

# Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA)

Model:  $X_{ij} = \mu_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$   $i=1, \dots, k$  (treatments)  
 $j=1, \dots, n$

$$\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N_p(0, C)$$

$$\Rightarrow X_{ij} \sim N_p(\mu, C)$$

Test  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$

$$S_T = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n (X_{ij} - \bar{X})(X_{ij} - \bar{X})^T$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})(\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^T + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)(X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^T$$

$$= S_B + S_W$$

Idea: If  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  are not all equal (i.e.  $H_0$  is false) then  $S_B$  should be much bigger than  $S_W$ .

Sol'n: Look at eigenvalues of  $S_W^{-1} S_B$   $\rightarrow$  matrix  
 i.e. Test statistics should be based on these eigenvalues.

Why? Model  $X_{ij} = \mu_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$

$$Y_{ij} = A X_{ij} + b = A \mu_i + b + A \varepsilon_{ij} = \mu_i^* + \varepsilon_{ij}^*$$

$\downarrow$  invertible

$$\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_k \Leftrightarrow \mu_1^* = \dots = \mu_k^*$$

Look at  $S_B$  &  $S_W$  based on  $\{X_{ij}\}$  &  $\{Y_{ij}\}$

$$S_B^* = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})(\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^T$$

$$S_B^y = A S_B^{*T} A^T$$

$$S_W^y = A S_W^{*T} A^T$$

$$\text{Therefore, } (S_W^y)^{-1} S_B^y = (A S_W^{*T} A^T)^{-1} (A S_B^{*T} A^T) = (A^T)^{-1} (S_W^*)^{-1} A^{-1} A S_B^{*T} A^T \\ = (A^T)^{-1} (S_W^*)^{-1} S_B^{*T} A^T \text{ vs. } (S_W^*)^{-1} S_B^{*T}$$

Ideally, conclusions based on  $(S_W^y)^{-1} S_B^y$  should be the same as those based on  $(S_W^*)^{-1} S_B^{*T}$   $\downarrow$  non-trivial

Need to build function  $\phi$  such that

$$\phi((S_W^y)^{-1} S_B^y) = \phi((S_W^*)^{-1} S_B^{*T}) \text{ for any invertible matrix } A$$

Look at eigenvalues  $(A^T)^{-1} (S_W^*)^{-1} S_B^{*T} A^T \chi = \lambda \chi$

$$(S_W^*)^{-1} S_B^{*T} \frac{A^T \chi}{\chi^*} = \lambda \frac{A^T \chi}{\chi^*} \xrightarrow{(S_W^y)^{-1} S_B^y} (S_W^*)^{-1} S_B^{*T} \chi^* = \lambda \chi^*$$

Thus the eigenvalues of  $(S_W^y)^{-1} S_B^y$  are the same as those of  $(S_W^*)^{-1} S_B^{*T}$  for any  $A$ .

Therefore to test  $H_0: \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_k$ , look at test statistics based on eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$  of  $S_W^{-1} S_B$  ( $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0$ )

Need to be very careful!

### Test statistics

① Wilk's Lambda (Likelihood ratio statistics)

$$\Lambda = \prod_{i=1}^p (1 + \lambda_i)^{-1} \quad (0 < \Lambda < 1)$$

- reject  $\Lambda$  for  $\Lambda \leq k_\alpha$

② Pillai's Trace

$$T_p = \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda_i} \quad (\text{reject } H_0 \text{ for } T_p \geq k_\alpha)$$

③ Lawley-Hotelling Trace

$$T_{LH} = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \quad (\text{reject } H_0 \text{ for } T_{LH} \geq k_\alpha)$$

④ Roy's maximal root

$$R = \max(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) = \lambda_i \quad (\text{reject for } R \geq k_\alpha)$$

What are the null dist'n's of these statistics?

- in general, difficult to derive exact dist'n.

- approximations  $\begin{cases} \text{For } \chi^2 \text{ dist'n} \\ \text{Normal approximations} \end{cases}$

- in certain cases,  $\Lambda$  can be transformed to an exact F dist'n.

p	k	Transformation	degree of freedom
any	2	$\left(\frac{1-\Lambda}{\Lambda}\right)\left(\frac{n-p-1}{p}\right)$	$p, n-p-1$
any	3	$\left(\frac{1-\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\left(\frac{n-p-2}{p}\right)$	$2p, 2(n-p-2)$
1	any	$\left(\frac{1-\Lambda}{\Lambda}\right)\left(\frac{n-k}{k-1}\right)$	$k-1, n-k$
2	any	$\left(\frac{1-\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)\left(\frac{n-k-1}{k-1}\right)$	$2(k-1), 2(n-k-1)$

Example: Group: male & female painted turtles ( $k=2$ )

$p=3$  variables

$\begin{cases} \text{length} \\ \text{width} \\ \text{height} \end{cases}$

$$n_1 = n_2 = 24$$

$$S_W^{-1} S_B = \begin{pmatrix} -1.61 & -1.02 & -0.81 \\ -0.81 & -0.51 & -0.40 \\ 7.39 & 4.66 & 3.70 \end{pmatrix}$$

$-S_W^{-1} S_B$  has only 1 non-zero eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1.574$   
 $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$

$$R = 1.574 \quad T_{LH} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1.574$$

$$T_p = \frac{\lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_1} = 0.611$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_1} = 0.389$$

Conversion to F-statistic

$$F = \left(\frac{1-\Lambda}{\Lambda}\right)\left(\frac{48-3-1}{3}\right) = 23.07$$

Hotelling's  $T^2$  statistic ( $k=2$ )

$$p\text{-value} = P[F(3,44) \geq 23.07] = 4.06 \times 10^{-9}$$