U} : x \in U, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open cover of X consisting of basic open sets. Let F be a finite subcover of this cover. Notice that $\{U : B_{x,U} \in F\}$ is a finite subcollection of \mathcal{U} that covers X, since the corresponding $B_{x,U}$ cover X and $B_{x,U} \subseteq U$.

[C.3] Here's a really cute and useful fact: Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a compact space, let (Y, \mathcal{U}) be a Hausdorff space and let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a continuous function. Prove that f is a closed map. Conclude that, if additionally f is a bijection, then f is a homeomorphism.

Solution to C.3. Let us use C.5! Let $C \subseteq X$ be a closed set. Since X is compact, we know that C is also compact. Since f is continuous, f[C] is

compact. Since Y is Hausdorff, we know that f[C] must be closed, as desired.

If we assume that f is a bijection and continuous then it is also closed (as we just showed), so f is a homeomorphism by Assignment 4, C.5. \square

[C.4] Consider \mathbb{R}^n with the usual metric d, and define

$$\rho(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\},\$$

for $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed, and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact. Show that they are disjoint if and only if $\rho(C, K) > 0$. Find an example where this fails if both sets are closed, but not compact.

Solution to C.4. The \Leftarrow direction is fairly obvious. The \Rightarrow direction requires an argument. Let $C, K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be disjoint with K compact and C closed. For each point $x \in K$, since $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \setminus C$ is open, find an $\epsilon(x) > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon(x)}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \setminus C$. We observe that $\{B_{\epsilon(x)}(x) : x \in K\}$ is an open cover of K, so let $F \subseteq K$ be a finite set such that $\{B_{\epsilon(x)}(x) : x \in F\}$ is a finite subcover. We observe that $\rho(C, K) \ge \min_{x \in F} \{\epsilon(x)\} > 0$ which exists since F is finite.

This can fail in \mathbb{R} if $A = \{n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B = \{n + \frac{1}{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which are both closed.

[C.5] Prove that the continuous image of a compact set is compact.

Solution to C.5. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjection with X compact. Let \mathcal{V} be an open cover of Y. Since f is a surjection we have that $\mathcal{U} := \{f^{-1}(V): V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is a cover, and since f is continuous, it is an open cover. Since X is compact, let $\{f^{-1}(V_1), \ldots, f^{-1}(V_n)\}$ be a finite subcover of \mathcal{U} . Since f is a surjection we have that $f(f^{-1}(V)) = V$, thus $\{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$ is a finite open subcover of \mathcal{V} .

Application

[A.1] Let (X, \leq) be a linear order, and let (X, \mathcal{T}) be its order topology. Prove that X is compact if and only if every non-empty set in X has a least upper bound (supremum) and a greatest lower bound (infimum).

Solution to A.1. This is very similar to the creeping along proof of the Heine-Borel Theorem in the notes (§15). A careful reading of the proof of that theorem together with the proof technique used to prove that ω_1 is compact (in Assignment 5, A.2 or section 2 of §15 in the notes) should yield a full solution without much trouble.

[A.2] Let $2 := \{0,1\}$ be given the discrete topology, and let \mathbb{N} be given the discrete topology, prove that $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, with the product topology is a compact, Hausdorff, metrizable space. You may wish to observe that $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a metrizable space. Do not use Tychonoff's theorem.

Solution to A.2. We already know that $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Hausdorff and metrizable, since these are countably productive properties. All that we need to show is that this is a comapet space. (Of course, if on assignment 7 you showed that this space is homeomorphic to the Cantor set there is nothing to show, since the Cantor set is compact!)

To show that $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is compact let us show that every infinite set has an accumulation point, which is equivalent to compactness since $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a metric space. Let \mathcal{F} be an infinite subset of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. We note that in the first coordinate their is a value g(1) (which is 0 or 1) such that infinitely many functions in \mathcal{F} take that value. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 := \{ f \in \mathcal{F} : f(1) = g(1) \}$. Recursively choose the \mathcal{F}_n and g(n) so that $\mathcal{F}_n = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} : f(n) = g(n) \}$, and each \mathcal{F}_n is infinite.

Now it is easy to check that $g: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow 2$ is an accumulation point of \mathcal{F} .

[A.3] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) is a compact subpace of \mathbb{R}^n , and let $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Prove that f is uniformly continuous.

Solution to A.3. Let $\epsilon > 0$. For each $x \in X$ there is a $\delta(x) > 0$ such that if $y \in B_{\delta(x)}(x)$ then $d(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$. Notice that $\{B_{\delta(x)}(x) : x \in X\}$ is an open cover of X, so let $F \subseteq X$ be a finite set such that $\{B_{\delta(x)}(x) : x \in F\}$ is a finite cover of X. Since F is finite $\delta := \min_{x \in F} \{\delta(x)\} > 0$ exists, and it is easy to check that if $d(x, y) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ then $d(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$.