CSC236 tutorial quiz #6* week #10, Winter 2015

We would rather you be able to re-derive the Master Theorem than memorize it, even if rederiving it would take you a while. The tutorial this week is meant to strengthen your intuition about what's going on in the proof of the Master Theorem.

Recall that we are analyzing the asymptotic complexity of a recursive function ("recurrence") of the form

$$T(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ aT(n/b) + f(n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where the asymptotic complexity of f is $\Theta(n^k)^1$. Throughout this handout we will assume n is a power of b, i.e. $n = b^e$ for some natural number e.

The proof of the Master Theorem goes by summing the quantity contributed to T(n) by each "level" of recursion. In class we pictured the calculation of T(n) as a tree of arity a and height $\log_b(n) + 1$, where the internal nodes correspond to recursive function calls, and a "level" of recursion corresponds to one of the $\log_b(n) + 1$ horizontal lines of nodes.

With the further simplifying assumption that $f(n) = n^k$ exactly, we arrived at the following non-recursive formula for T:

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\log_b(n)} a^i \left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right)^k$$

Despite the fact that the contribution of f at each level of the recursion depends on a and b, it factors out nicely:

$$T(n) = n^k \sum_{i=0}^{\log_b(n)} a^i \left(\frac{1}{b^i}\right)^k$$

$$T(n) = n^k \sum_{i=0}^{\log_b(n)} \left(\frac{a}{b^k}\right)^i$$

Write a function in Python or any other language that, given $a, b, e \ge 1$ and $k \ge 0$, prints the e+1 terms of the previous summation for when $n=b^e$ (not including the factor n^k). Try it out for e=20, in at least the following examples corresponding to the three cases of the Master Theorem:

- 1. a = 3, b = 2, k = 1. This describes the runtime of Karatsuba's trick for multiplying two n/2-digit integers.
- 2. a=2, b=2, k=1. This describes the runtime of Mergesort. You can also try a=1, b=2, k=0, which describes the runtime of Binary Search.
- 3. a = 1, b = 2, k = 1. Simple examples of algorithms whose runtime falls in case 3 of the Master Theorem are hard to come by. These parameters would fit a recursive algorithm on arrays that does a recursive call on half of the array, and a linear (k = 1) amount of work before and after the recursive call (e.g. something involving accessing each array element 1 to 3 times).

^{*}Counting the easy quiz from two weeks ago.

¹Which implies f is in $O(n^k)$, and not in $O(n^{k-.001})$