```
Jan 11th, 2013
```

Prime numbers: a natural number P>1 is called prime if it commot be written P=ab where a,b>1, a b are natural #.

n=ab ab>1 notional #=>n is called composite number.

Theorem: every natural n>1 can be written as a product n=P1...Pk where all Pi are prime

Proof: proof by induction @n=2 ,2=2 prime

2) induction step: sps we proved that all # 2,3,...,ncan be written as a product of pines, 172

= want to prove it by n+1

if not is itself prime => there is nothing to prove n+1= n+1

if n+1 is composite n+1=a.b, a.b >1, natural # if a=n+1 or b=n+1. then a, b>(n+1).1=n+1 ⇒a≤n and b≤n

= by induction assumption both a and b can be written as products of primes

 $\alpha = P_1 \cdots P_k$ $b = q_1 \cdots q_j$ $\Rightarrow n+1 = a \cdot b = (P_1 \cdots P_k)(q_1 \cdots q_j)$ - product of primes

Theorem:

There are infinitely many prime numbers

in other words, there is no largest prime numbers

Proof: Argument by contradiction.

Assume that there are only finitely many prime numbers.

P1, P2, ..., Pk all positive prime numbers and any other number is composite. Let n=(A... Pk)+1

n is a product of prime numbers + 1

=> n= q,...g; . g; is prime => q,=P; for some i, after renumbering we can assume

 $n = P_1$ $n = P_2 \cdots P_k + 1 = 8 \cdot \frac{8^2 8^2 \cdots 8^k}{8^2 8^2 \cdots 8^k} + 1 = 8 \cdot 8 + 1$

$$\mathfrak{F} \cdot \underbrace{\mathfrak{F}_{2} \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{j}}_{m} = \mathfrak{F}_{j} m$$

n=g,m=g,S+1

1=q,m-q,s= q.(m-s) this is impossible Integers

3, > 1 this is a contradiction => an original assumption was wrong.

So far the most fricky proof

How to List prime #?

Find all prime =50

2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47

2 =4<6<9