Assignment 1 marking rubric

Name:	
ARGUMENTATION & ORGANIZATION	
Argumentation is clear, concise, logical, and well-organized. Demonstrates excellent understanding of the question(s). Student has proficiently addressed all questions/criteria in assignment guidelines (see below).	5
Generally coherent arguments addressing all questions/criteria; may have minor omissions or could benefit from improved clarity in parts. Generally logical but may be vague in parts, or require better organization.	4
Adequate discussion addressing most questions below, but may lack clarity or not have addressed one or more questions in full. Could be better organized or more logical/coherent.	3
Discussion may be vague or unclear, errors may be present, or several of the questions/points below may not be addressed. Claims may not be fully supported, could be considerably more coherent or logical.	2
Inadequate discussion: not clearly reasoned, insufficient, vague, illogical, or demonstrating major errors in understanding. Insufficient or inadequate examples/evidence. Few of the questions below are addressed.	1
Discussion is completely off-topic or does not address the question(s) at all.	0
 Did student summarize etymologies of all four words, in enough detail? Were opposing arguments presented and addressed (i.e., pros and cons discussed)? Was student's conclusion for each pair of words clearly argued for? Has student clearly distinguished between statements of fact and opinion? 	
Understanding & Application of Course Concepts	
Demonstrates excellent understanding of morphology and etymology , the relationship between the two, and why the question posed in the assignment is interesting. Relevant terminology used where applicable.	4
Student's understanding of relevant course concepts (e.g. morphology, etymology) may be in doubt. Terminology may be incorrect, vague or incomplete.	2
Use of terminology is incorrect or absent, or demonstrates complete lack of understanding of concepts.	0
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
STYLE: GRAMMAR, SPELLING, PUNCTUATION, ETC.	
Few/no errors, spelling mistakes, or typos. Evidence of careful editing/proofreading; appropriate style.	2
Some errors but not so many that they compromise clarity. More careful editing would help.	1.5
Numerous, significant errors compromise clarity; grader must re-read sentences to discern meaning. Style may not be appropriate for a university assignment.	1
Numerous, significant errors completely obscure meaning of significant portions of the assignment.	0
CITATIONS & ATTRIBUTIONS	
In-text citations and references are complete and correct, and student has adequately incorporated his/her own opinion with ideas from other sources which are properly attributed.	2
Student has attempted to incorporate his/her own ideas/opinions with those from other sources, but in-text citations or references have minor omissions or are not fully integrated properly.	1
Student's own ideas not successfully integrated with source material; there may be major omissions in citations or references, or inadequate attribution through quotations, paraphrasing, etc. (NB: If plagiarism is suspected, the instructor will be notified.)	0

NOTE: This rubric is a guideline only; the final grade is at the discretion of the instructor and TAs, who reserve the right to modify this grading scheme and/or make additional deductions.

TOTAL: