

## MATH6222 week 3 lecture 9

Rui Qiu

2017-03-10

Yesterday,  $L_n$  be arrangement of  $3n^2$  tiles obtained by removing the top right quadrant from a  $2^n \times 2^n$  square.

Let  $P(n)$  be it is possible to L-tile  $L_n$ .

We prove this statement by induction on  $n$ .

It suffices to prove that:

1.  $P(1), P(2)$  are both true.
2.  $P(n-2) \implies P(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$P(1)$  is trivial,  $P(2)$  is not hard as well. Base step checked.

Just need to check  $P(n-2) \implies P(n)$

Observe that  $L_n$  is obtained from  $L_{n-2}$  by adding a band of width 2 as illustrated below...

By induction hypothesis, we may assume that  $L_{n-2}$  admits an L-tiling. So it suffices to prove (for any  $n \geq 3$ ) that this width 2 band admits an l-tiling.

We consider three cases when  $n$  divisible by 3,  $n-1$  divisible by 3,  $n-2$  divisible by 3.

Since every integer  $n$  satisfies one of these three conditions, this is sufficient to solve our problem.

We make one preliminary observation:

If we let  $R_n$  denote a  $2 \times n$  rectangle of squares, then  $R_n$  admits an L-tiling whenever  $n$  is divisible by 3.

Case 1: Note  $n$  divisible by 3 so that  $2n-6$  divisible by 3. So we may tile the band as follows...

Case 2: Note  $n-1$  divisible by 3 so that  $n-4$  divisible by 3,  $2n-8$  divisible by 3. The band could be tiled as follows:...

Case 3: Note  $n-2$  divisible by 3 so that  $2n-4$  divisible by 3

**Principles of Strong Induction:** We want to prove  $\{P(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . It suffices to prove:

1.  $P(1)$
2. If  $P(i)$  is true for all  $i < n$ , then  $P(n)$  is true.

**Proof:**

Suppose not all  $P(k)$  are true.  $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$ ,

Look at the minimal  $k$  such that  $P(k)$  is false.

Note by 1. that  $k \neq 1$ .

By our choice of  $k$  we know  $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k-1)$  true.

By 2. knowing that  $P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k-1)$  implies  $P(k)$ .

Contradiction!

**Game of Nim:**

Each player takes a turn by removing some positive number of coins from some piles. The person who takes the last coin wins.

Let  $P(n)$  be the statement that player 2 has a winning strategy for this game, when the starting configuration consists of 2 piles of equal size  $n$ .

$P(1)$  player 1 remove 1 pile, then player 2 win by taking the second pile.

Assume  $P(1), \dots, P(n-1)$  true, must prove  $P(n)$ .

Player 1 must start by taking  $m$  coins from one pile ( $m \leq n$ ). Player 2 can respond by taking  $m$  coins from other pile. (If  $m \leq n$ , player 2 wins.)

Now player 1 and player 2 face the same game with a starting size of  $n - m$ . But by induction hypothesis,  $P(n - m)$  is true, so player 2 can win.

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a function. We say  $f$  is **injective** for each  $b \in B$  there is at most one  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ . For all  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . ( $a_1 \neq a_2$ ),  $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$ .

We say  $f$  is **surjective** if for each  $b \in B$ , there is at least one  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ .

We say  $f$  is **bijective** if it is both injective and surjective (also say  $f$  is a one-to-one correspondence).

If  $f$  is bijective, we may define  $f^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$  by setting  $f^{-1}(b)$  to be the unique  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ .

Let's consider  $f(x) = x^2$  as a function from  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Is it injective?  $f(1) = f(-1) = 1$ . Not injective.

Is it surjective?  $\nexists x \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $f(x) = -1$ . Not surjective.

$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 0\}$

Now consider  $f(x) = x^2$  as a function from  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Now injective and surjective.

Just need to say for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\sqrt{y}$  is the unique positive real number such that