# STA303H1S - Winter 2014: Data Analysis II

LECTURE 9:

Logistic Regression Model (continued)

Ramya Thinniyam

February 6th, 2014

## Likelihood Ratio Tests (LRT)

Test if subset of the coefficients are 0 (compare full and reduced models).

<u>Idea</u>: compare likelihood of data assuming full model is true  $(L_f)$  to likelihood assuming reduced model  $(L_r)$ .

Likelihood Ratio:  $\frac{L_r}{L_f}$ ; where

 $L_r = L(\hat{\beta}_r)$  is the maximized likelihood under reduced model  $L_f = L(\hat{\beta}_f)$  is maximized likelihood under full model.

 $(L_r \le L_f$  since constrained maximum would be less than or equal to the unconstrained maximum.)

 Similar to a partial F-test. We don't have normality here so we use likelihood ratio.

#### LRT / Goodness of Fit Tests

Hypotheses:  $H_0$ : reduced model is appropriate vs.

 $H_a$ : full model fits the data better

Test statistic:  $G^2 = -2\log(\frac{L_r}{L_l}) \sim \chi^2_{\nu}$  under  $H_0$  where  $\nu =$  difference in number of parameters between full and reduced models

p-value: 
$$p = P(\chi_{\nu}^2 > G^2)$$

#### Notes:

- ► In the context of goodness of fit, the test statistic is referred to as deviance.
- R does a global LRT: compares fitted model to null (only intercept) model.
- For testing only one parameter, use Wald test or LRT: they are not equivalent, if they do not agree use LRT. LRT is more reliable.

## Example: Donner Party LRT

Conduct the global LRT for the Donner Party Example. What are the hypotheses and what is the conclusion?

## Model Assumptions for Logistic Regression

- Independent Observations
- Correct form of the model:
  - linearity between logits and predictor variables
  - all relevant variables are included
  - all irrelevant variables are excluded
- 3. Large sample sizes (need large sample properties of MLEs for tests and CIs to be valid)

(Less assumptions required here than for usual linear regression model - don't need normality, Gauss-Markov conditions, etc.)

## **Checking Model Assumptions for Donner Party**

Q: Do we need to check diagnostic/residual plots? Explain.

A:

Q: Check the validity of the model assumptions.

A:

# Checking Higher Order and Polynomial Terms to Improve the Model

In order to improve the model, try adding:

- age\*gender interaction
- age<sup>2</sup> quadratic term
- age<sup>2</sup>\*gender interaction

Write the new model. Is this model better than the model with just the main effects of gender and age?

## Interaction between Age and Gender

It seems reasonable that the effect of age on the odds of survival would differ by gender.

$$\underline{\mathsf{Model}}: \mathit{logit}(\pi) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathit{age} + \beta_2 \mathit{l}_{\mathit{M}} + \beta_3 (\mathit{age} * \mathit{l}_{\mathit{M}})$$

Check if the model with interaction is better than the additive model.

#### R Output for all Logistic Models fitted

#### Model 1:

```
> glm.model1=glm(status ~ age + gender, family=binomial)
> summary(glm.model1)
Call:
glm(formula = status ~ age + gender, family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 10 Median 30 Max
-1.7445 -1.0441 -0.3029 0.8877 2.0472
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 3.23041 1.38686 2.329 0.0198 *
        -0.07820 0.03728 -2.097 0.0359 *
age
genderMALE -1.59729 0.75547 -2.114 0.0345 *
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 61.827 on 44 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 51.256 on 42 degrees of freedom
AIC: 57.256
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

#### Model 2:

```
> agesg = age^2
> qlm.model2=qlm(status ~ age*qender + agesq + agesq:gender, family=binomial)
> summary(glm.model2)
Call.
qlm(formula = status ~ age * gender + agesg + agesg:gender, family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min
            10 Median 30 Max
-2.3396 -0.9757 -0.3438 0.5269 1.5901
Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -3.053198 9.684350 -0.315 0.753
              0.482908 0.658121 0.734 0.463
age
genderMALE -0.265286 10.455222 -0.025 0.980
      -0.010160 0.010263 -0.990 0.322
agesq
age:genderMALE -0.299877 0.696050 -0.431 0.667
genderMALE:agesg 0.007356 0.010689 0.688 0.491
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 61.827 on 44 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 45.361 on 39 degrees of freedom
ATC: 57.361
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

#### Model 3:

```
> glm.model3=glm(status ~ age*gender, family=binomial)
> summary(glm.model3)
Call:
glm(formula = status ~ age * gender, family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 10 Median 30 Max
-2.2279 -0.9388 -0.5550 0.7794 1.6998
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 7.24638 3.20517 2.261 0.0238 *
           age
genderMALE -6.92805 3.39887 -2.038 0.0415 *
age:genderMALE 0.16160 0.09426 1.714 0.0865 .
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 61.827 on 44 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 47.346 on 41 degrees of freedom
AIC: 55.346
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

## Conclusions about Donner Party

Answer the questions of interest and make final conclusions regarding this case study.

#### Include:

- Which explanatory variables are significant predictors of odds of survival? (i.e. Which is the best model?)
- Answer the questions of interest.
- For the predictors that are significant, specifically explain what the differences are and quantify their effect using practical terms.
- Comment on validity of the model and any concerns that you may have.

# What if the default variables are changed in the model?

R chooses female and died to be defaults and gives the following fitted model:

```
Model 1: \log(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}) = 3.23 - 0.078 age -1.60I_{Male} where \pi = P(Survived).
```

- 1. Suppose that you choose Male and Died to be the defaults. Write out your model in terms of the  $\beta$ s. Which parameter estimates would change between Model 1 and your model? Using only the above estimated coefficients from Model 1, find the parameter estimates for your model.
- 2. Suppose that you choose Female and Survived to be the defaults. Write out your model in terms of the  $\beta$ s. Which parameter estimates would change between Model 1 and your model? Using only the above estimated coefficients from Model 1, find the parameter estimates for your model.