

# STA437/2005 Methods for Multivariate Data

Gun Ho Jang

Lecture on September 22, 2014

## Matrix Algebra

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a  $k \times k$  matrix. The *trace* of  $A$  is  $A_{11} + \cdots + A_{kk} = \sum_{i=1}^k A_{ii}$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $k \times k$  matrices. Then

- (a)  $\text{tr}(A^\top) = \text{tr}(A)$
- (b)  $\text{tr}(A + B) = \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$
- (c)  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- (d) For  $C, D^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times k}$ ,  $\text{tr}(CAD) = \text{tr}(ADC)$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\text{tr}(A^\top) = \sum_{i=1}^k (A^\top)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^k A_{ii} = \text{tr}(A)$ .

(b)  $\text{tr}(A + B) = \sum_{i=1}^k (A + B)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^k [A_{ii} + B_{ii}] = \sum_{i=1}^k A_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^k B_{ii} = \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$ .

(c)  $\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^k (AB)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k A_{ij} B_{ji} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^k B_{ji} A_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^k (BA)_{jj} = \text{tr}(BA)$ .

(d)  $\text{tr}(CAD) = \sum_{m=1}^l (CAD)_{mm} = \sum_{m=1}^l \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l C_{mi} A_{ij} (D)_{jm} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{m=1}^l A_{ij} D_{jm} C_{mi} = \sum_{i=1}^k (ADC)_{ii} = \text{tr}(ADC)$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a  $k \times k$  matrix. The *determinant* of  $A$  is  $A_{11}$  if  $k = 1$  and for  $k > 1$  and any  $j$

$$|A| = \sum_{i=1}^k A_{ij} (-1)^{i+j} |A_{-i, -j}|$$

where  $A_{-i, -j}$  is the minor matrix of  $A$  removed  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column.

**Proposition.** For  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ ,  $|A^\top| = |A|$ ,  $|AB| = |A| \times |B|$  and  $A^{-1} = ((-1)^{i+j} |A_{-j, -i}| / |A|)$ .

## Maximal Likelihood Estimation

The density of  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is the joint density of  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  given by

$$\text{pdf}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n |2\pi\Sigma|^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)\right) = |2\pi\Sigma|^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)\right)$$

The sum of quadratic form in the exponent can be simplified. First, note that  $(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu) = (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) = (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) + (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)$ . Similarly the sum of quadratic form separated into four parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) \end{aligned}$$

The first term is sum of traces, that is,  $(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \text{tr}[(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})] = \text{tr}[\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top]$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}[\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top] = \text{tr}\left[\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top\right] = \text{tr}(AB)$$

where  $A = \Sigma^{-1}$  and  $B = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top$ . For the same  $A$  and  $B$ , the density function becomes

$$(2\pi)^{-np/2} |A|^{n/2} \exp(-\text{tr}(AB)/2 - n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top A(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)) \leq (2\pi)^{-np/2} |A|^{n/2} \exp(-\text{tr}(AB)/2).$$

The equality holds if and only if  $\mu = \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ . Which implies the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\mu$  is  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ .

The maximum likelihood estimator  $\Sigma$  can be obtained by maximizing

$$n \log |A| - \text{tr}(AB) = n \log \left( \sum_{k=1}^p A_{ik} (-1)^{i+k} |A_{-k, -i}| \right) - \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p A_{kl} B_{lk}.$$

Since the partial derivative of  $|A|$  with respect to  $A_{ij}$  is

$$\frac{\partial |A|}{\partial A_{ij}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} |A_{-k, -i}| = (-1)^{i+j} |A_{-j, -i}|.$$

Then the first and second partial derivatives with respect to  $A_{ij}$  are

$$n \frac{1}{|A|} \frac{\partial |A|}{\partial A_{ij}} - B_{ji} = n \frac{(-1)^{i+j} |A_{-j, -i}|}{|A|} - B_{ji} = n[A^{-1}]_{ji} - B_{ji}$$

and

$$-n(-1)^{i+j} \frac{|A_{-j, -i}|}{|A|^2} \frac{\partial |A|}{\partial A_{ij}} = -n(-1)^{i+j} \frac{|A_{-j, -i}|}{|A|^2} \times (-1)^{i+j} |A_{-j, -i}| = -n \frac{|A_{-j, -i}|^2}{|A|^2} \leq 0$$

Hence the maximum is obtained at  $n[A^{-1}]_{ji} = B_{ji}$ . In other words,  $A^{-1} = B/n$ . Thus the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\text{MLE}} = \hat{A}^{-1} = B/n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top$ .

## Method of Moment Estimator

The first and second moments are

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i] = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top] = \text{Var}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}_i)^\top = \Sigma + \mu \mu^\top.$$

The corresponding sample moments solve the method of moment estimator (MME), that is,

$$\hat{\mu}_{\text{MME}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i = \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \hat{\Sigma}_{\text{MME}} + \hat{\mu}_{\text{MME}} \hat{\mu}_{\text{MME}}^\top = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top$$

Hence the solutions are

$$\hat{\mu}_{\text{MME}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \hat{\Sigma}_{\text{MME}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^\top = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top.$$

**Exercise.** Show that MLE and MME are the same for univariate normal distribution.

**Note.** Even for multivariate normal distribution, MLE and MME are the same.

**Note.** Since the joint density function is a function of  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $S$ , the pair  $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, S)$  is a sufficient statistic.

## The Distribution of $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ and $S$

The sample mean  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  is a weighted sum of independent multivariate normal random variables. Hence it is a multivariate normal distribution with mean  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \mu$  and variance  $\mathbb{V}ar(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = n^{-2} \mathbb{V}ar(\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_n) = \Sigma/n$ , that is,  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma/n)$ .

Recall that for the univariate case  $(n-1)s^2/\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1) \sim \text{Gamma}((n-1)/2, 1/2)$ , that is,  $s^2 \sim \text{Gamma}((n-1)/2, (n-1)/(2\sigma^2))$ .

## Wishart Distribution

A multivariate version of  $\chi^2$  distribution is sum of quadratic of multivariate normal distributions given by

$$W_p(\Sigma, m) \sim \sum_{i=1}^m Z_i Z_i^\top$$

where  $Z_i \sim i.i.d. N(O, \Sigma)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . The distribution  $W_p(\Sigma, m)$  is called the *Wishart* distribution with  $m$  degree of freedom and parameter  $\Sigma$  where  $p$  is the rank of  $\Sigma$ .

**Proposition.** The moment generating function of  $\mathbf{A} \sim W_p(\Sigma, m)$  is  $\text{mgf}_{\mathbf{A}}(U) = |I_p - 2U\Sigma|^{-m/2}$  for  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ .

*Proof.* The matrix version of moment generating function is  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p U_{ij} A_{ij})] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\text{tr}(U^\top \mathbf{A}))] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\sum_{i=1}^m \text{tr}(U^\top Z_i Z_i^\top))] = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}[\exp(\text{tr}(U^\top Z_i Z_i^\top))]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\text{tr}(U^\top Z_i Z_i^\top))] &= \mathbb{E}[\exp(\text{tr}(Z_i^\top U^\top Z_i))] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\text{tr}(Z_i^\top U Z_i))] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(Z_i^\top U Z_i)] \\ &= \int \exp(\mathbf{x}^\top U \mathbf{x}) \times |2\pi\Sigma|^{-1/2} \exp(-\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}/2) d\mathbf{x} = |2\pi\Sigma|^{-1/2} \int \exp(-\mathbf{x}^\top (\Sigma^{-1} - 2U) \mathbf{x}/2) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= |2\pi\Sigma|^{-1/2} |2\pi(\Sigma^{-1} - 2U)|^{1/2} = |I_p - 2U\Sigma|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\text{mgf}_{\mathbf{A}}(U) = |I_p - 2U\Sigma|^{-m/2}$  for some  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  around  $O$ . □

**Proposition.** (a) If  $\mathbf{A} \sim W_p(\Sigma, m)$  and  $\mathbf{B} \sim W_p(\Sigma, n)$  are independent, then  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \sim W_p(\Sigma, m + n)$ .

(b) If  $\mathbf{A} \sim W_p(\Sigma, m)$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times p}$ , then  $C\mathbf{A}C^\top \sim W_k(C\Sigma C^\top, m)$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\text{mgf}_{\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}}(U) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\text{tr}(U^\top(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})))] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\text{tr}(U^\top\mathbf{A}))]\mathbb{E}[\exp(\text{tr}(U^\top\mathbf{B}))] = |I_p - 2U\Sigma|^{m/2}|I_p - 2U\Sigma|^{n/2} = |I_p - 2U\Sigma|^{(m+n)/2} \sim W_p(\Sigma, m + n)$ .

(b) There exists  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m \sim i.i.d. N(O, \Sigma)$  such that  $\mathbf{A} = Z_1Z_1^\top + \dots + Z_mZ_m^\top$ . Then  $C\mathbf{A}C^\top = C(Z_1Z_1^\top + \dots + Z_mZ_m^\top)C^\top = (CZ_1)(CZ_1)^\top + \dots + (CZ_m)(CZ_m)^\top \sim W_k(C\Sigma C^\top, m)$  because  $Y_i = CZ_i \sim i.i.d. N_k(O, C\Sigma C^\top)$   $\square$

**Proposition.** The density function of  $\mathbf{A} \sim W_p(\Sigma, m)$  is

$$\text{pdf}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}|^{(m-p-1)/2} \exp(-\text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{A})/2) / [2^{np/2} |\Sigma|^{n/2} \pi^{p(p-1)/4} \prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma((n+1-j)/2)].$$

A proof can be found in “Muirhead (2005). Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory.”

**Proposition.** If  $\mathbf{x}_i \sim i.i.d. N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , then  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma/n)$  and  $(n-1)S \sim W_p(\Sigma, n-1)$  are independent.

Note that  $\text{Cov}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \text{Cov}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x}_i) - \mathbb{V}ar(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \Sigma/n - \Sigma/n = O$ . Hence  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\{\mathbf{x}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\}$  are independent. So are  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $(n-1)S = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top$ .

There exists a orthonormal matrix  $U = (u_{ij}) = (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n)$  such that  $UU^\top = I_p$  and  $\mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{1}_n/\sqrt{n}$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}_j^\top \mathbf{u}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij}u_{in} = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij} = 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij}^2 = 1$ . For  $\mathbf{x}_j \sim i.i.d. N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , define  $Y_j = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij}\mathbf{x}_i$ . Then, for  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $Y_j \sim N(\sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij}\mu, \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij}^2\Sigma) \sim N(O, \Sigma)$  and  $\text{Cov}(Y_j, Y_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Cov}(u_{ij}\mathbf{x}_i, u_{ik}\mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij}u_{ik}\Sigma = \mathbf{u}_j^\top \mathbf{u}_k \Sigma = O$  if  $j \neq k$ . Hence  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1} \sim i.i.d. N(O, \Sigma)$ . By the definition,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i Y_i^\top \sim W_p(\Sigma, n-1)$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Y_j Y_j^\top = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_{ij}\mathbf{x}_i \sum_{k=1}^n u_{kj}\mathbf{x}_k^\top = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_k^\top \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_{ij}u_{kj}$$

The assumption  $UU^\top = I_p = U^\top U$  implies  $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_{ij}u_{kj} = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij}u_{kj} - u_{in}u_{kn} = I(i=k) - 1/n$ .

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_k^\top (I(i=k) - 1/n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top - n\bar{\mathbf{x}}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^\top = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top = (n-1)S.$$

Univariate		Multivariate
$X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$	sample	$\mathbf{x}_i \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$
$\exp(\mu t + t^2 \sigma^2/2)$	mgf	$\exp(\mathbf{t}^\top \mu + \mathbf{t}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{t}/2)$
$\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n), (n-1)S/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$	distribution	$\bar{\mathbf{x}} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma/n), (n-1)S \sim W_p(\Sigma, n-1)$

## Large Sample Property

Univariate law of large numbers states for an i.i.d. sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$ , the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$  converges to  $\mu$  almost surely.

**Proposition.** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = \mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Then  $\bar{Y} = (Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)/n \rightarrow \mu$  in probability.

*Proof.* The law of large numbers can be applicable for each coordinate, that is,  $U_{in} = (Y_{i1} + \dots + Y_{in})/n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y_{ij}) = \mu_i$  almost surely. Then  $P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{Y}_n \neq \mu) \leq \sum_{i=1}^p P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{in} \neq \mu_i) = 0$ .  $\square$

Similarly,  $S_n \rightarrow \Sigma$  and  $S \rightarrow \Sigma$  almost surely. It is shown that  $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^\top$ . Hence  $[S_n]_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki} x_{kj} - (\bar{\mathbf{x}})_i (\bar{\mathbf{x}})_j \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(x_{1i} x_{1j}) - \mu_i \mu_j = \text{Cov}(x_{1i}, x_{1j}) = \Sigma_{ij}$  almost surely. Hence  $S = S_n n / (n-1) \rightarrow \Sigma$  almost surely.

**Proposition.** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mathbb{E}(Y_j) = \mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_j) = \Sigma$ . Then  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu) \rightarrow N(O, \Sigma)$  in distribution.

*Proof.* The theorem can be proven using the convergence of characteristic functions. Fix  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Define  $Z_j = \mathbf{t}^\top (Y_j - \mu)$  so that  $\mathbb{E}(Z_j) = \mathbf{t}^\top (\mathbb{E}(Y_j) - \mu) = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Z_j) = \mathbf{t}^\top \text{Var}(Y_j) \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{t}$ . Using the central limit theorem for univariate random variables,

$$\text{chf}_{\sqrt{n}Z}(u) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(iu\sqrt{n}\bar{Z})] \rightarrow \exp(-u^2 \mathbf{t}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{t} / 2).$$

Then the characteristic function of  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu)$  at  $\mathbf{t}$  is

$$\text{chf}_{\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu)}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\mathbf{t}^\top \sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu))] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\sqrt{n}\bar{Z})] = \text{chf}_{\sqrt{n}Z}(1) \rightarrow \exp(-\mathbf{t}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{t} / 2).$$

Hence  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu)$  converges to  $N(O, \Sigma)$  in distribution.  $\square$

Using the continuous mapping theorem and the central limit theorem,

$$n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) = [\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)]^\top \Sigma^{-1} [\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)] \rightarrow Z^\top \Sigma^{-1} Z \sim \chi^2(p)$$

in distribution where  $Z \sim N(O, \Sigma)$ .

**Exercise.** Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\Sigma$ . Show that  $n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^\top S^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) \rightarrow \chi^2(p)$ .