P. H. A. St. Edd. W. Land

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO Faculty of Arts and Science

EXAMINATION APRIL 2011

PHL 245 H1S L0101 - Niko Scharer

Duration - 3 hours

Examination Aid: Sheet with rules (provided)

| Last Name | | | - |
|----------------|--|-------------|-------|
| First Name | ************************************** | · · · · · · | |
| Student Number | | | |

Answer all questions on the exam paper.

Use the supplied examination booklet for rough work OR if you need further space.

The exam consists of fourteen (14) pages. Pages 2-12 have questions on them.

The final two pages (13-14) are an aid sheet and may be detached from the rest of the exam.

1. An argument consists of two premises and a conclusion. On every interpretation that the conclusion is false, so are the premises. Does it follow that the argument is valid? Briefly explain. (3%)

2. Consider the following truth-table for the NEW symbol: * (4%)

| P | Q | P * Q |
|--------------|---|-------|
| T | T | F |
| T | F | T |
| \mathbf{F} | T | T |
| F | F | F |

a) What ordinary English expression can this new truth-functional connective (*) be used to symbolize (given its truth-table)?

b) Using the new symbol, *, as defined by the truth-table above, symbolize: $P \vee Q$. In addition to *, you may also use \sim and \wedge (negation and conjunction), if you need them. In other words, symbolize $P \vee Q$ using only: P, Q, *, \sim , \wedge .

3. Provide an English language interpretation that shows that the following set of sentences is consistent. Your interpretation should specify the universe of discourse and a symbolization scheme. (4%)

$$\forall x \exists y (Ax \to L(xy)). \qquad \exists x (Ax \land \forall y (\sim Ay \to L(xy))). \qquad \sim \forall x (Bx \to \exists y L(yx))$$

4. Explain why the following sentence is a tautology. (4 %)

$$(\forall yFy \rightarrow \exists x \sim Gx) \rightarrow \sim \forall y \forall x (Fy \land Gx)$$

5. Use this symbolization scheme to symbolize the following sentences: $(36 \% = 9 \times 4\%)$ A^1 : a is a store. B^1 : a is a barber. C^1 : a gets a haircut. D^1 : a is a day. E¹: a is bald. F¹: a is a person G¹: a is prosperous. H²: a cuts b's hair. K²: a shaves b. L²: a likes b. M^2 : a is more successful than b. O^3 : a shops at b on c. a⁰: Anna b⁰: Ben g⁰: Gus c^1 : the cousin of a. a) Everybody gets a haircut only provided that nobody is bald. b) No barber shaves exactly those people who do not shave themselves. c) On the assumption that some people shave themselves if anybody shaves them, for a barber to be prosperous it is necessary that people like him.

d) Any barber who just shaves people he likes is not prosperous unless he cuts people's hair.

| Name: | | Student Numb | er: |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 5 continued. Use this sym | bolization scheme to sy | mbolize the following s | sentences: $(36 \% = 9 \times 4\%)$ |
| A^1 : a is a store. | B^1 : a is a barber. | C^1 : a gets a haircut. | D^1 : a is a day. |
| E^1 : a is bald. | F^1 : a is a person | G ¹ : a is prosperous. | |
| H^2 : a cuts b's hair. | K^2 : a shaves b. | L^2 : a likes b. | |
| M^2 : a is more success | ful than b. | O^3 : a shops at b on a | :. |
| a ⁰ : Anna | b ⁰ : Ben | g ⁰ : Gus | c^1 : the cousin of a . |
| e) If not all stores has | ve neonle shonning ther | e every day, then it's no | t the case that everyone likes the |

e) If not all stores have people shopping there every day, then it's not the case that everyone likes the same store.

f) Exactly one barber cuts Anna's hair, and he is neither Gus nor Gus's cousin, Ben.

g) Only Anna's cousin likes only stores that Anna likes.

5 continued. $(36 \% = 9 \times 4\%)$

 A^1 : a is a store.

 B^1 : a is a barber.

 C^1 : a gets a haircut. D^1 : a is a day.

 E^1 : a is bald.

 F^1 : a is a person

G¹: a is prosperous.

 H^2 : a cuts b's hair.

 K^2 : a shaves b.

 L^2 : a likes b.

 M^2 : a is more successful than b.

 O^3 : a shops at b on c.

a⁰: Anna

b⁰: Ben

g⁰: Gus

 c^1 : the cousin of a.

g) Using the symbolization scheme above, provide an idiomatic English sentence that expresses:

$$\exists x (Bx \land \forall y (By \land x \neq y \rightarrow M(xy)) \ \land \forall z (Fz \land H(xz) \rightarrow L(zx)))$$

h) Using the symbolization scheme above, symbolize the following ambiguous sentence three logically distinct ways. For each, provide an English sentence that explains exactly what the symbolized sentence means:

A person shops at a store every day.

| Name: | Student Number: |
|---------|--------------------|
| TAITIC. | Stadelit Halliber. |
| | |

6. Provide a derivation that shows the following theorem is valid using only the 10 basic rules from SL (R, DN, MP, MT, ADJ, S, ADD, MTP, BC, CB) and the 3 basic rules from PL (UI, EG, EI) (9%)

 $\therefore (\exists x \sim (Ax \vee Gx) \land \forall y \sim (By \rightarrow Hy)) \rightarrow \exists x (Hx \leftrightarrow Ax)$

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | · |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| | |

| | $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Hx).$ | $\exists x (Fx \land \forall y B(xy)).$ | $\exists x Hx \rightarrow \forall y \forall z (Ay \land B(zz) \rightarrow G(zz))$ |
|----|---|---|---|
| | $\therefore \forall x (Ax \to \exists y (I$ | $Hy \wedge G(xy))$ | |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |

27

| 8. Pr | is a valid argument (use any rules). (9 %): |
|-------|--|
| | $\forall i \forall k (F(ik) \rightarrow \sim L(ki)) \rightarrow \forall x \exists y \sim (Ax \rightarrow Gy).$ $\sim \forall z (\sim Az \vee Gz)$ |
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

Name:_____ Student Number:____

| 9. | Show that the | following | is a valid | argument (| (use any rules) | (9%): |
|------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| <i>-</i> . | DITOW WICE WIC | TOHOWING | is a valia | an Earmonn (| (use any ruies | <i>j</i> . (2/0 <i>j</i> . |

$$\exists x \forall y (H(xyy) \rightarrow \forall z \sim F(xz)). \qquad \sim \forall x Kx \rightarrow \forall x \exists y \forall z H(xyz).$$

$$\exists y {\sim} F(yy) \to \exists x \forall y G(b(x)b(y)). \qquad \therefore {\sim} \exists x G(xb(x)) \to Ka$$

| [| |
|----|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| A | |

| Name: | Student Number: |
|-------|-----------------|
| | |

- 10. Use a finite model to demonstrate the invalidity of this argument (8 %):
 - i) provide a truth-functional expansion (to two individuals) for each sentence in this argument with respect to the model
 - ii) define a model with a universe of two individuals that shows that this argument is invalid.

$$\exists x \forall y (Fx \land L(xy)).$$
 $\forall x (Gx \rightarrow \exists y \sim L(xy)).$ $\therefore \sim \exists x (L(xx) \land Gx)$

11. Is the material conditional a necessary logical connective in our system? Would we be able to symbolize the same English sentences without the material conditional? Would we be able to derive the same theorems without the material condition?

Justify your answer with an explanation that considers the role of the material conditional in both symbolization and derivations. (5 %)

AID SHEET: DERIVATION RULES (SEE BOTH SIDES)

Derivation Types:

Direct Derivation (DD)

Conditional Derivation (CD)

Indirect Derivation (ID)

Universal Derivation (UD)

Restriction: the instantiating term cannot occur unbound

in any previous line.

Basic Rules for Sentential Operators:

Modus Ponens (MP)

$$(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$$
 ϕ

Modus Tollens (MT)

$$(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$$
 $\sim \psi$
 $\sim \phi$

Double Negation (DN)

Simplification (S)

$$\frac{\phi \wedge \psi}{-\!-\!-\!-}$$

Adjunction (ADJ)

Addition (ADD)

Modus Tollendo Ponens (MTP)

Biconditional-Conditional (BC)

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\phi & \leftrightarrow \psi \\
\hline
\phi & \rightarrow \psi
\end{array}$$

$$\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$$
 $\psi \rightarrow \phi$

Conditional-Biconditional (CB)

Derived Rules for Sentential Operators:

Negation of Conditional (NC)

$$\frac{\sim (\phi \to \psi)}{\cdot}$$

$$\sim (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$$

$$\phi \rightarrow \psi$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\$$

Separation of Cases (SC)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\phi \lor \psi \\
\phi \to \chi & \phi \to \chi \\
\psi \to \chi & \sim \phi \to \chi \\
\hline
\chi & \chi
\end{array}$$

Negation of Biconditional (NB)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi) & \phi \leftrightarrow \sim \psi \\
\hline
 & \phi \leftrightarrow \sim \psi & \sim (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)
\end{array}$$

De Morgan's (DM)

Derivation Rules for Predicate Logic:

| Existential Generalization (EG) | Universal Instantiation (UI) | Existential Instantiation (EI) | Quantifier Negation | n (QN) |
|---|--|--|---------------------|--|
| φς | $\forall \alpha \varphi_\alpha$ | $\exists lpha \phi_lpha$ | ~∀αφ | ~∃α φ |
| $\overline{\exists \alpha \phi_{\alpha}}$ | $\overline{\phi_{\zeta}}$ | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{\zeta}}$ | ∃α ~φ | $\overline{\forall \alpha \sim \!\! \phi}$ |
| | Restriction: ζ does not | Restriction: ζ does not | ∃α ~φ | ∀α ~ φ |
| | occur as a bound variable in ϕ_{α} | occur in any previous line or premise. | ~∀αφ | $\overline{\sim} \exists \alpha \phi$ |