

# STA447/STA2006 Stochastic Processes

Gun Ho Jang

Lecture on March 6, 2014

## Note

This lecture note is prepared for the course STA447/STA2006 Stochastic Processes. This lecture note may contain flaws. Please consult text book or reference books for confidence.

- Gun Ho Jang

\* indicates graduate level. So you may skip those parts.

## 5 Martingales

**Note.** Recall that a *stochastic process* is a collection of time indexed random variables, that is,  $\{X_t : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$  where  $\mathcal{T}$  can be  $\mathbb{N}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \geq 0\}$ .

**Definition 36.** A collection of events  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -field if it satisfies

- (a) [Sample Space] the sample space  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (b) [closed under the complement] If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (c) [closed under the countable union] If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Example 50.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -field.

- From (a) and (b), the empty set  $\emptyset = \Omega^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  is *closed under the union*, that is, for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , let  $A_1 = A$ ,  $A_2 = B$ ,  $A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n \geq 3$ , then  $A \cup B = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under the intersection, that is, for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \cap B = (A^c \cup B^c)^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under the countable intersection, that is, for any  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c)^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Note.** The closedness under the countable union is required to define probability, that is, for a sequence of disjoint events  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ , define  $A = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Then  $P(A) = P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$ . Hence a countable union of events should be an event.

**Definition 37.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be random variables. There exists the smallest  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variable  $X$  denoted by  $\sigma(X)$ . In general  $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ .

**Exercise 31.** Show that  $\sigma(X) \subset \sigma(X, Y)$  for any random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ .

**Note.** For convenience, we write  $X \in \mathcal{F}$  instead of  $\sigma(X) \subset \mathcal{F}$  and read it  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

**Note.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the collection of events up to time  $t$ . It is natural to assume that there are more events as time increases.

Consider a Markov chain  $X_n$ . The collection of events up to time  $n$  defined by  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$  is increasing as  $n$  increases.

In an opposite way, we may consider collections of events up to time  $t$  before defining a stochastic process.

**Definition 38.** A sequence of  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is called a *filtration* if it is increasing, that is,  $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$  if and only if  $s \leq t \in \mathcal{T}$ .

A stochastic process  $X_t$  is said to be *adapted* to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  if  $X_t \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for all  $t$ .

**Example 51.** Let  $X_t$  be a stochastic process. Define  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \leq t)$  the collection of event up to time  $t$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$  is the smallest filtration to which  $X_t$  is adapted. For any filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t \subset \mathcal{F}_t$ , the process  $X_t$  is adapted to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Hence  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$  is called the *natural filtration*.

**Definition 39.** A stochastic process  $X_n$  is said to be a (discrete-time) *martingale* if (a)  $\mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$ , (b)  $X_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , (c)  $\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = X_n$  for all  $n$ . A stochastic process  $X_n$  is said to be a *supermartingale* (or *submartingale*) if (a), (b) and (c')  $\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) \leq X_n$  (or  $\geq X_n$ ) for all  $n$ .

**Note.** A martingale is both supermartingale and submartingale. If  $X_n$  is a submartingale, then  $-X_n$  is a supermartingale.

**Example 52** (Random walk). Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be an i.i.d. Define  $S_0 = 0$  and  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Then  $S_n$  is called a *random walk*. Then  $S_n$  is a martingale (or supermartingale or submartingale) if  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$  (or  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \leq 0$  or  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \geq 0$ ).

**Example 53.** Let  $S_n$  be a random walk. Then  $S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)$  is a martingale.

**Theorem 61.** Let  $X_n$  be a homogeneous Markov chain having transition probability  $p$ . If a sequence of functions  $f_n : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $f_n(x) = \sum_y p(x, y) f_{n+1}(y)$ , then  $f_n(X_n)$  is a martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y_n = f_n(X_n)$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}(Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = \mathbb{E}(f_{n+1}(X_{n+1}) | X_0, \dots, X_n) = \mathbb{E}(f_{n+1}(X_{n+1}) | X_n) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}} p(X_n, y) f_{n+1}(y) = f_n(X_n) = Y_n$ .  $\square$

**Example 54.** Let  $X_n$  be a homogeneous Markov chain. Assume that  $z$  is an absorbing state define  $h(x) = P_x(T_z < \infty)$ . Then  $h$  satisfies  $h(x) = \sum_y p(x, y) h(y)$ . Hence  $h(X_n)$  is a martingale.

**Theorem 62.** If  $X_n$  is martingale (or supermartingale or submartingale), then  $\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_m) = X_m$  (or  $\leq X_m$  or  $\geq X_m$ ) for  $m \leq n$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show for a supermartingale  $\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \leq X_{n-1}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-2}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{n-2}) \leq \mathbb{E}(X_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-2}) \leq X_{n-2}$ , and  $\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$  by induction.  $\square$

**Example 55.** Let  $X_n$  be a martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\varphi$  is a convex function. If  $\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X_n)| < \infty$  for all  $n$ , then  $\varphi(X_n)$  is a submartingale w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_n$  because Jensen's inequality, that is,  $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)) \geq \varphi(\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)) = \varphi(X_n)$ . Further if  $X_n \in L^p$  for all  $n$ , then  $|X_n|^p$  is a submartingale.

**Example 56.** Let  $X_n$  be a submartingale w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\varphi$  be an increasing convex function. If  $\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X_n)| < \infty$  for all  $n$ , then  $\varphi(X_n)$  is a submartingale with respect to the same filtration because  $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq \varphi(\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n)) \geq \varphi(X_n)$ . For any  $a$ ,  $x \mapsto (x - a)^+ = \max(0, x - a)$  is an increasing convex function. Hence  $(X_n - a)^+$  is a submartingale.

**Exercise 32.** Find an submartingale  $X_n$  so that  $X_n^2$  is a supermartingale.

**Definition 40.** A stochastic process  $H_n$  is said to be predictable if  $H_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ . Define  $(H \cdot X)_n = \sum_{m=1}^n H_m(X_m - X_{m-1})$ .

**Note.** A heuristic definition of an integral is  $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \Delta_k = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k)(x_k - x_{k-1})$  for  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . Hence  $(H \cdot X) \approx \int_0^t H_s dX_s$  is a rough version of a stochastic integral.

**Theorem 63.** Let  $X_n$  be a supermartingale. If  $H_n \geq 0$  is predictable and  $H_n$  is bounded for each  $n$ , then  $(H \cdot X)_n$  is a supermartingale.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $(H \cdot X)_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ .  $\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot X)_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = (H \cdot X)_n + \mathbb{E}[H_{n+1}(X_{n+1} - X_n) | \mathcal{F}_n] = (H \cdot X)_n + H_{n+1} \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1} - X_n | \mathcal{F}_n) \leq (H \cdot X)_n$ . Hence  $(H \cdot X)_n$  is a supermartingale.  $\square$

## 5.1 Random Time

**Definition 41.** A random variable  $T$  taking values in  $\mathcal{T} \cup \{0, \infty\}$  is called a *random time*. If  $\{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for all  $t$ ,  $T$  is called a *stopping time*. If  $H_A = \inf\{t : X_t \in A\}$  is called the *hitting time of A*.

**Example 57.**  $T_x = \inf\{t : X_t \geq x\}$  is a stopping time and also is a hitting time of  $[x, \infty)$ .

**Example 58.** Let  $b_t$  is a continuous increasing function. Then the first passage time  $T = \inf\{t : X_t \geq b_t\}$  is a stopping time.

**Note** (Notation  $\vee, \wedge$ ). For convenience, define  $a \vee b = \max(a, b)$  and  $a \wedge b = \min(a, b)$ .

**Example 59.** Let  $S, T$  be stopping times. Then  $S \vee T$  and  $S \wedge T$  are stopping times. For any  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\{S \vee T \leq t\} = \{S \leq t\} \cup \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$  and  $\{S \wedge T \leq t\} = \{S \leq t\} \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .

**Exercise 33.** Let  $S, T, T_n$  be stopping times and  $k$  be a non-negative integer. Show that  $S + T, T \wedge k, \sup_n T_n, \inf T_n, \limsup_n T_n, \liminf_n T_n$  are stopping times.

**Definition 42.** Let  $T$  be a stopping time. The  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_T$  of events determined prior to the stopping time  $T$  is the collection of events  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  for which  $A \cap \{T \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all  $n$ .

**Exercise 34.** Show that  $\mathcal{F}_T$  is really a  $\sigma$ -field.

**Exercise 35.** Let  $Y_n$  be  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable random variable and  $T$  be a stopping time. Show that  $Y_T \in \mathcal{F}_T$ .

**Exercise 36.** Let  $S, T$  be stopping times satisfying  $S \leq T$  a.s. Then  $\mathcal{F}_S \subset \mathcal{F}_T$ .

**Theorem 64.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be i.i.d.,  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $T$  be a stopping time with  $P(T < \infty) > 0$ . Conditional on  $\{T < \infty\}$ ,  $\{X_{T+n}, n \geq 1\}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_T$  and has the same distribution as the original sequence  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to assume that  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots) = \mathcal{F}_0^\infty$  for some  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$  and  $B_j \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . For a fixed  $n$ ,

$$P(A, T = n, X_{T+j} \in B_j, 1 \leq j \leq k) = P(A, T = n, X_{n+j} \in B_j, 1 \leq j \leq k) = P(A, T = n) \prod_{j=1}^k P(X_j \in B_j).$$

Hence  $P(A, T \leq n, X_{T+j} \in B_j, 1 \leq j \leq k) = P(A, T \leq n) \prod_{j=1}^k P(X_j \in B_j)$ . The theorem follows.  $\square$

**Note.** Strong Markov property is a generalized version of Theorem 64.