Statistical Inference

Lecture 07a

ANU - RSFAS

Last Updated: Sun Apr 15 13:50:52 2018

Some Asymptotics (MLE) - Score Function

Lemma: Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x; \theta)$ and let $\hat{\theta}$ be the MLE of θ . Under regularity conditions of $f(x; \theta)$ and thus $L(\theta; \mathbf{x})$ (under appropriate smoothness conditions), we can state:

$$W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ell'(\theta; \mathbf{x}) \stackrel{D}{\to} \text{normal}(0, i(\theta))$$

Proof:

$$\frac{\ell'(\theta; \boldsymbol{x})}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell'(\theta; \boldsymbol{x}_i)}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\frac{n}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell'(\theta; \boldsymbol{x}_i)}{\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{n} \; \bar{\ell}'$$

 \bullet $\bar{\ell}'$ is the sample average of the first derivative of the log likelihood.

ullet We can use the Central Limit theorem! We need to know the mean and variance of $ar\ell'$

$$E[\bar{\ell}'] = E\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell'(\theta;x_i)\right] = E[\ell'(\theta;x_i)]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell'(\theta;x_i)f(x_i;\theta)dx_i$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}f(x_i;\theta)}{f(x_i;\theta)}f(x_i;\theta)dx_i\right]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}f(x_i;\theta)dx_i$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f(x_i;\theta)dx_i$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}1 = 0$$

$$V(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2$$

$$V[\bar{\ell}'] = \frac{1}{n}V[\ell'(\theta; x_i)] = \frac{1}{n}E[\{\ell'(\theta; x_i)\}^2] = -\frac{1}{n}E[\ell''(\theta; x_i)] = \frac{1}{n}i(\theta)$$

• So let's subtract off the mean and divide by the standard deviation:

$$\frac{(\bar{\ell}'-0)}{\sqrt{i(\theta)/n}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{\ell}'-0)}{\sqrt{i(\theta)}} = \frac{\frac{\ell'(\theta;\mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{n}}}{\sqrt{i(\theta)}} \stackrel{D}{\to} \text{normal}(0,1)$$

So

$$\frac{\ell'(\theta; \mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{n}} \stackrel{D}{\to} \text{normal}(0, i(\theta))$$

Lemma 3.3: Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x; \theta)$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the MLE of θ . Under regularity conditions of $f(x; \theta)$ and thus $L(\theta; x)$ (under appropriate smoothness conditions), we have:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \stackrel{D}{\rightarrow} \text{normal}(0, i(\theta)^{-1})$$

(15) Implies to tically the complex tent (we ably)

8. Consistent (we ably)

Proof:

• Conduct a Taylor's series expansion of the first derivative of the log likelihood around the true value θ_0 :

$$\ell'(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = \ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) + (\theta - \theta_0)\ell''(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) + \cdots$$

• Substitute the MLE for θ :

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} \ell'(\hat{ heta};oldsymbol{x}) = \ell'(heta_0;oldsymbol{x}) + (\hat{ heta} - heta_0)\ell''(heta_0;oldsymbol{x}) + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

• Under the regularity conditions we will ignore higher order terms. Also we know $\ell'(\hat{\theta}; \mathbf{x}) = 0$:

$$0 = \ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)\ell''(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})$$

• Now, replace $\ell''(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})$ with its expectation:

$$0 = \ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) E[\ell''(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})]$$

$$= \ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \ell''(\theta_0; x_i)\right]$$

$$= \ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \sum_{i=1}^n E\left[\ell''(\theta_0; x_i)\right]$$

$$= \ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)[-ni(\theta_0)]$$

$$= \ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)[-ni(\theta_0)]$$

$$\Rightarrow (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = \frac{-\ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})}{-ni(\theta_0)}$$

• Note: $\frac{1}{n}\ell''(\theta_0; \mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\text{LLN}}{\rightarrow} E[\frac{1}{n}\ell''(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})] = -i(\theta)$

• Multiply through by \sqrt{n} :

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = \sqrt{n} \frac{\ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})}{ni(\theta_0)} = \sqrt{n} \frac{\ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{I}(\theta_0)}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ell'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})}{\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{I}(\theta_0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} l'(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})}{i(\theta_0)}$$

Now we saw that:

$$W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ell'(\theta; \mathbf{x}) \stackrel{D}{\to} \text{normal}(0, i(\theta))$$

• Since a linear transformation of a normal is normal, we just need the mean and variance:

$$E\left[\frac{W}{i(\theta_0)}\right] = \frac{E[W]}{i(\theta)} = \frac{0}{i(\theta)} = 0$$

$$V\left[\frac{W}{i(\theta_0)}\right] = \frac{V[W]}{i(\theta)^2} = \frac{i(\theta)}{i(\theta)^2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{i(\theta)}\right)}_{i(\theta)}$$

So we have:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \stackrel{D}{\to} \text{normal}(0, i(\theta)^{-1})$$

Or

$$\hat{\theta} \stackrel{\cdot}{\sim} n\left(\theta, \frac{1}{ni(\theta)}\right) = \text{normal}(\theta, \mathbf{I}(\theta)^{-1})$$

Delta Method

Theorem: Let Y_n be a sequence of random variables such that:

$$\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta) \stackrel{D}{\to} \text{normal}(0, \sigma^2)$$

• For a given function g and a specific value θ , suppose that $g'(\theta)$ exists and is not 0, then

$$\sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) \stackrel{D}{\to} \text{normal}(0, \sigma^2[g'(\theta)]^2)$$

• We can extend the theorem to functions $\tau(\theta)$:

Lemma: Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x; \theta)$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the MLE of θ and let $tau(\theta)$ be a continuous function of θ . Under regularity conditions (i.e. under appropriate smoothness conditions) of $f(x; \theta)$ and thus $L(\theta; x)$, we have:

$$\sqrt{n}(\tau(\hat{\theta}) - \tau(\theta)) \stackrel{D}{\rightarrow} \text{normal}(0, \nu(\theta))$$

• Where $\nu(\theta) = \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{i(\theta)}$ is the Cramer-Rao lower bound for a single data point.

Or

$$au(\hat{ heta}) \stackrel{.}{\sim} \operatorname{normal}\left(au(heta), rac{[au'(heta)]^2}{I(heta)}
ight)$$

We can get this result from the Delta method!

- So asymptotically, MLEs are:
- unbiased;
- 2. achieve the Cramer-Rao lower bound (efficient);
- 3. asymptotically normally distributed.
 - We can also note that MLEs are consistent estimators.
 - Because these estimators achieve (1-3) they are *asymptotically efficient! best asymptotically normal (BAN) estimators