

Introduction to Bayesian Data Analysis

Tutorial 4

- (1) Show that the posterior predictive distribution $p(\tilde{y}|y)$ is the posterior expectation of $p(\tilde{y}|\theta)$.
- (2) For the birth rate example in class - repeat the posterior predictive checking exercise for women age-40 with bachelor degrees
- (3) Problem 4.2 (Hoff) Reconsider the tumor count data in Tutorial 3.
 - (a) For the prior distribution given in part a) of that exercise, obtain $Pr(\theta_B < \theta_A | \mathbf{y}_A, \mathbf{y}_B)$ via Monte Carlo Sampling
 - (b) For a range of values of n_0 , obtain $Pr(\theta_B < \theta_A | \mathbf{y}_A, \mathbf{y}_B)$ for $\theta_A \sim \text{Gamma}(120, 10)$ and $\theta_b \sim \text{Gamma}(12 \times n_0, n_0)$. Describe how sensitive the conclusions about the event $\{\theta_B < \theta_A\}$ are to the prior distribution on θ_B .
 - (c) Repeat parts a) and b) replacing the event $\{\theta_B < \theta_A\}$ with the event $\{\tilde{Y}_B < \tilde{Y}_A\}$ where \tilde{Y}_A and \tilde{Y}_B are samples from the posterior predictive distribution. Describe how sensitive the conclusions about the event $\{\tilde{Y}_B < \tilde{Y}_A\}$ are to the prior distribution on θ_B , and compare to your observations in part (b).

- (4) Problem 4.3 (Hoff) Let's investigate the adequacy of the Poisson model for the tumor count data. Generate posterior predictive data sets $\mathbf{y}_A^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_A^{(1000)}$. Each $\mathbf{y}_A^{(s)}$ is a sample of size $n_A = 10$ from the Poisson distribution with parameter $\theta_A^{(s)}$, $\theta_A^{(s)}$ is itself a sample from the posterior distribution $p(\theta_A | \mathbf{y}_A)$, and \mathbf{y}_A is the observed data.
- (a) For each s , let $t^{(s)}$ be the sample average of the 10 values of $\mathbf{y}_A^{(s)}$, divided by the sample standard deviation of $\mathbf{y}_A^{(s)}$. Make a histogram of $t^{(s)}$, and compare it to the observed value of this statistic. Based on this statistic, assess the fit of the Poisson model for these data.
 - (b) Repeat the above goodness of fit evaluation for the data in population B.
- (5) Problem 4.4 (Hoff) From the posterior density from Problem (4) (Tutorial 3)
- (a) Make a plot of $p(\theta|y)$ or $p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$ using the mixture prior distribution and a dense sequence of θ -values. Can you think of a way to obtain a 95% quantile-based posterior confidence interval for θ ? You might want to try some sort of discrete approximation.
 - (b) To sample a random variable z from the mixture distribution $wp_1(z) + (1-w)p_0(z)$, first toss a w -coin and let x be the outcome (this can be done in R with `x<-rbinom(1,1,w)`). Then if $x = 1$ sample z from p_1 and if $x = 0$ sample z from p_0 . Using this technique, obtain a Monte Carlo approximation of the posterior distribution $p(\theta|y)$ and a 95% quantile-based confidence interval, and compare them to the results in part (a).
- (6) Consider a univariate posterior distribution, $p(\theta|y)$, which we wish to approximate and then calculate moments of, using importance sampling from an unnormalized density, $g(\theta)$. Suppose the posterior distribution is normal, and the approximation is t_3 with mode and curvature matched to the posterior density.
- (a) Draw a sample of size $S=100$ from the approximate density and compute the importance ratios. Plot a histogram of the log importance ratios.
 - (b) Estimate $E[\theta|y]$ and $Var[\theta|y]$ using importance sampling. Compare to the true values.
 - (c) Repeat (a) and (b) for $S = 10,000$.