

pg. 57 2. Let x_A = number of model A machines bought.
 x_B = number of model B machines bought.
 These can fold $30x_A + 50x_B$ boxes per minute, require
 $x_A + 2x_B$ attendants, and cost $\$15000x_A + \$20000x_B$.

An appropriate model is:

Minimize $Z = 3x_A + 4x_B$ subject to

the constraints $3x_A + 5x_B \geq 32$

$x_A + 2x_B \leq 12$

$x_A \geq 0, x_B \geq 0; x_A, x_B$ integral.

Note: This is an integer programming problem. Also, the first constraint is equivalent to $30x_A + 50x_B \geq 320$ and similarly, the minimum cost = $\$5000 \times$ the minimum value of Z .

Canonical form:

Maximize $Z = -3x_A - 4x_B$ subject to

the constraints $3x_A + 5x_B - s_1 = 32 \leftarrow \text{integers}$

$x_A + 2x_B + s_2 = 12 \checkmark$

(s_1, s_2 are slack variables;
 integral because x_A, x_B are.)

$x_A \geq 0, x_B \geq 0, s_1 \geq 0, s_2 \geq 0;$

x_A, x_B, s_1, s_2 integral.

Standard form:

Maximize $Z = -3x_A - 4x_B$ subject to

the constraints $-3x_A - 5x_B \leq -32$

$x_A + 2x_B \leq 12$

$x_A \geq 0, x_B \geq 0; x_A, x_B$ integral.

Pg. 57 4. Let x_1 = number of acres of corn,
 x_2 = number of acres of soybeans, x_3 = number of acres of oats.

Two appropriate models are:

$$\text{Maximize } z = 40x_1 + 30x_2 + 20x_3$$

$$\text{Maximize } z = 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3$$

and

subject to $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 12$

subject $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 12$

the constraints $6x_1 + 6x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 48$

to the $3x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \leq 24$

$$36x_1 + 24x_2 + 18x_3 \leq 360$$

constraints $6x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 60$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0.$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0.$$

Both are already in standard form. The second model has the equivalent canonical form:

$$\text{Maximize } z = 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 \text{ subject to}$$

the constraints

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 12$$

$$3x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + x_5 = 24$$

$$6x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 + x_6 = 60$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0, x_4 \geq 0, x_5 \geq 0, x_6 \geq 0.$$

(x_4, x_5, x_6 are slack variables.)

Pg. 58 6. Let C denote the number of barrels (per week, say) of cement, currently being produced; C is not a decision variable in the models to follow.

Let x_1 = the fraction of current production to be processed using four-field precipitators.

x_2 = the fraction of current production to be processed using five-field precipitators.

Pg. 58 6. (cont'd)

Thus, $x_1 C$ = number of barrels per week to be processed using four-field precipitators.

$x_2 C$ = number of barrels per week to be processed using five-field precipitators.

and $x_1 + x_2 \leq 1$ will be a constraint in the standard-form model to follow.

Currently, the plant emits $2C$ pounds of dust per week. Given values of the decision variables, x_1 and x_2 , the four-field precipitators will reduce emissions by $1.5 x_1 C$ pounds per week and the five-field type will reduce emissions by $1.8 x_2 C$ pounds per week. The EPA requirement is that $1.5 x_1 C + 1.8 x_2 C \geq .84 \cdot 2C$. (That is, total emission reduction must be $\geq 84\%$ of current emissions.)

Also, given x_1 and x_2 , the weekly cost of operating the precipitators is $.14 x_1 C + .18 x_2 C$ (\$ per week).

To summarize, an appropriate model is:

Minimize $Z = .14 x_1 + .18 x_2$ subject to

the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 &\leq 1 \\ 1.5 x_1 + 1.8 x_2 &\geq 1.68 \\ x_1 &\geq 0, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(To find minimal weekly cost (in \$) multiply the optimal value of Z by C .)

Pg. 58 6. (concluded)

In canonical form: Maximize $Z = -.14x_1 - .18x_2$

subject to the constraints $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$
 $1.5x_1 + 1.8x_2 - x_4 = 1.68$
 $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0, x_4 \geq 0.$

(x_3 and x_4 are slack variables. x_3 represents the fraction of current production which is not processed by either precipitator. $\frac{x_4}{2}$ represents the percentage of emission reduction by which the plant exceeds EPA requirements.)

In standard form: Maximize $Z = -.14x_1 - .18x_2$

subject to the constraints $x_1 + x_2 \leq 1$
 $-1.5x_1 - 1.8x_2 \leq -1.68$
 $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0.$

Pg. 58 8. Let x_1 = amount invested in utilities stock
 x_2 = amount invested in electronics stock
 x_3 = amount invested in bonds

The limitation on investment in stocks may be expressed as $x_1 + x_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$ so an appropriate model is:

Maximize $Z = .09x_1 + .04x_2 + .05x_3$ s.t. $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 200000$
 $-\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 \leq 0$

(assuming intervals between dividends and bond coupons are all equal)

$x_1 \leq 40000$
 $x_3 \geq 70000$
 $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0.$

Pg. 58 8. (cont'd) Canonical form:

Maximize $Z = .09x_1 + .04x_2 + .05x_3$ subject

to the constraints $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 200000$

$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 + x_5 = 0$

$x_1 + x_6 = 40000$

$x_3 - x_7 = 70000$

$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0, x_4 \geq 0, x_5 \geq 0, x_6 \geq 0, x_7 \geq 0.$

Standard form: Maximize $Z = .09x_1 + .04x_2 + .05x_3$

subject to the constraints $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 200000$

$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 \leq 0$

$x_1 \leq 40000$

$-x_3 \leq -70000$

$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0.$

Pg. 59 10. For $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$

let x_{ij} = the amount of component j used to make mixture i according to the table:

components					
mixtures \rightarrow		alkylate	cat. cracked	st. run	isopentane
high octane	x_{11}	x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{14}	
low octane	x_{21}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{24}	

The octane constraints for each mixture may be expressed

$$100 = \frac{108x_{11} + 94x_{12} + 87x_{13} + 108x_{14}}{x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14}}$$

for the high octane mixture

$$90 = \frac{198x_{21} + 87x_{22} + 80x_{23} + 100x_{24}}{x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{24}}$$

for the low octane mixture

Pg. 59 10. (cont'd) These two constraints are satisfied provided the x_{ij} satisfy the linear equations

$$8x_{11} - 6x_{12} - 13x_{13} + 8x_{14} = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$8x_{21} - 3x_{22} - 10x_{23} + 10x_{24} = 0$$

The two vapor pressure constraints may be expressed

$$7 = \frac{5x_{i1} + 6.5x_{i2} + 4x_{i3} + 18x_{i4}}{x_{i1} + x_{i2} + x_{i3} + x_{i4}} \quad (i=1,2)$$

which similarly are equivalent to a pair of linear equations.

Profit = revenue - cost (we assume the table, page 59, gives unit revenues and costs)

$$= 6.50(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14}) + 7.50(x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{24}) - 7.20(x_{11} + x_{21}) - 4.35(x_{12} + x_{22}) - 3.80(x_{13} + x_{23}) - 4.30(x_{14} + x_{24})$$

An appropriate model is then:

Maximize $z = -0.70x_{11} + 2.15x_{12} + 2.70x_{13} + 2.20x_{14} + 3.0x_{21} + 3.15x_{22} + 3.70x_{23} + 3.20x_{24}$ subject to

the constraints

$$8x_{11} - 6x_{12} - 13x_{13} + 8x_{14} = 0$$

$$2x_{11} + 5x_{12} + 3x_{13} - 11x_{14} = 0$$

$$x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} = 1300$$

$$8x_{21} - 3x_{22} - 10x_{23} + 10x_{24} = 0$$

$$2x_{21} + 5x_{22} + 3x_{23} - 11x_{24} = 0$$

$$x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{24} = 800$$

$$x_{21} \leq 700$$

$$x_{22} \leq 600$$

$$x_{23} \leq 900$$

$$x_{24} \leq 500$$

"demands must be met exactly" →

supply limitations →

$$x_{ij} \geq 0; i=1,2, j=1,2,3,4.$$

Pg. 59 10. (cont'd)

In view of the fact that demands are to be met exactly, revenue will equal $6.50 \times 1300 + 7.50 \times 800$ for any feasible solution and a second appropriate model could have the same constraints but different objective function: Maximize $z = -7.20x_{11} - 4.35x_{12} - 3.80x_{13} - 4.30x_{14}$
 $- 7.20x_{21} - 4.35x_{22} - 3.80x_{23} - 4.30x_{24}$.

To write an equivalent problem in canonical form, use either objective function and replace the \leq constraints with the system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{11} & + x_{21} & + y_1 = 700 \\ x_{12} & + x_{22} & + y_2 = 600 \\ x_{13} & + x_{23} & + y_3 = 900 \\ x_{14} & + x_{24} & + y_4 = 500 \\ y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \geq 0, y_3 \geq 0, y_4 \geq 0. \end{array}$$

To write an equivalent problem in standard form, use either objective function and replace the first six constraints on page 6 with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 8x_{11} - 6x_{12} - 13x_{13} + 8x_{14} & & \leq 0 \\ -8x_{11} + 6x_{12} + 13x_{13} - 8x_{14} & & \leq 0 \\ 2x_{11} + .5x_{12} + 3x_{13} - 11x_{14} & & \leq 0 \\ -2x_{11} - .5x_{12} - 3x_{13} + 11x_{14} & & \leq 0 \\ x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} & & \leq 1300 \\ -x_{11} - x_{12} - x_{13} - x_{14} & & \leq -1300 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 8x_{21} - 3x_{22} - 10x_{23} + 10x_{24} & & \leq 0 \\ -8x_{21} + 3x_{22} + 10x_{23} - 10x_{24} & & \leq 0 \\ 2x_{21} + .5x_{22} + 3x_{23} - 11x_{24} & & \leq 0 \\ -2x_{21} - .5x_{22} - 3x_{23} + 11x_{24} & & \leq 0 \\ x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{24} & & \leq 800 \\ -x_{21} - x_{22} - x_{23} - x_{24} & & \leq -800. \end{array}$$