## Assignment #4 STA437H1S/2005H1S

due Friday April 8, 2016

**Instructions:** All students do both problems.

- 1. Consider the single and complete linkage clustering methods. Given disjoint clusters A, B, and C, we can (for each of the two methods) define a distance measure d(A, B) between clusters A and B.
- (a) For single linkage clustering, show that

$$d(A, B \cup C) = \min\{d(A, B), d(A, C)\} = \frac{1}{2}d(A, B) + \frac{1}{2}d(A, C) - \frac{1}{2}|d(A, B) - d(A, C)|$$

(b) For complete linkage clustering, show that

$$d(A, B \cup C) = \max\{d(A, B), d(A, C)\} = \frac{1}{2}d(A, B) + \frac{1}{2}d(A, C) + \frac{1}{2}|d(A, B) - d(A, C)|$$

(c) Suppose that for single component clusters a, b, c, the triangle inequality holds, that is,

$$d(a,c) \le d(a,b) + d(b,c).$$

Show that for complete linkage clustering, the triangle inequality  $d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C)$  holds for disjoint clusters A, B, and C.

2. [One last crack at the crabs data!] In this problem, we will assume that we do not have at our disposal the sex/species identifiers for the 200 crabs and use normal mixture models to try to identify clusters in the data. To this end, you will use the function EM (available on Blackboard as EM.txt) to estimate the parameters of a two component multivariate normal mixture model. (EM is very slow so it would be wise to have other activities planned while it runs!)

As before, the data can be read into R as follows:

- > x <- scan("crabs.txt", skip=1, what=list("c", "c", 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0))
- > FL <- x[[4]]
- > RW <- x[[5]]
- > CL <- x[[6]]
- > CW <- x[[7]]
- > BD <- x[[8]]
- > y <- cbind(FL,RW,CL,CW,BD)
- (a) Start by doing 30 iterations of the EM algorithm:
- > r30 <- EM(y,k=2,em.iter=30)

The component r\$cluster will contain the estimated cluster (either 1 or 2) for each observation. These can be seen on the pairwise scatterplot as follows:

```
> colour <- rep("blue",200)
> colour[r30$cluster==2] <- "red"
> pairs(y,col=colour)
```

Do the clusters estimated after 30 iterations seem reasonable?

(b) Repeat the procedure in part (a) now using 100 iterations of EM:

```
> r100 <- EM(y,k=2,em.iter=100)
```

Comment on the difference the estimated clusters here and those from part (a).

(c) [Optional but recommended] Repeat the procedure above estimating four clusters; you will probably need to significantly more than 100 iterations of the EM algorithm. How do the clusters compare to the sex/species groupings?