

# Introduction to Bayesian Data Analysis

## Tutorial 2 Solutions

(1) ‘

**1.3.** Note: We will use “Xx” to indicate all heterozygotes (written as “Xx or xX” in the Exercise).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Pr(\text{child is Xx} \mid \text{child has brown eyes \& parents have brown eyes}) \\
 &= \frac{0 \cdot (1-p)^4 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 4p(1-p)^3 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 4p^2(1-p)^2}{1 \cdot (1-p)^4 + 1 \cdot 4p(1-p)^3 + \frac{3}{4} \cdot 4p^2(1-p)^2} \\
 &= \frac{2p(1-p) + 2p^2}{(1-p)^2 + 4p(1-p) + 3p^2} \\
 &= \frac{2p}{1+2p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To figure out the probability that Judy is a heterozygote, use the above posterior probability as a prior probability for a new calculation that includes the additional information that her  $n$  children are brown-eyed (with the father Xx):

$$\Pr(\text{Judy is Xx} \mid n \text{ children all have brown eyes \& all previous information}) = \frac{\frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n}{\frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n + \frac{1}{1+2p} \cdot 1}.$$

Given that Judy’s children are all brown-eyed, her grandchild has blue eyes only if Judy’s child is Xx. We compute this probability, recalling that we know the child is brown-eyed and we know Judy’s spouse is a heterozygote:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Pr(\text{Judy’s child is Xx} \mid \text{all the given information}) \\
 &= \Pr((\text{Judy is Xx \& Judy’s child is Xx}) \text{ or } (\text{Judy is XX \& Judy’s child is Xx}) \mid \text{all the given information}) \\
 &= \frac{\frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n}{\frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n + \frac{1}{1+2p}} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + \frac{\frac{1}{1+2p}}{\frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n + \frac{1}{1+2p}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Given that Judy’s child is Xx, the probability of the grandchild having blue eyes is 0, 1/4, or 1/2, if Judy’s child’s spouse is XX, Xx, or xx, respectively. Given random mating, these events have probability  $(1-p)^2$ ,  $2p(1-p)$ , and  $p^2$ , respectively, and so

$$\Pr(\text{Grandchild is xx} \mid \text{all the given information})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\frac{2}{3} \frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+2p}}{\frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n + \frac{1}{1+2p}} \left( \frac{1}{4} 2p(1-p) + \frac{1}{2} p^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{\frac{2}{3} \frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+2p}}{\frac{2p}{1+2p} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n + \frac{1}{1+2p}} \left( \frac{1}{2} p \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) Problem 2.3 (Hoff).

$$(a) \quad p(x|y, z) = \frac{p(x, y, z)}{g(y, z)} \propto \frac{f(x, z)g(y, z)h(z)}{g(y, z)} \propto f(x, z)h(z)$$

$$(b) \quad p(y|x, z) = \frac{p(x, y, z)}{f(x, z)} \propto \frac{f(x, z)g(y, z)h(z)}{f(x, z)} \propto g(y, z)h(z)$$

$$(c) \quad p(x, y|z) \propto p(x|y, z)p(y|z) = p(x|z)p(y|z)$$

(3) (a) Problem 2.5 (Hoff).

	Y		
X	1	0	p(x)
1	$0.5 \times 0.4$	$0.5 \times 0.6$	0.5
0	$0.5 \times 0.6$	$0.5 \times 0.4$	0.5
p(y)	0.5	0.5	1

$$(b) \quad E[Y] = \sum_y yp(y) = 1 \times 0.5 + 0 \times 0.5 = 0.5 \text{ or}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E[Y] &= E[E[Y|X]] = \sum_x p(x)E[Y|X = x] \\
&= \sum_x p(x) \sum_y yp(Y = y|X = x) \\
&= 0.5 \times (1 \times 0.4 + 0 \times 0.6) + 0.5 \times (1 \times 0.6 + 0 \times 0.4) \\
&= 0.5
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(c) \quad Var[Y|X = 0] &= E[Y^2|X = 0] - (E[Y|X = 0])^2 = 0.6(1 - 0.6) = 0.24; \\
Var[Y|X = 1] &= E[Y^2|X = 1] - (E[Y|X = 1])^2 = 0.4(1 - 0.4) = 0.24; \\
Var[Y] &= 0.5(1 - 0.5) = 0.25
\end{aligned}$$

Var[Y] is larger because all possible values of X (which is a random variable) are considered. For  $Var[Y|X = 0]$  and  $Var[Y|X = 1]$  the range of X is restricted.

$$(d) \quad Pr(X = 0|Y = 1) = \frac{Pr(X=0,Y=1)}{Pr(Y=1)} = 0.3/0.5 = 3/5$$

(4) Prior  $\times$  likelihood

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr(X = x)Pr(Y = y|X = x) &= \binom{5}{x} 0.6^x 0.4^{5-x} \binom{x}{y} 0.3^y 0.7^{x-y} \\
&= \frac{5!}{x!(5-x)!} \frac{x!}{y!(x-y)!} \left( \frac{0.6 \times 0.7}{0.4} \right)^x 0.6^6 0.4^5 \left( \frac{0.3}{0.7} \right)^y \\
&\propto 1.05^x \frac{1}{(5-x)!(x-y)!}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr(X = 0)Pr(Y = 2|X = 0) &= 0 \\
Pr(X = 1)Pr(Y = 2|X = 1) &= 0 \\
Pr(X = 2)Pr(Y = 2|X = 2) &\propto 0.18375 \\
Pr(X = 3)Pr(Y = 2|X = 3) &\propto 0.57881 \\
Pr(X = 4)Pr(Y = 2|X = 4) &\propto 0.60775 \\
Pr(X = 5)Pr(Y = 2|X = 5) &\propto 0.21271 \\
Pr(Y = 2) = \sum_x Pr(X = x)Pr(Y = 2|X = x) &\propto 1.5803
\end{aligned}$$

$$Pr(X = j|Y = 2) = \frac{Pr(X=j)Pr(Y=2|X=j)}{Pr(Y=2)}$$

j	$Pr(X = j Y = 2)$
0	0.000
0	0.000
2	0.1161
3	0.3656
4	0.3839
5	0.1344

(5) We want  $p(n|y=5) = \frac{p(n)p(y=5|n)}{p(y=5)}$

Now  $p(n)p(y=5|n) = 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $n \geq 9$

$$p(n=5)p(y=5|n=5) = 0.14/5$$

$$p(n=6)p(y=5|n=6) = 0.13/6$$

$$p(n=7)p(y=5|n=7) = 0.12/7$$

$$p(n=8)p(y=5|n=8) = 0.11/8$$

$$p(y=5) = 0.08056$$

So the revised probabilities are:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	$\geq 9$
prob	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.34756	0.26895	0.212797	0.17068	0.00

(6) (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x, y) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial x \partial y} \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x - x \log(x) + x \log(y)) \right) \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( 1 - x \times \frac{1}{x} - \log(x) + \log(y) \right) \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (-\log(x) + \log(y)) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y} & 0 < x \leq y < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \int_x^1 f(x, y) dy \\
 &= \int_x^1 \frac{1}{y} dy \\
 &= [\log(y)]_x^1 \\
 &= -\log(x) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \log\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(y) &= \int_0^y f(x, y) dx \\
&= \int_0^y \frac{1}{y} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{y} [x]_0^y \\
&= \begin{cases} 1 & 0 < y < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

(7) (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr(W \leq w) &= Pr(\min(Y_1, Y_2) \leq w) \\
&= 1 - Pr(Y_1 > w)Pr(Y_2 > w) \\
&= 1 - \exp^{-\lambda_1 w - \lambda_2 w} \\
&= 1 - \exp^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)w}
\end{aligned}$$

which is the CDF of an  $\text{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$  distribution.

Now  $U_0 = \frac{X_1}{X_1 + X_2} \sim \text{Beta}(1, 1) \equiv U(0, 1)$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr(B_0 = 1) &= Pr(Y_1 < Y_2) \\
&= Pr\left(\frac{X_1}{\lambda_1} < \frac{X_2}{\lambda_2}\right) \\
&= Pr\left(\frac{X_1}{X_2} < \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right) \\
&= Pr\left(\frac{X_1}{X_1 + X_2} < \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $B_0 \sim \text{Bern}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)$ .

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
P(U \leq p | M \geq m) &= \frac{P(U \leq p, M \geq m)}{P(M \geq m)} \\
&= \frac{P(U \leq p, U/p \geq m, \frac{1-U}{1-p} \geq m)}{P(U/p \geq m, \frac{1-U}{1-p} \geq m)} \\
&= \frac{P(U \leq p, mp < U < 1 - m(1-p))}{P(mp < U < 1 - m(1-p))} \\
&= \frac{P(mp < u < p)}{P(mp < U < 1 - m(1-p))} \\
&= \frac{p(1-m)}{(1-m)} \\
&= Pr(U \leq p) = Pr(B = 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Also  $Pr(U > p | M \geq m) = Pr(B = 0)$ . Therefor,  $B \perp\!\!\!\perp M$  as required.

(8) ‘

Yes, they are exchangeable. The joint distribution is

$$p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2J}) = \binom{2J}{J}^{-1} \sum_p \left( \prod_{j=1}^J N(\theta_{p(j)} | 1, 1) \prod_{j=J+1}^{2J} N(\theta_{p(j)} | -1, 1) \right),$$

where the sum is over all permutations  $p$  of  $(1, \dots, 2J)$ . The density (7) is obviously invariant to permutations of the indexes  $(1, \dots, 2J)$ .

**5.4b.** Pick any  $i, j$ . The covariance of  $\theta_i, \theta_j$  is *negative*. You can see this because if  $\theta_i$  is large, then it probably comes from the  $N(1, 1)$  distribution, which means that it is more likely than not that  $\theta_j$  comes from the  $N(-1, 1)$  distribution (because we know that exactly half of the  $2J$  parameters come from each of the two distributions), which means that  $\theta_j$  will probably be negative. Conversely, if  $\theta_i$  is negative, then  $\theta_j$  is most likely positive.

Then, by Exercise 5.5,  $p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2J})$  cannot be written as a mixture of iid components.

The above argument can be made formal and rigorous by defining  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2J}$ , where half of the  $\phi_j$ 's are 1 and half are  $-1$ , and then setting  $\theta_j | \phi_j \sim N(\phi_j, 1)$ . It's easy to show first that  $\text{cov}(\phi_i, \phi_j) < 0$ , and then that  $\text{cov}(\theta_i, \theta_j) < 0$  also.

(c) In the limit as  $J \rightarrow \infty$ , the negative correlation between  $i$  and  $j$  approaches zero, and the joint distribution approaches iid. To put it another way, as  $J \rightarrow \infty$ , the distinction disappears between (1) independently assigning each  $j$  to one of two groups, and (2) picking exactly half of the  $j$ 's for each group.

- (9) (a) (i) Yes (ii) Yes (iii) Yes  
(b) (i) Yes (ii) No (iii) No  
(c) (i) Yes (ii) No (iii) Yes